Impacts of physical activity and sleep on physiology following a mTBI



INTRODUCTION

Recent studies suggest that a gradual increase of physical activity leads to shorter recovery time after mild Traumatic Brain Injury (mTBI).

PURPOSE: To determine the impacts of physical activity and sleep on excitatory (glutamate) and inhibitory (GABA) neurotransmitter concentration in the motor cortex and measures of excitability and inhibition of the corticospinal pathway following mTBI.

METHODS

Participants

- 5 healthy adults (2 females) ages 20.2 ± 1.1 years
- 5 concussed adults (2 females) ages 21.4 ± 2.8 years Protocol
- Activity monitor, GT3X wrist ban worn on non-dominant wrist for 1 week starting 72 hours after injury of concussed participants.
- TMS and MRS measures taken at Baseline and 2 months. Activity monitor
- Monitors evaluated for 5 days
- Activity and sleep scores detected using ActiLife software **Neurotransmitter Concentrations**
- 3 Tesla Magnet
- Used for proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-MRS)
- 3 minutes of tapping from first dorsal interosseous (FDI) to localize motor cortex (Fig. 1)
- Concentration of glutamate and GABA measured from motor cortex (Fig. 1) **Excitability + Inhibition**
- Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) applied to motor cortex
- Responses recorded FDI
- Amplitude of motor evoked potential (MEP) at rest = excitability (Fig. 2)
- Duration of cortical silent period (CSP) = inhibition (Fig. 2)



Excitatory (Glutamate Inhibitory (GARA)

Figure 1: Functional localizer scan for voxel placement on motor cortex and example of glutamate and GABA spectrum. Left: Localizer scan (voxel size: 20×20×20 mm). Right: The glutamate signal at 2.4 ppm and GABA signal at 3.0 ppm was quantified.

Garrett L Lindsey, Alia L Yasen, Anita D Christie

Neurophysiology Lab, University of Oregon, Eugene OR



Figure 3: Sleep time and Efficiency. Left: Total daily sleep time of 5 days (p 0.146). Right: Efficiency of sleep for 5 days (p = 0.56). Concussed group had greater Total Sleep Time and sleep Efficiency but not significant.





different between groups (p = 0.39).

Table 1: Relationships between activity and physiological measurements

	Total PA	Light PA	Moderate PA	Total Sleep	Sleep Efficiency
∆ Glutamate	R ² =0.08	R ² =0.10	R ² =0.02	R ² =0.10	R ² =0.0004
	p=0.47	p=0.73	p=0.20	p=0.95	p=0.29
ΔGABA	R ² =0.01	R ² =0.02	R ² =0.15	R ² =0.003	R ² =0.10
	p=0.25	p=0.36	p=0.25	p=0.5	p=0.45
Δ ΜΕΡ	R ² =0.02	R ² =0.003	R ² =0.18	R ² =0.02	R ² =0.01
	p=0.63	p=0.88	p=0.22	p=0.77	p=0.79
ΔCSP	R ² =0.20	R ² =0.10	R ² =0.30	R ² =0.25	R ² =0.05
	p=0.16	p=0.32	p=0.08	p=0.22	p=0.63

DISCUSSION and CONCLUSIONS

• We report no relationship between activity and sleep measures and TMS measures of cortical excitability or inhibition nor ¹H-MRS measures of glutamate and GABA.

•Further testing with additional subject is necessary.

Figure 4: Glutamate and GABA concentration in motor cortex. Left: Change in glutamate concentration across 2 months was similar between groups (p=0.73). Right: Change in GABA across 2 months was similar between groups (p=0.42).

Figure 5: MEP amplitude and CSP duration. Left: The change in amplitude of the motor evoked potential across 2 months was not significantly different between groups (p=0.87). Right: The change in the CSP duration across 2 months was not significantly