

#### **Department of Land Conservation and Development**

635 Capitol Street, Suite 150 Salem, OR 97301-2540 (503) 373-0050 Fax (503) 378-5518 www.lcd.state.or.us

#### AMENDED NOTICE OF ADOPTED AMENDMENT

October 23, 2007

TO:

Subscribers to Notice of Adopted Plan or Land Use Regulation Amendments

FROM:

Mara Ulloa, Plan Amendment Program Specialist

SUBJECT: City of Bend Plan Amendment

DLCD File Number 008-07

The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received the attached notice of adoption. A copy of the adopted plan amendment is available for review at the DLCD office in Salem and the local government office.

Appeal Procedures\*

#### DLCD ACKNOWLEDGMENT or DEADLINE TO APPEAL: November 6, 2007

This amendment was submitted to DLCD for review 45 days prior to adoption. Pursuant to ORS 197.830 (2)(b) only persons who participated in the local government proceedings leading to adoption of the amendment are eligible to appeal this decision to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of intent to appeal with the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) no later than 21 days from the date the decision was mailed to you by the local government. If you have questions, check with the local government to determine the appeal deadline. Copies of the notice of intent to appeal must be served upon the local government and others who received written notice of the final decision from the local government. The notice of intent to appeal must be served and filed in the form and manner prescribed by LUBA, (OAR Chapter 661, Division 10). Please call LUBA at 503-373-1265, if you have questions about appeal procedures.

\*NOTE:

THE APPEAL DEADLINE IS BASED UPON THE DATE THE DECISION WAS MAILED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT. A DECISION MAY HAVE BEEN MAILED TO YOU ON A DIFFERENT DATE THAN IT WAS MAILED TO DLCD. AS A RESULT YOUR APPEAL DEADLINE MAY BE EARLIER THAN THE ABOVE DATE SPECIFIED.

Cc:

Gloria Gardiner, DLCD Urban Planning Specialist Mark Radabaugh, DLCD Regional Representative Brian Harrington, City of Bend

<pa>> y/email

## FORM 2

# **DEPT OF**

OCT 16 2007

LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

#### DLCD NOTICE OF ADOPTION

This form must be mailed to DLCD within 5 working days after the final decision per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18

(See reverse side for submittal requirements)

Jurisdiction: <u>City of Bend</u>	Local File No.: 07-284 (If no number, use none)
Date of Adoption: October 3, 2007 (Must be filled in)	
Date the Notice of Proposed Amendment was	mailed to DLCD: May 11, 2007
Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment	X_ Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment
Land Use Regulation Amendment	Zoning Map Amendment
New Land Use Regulation	Other:
	(Please Specify Type of Action)
Summarize the adopted amendment. Do not us	se technical terms. Do not write "See Attached."
The adopted Plan Amendment expands the U	rban Growth Boundary to add approximately 18.1
acres that are currently zoned Urban Area Re	eserve into the Urban Growth Boundary. The purpose
of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is t	o allow this property to be used for a new elementary
school.	
	from the proposed amendment. If it is the same, write
"Same." If you did not give notice for the prop Same - Calculation of street right of way clari-	posed amendment, write "N/A." fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.
"Same." If you did not give notice for the prop Same - Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB
"Same." If you did not give notice for the prop Same - Calculation of street right of way clari-	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB
"Same." If you did not give notice for the proposame – Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to Zone Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB  to  Acres Involved: 18.1
"Same." If you did not give notice for the proposame – Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to Zone Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Specify Density: Previous: Same	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB  to  Acres Involved: 18.1  New:
"Same." If you did not give notice for the proposame – Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to Zone Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB  to  Acres Involved: 18.1  New:
"Same." If you did not give notice for the proposame – Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to Zone Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Specify Density: Previous: Same  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 1:  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: N	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB  to  Acres Involved: 18.1  New:  2, 14
"Same." If you did not give notice for the proposame – Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to Zone Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Specify Density: Previous: Same  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 1:  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: N	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB  to  Acres Involved: 18.1  New:  2, 14
"Same." If you did not give notice for the proposame – Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to Zone Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Specify Density: Previous: Same  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 11  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: N	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB  to
"Same." If you did not give notice for the proposame – Calculation of street right of way claric Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to Zone Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Specify Density: Previous: Same  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 1:  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: No	posed amendment, write "N/A."  fied to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  within City of Bend UGB  to

If no, do the Statewide Plan	ning Goals apply.	Yes:	No:
If no, did The Emergency C	ircumstances Require im	mediate adoption. Yes: X	No:
Affected State or Federal Agencies	, Local Governments or S	Special Districts: Deschutes	County,
Avion Water Company, Bend Metr	o Parks and Recreation I	District.	
Local Contact: Brian Harrington	Area Code + Phone N	fumber: 503-693-2118	
Address: 710 NW Wall Street	City: Bend		a bidi
Zip Code+4: 97701 Email Addre	ess: bharrington@ci.bend	.or.us	

#### ADOPTION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

This form <u>must be mailed</u> to DLCD <u>within 5 working days after the final decision</u> per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18.

1. Send this Form and TWO (2) Copies of the Adopted Amendment to:

ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST
DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
635 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 150
SALEM, OREGON 97301-2540

- Submit TWO (2) copies the adopted material, if copies are bounded please submit TWO (2) complete copies of documents and maps.
- 3. <u>Please Note</u>: Adopted materials must be sent to DLCD not later than FIVE (5) working days following the date of the final decision on the amendment.
- 4. Submittal of this Notice of Adoption must include the text of the amendment plus adopted findings and supplementary information.
- The deadline to appeal will not be extended if you submit this notice of adoption within five working days of the final decision. Appeals to LUBA may be filed within TWENTY-ONE (21) days of the date, the "Notice of Adoption" is sent to DLCD.
- 6. In addition to sending the "Notice of Adoption" to DLCD, you must notify persons who participated in the local hearing and requested notice of the final decision.
- 7. Need More Copies? You can copy this form on to 8-1/2x11 green paper only; or call the DLCD Office at (503) 373-0050; or Fax your request to:(503) 378-5518; or Email your request to Larry French@state.or.us ATTENTION; PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST.

  J:\palpaalforms\form2\word.doc revised: 09/09/2002

## FORM 2

## **DLCD NOTICE OF ADOPTION**

This form must be mailed to DLCD within 5 working days after the final dependent of per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18

(See reverse side for submittal requirements)

OCT 17 2007

Date of Adoption: October 3, 2007	iled: October 16, 2007 (Date mailed or sent to DLCD)  LCD: May 11, 2007  Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Coning Map Amendment Other:  (Please Specify Type of Action)  terms. Do not write "See Attached."  a Boundary to add approximately 18.1  the Urban Growth Boundary. The purpoperty to be used for a new element  posed amendment. If it is the same, when the write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
Date the Notice of Proposed Amendment was mailed to DLCD:May 11, 2007	Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Coning Map Amendment Other:  (Please Specify Type of Action)  terms. Do not write "See Attached."  a Boundary to add approximately 18.  be Urban Growth Boundary. The purpreparent to be used for a new element property to be used for a new element write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment  Land Use Regulation Amendment  New Land Use Regulation  Other:  (Please Specify Type of Summarize the adopted amendment expands the Urban Growth Boundary to add approximaters that are currently zoned Urban Area Reserve into the Urban Growth Boundary.  of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is to allow this property to be used for a new school.  Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same — Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively. Plan Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Acres Involved: 18.1  Specify Density: Previous: Same  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 12, 14  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes:  DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Propo	Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Coning Map Amendment Other:  (Please Specify Type of Action)  terms. Do not write "See Attached."  a Boundary to add approximately 18.  The Urban Growth Boundary. The purproperty to be used for a new element property to be used for a new element, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
Land Use Regulation Amendment Zoning Map Amendment Other: Other:	Coning Map Amendment Other:  (Please Specify Type of Action)  terms. Do not write "See Attached."  a Boundary to add approximately 18.  The Urban Growth Boundary. The purproperty to be used for a new element property to be used for a new element, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
New Land Use Regulation  Other:  (Please Specify Type of Summarize the adopted amendment. Do not use technical terms. Do not write "See Att The adopted Plan Amendment expands the Urban Growth Boundary to add approxim acres that are currently zoned Urban Area Reserve into the Urban Growth Boundary. of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is to allow this property to be used for a new school.  Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same — Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively. Plan Map Changed from:  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Acres Involved: 18.1  Specify Density: Previous: Same  New:  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 12, 14  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes:  No: X  DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Propo	Other:  (Please Specify Type of Action)  terms. Do not write "See Attached."  n Boundary to add approximately 18.  ne Urban Growth Boundary. The purproperty to be used for a new element property to be used for a new element, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
Summarize the adopted amendment. Do not use technical terms. Do not write "See Att  The adopted Plan Amendment expands the Urban Growth Boundary to add approxim  acres that are currently zoned Urban Area Reserve into the Urban Growth Boundary.  of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is to allow this property to be used for a new school.  Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is th "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same — Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  Plan Map Changed from:	(Please Specify Type of Action)  terms. Do not write "See Attached."  a Boundary to add approximately 18.  be Urban Growth Boundary. The purproperty to be used for a new element property to be used for a new element, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
Summarize the adopted amendment. Do not use technical terms. Do not write "See Att  The adopted Plan Amendment expands the Urban Growth Boundary to add approxim  acres that are currently zoned Urban Area Reserve into the Urban Growth Boundary.  of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is to allow this property to be used for a new school.  Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is th "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same – Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  Plan Map Changed from:	terms. Do not write "See Attached."  a Boundary to add approximately 18.  be Urban Growth Boundary. The purpoperty to be used for a new element property to be used for a new element posed amendment. If it is the same, diment, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
The adopted Plan Amendment expands the Urban Growth Boundary to add approxim _acres that are currently zoned Urban Area Reserve into the Urban Growth Boundary. of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is to allow this property to be used for a new school.  Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is th "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same — Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively. Plan Map Changed from:	n Boundary to add approximately 18.  ne Urban Growth Boundary. The purproperty to be used for a new element posed amendment. If it is the same, diment, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
acres that are currently zoned Urban Area Reserve into the Urban Growth Boundary.  of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is to allow this property to be used for a new school.  Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same – Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively.  Plan Map Changed from:	property to be used for a new element posed amendment. If it is the same, liment, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  ces Involved: 18.1
Of the Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is to allow this property to be used for a new school.  Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same – Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively. Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to within City of Bend UGB  Zone Map Changed from: to  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102 Acres Involved: 18.1  Specify Density: Previous: Same New:	property to be used for a new element posed amendment. If it is the same, diment, write "N/A." de 18.1 acres cumulatively. of Bend UGB
Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same – Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively. Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to within City of Bend UGB  Zone Map Changed from: to  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102 Acres Involved: 18.1  Specify Density: Previous: Same New:	posed amendment. If it is the same, iment, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  contact the same of the same, in the
Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same – Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively. Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to within City of Bend UGB  Zone Map Changed from: to  Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102 Acres Involved: 18.1  Specify Density: Previous: Same New:  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 12, 14  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: No: X  DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Propo	posed amendment. If it is the same, iment, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  contact the same of the same, in the
Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the "Same." If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write "N/A."  Same – Calculation of street right of way clarified to include 18.1 acres cumulatively. Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to within City of Bend UGB  Zone Map Changed from: to Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102 Acres Involved: 18.1  Specify Density: Previous: Same New: Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 12, 14  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: No: X  DLCD File No.: Location and Development receive a notice of Proposition of Proposition of Proposition of Proposition and Development receive a notice of Proposition of Proposition and Development receive a notice of Proposition of Proposition and Development receive a notice of Proposition of Proposition and Development receive a notice of Proposition of Proposition and Development receive a notice of Proposition of Proposition and Development receive a notice of Proposition of Pro	Iment, write "N/A."  de 18.1 acres cumulatively.  of Bend UGB  compared to the second
Plan Map Changed from: Outside UGB to within City of Bend UGB  Zone Map Changed from: to	of Bend UGB  es Involved: 18.1
Zone Map Changed from:	es Involved: 18.1
Location: 17-11-22 portion of TL 102  Acres Involved: 18.1  Specify Density: Previous: Same  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 12, 14  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: No: X  DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Propo	es Involved: 18.1
Specify Density: Previous: Same  Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 12, 14  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: No: X  DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Propo	
Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: 1, 11, 12, 14  Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: No: X  DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Propo	· .
Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: No: X  DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development <u>receive</u> a notice of Propo	
DLCD File No.:  Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development <u>receive</u> a notice of Propo	
Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development <u>receive</u> a notice of Propo	
Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development <u>receive</u> a notice of Propo	=======================================
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	nt receive a notice of Proposed
Amendment FORTY FIVE (45) days prior to the first evidentiary hearing. Yes: X	videntiary hearing. Yes: X No:

If no, do the Statewide Plann	ing Goals apply.	Yes:	No:
If no, did The Emergency Cir	cumstances Require immediate adoption.	Yes: X	No:
Affected State or Federal Agencies,	Local Governments or Special Districts: De	eschutes C	County,
Avion Water Company, Bend Metro	Parks and Recreation District.		
Local Contact: Brian Harrington	Area Code + Phone Number: 503-693-21	18	. ,
Address: 710 NW Wall Street	City: Bend		
Zip Code+4: 97701 Email Address	s: bharrington@ci.bend.or.us		

### ADOPTION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

This form <u>must be mailed</u> to DLCD <u>within 5 working days after the final decision</u> per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18.

1. Send this Form and TWO (2) Copies of the Adopted Amendment to:

# ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT 635 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 150 SALEM, OREGON 97301-2540

- 2. Submit TWO (2) copies the adopted material, if copies are bounded please submit TWO (2) complete copies of documents and maps.
- 3. <u>Please Note</u>: Adopted materials must be sent to DLCD not later than **FIVE** (5) working days following the date of the final decision on the amendment.
- 4. Submittal of this Notice of Adoption must include the text of the amendment plus adopted findings and supplementary information.
- 5. The deadline to appeal will not be extended if you submit this notice of adoption within five working days of the final decision. Appeals to LUBA may be filed within TWENTY-ONE (21) days of the date, the "Notice of Adoption" is sent to DLCD.
- 6. In addition to sending the "Notice of Adoption" to DLCD, you must notify persons who participated in the local hearing and requested notice of the final decision.
- 7. **Need More Copies?** You can copy this form on to <u>8-1/2x11 green paper only</u>; or call the DLCD Office at (503) 373-0050; or Fax your request to:(503) 378-5518; or Email your request to Larry.French@state.or.us ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST.

J:\pa\paa\forms\form2word.doc

revised: 09/09/2002

#### **ORDINANCE NO. NS-2073**

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE BEND URBAN AREA GENERAL PLAN MAP BY EXPANDING THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY TO INCLUDE A PARCEL OF LAND TOTALING 18.1 ACRES FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY AND PRESCRIBING AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF OCTOBER 3, 2007. THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION OF YEOMAN ROAD AND NE PURCELL BOULEVARD ABUTTING THE BEND PARKS AND RECREATION DISTRICT PINE NURSERY COMMUNITY PARK FACILITY IN NORTHEAST BEND.

#### THE CITY OF BEND ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. The Bend Urban Area Zoning and Plan Map is hereby amended by including the designation of the property shown in "Exhibit A" and described in "Exhibit B".
- Section 2. The Bend City Council held a public hearing to consider the Hearings Officer's findings and record, and found that the proposal is consistent with the criteria of the Bend Development Code Section 4.6.300. The Bend City Council adopts the Findings and Conclusions of the Hearings Officer dated September 4, 2007, file number PZ 07-284 in support of this ordinance (Exhibit C).
- Section 3. This ordinance being necessary for the preservation of public safety and health, an emergency is declared to exist and this ordinance takes effect on October 3, 2007.

Read for the first time the 19th day of September, 2007.

Read for the second time the 3rd day of October, 2007.

Placed upon its passage the 3rd day of October, 2007.

YES: 7

NO: 0


Authenticated by the Mayor the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2007.

Bruce Abernethy, Mayor

ATTES

Patricia Stell, City of Bend Recorder

**EXHIBIT A** 



#### **EXHIBIT B**

A parcel of land located in the Southwest One-quarter of the Southeast One-quarter (SW 1/4of the SE 1/4) of Section 15 and the Northwest One-quarter of the Northeast One-quarter (NW 1/4 of the NE 1/4) of Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 12 East, Willamette Meridian, Deschutes County, Oregon, said parcel being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at One-quarter corner common to Section 15 and 22, Township 17 South, Range 12 East, Willamette Meridian, Deschutes County, Oregon, thence North 00° 01'38" West, 30.00 feet to a point on the northerly right of way of Yeoman Road, North 89°37'08" East, 790.57 feet; thence leaving said northerly right of way, South 00° 00'00" West 30.00 feet to a point on the line between said Sections 15 and 22; thence continuing South 00°00'00" West 279.96 feet; thence South 55°00'00" West, 301.94 feet; thence South 00°00'00" West 240.87 feet; thence South 12°34'57" East, 158.62 feet; thence South 30°00'00" East, 268.60 feet; thence South 00°00'00" West, 87.84 feet; thence North 90°00'00" West, 711.50 feet to the north-south center line of said Section 22; thence along said center line, North 00°01'38" West, 1164.02 feet to the point of beginning.

Said parcel contains 18.1 acres, more or less

#### Exhibit C

## DECISION AND RECOMMENDATION OF CITY OF BEND HEARINGS OFFICER

PROJECT NUMBER:

PZ 07-284, Urban Growth Boundary Plan Amendment

HEARING DATE:

City of Bend Council Chambers

Thursday, June 28, 2007 at 10:00 A.M.

HEARING DATE:

June 28, 2007

**HEARINGS OFFICER:** 

Tim Elliott

APPLICANT:

Bend La Pine School District c/o Bryant Lovllen & Jarvis PC 591 SW Mill View Way

Bend, Oregon 97701

PROPERTY OWNER:

Bend Metro Parks and Recreation District

200 Pacific Park Lane Redmond, OR 97701

APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY:

Helen Eastwood

Bryant Lovlien & Jarvis PC 591 SW Mill View Way Bend, OR 97701

LOCATION:

The site is located at the northwest corner of the former Pine Nursery site bordered by Yeoman Road on the north and NE Purcell on the west. The site is further identified as Tax Lot 102 on Tax Assessor's

Map 17-12-22.

REQUEST:

The applicant requests an amendment to the Bend Urban Area General Plan to expand the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) to include a 15 acre site for the purpose of constructing an elementary school.

Concurrent with this application in accordance with the joint management agreement between the City of Bend and Deschutes County is a plan amendment request and a partition to divide 15 acres from the 143 acre parent parcel owned by the Bend Metro Parks and Recreation District, to be reviewed by Deschutes

County.

STAFF REVIEWER:

Brian Harrington, Associate Planner

1 -PZ 07-284

Bend Lapine School District


#### (541) 693-2118

#### APPLICABLE CRITERIA:

- (1) Bend Code Chapter 10; Bend Development Code, Ordinance NS-2016
  - (a) Chapter 2.1; Residential Districts
  - (b) Chapter 4.6; Land Use District Map and Text Amendments
  - c) Chapter 4.7; Transportation Analysis
- (2) The Bend Area General Plan
- (3) Oregon Administrative Rules Chapter 660-024, Division 24, Urban Growth Boundaries Chapter 660-012, Division 12, Transportation Planning
- (4) Oregon Revised Statute 197.298
- (5) Oregon Statewide Planning Goals 11, 12 and 14

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

- LOCATION: The subject property is located at the corner of NE Purcell Blvd and Yeoman Road and further identified on Deschutes County Assessor's Map as 17-12-22, Tax Lot 102.
- 2. ZONING: The property is zoned Urban UAR-10 and designated Urban Area Reserve on the Bend Area General Plan Map. The site is specified below



2 -PZ 07-284 Bend Lapine School District

ORS 197.298 outlines a hierarchy of lands to consider when amending a UGB. The first priority is those lands designated urban reserve under ORS 195.145.

- 3. SITE DESCRIPTION & SURROUNDING USES: The hearings officer is familiar with the site and concurs with staff's description, which generally is as follows: The site contains 15 acres in the northwest corner of the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District's (BMPRD) Pine Nursery community park site. The terrain is fairly level and adjoins an elevated rock outcropping along the east boundary. Vegetation is limited to native grasses, shrubs and juniper trees. The park site will adjoin the property boundaries on the east and south. The southern property boundary will align with the west entrance of the park site across Rock Park Drive along NE Purcell Blvd. Surrounding uses to the west include single family residential development zoned RS (Lava Ridge subdivision). To the north, across Yeoman Road, is rural residential development zoned SR 2 ½ (Bee Tree Subdivision).
- 4. PROPOSAL: The applicant requests a UGB amendment to include 15 acres of land from the Bend Urban Area Reserve to facilitate the construction of an elementary school. No change is proposed to the existing UAR-10 zoning. A concurrent application has been filed with Deschutes Count, along with a partition application to partition the site from the parent parcel.

The applicant offered the following narrative in support of its proposal:

"In 2005, Bend La-Pine Schools (the "Applicant"), completed an updated Sites and Facilities Plan ("2005 Sites and Facilities Plan") that identified the need for three new elementary schools by 2010. The 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan is attached as Exhibit 1. The 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan specifically identified the northeast quadrant of the City as an area that needed a new elementary school. Given the scarcity of suitable vacant properties, it has been challenging to acquire school sites in the appropriate locations. The 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan specifically identified the Pine Nursery site as an existing possibility for a school site even though it was outside of the Urban Growth Boundary. In 2005, the Applicant already had an agreement with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District to acquire the Pine Nursery School Site.

In 2006, the voters approved school bonds to fund the construction of three new elementary schools (two for the City of Bend and one in La Pine) and expansions and renovations at other schools throughout the District. The City of Bend is in the process of expanding its UGB and the proposed school site is included in the current expansion areas. However, the City of Bend process will not be complete in time for the Applicant to

meet the needs of the rapidly expanding school populations. When the Applicant submitted the Bond to the voters, it was anticipated that the UGB expansion would be completed in time for the Applicant to seek land use approval to construct the necessary schools to be open for fall 2008. Unfortunately, the UGB expansion has taken longer than anticipated. Due to the current overcrowding, the Applicant needs to open the school by the fall of 2008. Accordingly, the Applicant has been working with both the City of Bend and Deschutes County in planning for the new elementary school in advance of the completion of the City's UGB expansion.

Land use actions adjacent to the Bend Urban Growth Boundary in the Urban Area Reserve are governed by a joint management agreement adopted by the City of Bend and Deschutes County on February 24, 1998. This document calls for cooperation between the City of Bend and Deschutes County in planning for the UAR zone. Deschutes County and the City of Bend share responsibility for processing urban growth boundary expansions. Quasi-judicial applications are reviewed by a hearings officer at both the City and the County. Then both the County Commissioners and City Council will hold public hearings and adopt implementing ordinances to enact the UGB expansion. Plan amendments must also be acknowledged by the State of Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development as complying with applicable state land use laws.

The property is currently zoned UAR-10 which allows for the development of public schools as a conditional use. Applicant is not requesting exemptions from State Land Use Goals. New Oregon Administrative Rules (effective April 5, 2007) and the new Goal 14 for UGB expansions (effective April 2006) are applicable."

- 5. PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENTS: The application was submitted on May 17, 2007. On June 7, 2007, the Planning Division sent notice of the request to surrounding owners of record of property as shown on the most recent property tax assessment roll within 250 feet of the property. Transmittals describing the proposal were also sent to inter-office departments and other agencies for comment. The agency comments are contained with9in the file. In addition, notice of the proposed UGB Amendment was sent to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) on May 11, 2007.
- 6. PUBLIC MEETING: A public meeting was held on May 10, 2007 for the Pine Nursery Site. The applicant attached a copy of the City's forms regarding public & neighborhood meetings, and a copy of the notice and the list of persons receiving notice. The applicant submitted a letter from the Mountain View Neighborhood Association dated May 16, 2007 that

- stated that the Mountain View Neighborhood has no concerns with the proposal.
- APPLICATION ACCEPTANCE DATE: The application was submitted on May 17, 2007. Pursuant to Section 4.1.530(D) of the Bend Code, quasijudicial comprehensive plan amendments are exempt from the 120 day time limit.
- 8. LOT OF RECORD: The applicant has submitted a partition to Deschutes County to segment 15 acres from the parent parcel. The 15 acre site will be established as a legal lot of record according to current Bend Development Code standards subject to final plat approval of the minor partition.

#### REVIEW OF APPLICABLE UGB AMENDMENT CRITERIA

#### Chapter 2,1, Residential Districts

B. Applicability. This chapter applies to all development in the Urban Area Reserve District (UAR), Residential Suburban Low Density (SR2 ½), Low Density Residential District (RL), Standard Density Residential District (RS), Medium-10 Density Residential District (RM-10), Medium Density Residential District (RM), and High Density Residential District (RH). These Districts are identified on the City's official zoning map. Properties designated within each district that contain additional standards shall comply with the provisions of the applicable District, except as may be modified by this Section. Properties within a designated Historic District shall comply with the provisions of Chapter 10-16 of the Bend Code.

**FINDING:** The applicant is proposing to amend the Bend Urban Area Plan (Bend Plan) to expand the UGB to include a 15 acre site for the purpose of constructing an elementary school (Pine Nursery). No zone change is proposed with this application; therefore the applicable zoning is Urban Area Reserve (UAR-10). As such, the proposed elementary school use would be allowed conditionally in the UAR-10 zone. The expansion of the UGB boundary will require future development to comply with the development standards for the UAR-10 zone as listed under Section 2.1 of the Development Code.

## Chapter 4.1, Land Use Review and Procedures 4.1.515 Process for Type II or Type III Applications.

A. Except for comprehensive plan amendments and zone changes and other instances where a hearing is required by state law or by other ordinance provision, the Planning Director may decide upon a Type II application administratively either with prior notice, as prescribed

under Section 4.1.520 or without prior notice, as prescribed under Section 4.1.525 or may refer the application to the Hearings Body for hearing as a Type III. The Planning Director shall take such action within 45 days of the date the application is accepted or deemed accepted as complete. This time limit may be waived at the option of the applicant.

- B. The Planning Director's choice between or among administrative or hearing procedures to apply to a particular application or determination shall not be an appeal-able decision.
- C. Quasi-judicial zone change and plan amendment applications shall be reviewed as a Type III and referred to a hearing before the Review Authority.

FINDING: The applicant has proposed a quasi-judicial plan amendment that has been sent to hearing before the hearings officer and the City Council in compliance with criterion (C) above.

Chapter 4.6 Land Use District Map and Text Amendments

4.6.300 Quasi-Judicial Amendments.

- B. Criteria for Quasi-Judicial Amendments. The applicant shall submit a written narrative which explains how the approval criteria will be met. A recommendation or a decision to approve, approve with conditions or to deny an application for a quasi-judicial amendment shall be based on all of the following criteria:
  - Approval of the request is consistent with the relevant Statewide Planning Goals that are designated by the Planning Director or designee;

In response to this criterion, the applicant's burden of proof statement reads in part:

Oregon Statewide Planning Goals

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 1: "To develop a citizen involvement program that insures the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process."

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: As part of the UGB application process, there will be adequate opportunities for citizen involvement. Public notice will be provided to surrounding area property owners. Public hearings will be conducted both

before a City and County hearings officer and before the City Council and County Commissioners.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 2: "To establish a land use planning process and policy framework as a basis for all decision and actions related to use of land and to assure an adequate factual base for such decisions and actions.",

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 3 "To preserve and maintain agricultural lands." and, Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 4 "Forests"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: In accordance with Goal 2, Applicant has proposed an orderly procedure to evaluate the UGB expansion request, and has provided an adequate factual base to enable the City to make a rational decision on the UGB expansion request. OAR 660-024-0020(a) provides that the exception process is not applicable. The proposed property to be included in the UGB expansion is not identified as either agricultural or forest lands.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 5: "Open Spaces, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Natural Resources"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Goal 5 resources are listed in the City's acknowledged Comprehensive Plan. There are no known significant Goal 5 resources on the site. The area proposed for the UGB expansion is similar to other sites around the City of Bend with regard to open space, scenic views and other Goal 5 values. Utilizing the selected site as proposed will have no noticeable adverse impact on the amount of regional open space or scenic views available.

Impacts on related resources:

Mineral and Aggregate, and Energy Resources: The Pine Nursery Site has never been zoned for mineral or aggregate resources. Furthermore, there are no known energy resources on the sites such as natural gas, oil, coal or geothermal heat.

Fish and Wildlife Habitat: The Pine Nursery Site has no fish or welland habitat. Both selected sites are unlikely to contain threatened or endangered species. The Pine Nursery site is already surrounded by development that limits its potential for threatened or endangered species.

Ecologically and Scientifically Significant: Nothing about the selected site separates it from surrounding areas as ecologically or scientifically significant.

Outstanding Scenic Views: Nothing about the site indicates it has a significantly better view than other similar sites that surround the City of Bend.

Water areas, wetlands, watersheds, and groundwater resources: There are no wetlands or watersheds within or adjacent to any of the subject site. No watersheds will be affected by the inclusion of the property into the Bend UGB. The site will be served by municipal sewer systems as they are included in the City and will not adversely affect the groundwater due to septic discharge. Other standards and regulations exist that will mitigate any potential threats to existing groundwater quality during development.

Wilderness Areas: The Pine Nursery Site does not meet the definitions of "wilderness areas" as described within the Oregon State Goals and Guidelines. The parcel has been used over the past century and does not contain pristine surroundings or old growth trees.

Historic areas, sites, structures and objects: The Pine Nursery Site has no structures listed on the National Register of Historic Places. No structures or places of historical significance have been determined to exist on or near the property selected.

Cultural areas: The Pine Nursery Site has no known cultural resources.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 6: "Air, Water, and Land Resources Quality"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The Applicant is not proposing an exception to compliance with Goal 6. Maintaining or improving the quality of the community's air, water and land resources will be assured through enforcement of state and local regulations. Expansion of the UGB and annexation of the parcels to the City of Bend will ensure connections to City sewer connections. Sewer connectivity has been considered when choosing the parcels and the site will not cause the sewer capacity to be exceeded. Noise levels will not exceed DEQ noise regulations.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 7: "Natural Disasters and Hazards"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: There are no areas within the site that are subject to flooding or landslide activity. The wildfire hazard for the site is the same as other areas with junipers, pine and native brush to the northeast and west of Bend. By providing adequate water to meet the fire flow requirements for an elementary school, the city will reduce the potential wildfire hazard in this area.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 8: "Recreational Needs"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: As a side benefit to the development on the site the city anticipates including open space areas in the development of the elementary school sites allow for recreational uses. Also the Applicant has worked with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District to site the school adjacent to the planned new community park at the old Pine Nursery Site.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 9: "Economy of Development"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The placement of a public elementary school is important to assist in the health, welfare and prosperity of Oregon citizens. The proposed elementary school is necessary because of the economic development occurring in the City of Bend. This development has brought new families to the City of Bend with elementary aged children. The expansion of the UGB is driven by the need to provide quality public education to all children in the community.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 10: "Housing"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: This expansion of the UGB is limited to the purpose of providing land needed for schools. The City is undergoing its own process of evaluating additional UGB expansions to meet future housing needs. The process for the UGB expansion to meet housing needs is supported by this application.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 11: "Public Facilities and Services"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The existing water lines and sewer service adjacent to the site will allow for the efficient and cost effective extension of these needed services to the site. Public facilities and services are adequate to serve the needs of the proposed school.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 12: is "To provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system."

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: OAR 660-012 implements Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 12. This administrative rule requires the City to prepare and adopt a Transportation System Plan (TSP) as part of its comprehensive plan. The Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan was adopted October 11, 2000.

OAR 660-024-0020(d) exempts properties such as those in this Application from the transportation planning rule of OAR 660-012-0060. Exempt properties include properties that are zoned as urbanizable land and that do not allow more vehicle trips than development allowed under prior zoning. Here, the applicable zoning is UAR-10. In UAR-10, public schools are conditionally permitted. Therefore, the prior zoning and any future zoning will allow the same number of vehicle trips. The site will not adversely impact the transportation system.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 13: "Energy Conservation"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The location of the proposed elementary school, which is near the student population that it will serve, may reduce energy use by reducing vehicle trips to schools that are more distant from the student's homes.

Students may be able to walk and bike to this neighborhood school. The proposed locations show good potential for walking and biking options.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 14: "Urbanization"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: This Goal was addressed above.

Oregon Statewide Planning Goals 15-19

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: These Goals are not applicable to these properties because the properties are not within the Willamette Greenway, estuarine areas, coastal shoreland, beaches and dunes or ocean resources.

FINDING: Staff originally found that the applicant had not adequately addressed Goal 14 (Urbanization) and Goal 12, (Transportation). The applicant has submitted a letter stating that as to Goal 14, addressing orderly and economic provision of public facilities and services, it provided a specific sewer plan, and a "will serve" letter from Avion Water. It has also provided a Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) and a memorandum from W& H Pacific dated June 21, 2007 addressing in specifics the water systems and sewer flow/system. Based upon these documents and the record, the applicant has demonstrated the ability to provide adequate urban services as required by Goal 14.

The applicant argues that as to goal 12 and OAR 110-012 the TIA reflects that the proposed school does not have any negative impacts on the existing capacity of the surrounding road systems. Yeoman and Purcell Roads will, with a left turn lane on Purcell, prov9lde adequate access to the school site. While these types of mitigation will be addressed in the site plan application, the applicant is correct, it has demonstrated compliant with the planned road system identified in the City's TSP. The applicant has demonstrated compliance with the above criteria and the hearings officer concurs with and adopts the applicant's responses set out above.

Approval of the request is consistent with the relevant policies of the Comprehensive Plan that are designated by the Planning Director or designee;

In response to this criterion, the applicant's burden of proof statement provides:

The relevant portions of the Bend Area General Plan are addressed below.

City of Bend General Plan

Preface City Goals for Planning in the Urban Reserve

13. The city and county shall retain lands in the Urban Reserve Area in larger lots in order to be compatible with adjoining public lands and deer winter range needs until these areas are needed for urban level uses. . . .

Adequate public facilities are the key to stable urban development. The Goals below provide general guidance for maintaining and improving the level and quality of urban services as growth occurs in Bend. The citizens and elected officials wish:

- To have public and private utility systems provide adequate levels of service to the public at reasonable cost;
- For the city, county, and special districts to cooperate in the provision of adequate urban services in an efficient and timely matter to support urban development;
- For new development to pay its fair share of the cost of major facilities needed to support development;
- To ensure that public services will not have negative impacts on the environment or the community; and
- To locate and operate public buildings and other public facilities to best serve the needs of the residents.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The subject property is located within the Urban Area Reserve. The property is now needed as a local neighborhood school. Water and sewer are available as described above. Water connections will be made to Avion lines in Purcell and sewage will be pumped to Yeoman. The Applicant is looking ahead to develop schools in an efficient and timely manner to support the adjacent residential developments.

The public services of an elementary school will not have negative impacts on the environment or community but will generally benefit both through reducing traffic and enhancing a sense of community. The school has been carefully designed to best meet the needs of area residents. Additional environmental and community impacts were discussed above.

The siting of the school was determined through a siting research study evaluating the need for new schools within the community. Criteria considered for the siting can be found on page 30 of the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan. The criteria considered included the following:

"high student density, walking access, flat topography, appropriate size approx. 15 acres for prototypical elementary school, low cost for water, sewer, and sidewalk extensions, low site acquisition cost, partnership potential with Bend Metro Park and Recreation District, shape of site promotes efficient use of space, few busy roads surround school, few physical barriers such as canals, railroads, or arterial streets, located in residential zone, adjacent to park or future park."

As discussed above, the site was chosen to meet the above criteria.

Chapter 3, Community Connections Public Education

In October 1997, the school board accepted a school siting study prepared for the district in cooperation with the city and county. This study provides information on enrollment, siting needs, and other factors to help the district determine the type, location, and size of school sites needed during the next 20 years. The school district's estimate of future enrollment levels and school needs is based on the forecast population levels in the urban area and nearby rural lands.

Figure 3-6 shows the 1995 student levels and the forecast enrollment level for the public schools based on the 1997 siting study. It can be seen from the data in this figure that total enrollment in the Bend area public schools is expected to increase about 45 percent by the year 2015. If the population growth and demographic patterns follow the forecasts in the 1997 study, there will be a need for three to five additional elementary schools, two to three new middle schools, and one or two new senior high schools or technical schools in the planning area by 2015. In 1998 local voters approved a \$57 million bond levy to help meet the need for more schools. The bond will pay for construction of a new elementary school, a new middle school, a new high school and remodeling Bend High. Identifying the location for new public schools is an important function of the General Plan. The need for new schools is closely related to residential development and housing densities in the community. It is extremely important that schools be located with reference to the development pattern indicated on the General Plan. Elementary schools in particular can have a significant influence on the location or direction of growth in any given area, and will in themselves attract residential development. They should be centrally located in their service area, and spaced in a way that will permit reasonable locations for future schools as the area continues to grow. The city, county and Bend-La Pine school district will use the most recent studies to evaluate ways to ensure the timely development of new schools in the urban area.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: As noted in the General Plan an older siting study found the need for 3-5 new elementary schools by 2015. Growth has increased significantly since the 1997 siting study and the need for new elementary schools is already present. An updated siting study was conducted by the Applicant to identify the specific locations recommended for school development by 2015. Furthermore, as stated in the General Plan "the need for new schools is closely related to residential development and housing densities in the community." This proposed UGB expansion will serve one of the fastest growing segments of the community. The new elementary school will be located near expanding residential areas. The 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan describes the areas

surrounding the proposed school as being areas of significant growth potential. (Page 10 and 11)

"Area 206: East of the Deschutes River and North of Butler Market Road to current UGB. This is a large area with a significant number of potential development lots and re-developable acreage. Most development is single-family and some multi-family.

Area 106: North of current UGB east of Deschutes River, West of 27th Street within the District (Lava Ridge Elementary and Sky View Middle School attendance areas). There is a potential for development of additional housing units if this area is included in the UGB and rezoned to allow for urban densities of housing. The Juniper Ridge industrial area occupies 640 acres of this sub-area for future research par/light industrial development. Development of OSU-Cascades campus in this area may also bring additional student housing with low numbers of school-age children."

Predictions provided in the 2005 School Sites and Facilities Plan also show that there is an expected shortfall of classroom space for approximately 285 students by 2010. The proposed school is consistent with development pattern for provision of urban services. The school is centrally located within the service area identified for a new school. This school will be paid for by an additional bond that was passed to build new schools in 2006. The prototypical school is designed in two phases with an initial 300 student capacity and an ability to grow to a 600 student capacity when the extra capacity is needed. According to the Sites and Facilities Plan the extra capacity may be needed as early as 2015.

#### School Goals from Chapter 3

- 22. The Bend-La Pine School District shall participate in providing necessary street, pedestrian, and bike facilities adjacent to the school sites as new schools are erected.
- 23. When a majority of a school's expected attendance will reside within the UGB, the Bend La- Pine School District shall make every effort to construct such school(s) within the UGB where students can walk to the school.
- 24. The city shall require major new developments to reserve land for school purposes in conjunction with the Bend-La Pine School District's adopted plan for the type and location of future facilities.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The Applicant will dedicate and develop Yeoman and Purcell to City standards and provide pedestrian and bike facilities. The proposed schools will serve students both within and outside of the UGB. See attached Exhibit 6 showing the existing student attendance boundaries. As noted there has been a large expansion of students coming in from the

development within the UGB. However, the Applicant also serves students to the west and north of Pine Nursery that are not within the Urban Growth Boundary. The proposed school is centrally located to allow students from both within and outside of the Urban Growth Boundary to be able to walk or ride bicycles to school.

Chapter 8, Public Facilities and Services

Adequate public facilities are the key to stable urban development. The Oregon Statewide Planning Goals below provide general guidance for maintaining and improving the level and quality of urban services as growth occurs in Bend. The citizens and elected officials wish:

- To have public and private utility systems provide adequate levels of service to the public at reasonable cost;
- For the city, county, and special districts to cooperate in the provision of adequate urban services in an efficient and timely matter to support urban development;
- For new development to pay its fair share of the cost of major facilities needed to support development;
- To ensure that public services will not have negative impacts on the environment or the community; and
- To locate and operate public buildings and other public facilities to best serve the needs of the residents.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Water and sewer are available to the Pine Nursery School Site without the need for significant extensions. Additionally, the site will be served by garbage services, law and safety enforcement once it is brought within the UGB and then is annexed into the City.

FINDING: The hearings officer concurs with the applicant's findings, as did staff, with respect to the school goals cited in Chapter 3 above (goals 22-24). In addition the applicant has demonstrated compliance with the Plan's objective "to locate and operate public buildings and other public facilities to best serve the needs of the residents.", as discussed above. Public facilities, services and transportation networks are addressed further below, but much of this a will be addressed with the development application, as agreed by the applicant.

 The property and affected area is presently provided with adequate public facilities, services and transportation networks to support the use, or such facilities, services and transportation networks are planned to be provided concurrently with the development of the property; and

#### FINDING:

In response to this criterion, the applicant's burden of proof statement provides:

The proposed site can be served with adequate public facilities. Sewer and water are available adjacent to the site. The transportation network is adequate and the Applicant will upgrade the street system through vacations and construction of Yeoman and Purcell to City standards in conjunction with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District. The transportation improvements will be provided concurrently with the development of the property.

The applicant further responded to this criterion, and to comments within the Staff Report, in a June 21, 2007 letter reading:

"The UGB criteria require evidence that the site is presently provided with adequate public facilities including transportation networks (Bend Code 4.6.300(B). As described in the Applicant's traffic study, even with the existing widths, Purcell and Yeoman Roads provide adequate transportation to the proposed school site. Furthermore the Applicant will be submitting a site plan in the next few weeks that will demonstrate the improvements planned as part of the development of the site. In response to the Applicant's traffic study, the Applicant plans to construct the recommend 100 foot turn pocket on Purcell. The site plan application will also address pedestrian facilities, safe crossings. road dedications, and the applicant's commitment to work with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District on the realignment of the intersection of Yeoman and Purcell. The proposed findings prepared by Robin Lewis for the UGB expansion are beyond the scope of the UGB expansion application and criteria. Nothing in the Bend Code requires construction of streets to City of Bend standards as a precondition for a UGB expansion. The issues raised in Robin Lewis's memorandum can be addressed through two additional planning stages that will be required prior to the start of construction. First, as stated above, the Applicant will submit for site plan review. If necessary, conditions of approval can be identified and addressed in the Site Plan decision for the elementary school. Secondly, the issues will be addressed through the annexation agreement process with the City of Bend and the Applicant. In that process, the Applicant and City of Bend will enter an agreement that outlines specific improvements that the Applicant and the City agree are the Applicant's responsibility."

As noted above the applicant has also now submitted sufficient information addressing Goal 14 and 12 and demonstrating the existence of adequate public facilities, services and transportation networks to support the use, or such facilities, services and transportation networks are planned to be provided concurrently with the development of the property.

FINDING: Staff originally recommended an Annexation Agreement as a condition of approval for this request. The request for this condition of approval has been withdrawn via a letter form the Community Development Director, Mel Oberst. The City and the applicant have submitted an agreement demonstrating such, which waives the need for any of the proposed conditions, of which there were only three. The City, through Mr. Oberst, finds that the primary issue is the need to provide adequate urban services. The City is convinced that once an urban street is provided the City will have jurisdiction to then require safe design and speed on streets, and the applicant will be required to address Safe Routes to School issues as a matter of law. In this regard the City of Bend and the applicant will work together. The City has carefully stated that it does not endorse the applicant's current Safe Routes to Schools Plan and requests that the hearings officer's decision not endorse such. The hearings officer does not endorse such as unnecessary to this decision, and this issue will be addressed in the applicant's site plan application. The applicant reports additional details concerning the actual provision for public services will also be addressed in the site plan application. The hearings officer is will to remove two of the three conditions, but finds that original condition number three should remain to identify

As noted, the subject property is presently served with adequate public facilities, services and transportation networks to support the use as currently known and for purposes of this application, or the applicant plans to provide these concurrently with development. While the development application is not before the hearings officer, the impacts have been known for the reason that the applicant has submitted to the City its proposed development application. Generally plan amendment applications are concerned with the impact to the existing facilities, services and transportation networks from the inclusion in the UGB and the potential uses and their impacts. It is within the development application that applicant will provide the detail of the proposed development and construction. Any required mitigation of the development impacts will be determined at that stage, as agreed by the applicant.

Streets: Requirements for the provision of new streets, and improvements to the existing street system are addressed and in the applicant's burden of proof and TIA. The applicant proposes improvements to the surrounding transportation system to mitigate the impacts of their development to ensure adequate capacity of the surrounding transportation system with the proposed development. In comment received from the City Transportation Engineering Department, there are several transportation facility improvements that need to be met with regard to Purcell Boulevard, Yeoman Road, alignment with the intersection of Yeoman and Purcell Boulevard, pedestrian crossings of Purcell Boulevard and Yeoman Road, and pick up and drop off traffic that would impact Purcell Boulevard. These issues can be mitigated through the site plan approval process. The hearings officer finds that given that the applicant submitted a traffic analysis addressing all of the relevant criteria in Chapter 4.7, no supplemental burden of

proof is required as suggested by staff before the time of hearing, and that the applicant has satisfied this criterion. The applicant must further address the traffic issues concurrently with the site plan application.

Water: The applicant proposes to provide adequate water service to the site to serve the domestic and fire suppression needs of the development. Avion Water Company serves the site. The applicant has submitted a letter of service from the Avion Water Company dated March 13, 2007 stating their capacity to serve the site.

Sewer: The applicant proposes to provide sewer main extensions to serve the needs of the development. The applicant has submitted information to the City Engineering and Public Works Department to assess sewer capacity/flow based upon the City's standard sewer modeling calculations for the site.

Schools: The applicant is proposing to site an elementary school on the subject property. The applicant has submitted a School Facility plan in support of this application (Exhibit 1).

Parks and Libraries: The subject property is currently owned by the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District. The Pine Nursery Community Park is in the initial stages of development which will provide not only a park facility locally but a community wide facility. Proposed recreational facilities would include recreational trails, soccer fields, tennis courts, basketball courts, multi use fields, plonic shelters play areas and restrooms. The subject property would be within the Bend Public Library Service area.

Fire and Police Protection: Fire and police protection is available for the subject property from the City of Bend. In comment received from the City Fire Department, approval of the development plans shall be obtained from the City Fire Marshall in conjunction with site plan application/approval.

Based upon the information in the record to date, there is adequate capacity within the necessary public facilities and services to serve the site. This finding is made based upon the applicant statement that the provision of public services can and must be addressed concurrently with the site plan.

4. Evidence of change in the neighborhood or community or a mistake or inconsistency in the comprehensive plan or land use district map regarding the property that is the subject of the application; and the provisions of Section 4.6.600; Transportation Planning Rule Compliance.

In response to this criterion, the applicant's burden of proof statement provides the following:

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: There has been a change in the neighborhood and community which justifies the requested UGB expansion. There has been substantial residential development throughout the City and in particular this attendance area. The elementary schools in this area are currently over capacity and a school in this location is desperately needed to alleviate overcrowding. The 2005 Sites and Facilities Study anticipates that, by the year 2010, there is an expected elementary school capacity shortfall of approximately 669 students." Pg. 18. Specifically in the attendance area that will be served by the development of this school "there will be approximately 285 more elementary students than elementary school capacity in 2010, growing to a capacity shortfall of 422 students by 2015. Page 19.

The Sites and Facilities Plan recommendation is to build and open half of a 600 student prototypical elementary school (for 300 students in two wings or houses) on the District designated Pine Nursery site by 2010 to provide sufficient capacity for expected enrollments in the Buckingham and Lava Ridge attendance areas. The Committee recommends building the second half of the school for a total of 600 students by 2015 to meet anticipated needs. Page 19.

The Applicant is following the Sites and Facilities Plan and proposes constructing one half of the six hundred student prototypical school by 2008 on the Pine Nursery Site.

FINDING: The applicant has demonstrated that there has been not only a change in circumstances with respect to the growing demand for school facilities within the City of Bend. Section 4.6.600 is further addressed below.

Section 4.4.600 Transportation Planning Rule Compliance.

When a development application includes a proposed comprehensive plan amendment or land use district change, or both, the proposal shall be reviewed to determine whether it significantly affects a transportation facility, in accordance with Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 660-012-0060.

In response to this section, the applicant's burden of proof statement provides the following:

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The land being added to the UGB is currently zoned as urbanizable land - UAR-10 (Urban Area Reserve). The zoning for the property allows schools as a conditional use (Deschutes County Code 19.12.030(I) and Bend Code 2.1.200). Therefore, the transportation planning rule 660-12-0060 is not applicable. When the City completes its UGB expansion it will likely rezone the school property to Public Facilities.

FINDING: The applicant states that because the land being added is urbanizable land currently zoned UAR-10, OAR 660-12-0060 is not applicable. The hearings officer concurs in part. The relevant sections of Chapter 4.7, Transportation Analysis, of the Bend Development Code are required to be addressed. However, I find that they have been adequately addressed for purposes of this application. In making this finding the hearings officer relies on the information submitted by the applicant on June 21 and 27, and at the time of the hearing of this matter.

4.7.100 Purpose and Authority.

Purpose. This Chapter is based on sound planning and engineering principles. The City will review land use actions and major roadway projects for potential impacts and to ensure that new development contributes to the orderly development of the Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan network of roads, bikeways, and pedestrian facilities by:

Establishing policies and procedures for evaluation of land use actions and major roadway projects to protect existing and future operations of roadways;

Establishing service level standards (operations standards) for transportation facilities identified in the Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan;

Ensuring consistency with the functions, capacities, and service level standards of facilities identified in local and regional transportation system plans and the City of Bend Development Code; Extending transportation facilities to and through development property; Ensuring conditions are applied to mitigate the full extent of impacts and protect transportation facilities so that all land use proposals contribute their fair share towards the transportation system plan.

This Chapter also identifies elements that will need to constitute the scope of work for traffic studies used to evaluate major roadway projects.

B. City's Authority. The City Engineer may, at his/her discretion, modify or waive the required content of this chapter when in his/her judgment, special circumstances dictate such change. The City Engineer may at his/her discretion expand the requirements and/or study area if needed to address any issue that comes to light after the initial approval of a scope of work. Certain information may come to light over the course of the analysis that causes the City to require additional analysis to address traffic operations or safety issues that had not been anticipated.

- C. Applicability. Land use actions will be reviewed for impacts and potential mitigation through a Transportation impact Study.
  - 1. Land Use Actions. A Transportation Impact Study (TIS) shall be required for development projects when the land use involves one or more of the following actions:
    - a. A comprehensive plan map amendment; or

FINDING: The applicant has submitted a Traffic Impact Study prepared by J.U.B. Engineers dated June 8, 2007.

4.7.200 Transportation Impact Study

A. The Transportation Impact Study shall be prepared under the responsible charge of a professional engineer licensed in Oregon, and qualified to perform such studies.

The Transportation Impact Study needs to provide sufficient information to the City so that the City can assess the impact to the transportation system, evaluate proposed mitigation measures, and craft reasonable conditions for the proposed land use action. Engineers are reminded of their responsibilities under state law which specifies that they shall at all times recognize that their primary obligation is to protect the safety, health, property and welfare of the public in the performance of their professional duties. These standards provided herein in no way serve as a substitute for the application of sound professional engineering judgment expected to be used by practitioners in the preparation and documentation of transportation analyses.

- 1. Determination of Scope of Work. It shall be required that the person responsible for preparing the transportation impact analysis, first receive approval from the City Engineer for the scope of work for the report. The scope of work at a minimum shall identify the study area, and the study area intersections as indicated below. Requests for deviation from the provisions of this chapter shall be submitted in writing to the City Engineer.
- Scope of Work. The Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work shall include these elements:
  - a. Study Area. The Study area shall include:
    - The existing street infrastructure along the property frontage (i.e. right-of-way, sidewalks, bicycle lanes, medians, driveway aprons);

- ii. All driveway access points except single family residential dwellings;
- iii. All street connection points to abutting arterials or collectors;
- iv. Any intersection with an interchange, or ramp terminal, and any arterial-arterial intersection, arterial-collector intersection or collector-collector intersection, that is within one-mile driving distance of the site and has more than 15 peak hour trips added to any iane group. The driving distance shall be measured from each access point (driveway or street) of the development onto the transportation system.
- v. Other transportation facilities required to be studied by the Transportation Planning Rule OAR 660-012-0060.
- b. Analysis Period. At a minimum, an operations analysis shall be performed for the weekday p.m. peak hour at the study area intersections. Certain land use applications may also be required to study the a.m. peak hour, the peak hour of the proposed project, the peak hour of a nearby generator (e.g. School arrival/dismissal times), or a weekend peak hour. The peak traffic times to be studied in the Transportation impact Study shall be determined at the discretion of the City Engineer.

For each of the Study Years, analyses with and without the proposed project shall be provided.

- c. Study Years. The intersection operations analysis and traffic forecasts shall include the following study years:
  - i. Existing conditions (current year); and
  - ii. Year of completion of each phase; and
  - ili. Five years beyond final development phase; and
  - iv. for those projects that involve a zone change, Bend Urban Area General Plan map amendment, or a major roadway infrastructure project an additional study year shall be provided. This study year shall reflect the Transportation Planning Rule OAR 660-012-0060 requirements.

Transportation Planning Rule analysis year shall be either the 15-Year projection of traffic or the traffic projections from the twenty-year period beginning with the date of adoption of the Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan, whichever

provides the longest projection. These traffic projections, whether for the 15-Year projection or the Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan planning year, shall be provided by the City Engineer. The Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan traffic model will be used as a basis for these projections.

d. Exceptions. For a development proposal that results in a net reduction in traffic, the five year estimate analysis period may be deleted at the discretion of the City Engineer.

4.7.300 Analysis Methodology
The analysis methodology described herein shall apply to all required transportation impact analysis including Transportation impact Studies and Trip Generation Letters.

#### A. Forecasts and Operations

- 1. For each of the analysis years defined in Section 4.7.200 above, (except for existing conditions), the traffic forecast and operations analysis shall be prepared for conditions with and without the proposed project. The operations analysis shall be performed in conformance with the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) or other reference approved by the City Engineer.
- 2. Traffic counts for the existing conditions analysis shall be no more than one year old dated from the date of land use application. If pertinent transportation system conditions have changed since the count, then new field counts shall be performed.
- 3. Field counts shall include a minimum of a 2-hour turning movement count for each peak period studied (between 4:00 and 6:00 for p.m. peak hour counts). Additional hours of turning movement counts will be needed to determine compliance with traffic signal warrants, all-way stop warrants or to determine the extent of over-capacity conditions. Counts for other hours will be determined as needed. Counts may need to be seasonally adjusted as required by the City Engineer to reflect peak conditions (i.e. summer peak, ski season/winter peak, or school traffic peak) for the transportation system element being analyzed.
- 4. The traffic forecasts for the year of completion of each phase shall be calculated to be field counts plus 100 percent of the traffic from the other approved, but not yet constructed developments, plus an annual growth factor which would factor the existing counts up to the analysis year. The City Engineer or designee will supply traffic assignments for other approved, but not yet constructed

development; however, it is the study engineer's responsibility to determine build out status of these developments.

The annual growth factor shall be determined by the City Engineer after considering first ODOT's Transportation Planning Analysis Unit (TPAU) traffic growth rates for the roadway in question, then the City of Bend's traffic growth rates. If no data are available, the annual traffic growth factor shall be 3 percent per year.

- 5. Trip Distribution. Trip assignments should replicate overall origin/destination patterns in the City. Existing field count turning movement patterns should be used as a guide for trip assignments as appropriate. The assignment should be adjusted to reflect future committed transportation facilities, changes in zoning or development patterns.
- 6. Intersection Control Type and Roadway Geometry. The operations analysis shall use existing transportation system conditions (control type and roadway geometry). Committed transportation facilities may also be considered in the analyses. Committed transportation facilities means those proposed transportation facilities and infrastructure which are consistent with the acknowledged comprehensive plan and have approved funding for construction in a public facilities plan or the Six-Year Highway or Transportation improvement Program.
- 7. Trip Generation. Project generated traffic shall be forecast using average trip generation rates from the latest edition of the publication Trip Generation (ITE) or shall be created from local data (the procedure for collecting such data shall comply with the guidelines in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook). Adjustments such as those applicable for pass-by trips that are well supported by multiple studies in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook may be applied.

For Bend Urban Area General Plan map amendments or zone change applications, the trip generation shall represent the worst case trip generation of the existing and proposed zoning. This accommodates the highest trip generator allowed outright in the zone. However, if General Plan map amendments or zone changes are accompanied by a concurrent site plan application, the trip generation for the site plan may be utilized instead.

C. Transportation Planning Rule Compliance. This section implements the City's Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan with regard to level of service and operation standards. The Transportation impact Study provided for a zone change and/or Bend Urban Area General Plan map amendment shall comply with and provide

information on the requirements of the Oregon Administrative Rule section 660-012-060 (TPR) and demonstrate that the proposed land uses are consistent with the identified function, capacity, and performance standards (level of service, volume to capacity ratio and widths) of the facility as defined in the adopted Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan and the City of Bend Development Code. The operations standards in the City of Bend Development Code implement the policies of the Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan.

FINDING: These requirements of OAR 660-012-060 do not apply to an Urban Growth Boundary Amendment when land added to the UGB is zoned as urbanizable land, either by retaining the zoning that was assigned prior to inclusion, or by assigning interim zoning that does not allow development that would generate more vehicle trips than allowed by that zoning existing prior to inclusion in the boundary. Therefore, this criterion is met. The applicant has provided sufficient information concerning trip generation.

#### 4.7.400 Approval Criteria

Prior to land use approval, the City must review the applicant's transportation analysis to determine whether or not the proposal will create excessive demand on the public facilities and services required to serve the proposed development. The City will assess the impacts of new development on the transportation system. The key factors used to assess the impacts to the transportation system include, but are not necessarily limited to:

number of trips by all modes associated with the proposal, turning movement demand by vehicles of various types, operations analyses results, location of the project, safety issues, location of the driveways (evaluated for conflict points and location criteria established in Chapter 3.1; Access, Circulation and Lot Design).

The City Engineer will determine if the development or study area has adequate transportation facilities to support the proposed development based on compliance with the Operations Standards. The City shall also evaluate the crash histories and crash rates provided to identify any queuing issues. Crash rates greater than 1.0 per million entering vehicles and inadequate queue storage may need to be mitigated. Mitigation shall ensure that the transportation facilities are providing adequate capacity and safety concurrent with the development of the property.

Zone changes and Bend Urban Area General Plan map amendments cannot be granted if the Transportation Impact Study shows that the proposed

development would overburden the City's existing or planned transportation facilities now or in the future. The Transportation Impact Study must demonstrate that compliance with the TPR and the operations standards of the City of Bend Development Code can be achieved within the adopted Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan.

Similarly, major roadway infrastructure projects should not be approved if the Transportation Impact Study shows that the proposed infrastructure projects would overburden the City's existing or planned transportation facilities now or in the future. The Transportation Impact Study must demonstrate that compliance with the TPR and the operations standards of the City of Bend Development Code can be achieved within the adopted Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan.

A. Transportation System Assessment. This assessment of the transportation system will be used as the basis for requiring mitigation and imposing conditions of approval. Review measures for the transportation system include an evaluation of the existing and proposed transportation system. The applicant shall assess the presence and extent of:

right-of-way dedications,
public utility and access easements,
ADA facilities,
sidewalks, trails, and pedestrian connections,
bike lanes,
turn lanes,
travel lanes,
intersection operations and queuing,
crash rates and crash type/patterns in the vicinity of the proposal,
and
access management and circulation.

At a minimum, development proposals shall be required to provide adequate setbacks, create public access and utility easements, and dedicate right of way for roadways and trails to allow construction of the transportation system and facilities in accordance with the Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan and the City of Bend Development Code. Other exactions and mitigations will be required on a rough proportionality basis

**FINDING:** The applicant has stated that the submitted TIA for the Pine Nursery site has addressed adequately the requirements of Chapter 4.7. The TIA reflects that with some mitigation identified therein, the transportation system would function adequately. In comment received from the City Traffic Engineer, improvements will have to be made to bring the transportation network in

conformance with City standards. These improvements will be further addressed and determined at the development stage.

#### 4.7.500 Mitigation Requirements/Conditions of Approval

The transportation impact analyses for each of the study time frames need to show compliance with the operations criteria listed above, or the applicant will be required to mitigate to bring the operations into compliance. Witigation shall be in compliance with City of Bend Standards and Specifications, the Bend Urban Area Transportation System Plan and the requirements of the Bend Development Code.

FINDING: As stated above in Section 4.6.300 above, the City Transportation Engineer has submitted comment on the transportation facility improvements. These improvements will be addressed upon site plan and conditional use permit approval. The hearings officer agrees with staff in that read together, Sections 4.6.600 and 4.7.100(C)(1)(a) require a level of service analysis to address Chapter 4.7. The applicant has stated that the submitted TIA, dated June 8, 2007 adequately addresses Chapter 4.7. Staff has phrased the issue before the hearings officer as being whether the full complement of approval criteria in Chapter 4.7 needs to be addressed with adequate specificity to conclude that the proposal meets Goal 14 and Goal 12 requirements as set forth in OAR 660-024 below, or can be agreed upon in order to meet these requirements. I find that that the site can meet these requirements and that the specific requirements of necessary improvements to bring urban levels of service to the site will be provided through the site plan approval process.

#### Compliance with Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 14, "Urbanization"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Statewide Planning Goal 14 provides for "orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban uses". The Goal requires that cities and countles work cooperatively to establish urban growth boundaries and to make any necessary changes to such boundaries. Changes require analysis of need, the "Need Factors" and an analysis of location, the "Locational Factors."

#### Need Factor Land Need

(1) Demonstrated need to accommodate long range urban population, consistent with a 20-year population forecast coordinated with affected local governments; and

(2) Demonstrated need for housing, employment opportunities, livability or uses such as public facilities, streets and roads, <u>schools</u>, parks or open space, or any combination of the need categories in this subsection (2). (emphasis added)

in determining need, local government may specify characteristics, such as parcel size, topography or proximity, necessary for land to be suitable for an identified need. Prior to expanding an urban growth boundary, local governments shall demonstrate that needs cannot reasonably be accommodated on land already inside the urban growth boundary.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The City of Bend is currently undergoing an extensive process to identify the land needs to complete its UGB expansion as required by Goal 14 and Oregon State Statutes. This process began in 2005 and will continue through at least the end of 2007. The 20 year population forecast shows a need for additional lands for housing as well as schools. The Applicant's 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan also identified the need for new schools.

The Applicant analyzed anticipated enrollment using growth estimates in the Deschutes County Coordinated Population Forecast of 2005. The Table below from the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plans demonstrates the rapid growth expected in area schools by grade level.

Table | Sections of Paragraph for the Send-Le Fine School District by Brade Level (Coordinated Constr-Updat by PRC) Bend-LaPine District

	1890	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2026
K-2	2,203	2.5:3	2.672	3.173	2.587	3,609	4,419	5.035
3-5	2,209	2.677	3.017	3.367	3.706	4.053	4,624	5,186
6-8	3,223	2,409	3.113	3,398	4,102	4,332	4,900	5.591
9-12	2,652	3,297	4,167	4,511	5.364	3,222	6.717	7.439
Other	20	5	24	2.5	30	33	26	43
Total	9,310	11.301	13,939	:4,775	15 506	18,449	26 427	23.286

The Applicant next analyzed where the expected growth might occur by reviewing zoning, available vacant lands, and lands being considered for the City of Bend UGB expansion. The highest growth areas were determined to be the northeast and northwest quadrants of the Applicant's attendance area. These areas showed the greatest potential for future residential growth and both areas have since been built out with residences. The anticipated shortages of classroom space by attendance area are shown below in Table 4 from the Sites and Facilities Study.

Table 4: Elementary School Attendance Area Forecasts by Year (By-residing Forecasts)

		De\$	ш		30	16		l i		20	: I g	
	Catality	Fax Fraginari		Catathy	420 124. Vizzo es	Enra tirent	20mms		Pastro Tatato	epitek. Martet	451:049	4011
			н		higging		Возпара	l::		Programment.		111137
Bant Creek	600	275	H	600	3.7	553	<b>5</b> .	1::	900	24	111	73
- gwell	610	674		, , <del>, , , ,</del> , ,	34	444	:?¢		100	?4	550	-11
-(-1-)-(-)-(-1-1-)-(-1-1-1-1-1-1-1- Beakmanan	610	679		600	(+)+)+(+(+)+) 34	1-1-1-1-1-1-1 578	+1+1+1+1+1+1 +84	D:	500	અન્યુત્વન	चन्युव्य	414
	270	627		269	3-	176		1		<u> </u>	781	-147
.ava /5idge -2-2-2-1-1-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-	414777	4444		1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 1 -	1-1-1-1-1-1-1		-341 (*)*(*(*)*)		600 1101-1101	vara Mara	4141414	274
Ety Ineadon	600	\$7.3		400	34	477	-23		400	14	T#±	-120
Pire Midge	320	350		375	14	417		13	335	2.4	. ≟43	-124
riningalariningalariningalarini Eriwaria	310	987		330	111111111111	241	:-:-:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	::	(*1*1*1*1*1 302	erdeligere	11-1-1-1-1-1 20#	eteletet.
	373	419		877	14	154	44	H	274	1.5	713	
.df P#f -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	ના નો નો ના ના	ववर्वविका		રનાઈલેના	રવનને વન	erdifice	न्य संसीत्र स		ereferer:	वस्य विद्रा	43-45-5	-:-:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
이렇게 살림생물의	600	751		<b>@30</b>					600			
SAUND COLUMBRISHER	375	353		221				H	225			
Facinipade (Anta) Sean	150	157		<b>?</b> :					92 93			
Gingston , Assmall viage tell:	110	1~6		2.6					ĢC			
Westade Capacity												
Heigh Lakes plus 50% of capabat)												
of #ath magnitic			11	301					1 325			
						241	-251				1:325	
at Pine Beneriary (ve)	606	310		600	tatatatata	755	-14.5		900		252	256
	101012-101	datelejete	٠,٠			odeljaja d	चन्त्री <u>स</u>		hard (bard		चन्त्री देख	નન હોંલે
Title A.veil 10-1	450 414 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144			eraller.		455			137		17.1	-122
cia s f	5,500	8,750	111			7.171	-112					

| City |

The Buckingham and Lava Ridge attendance areas are in the northeast quadrant of Bend. The above table shows that there is an expected increase in altendance, resulting in a shortfall in capacity for approximately 285 students by 2010. By 2015 the shortfall will have grown to approximately 422 students. This area has developed even more rapidly than expected. In order to meet the need, the Applicant needs to open the first phase of a prototypical school by the fall of 2008. The first phase of a prototypical school consists of classroom wings with a capacity for 300 students and the associated offices and gymnasium associated with the school. When school capacity demands, the school is planned to allow for the addition of a second 300 student capacity wing.

#### Meeting the needs- site selection

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: To identify potential sites for schools the Applicant looked first at lands it owned or had agreements to acquire. The only existing sites were: the administrative center, currently used for the Applicant's offices; Troy field, a small park adjacent to the Administrative building; a small additional area at High Desert Middle School; and the 6.39 acre transportation yard. None of these sites are located near the need for a new elementary school in the northeastern portion of the city. Also, none of these sites are of adequate size for a prototypical school. The prototypical school is designed to be constructed

in two phases. Initially, the school will be constructed to provide 300 student capacity. Later, two additional classroom wings can be added to create a 600 student school. Approximately 15 acres is optimal for the prototypical school.

At the time of the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan, the Applicant already had an agreement to acquire this property. Although the property is outside the UGB it was expected that the City's UGB process would bring the site within the UGB before the school needed to be constructed. There were no alternative sites within the study area shown in Exhibit 4 that were of adequate size for the proposed school. Furthermore, the Applicant already had an agreement to acquire this piece of property at no cost. The property met the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan site criteria which are discussed in more detail below. Since the City's UGB expansion has taken longer than expected, Applicant now must apply to expand the UGB to include this site in order to construct the school for opening in fall of 2008.

## **Boundary Location Factor**

The location of the urban growth boundary and changes to the boundary shall be determined by evaluating alternative boundary locations consistent with ORS 197.298 and with consideration of the following factors:

## APPLICANT'S RESPONSE:

(1) Efficient accommodation of identified land needs;

The Applicant carefully considered the location of this school site. The recent development of this portion of the community through the build-out of Lava Ridge and other residential development abutting the UGB has greatly increased the enrollment of the elementary schools that currently serve these areas. The existing elementary schools in the area are inadequate to meet the need. The proposed new community elementary school accommodates the need where it is greatest due to increasing residential development. This also meets the Applicant's goal to provide neighborhood elementary schools that allow students and families to walk or bike to the school. The parcel is already zoned Urban Area Reserve meaning that the parcel has been identified as future urbanizable land and is of first priority under the classification system identified in ORS 197.298. The site abuts the existing UGB on the western boundary at Purcell. The Applicant's student attendance boundary extends beyond the UGB. The new school will serve students in the existing UGB and students outside the UGB. The 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan suggests approximately 150 students from outside the UGB will be served by the proposed school. The existing school boundaries show that portions of the student population will continue to attend this school from outside of the UGB. See attached school attendance boundary тар.

(2) Orderly and economic provision of public facilities and services;

Public facilities and services are available to the site. Sewer currently extends to NE Purcell. The Applicant will be able to efficiently connect to the existing sewer facilities in the following manner. The Applicant will construct a private sewage lift station on the school site. This will pump to an existing City of Bend three inch pressure sewer force main within NE Purcell. This forcemain extends southerly and connects to an existing gravity sewer main at the intersection of NE Empire and NE Purcell. The Applicant will also construct a dry line gravity sewer service to NE Yeoman for possible future gravity sewer connections.

Water currently extends to NE Purcell. Applicant will be able to efficiently connect to the existing water facilities in the following manner. The Applicant will connect to an existing Avion Water Company twelve inch water main in NE Purcell. There is adequate fire flow and fire protection service. A "will serve" letter from Avion Water Company is attached to this application as Exhibit 8.

The Applicant has conducted a traffic study and is modifying the traffic study at the request of the City. This revised study will be provided when it is complete. The preliminary analysis of the traffic study concludes that the proposed school will not have an adverse impact on any transportation facilities in the surrounding area. As part of the site development, Applicant will (with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District) develop Yeoman Road, Purcell and the intersection of Yeoman and Purcell to current City standards. In addition, the Applicant will extend the pedestrian circulation system along its frontages and will connect the on site pedestrian circulation system to the abutting sidewalk and to the proposed park trail system. The site is adequately served with roads, sidewalks and bicycle paths. Purcell has recently been improved on the west side of the street by Lava Ridges, LLC. The site is large enough to accommodate all storm water drainage on site as required by the City of Bend.

The City of Bend only recently developed a public transportation system. Although the proposed school is not on an existing public transportation line it is likely that services will be provided to the school in the future due to its proximity to the community park.

(3) Comparative environmental, energy, economic and social consequences; and

The long-term environmental, economic, social and energy consequences resulting from the development of elementary schools on the proposed sites will be minimal and positive. The urgent need for a new elementary school in the northeast area of the City makes the urban use of this land necessary.

Environmental. The property was used to grow trees for commercial use by the forest service. However, the forest service declared the property surplus and has

conveyed it to meet the park and school needs of the community. The site has been identified by the City as land that should be available for urban development when necessary.

Energy. The Pine Nursery School Site is not known to contain energy resources such as known deposits of oil and natural gas, or geothermal resources. The availability of other potential energy sources, such as wind and solar, are equal on any other property in the surrounding area. The proposed expansion will result in energy conservation by reducing the overall number of vehicle trips. Since the site is in a location where students will be able to walk and bike to school, this will reduce the number of parent drop-off trips. As the residential areas around the site continue to develop, this reduction in trip generation will increase.

Economic. The Applicant obtained the site at no cost. The property is a portion the former Pine Nursery. The forest service declared the property surplus. The legislation stated that approximately 15 acres of property be conveyed to the Applicant at no cost. Accordingly, the economic consequences of using the property for the school site are positive. Furthermore, locating schools near where students live also encourages economic development because parents are interested in living in communities with quality public schools in walking distance from their homes.

Social. The community will benefit from the reduced overcrowding at area schools. Overcrowding generally reduces the quality of education and increases community stress. This new school will provide the necessary capacity for the growing community. The properties on the edges of the existing UGB are expanding quickly and need a school in this area. The rapid expansion prohibits the development of a school within the UGB boundaries because there is no land available. The local community voted to support the development of these new sites. Requiring the Applicant to wait until the City of Bend has completed its UGB expansion process would cause a delay in the construction of a needed school. The community as a whole will benefit from alleviating existing overcrowding.

(4) Compatibility of the proposed urban uses with nearby agricultural and forest activities occurring on farm and forest land outside the UGB.

The Pine Nursery School site is not located near agricultural or forest activities. The Pine Nursery site is surrounded on two sides by the community park, on the western side by new residential density development and along the northern side by 2.5 acre residential lots.

FINDING: The hearings officer concurs with the applicant's response and finds that the applicant has demonstrated that the need and boundary factors have been met.

OAR 660, Division 24 Urban Growth Boundaries 660-024-0000

### Purpose and Applicability

(1) The rules in this division clarify procedures and requirements of Goal 14 regarding local government adoption or amendment of an urban growth boundary (UGB).

FINDING: These rules became effective April 5, 2007.

660-024-0020

### Adoption or Amendment of a UGB

- (1) All statewide goals and related administrative rules are applicable when establishing or amending a UGB, except as follows:
  - (a) The exceptions process in Goal 2 and OAR 660, division 4, is not applicable unless a local government chooses to take an exception to a particular goal requirement, for example, as provided in OAR 660-004-0010(1);

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Applicant is not seeking any goal exception.

(b) Goals 3 and 4 are not applicable;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Goals 3 and 4 are not applicable.

(c) Goal 5 and related rules under OAR 660, division 23, apply only in areas added to the UGB, except as required under OAR 660-023-0070 and 660-023-0250;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: There are no Goal 5 resources impacted by the proposed school locations. See further analysis of Goal 5 below.

(d) The transportation planning rule requirements under OAR 660-012-0060 need not be applied to a UGB amendment if the land added to the UGB is zoned as urbanizable land, either by retaining the zoning that was assigned prior to inclusion in the boundary or by assigning interim zoning that does not allow development that would generate more vehicle trips than development allowed by the zoning assigned prior to inclusion in the boundary;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The land being added to the UGB is currently zoned as urbanizable land - UAR-10 (Urban Area Reserve). The zoning for the property allows schools as a conditional use (Deschutes County Code 19.12.030(I) and Bend Code 2.1.200). Therefore the transportation planning rule 660-12-0060 is not applicable. When the City-completes its UGB expansion it will likely rezone the school property to Public Facilities.

(e) Goal 15 is not applicable to land added to the UGB unless the land is within the Willamette River Greenway Boundary;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: None of the property to be added to the UGB is within the Willamette River Greenway Boundary and therefore Goal 15 is not applicable to this Application.

(f) Goals 16 to 18 are not applicable to land added to the UGB unless the land is within a coastal shorelands boundary;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: None of the property to be added is within a coastal shorelands boundary and therefore Goals 16 and 18 are not applicable to this Application.

(g) Goal 19 is not applicable to a UGB amendment.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Goal 19 is not addressed in this Application.

(2) The UGB and amendments to the UGB must be shown on the city and county plan and zone maps at a scale sufficient to determine which particular lots or parcels are included in the UGB. Where a UGB does not follow lot or parcel lines, the map must provide sufficient information to determine the precise UGB location.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The attached UGB expansion map Exhibit 2 shows the property to be added. The map provides sufficient information to determine the precise UGB location. The Applicant will apply for a partition of the existing legal lot to create the subject parcel shown on the map. A legal description will be provided when the Applicant submits the partition application.

660-024-0030

Population Forecasts

(1) Counties must adopt and maintain a coordinated 20-year population forecast for the county and for each urban area within the county consistent with statutory requirements for such forecasts under ORS 195.025 and 195.036. Cities must adopt a 20-year population forecast for

the urban area consistent with the coordinated county forecast, except that a metropolitan service district must adopt and maintain a 20-year population forecast for the area within its jurisdiction. In adopting the coordinated forecast, local governments must follow applicable procedures and requirements in ORS 197.610 to 197.650 and must provide notice to all other local governments in the county. The adopted forecast must be included in the comprehensive plan or in a document referenced by the plan.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The City of Bend has adopted a 20 year population forecast and is in the process of considering expansion of the UGB. However, the Applicant's need for new schools necessitates a UGB expansion for the school site in advance of the City process.

#### 660-024-0040

#### Land Need

(3) A local government may review and amend the UGB in consideration of one category of land need (for example, housing need) without a simultaneous review and amendment in consideration of other categories of land need (for example, employment need).

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The Applicant seeks to amend the UGB in consideration of the need for additional public school facilities. Other categories of land need are being evaluated in the City of Bend's larger evaluation of its UGB and its UGB expansion plan. However, the need for the specific public facilities is immediate and cannot wait for the complete analysis of other land needs. The specific need for new elementary schools was discussed above in detail and is also thoroughly discussed in the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan. As predicted in the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan, elementary schools in the northeast quadrant of the City are reaching capacity and some are overcrowded. The public recognized the immediate need for two new elementary schools in the Bend area when it passed a bond measure. At the time there was an expectation that the City would complete its Urban Growth Boundary expansion in time to allow for these elementary schools to be met. However, delays in the UGB expansion process created the current situation which requires that the Applicant request a UGB expansion with the limited consideration of public facilities land for elementary schools.

(4) The determination of 20-year residential land needs for an urban area must be consistent with the adopted 20-year coordinated population forecast for the urban area, and with the requirements for determining housing needs in Goal 10, OAR 660, division 7 or 8, and applicable provisions of ORS 197.295 to 197.314 and 197.475 to 197.490.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Not applicable.

(6) The determination of 20-year land needs for transportation and public facilities for an urban area must comply with applicable requirements of Goals 11 and 12, rules in OAR 660, divisions 11 and 12, and public facilities requirements in ORS 197.712 and 197.768. The determination of school facility needs must also comply with ORS 195.110 and 197.296 for local governments specified in those statutes.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: Relevant portions of Goal 11 and 12 will be addressed in more detail below. ORS 197.712 and 197.768 require an analysis of general public facilities such as sewer and water to be included in the Comprehensive Plans of cities and counties. Both the City of Bend and the Deschutes County Plans include such analysis.

ORS 195.110 (1) (a) A county or city containing a high growth school district shall include as an element of its comprehensive plan a school facility plan prepared by the high growth district in cooperation with the city or county.

(b) A county or city containing a high growth area shall initiate planning activities with a school district to accomplish planning as required under ORS 195.020.

(c) The provisions of paragraph (a) of this subsection do not apply to a city that contains less than 10 percent of the total population of a high growth school district.

(2) As used in this section, "high growth school district" means any school district that has an enrollment of over 5,000 students and had an increase in student enrollment of six percent or more during the three most recent school years, based on certified enrollment numbers submitted to the Department of Education during the first quarter of each new school year.

(3) The school facility plan shall identify school facility needs based on population growth projections and land use designations contained in the city or county comprehensive plan. The plan shall be updated during periodic review and may be updated more frequently by mutual agreement between the school district and the county or city.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The Applicant has not been identified as a "high growth school district". However, the Applicant has adopted the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan which, in essence, complies with the criteria outlined in ORS 195.110 (3).

FINDING: The hearings officer concurs with the findings adopted in the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan stated above, applicant's responses to the required criteria. The applicant has provided additional information in response to section (2) above. The information indicates the past four years of first quarter enrollment reports from the Oregon Department of Education show the School District grew from 13,940 in 2003-04 to 15,342 in 2006-07, a total growth of

1,402 students or 10.1% for the three year period. This does not meet the 6% annual growth threshold. In addition, in no single year did the growth reach the 6% benchmark. This criterion is met.

#### 660-024-0050

## Land Inventory and Response to Deficiency

(1) When evaluating or amending a UGB, a local government must inventory land inside the UGB to determine whether there is adequate development capacity to accommodate 20-year needs determined in OAR 660-024-0040. For residential land, the buildable land inventory must include vacant and redevelopable land, and be conducted in accordance with OAR 660-007-0045 or 660-008-0010, whichever is applicable, and ORS 197.296 for local governments subject to that statute. For employment land, the inventory must include suitable vacant and developed land designated for industrial or other employment use, and must be conducted in accordance with OAR 660-009-0015(3).

FIDNING: The only need being evaluated by this specific UGB expansion application is the need for public facilities land to site a new elementary school. The Buildable Lands Study was completed in 2005. This study indicates that there is not sufficient land to meet the needs of the community's expected growth. The Buildable Lands Study is attached to the applicant's Burden of Proof as *Exhibit 5*. The residential lands study underway will also address the expected needs for future school sites. The Economic Opportunities Analysis for the City that has been completed states that "Estimates [for k-12 education needs] is included in the 2007 RLS." A draft of the City of Bend's residential lands study report states that there is an expected need of approximately 242 acres of land needed for new school sites between 2005 and 2030. The relevant pages of that draft report are included in *Exhibit 5*.

The need for the new elementary school is evident from the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan where the expected deficiency in capacity was shown in Table 4 above. The 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan addresses potential sites for new elementary schools and the land need for such schools. According the Sites and Facilities Plan, each new elementary school needs approximately 15 acres of space to allow adequate classroom space, parking, and recreational areas for six hundred students. The applicant states that there are no properties within the existing UGB in the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan study area that are of adequate size or economically viable for the applicant to acquire. Much of the land within the UGB in the area is already developed with homes and businesses. The applicant finds the proposed site is the most suitable location, taking into account site factors and availability. There hearing officer generally agrees with the applicant and primarily based on the Sites and Facilities Plan finds the criteria to be satisfied.

(5) When land is added to the UGB, the local government must assign appropriate urban plan designations to the added land, consistent with the need determination. The local government must also apply appropriate zoning to the added land consistent with the plan designation, or may maintain the land as urbanizable land either by retaining the zoning that was assigned prior to inclusion in the boundary or by applying other interim zoning that maintains the land's potential for planned urban development until the land is rezoned for the planned urban uses. The requirements of ORS 197.296 regarding planning and zoning also apply when local governments specified in that statute add land to the UGB.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: The current zoning of the property as UAR-10 may be maintained because the land is considered urbanizable land and allows the development of public schools as a conditional use. The City of Bend is currently performing the analysis required by ORS 197.296 in order to complete a full UGB expansion. The full analysis of residential housing patterns is not relevant to the analysis of whether there is sufficient room for new elementary schools within the existing UGB. The recent boom of housing development near the outskirts of the City's existing UGB means that there is no land with sufficient acreage to place elementary schools near the students that will attend them without expanding the UGB.

FINDING: The submitted 2005 Facilities and Site Plan Study adequately address these criteria.

660-024-0060

**Boundary Location Alternatives Analysis** 

- (1) When considering a UGB amendment, a local government must determine which land to add by evaluating alternative boundary locations. This determination must be consistent with the priority of land specified in ORS 197.298 and the boundary location factors of Goal 14, as follows:
  - (a) Beginning with the highest priority of land available, a local government must determine which land in that priority is suitable to accommodate the need deficiency determined under 660-024-0050.

FINDING: The property is zoned as urbanizable land, UAR-10, and is therefore the highest priority land available for the City of Bend to consider when expanding the UGB.

(b) If the amount of suitable land in the first priority category exceeds the amount necessary to satisfy the need deficiency, a local

government must apply the location factors of Goal 14 to choose which land in that priority to include in the UGB.

FINDING: Because the applicant is only requesting a limited amount of property to be included in the UGB, there is no excess suitable land in the first priority category.

(2) Notwithstanding OAR 660-024-0050(4) and subsection (1) (c) of this rule, except during periodic review or other legislative review of the UGB, a local government may approve an application under ORS 197.610 to 197.625 for a UGB amendment proposing to add an amount of land less than necessary to satisfy the land need deficiency determined under OAR 660-024-0050(4), provided the amendment complies with all other applicable requirements.

FINDING: The proposed UGB expansion will not meet all the needs for public facilities lands for schools identified in the draft residential land study attached to the applicant's Burden of Proof Statement. The Applicant is proposing to add the minimum amount of land necessary to meet the immediate needs for new elementary schools. Adding less than the amount of land necessary to meet the needs identified in the draft residential lands study is appropriate because the City of Bend is in the process of a full UGB expansion analysis that will result in the appropriate amount of additional public facilities lands being added to the City of Bend. The applicant states that the sole purpose of this Application is to meet the immediate needs of the community to alleviate overcrowding in schools. The applicant states that it is an active participant in the City's overall UGB expansion and will work with the City and County to assure that adequate lands for schools are made available for future school development as part of the City's UGB expansion. Based on these findings, I find the criterion is met.

(3) The boundary location factors of Goal 14 are not independent criteria. When the factors are applied to compare alternative boundary locations and to determine the UGB location, a local government must show that all the factors were considered and balanced.

FINDING: The hearings officer finds that overall the applicant evaluated the proposed school site, alternatives, and considered and balanced the applicable factors. Goal 14 Ahs been addressed. The criterion is met.

(4) In determining alternative land for evaluation under ORS 197.298, "land adjacent to the UGB" is not limited to those lots or parcels that abut the UGB, but also includes land in the vicinity of the UGB that has a reasonable potential to satisfy the identified need deficiency.

FINDING: The proposed site is abutting the UGB. Other properties in the vicinity of the subject property may also satisfy the identified need for schools.

However, the proposed site is preferable to the applicant to other properties for several reasons. First, it is closer to existing public facilities, such as sewer, water and transportation. Second, it is adjacent to the Bend Metro Park & Recreation District regional park. The applicant notes that it works with the Park District in co-locating parks and schools to provide efficiencies and compatible uses. Finally, a major factor was the fact that the applicant will acquire this property at no cost through the federal legislation that acknowledged the growing need for school facilities within this attendance area. The criterion is met.

(5) If a local government has specified characteristics such as parcel size, topography, or proximity that are necessary for land to be sultable for an identified need, the local government may limit its consideration to land that has the specified characteristics when it conducts the boundary location alternatives analysis and applies ORS 197.298.

**FINDING:** The Applicant has Identified specific characteristics which are necessary for sites to be selected for elementary schools in the 2005 School Sites and Facilities Plan. Below is applicant's analysis of how the site fits with those characteristics.

Few busy roads surround the school

The Pine Nursery Elementary School site is bounded to the west by Purcell and to the north by Yeoman Road. Neither road is busy and neither road is an arterial. As the area develops, streets will likely become busier. Accordingly, this site was the preferred alternative.

Few physical barriers such as canals, rallroads, or arterial streets

The only canal is located south of the school site on the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District site. The railroad is not near this site and it is not near an arterial.

Located in residential zones

The property is located in an urban area reserve zone and is surrounded on two sides by residential zones. The property to the west is zoned RS and the property to the north has residential 2 ½ acre lots.

Adjacent to park or future park where possible

The property is located adjacent to the proposed Pine Nursery Community Park being developed by the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District.

High student densities

The rapid development of surrounding properties with residential dwellings will create high student densities near the school. Students will attend the school from areas both within and outside of the existing and expanded UGB.

Good walking access

Recent developments to the west required sidewalks and bike paths to be placed along Purcell. The proposed park that will surround the school will also provide walking trails to allow access to the school site.

Relatively flat topography

The property is of flat topography and will require little grading.

Appropriate size approximately 15 acres

The property is approximately 15 acres in size which will allow for the development of a six hundred student prototypical 600 student elementary school.

Low cost for water, sewer, and sidewalk extensions

Many of the city improvements such as water and sewer have been extended to the site by the developers of the residential properties to the west. The school site will access the water and sewer lines across from Purcell.

At least two vehicular access points

The location of the school property on the corner of Purcell and Yeoman allows for two vehicular access points. The site plan attached as *Exhibit 7* shows two access points.

Lower site acquisition cost

The Applicant acquired the site at no land cost.

Partnership potential with Bend Metro Park and Recreation District

As noted above the Applicant partnered with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District in order to acquire the parcel. Additionally, a community park will be located adjacent to the school property to the east and south of the proposed elementary school.

Zoning allows schools

The UAR -10 zone allows public schools as a conditional use. Deschutes County Code 19.12.030(I) and Bend Code 2.1.200.

Shape of site promotes efficient use of site

Even though the shape of the site is irregular, the site accommodates a prototypical 600 student elementary school. This is demonstrated on the attached site plan.

**FINDING:** The hearings officer concurs with and adopts the above findings. The criterion is met.

(6) The adopted findings for UGB adoption or amendment must describe or map all of the alternative areas evaluated in the boundary location alternatives analysis. If the analysis involves more than one parcel or area within a particular priority category in ORS 197.298 for which circumstances are the same, these parcels or areas may be considered and evaluated as a single group.

FINDING: The record reflects that the applicant considered alternative sites in the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan. At the time of the 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan the applicant worked with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District to identify an appropriately sized piece of land on the Bend Metro Park and Recreation District Pine Nursery site. That site was in the vicinity of a demonstrated need for a new elementary school and was the appropriate size. As discussed above the site meets all of the applicant's criteria is also available at no cost to the applicant. Given the size, location and price the site was chosen. The applicant states No alternative sites of adequate size were identified near the area of need in the northeastern quadrant. All of the developable lands had already been purchased by developers who had plans for residential developments and this site was the only suitable site available.

The applicant has made the case made in the submitted Bend La Pine Schools 2005 Sites and Facilities Plan. The criterion is met.

(7) For purposes of Goal 14 Boundary Location Factor 2, "public facilities and services" means water, sanitary sewer, storm water management, and transportation facilities.

**FINDING:** Water, sewer, storm water management and transportation facilities were addressed above when evaluating Goal 14 Boundary Location Factor 2 and will be addressed further at the time of the development application and issuing of building permits.

- (8) The Goal 14 boundary location determination requires evaluation and comparison of the relative costs, advantages and disadvantages of alternative UGB expansion areas with respect to the provision of public facilities and services needed to urbanize alternative boundary locations. This evaluation and comparison must be conducted in coordination with service providers, including the Oregon Department of Transportation with regard to impacts on the state transportation system. "Coordination" includes timely notice to service providers and the consideration of evaluation methodologies recommended by service providers. The evaluation and comparison must include:
- (a) The impacts to existing water, sanitary sewer, storm water and transportation facilities that serve nearby areas already inside the UGB;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: As discussed above, water will be provided by Avion and Avion has provided a letter of service that states that a fire flow of 2,250 g.p.m at 20 p.s.i. can be provided to the site. The site is located across from existing sewer facilities. The Applicant's engineer has been working with the City of Bend to hook into the existing sewer system. The City of Bend recently conducted a sewer master plan update and has Identified several areas in the City's sewer master plan which need to be upgraded. However, the effect of an elementary school will not overburden the sewer system as all use will be during off peak times. Applicant will be constructing a sewer lift station on the school site and will pump to an existing City of Bend three inch pressure sewer main within NE Purcell. This force main extends southerly and connects to an existing gravity sewer main at the intersection of Empire and Purcell. In addition. the Applicant will be constructing a dry gravity sewer line to Yeoman which will allow for a future gravity sewer connection in conformance with the City's recent sewer master plan. The Applicant has obtained a preliminary traffic study. The preliminary analysis indicates that existing roads will have sufficient capacity to accommodate the proposed school site. The Applicant's traffic engineer is revising the site layout to address comments from City staff. The Applicant will be working with the Bend Metro Park and Recreation to dedicate and upgrade the existing roads of Yeoman and Purcell and to extend the pedestrian circulation to and through the site.

**FINDING:** As stated previously, the applicant has submitted a TIA and is in the process of addressing further comments from the City Traffic Engineer. In addition, the applicant's engineer has submitted information to the Planning Division and City Engineering and Public Works Departments in order to model the sewer capacity for the site. This criterion is met for purpose of this application.

(b) The capacity of existing public facilities and services to serve areas already inside the UGB as well as areas proposed for addition to the UGB;

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: There is existing capacity to serve the areas inside the UGB, as well as, the proposed area for addition to the UGB. Because the Applicant is seeking just a limited amount of property to accommodate its school site, no other areas are proposed for addition to the UGB.

**FINDING:** Public facilities have been discussed previously above in Chapters 4.6 and 4.7 above. This criterion is met.

(c) The need for new transportation facilities, such as highways and other roadways, interchanges, arterials and collectors, additional travel lanes, other major improvements on existing roadways and, for urban areas of 25,000 or more, the provision of public transit service.

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE: No new major transportation facilities will be required. It is possible that as the City's public transit service expands, this area may be suitable for the addition of a route.

FINDING: According to the Urban Area Roadway System Plan, NE Purcell Blvd. and NE Yeoman Road are designated major collectors. Although, no new major transportation facilities will be required, the existing transportation network is built to rural road standards and will need to be improved to City standards and specifications. Specific obligations with respect to public facility improvements will be required at the time of the development application.

#### ORS 197,298

197.298 Priority of land to be included within urban growth boundary. (1) in addition to any requirements established by rule addressing urbanization, land may not be included within an urban growth boundary except under the following priorities:

(a) First priority is land that is designated urban reserve land under ORS 195.145, rule or metropolitan service district action plan.

FINDING: The subject property is designated as Urban Area Reserve in the Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. This area is classified as "first" priority land to be considered for bringing into the urban area.

# RECOMMENDATION OF HEARINGS OFFICER:

Based on the above findings, the hearings officer recommends that the approval of the proposed UGB map amendment for the Pine Nursery site subject to the following condition of approval:

1. The north property boundary of the UGB amendment shall include the north right of way along Yeoman Road that fronts the Plne Nursery School site.

day of September, 2007

Tim Elliott City of Bend Hearings Officer