NOTICE OF ADOPTED AMENDMENT

November 16, 2006

TO: Subscribers to Notice of Adopted Plan or Land Use Regulation Amendments

FROM: Mara Ulloa, Plan Amendment Program Specialist

SUBJECT: City of Boardman Plan Amendment
DLCD File Number 002-06

The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received the attached notice of adoption. Copies of the adopted plan amendment are available for review at DLCD offices in Salem, the applicable field office, and at the local government office.

Appeal Procedures*

DLCD ACKNOWLEDGMENT or DEADLINE TO APPEAL: November 30, 2006

This amendment was submitted to DLCD for review prior to adoption with less than the required 45-day notice. Pursuant to ORS 197.830 (2)(b) only persons who participated in the local government proceedings leading to adoption of the amendment are eligible to appeal this decision to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of intent to appeal with the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) no later than 21 days from the date the decision was mailed to you by the local government. If you have questions, check with the local government to determine the appeal deadline. Copies of the notice of intent to appeal must be served upon the local government and others who received written notice of the final decision from the local government. The notice of intent to appeal must be served and filed in the form and manner prescribed by LUBA, (OAR Chapter 661, Division 10). Please call LUBA at 503-373-1265, if you have questions about appeal procedures.

*NOTE: THE APPEAL DEADLINE IS BASED UPON THE DATE THE DECISION WAS MAILED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT. A DECISION MAY HAVE BEEN MAILED TO YOU ON A DIFFERENT DATE THAN IT WAS MAILED TO DLCD. AS A RESULT YOUR APPEAL DEADLINE MAY BE EARLIER THAN THE DATE SPECIFIED ABOVE.

Cc: Gloria Gardiner, DLCD Urban Planning Specialist
    Darren Nichols, DLCD Regional Representative
    Barry Beyeler, City Of Boardman

<paa> ya/
FORM 2

DLCD NOTICE OF ADOPTION

This form must be mailed to DLCD within 5 working days after the final decision per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18
(See reverse side for submittal requirements)

Jurisdiction: City of Boardman Local File No.: 002-2006
(If no number, use none)

Date of Adoption: October 17, 2006 Date Mailed: Nov. 8, 2006
(Must be filled in) (Date mailed or sent to DLCD)

Date the Notice of Proposed Amendment was mailed to DLCD: June 5, 2006

___ Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment ___ Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment
___ Land Use Regulation Amendment ___ Zoning Map Amendment
___ New Land Use Regulation ___ Other: __________________________
(Please Specify Type of Action)

Summarize the adopted amendment. Do not use technical terms. Do not write “See Attached.”

This action was to bring Boardman Development Code Chapter 4.1 into compliance with public notification requirements consistent with ORS 197.963. To correct mapping mistakes on Boardman Zoning Map from previous land use actions in July of 2000.

Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the same, write “Same.” If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write “N/A.”

Same

Plan Map Changed from: __________________________ to __________________________

Zone Map Changed from: __________________________ to __________________________

Location: Entire City Acres Involved: 30

Specify Density: Previous: __________________________ New: __________________________

Applicable Statewide Planning Goals:

Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: X No: __________________________

DLCD File No.: 002-06 (15279)
Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Proposed Amendment **FORTY FIVE (45) days prior to the first evidentiary hearing**. Yes:  ☑  No:  ___

If no, do the Statewide Planning Goals apply. Yes:  ___  No:  ___

If no, did The Emergency Circumstances Require immediate adoption. Yes:  ___  No:  ___

Affected State or Federal Agencies, Local Governments or Special Districts:  _/4_

Local Contact:  Barry Beyler  Area Code + Phone Number:  541-481-9252

Address:  PO Box 229 - City Hall

City:  Baro man, Oregon  Zip Code+4:  97310

---

**ADOPTION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS**

This form **must be mailed** to DLCD **within 5 working days after the final decision** per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18.

1. **Send this Form and TWO (2) Copies of the Adopted Amendment to:**

   ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST
   DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
   635 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 150
   SALEM, OREGON 97301-2540

2. Submit **TWO (2) copies** the adopted material, if copies are bounded please submit **TWO (2) complete copies** of documents and maps.

3. **Please Note:** Adopted materials must be sent to DLCD not later than **FIVE (5) working days** following the date of the final decision on the amendment.

4. **Submittal of this Notice of Adoption must include the text of the amendment plus adopted findings and supplementary information.**

5. The deadline to appeal will not be extended if you submit this notice of adoption within five working days of the final decision. Appeals to LUBA may be filed within **TWENTY-ONE (21) days** of the date, the "Notice of Adoption" is sent to DLCD.

6. In addition to sending the "Notice of Adoption" to DLCD, you must notify persons who participated in the local hearing and requested notice of the final decision.

7. **Need More Copies?** You can copy this form on to 8-1/2x11 green paper only; or call the DLCD Office at (503) 373-0050; or Fax your request to:(503) 378-5518; or Email your request to Larry.French@state.or.us - ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST.

J:\pat\paaf\forms\form2-noticead.frm revised: 01/01/2000
CITY OF BOARDMAN
ORDINANCE NO. 1- 2007

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING POST ACKNOWLEDGEMENT PLAN AMENDMENT 002-2006
AND AMENDING THE BOARDMAN DEVELOPMENT CODE

WHEREAS, the City of Boardman staff has reviewed the Boardman Development Code
and pursuant to a staff report made recommendation to amend the Boardman Development
Code, and;

WHEREAS, a Notice of Proposed Amendment was mailed to the Oregon Department of
Land Conservation and Development on June 5, 2006, and;

WHEREAS, on Wednesday, August 16, 2006, the Boardman Planning Commission held a
public hearing on the proposed amendment to the Boardman Development Code and Boardman
Zoning Map and voted to recommend approval to the Boardman City Council of the proposed
amendments, and;

WHEREAS, notice for the public hearing before the City Council for consideration of the
recommendations of the Boardman Planning Commission was in a newspaper of general
circulation on August 30, 2006, and posted at 4 public places on August 30, 2006, and;

WHEREAS, on September 19, 2006, a public hearing was held before the Boardman City
Council, and, at the hearing the Council received the recommendation of the Boardman
Planning Commission and heard testimony from the public.

THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF BOARDMAN DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council of the City of Boardman hereby finds the proposed
amendments within Boardman Development Code Chapter 4.1, to establish appropriate
language for public notification consistent with Oregon Revised Statute 197.763, and
corrections to the zoning map from previous land use actions which were omitted during recent
changes to the map, are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Boardman and
hereby adopt the above recitals and findings and incorporate them by reference in support of
this ordinance.

Section 2. The City Council of the City of Boardman accepted the recommendations of
the City Planning Commission and adopt the remaining proposed amendments to Boardman
Development Code Chapter 4.1 and associated amendments to the Boardman Zoning Map.
Section 3, Chapter 4.1 of the Boardman Development Code and the Boardman Zoning Map are hereby amended as set forth in Exhibits “A and B” attached hereto and by this reference made a part thereof.

Passed by the Council and approved by the Mayor this 17th day of October, 2006.

[Signature]
MAYOR

ATTEST:

[Signature]
CITY RECORDER
Chapter 4.1 - Types of Applications and Review Procedures

Sections:
4.1.100 - Purpose
4.1.200 - Description of Permit/Decision-Making Procedures
4.1.300 - Type I Procedure
4.1.400 - Type II Procedure
4.1.500 - Type III Procedure
4.1.600 - Type IV Procedure
4.1.700 - General Provisions
4.1.800 - Special Procedures

4.1.100 Purpose

The purpose of this chapter is to establish standard decision-making procedures that will enable the City, the applicant, and the public to reasonably review applications and participate in the local decision-making process in a timely and effective way.

4.1.200 Description of Permit/Decision-Making Procedures

All land use and development permit applications, except building permits, shall be decided by using the procedures contained in this Chapter. General provisions for all permits are contained in Section 4.1.700. Specific procedures for certain types of permits are contained in Section 4.1.200 through 4.1.600. The procedure “type” assigned to each permit governs the decision-making process for that permit. There are four types of permit/decision-making procedures: Type I, II, III, and IV. These procedures are described in subsections A-D below. In addition, Table 4.1.200 lists all of the City’s land use and development applications and their required permit procedure(s).

A. **Type I Procedure (Ministerial).** Type I decisions are made by the City Manager or his/her designee without public notice and without a public hearing. The Type I procedure is used when there are clear and objective approval criteria, and applies city standards and criteria that require no use of discretion;

B. **Type II Procedure (Administrative).** Type II decisions are made by the City Manager or his/her designee with public notice, and an opportunity for a public hearing if appealed. The appeal of a Type II decision is heard by the Planning Commission;

C. **Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial).** Type III decisions are made by the Planning Commission after a public hearing, with appeals heard by the City Council. Type III decisions generally use discretionary approval criteria;
4.1.200 Description of Permit/Decision-making Procedures (continued)

D. **Type IV Procedure (Legislative).** Type IV procedures apply to legislative matters. Legislative matters involve the creation, revision, or large-scale implementation of public policy (e.g., adoption of land use regulations, zone changes, and comprehensive plan amendments which apply to entire districts). Type IV matters are considered initially by the Planning Commission with final decisions made by the City Council.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Decision Type</th>
<th>Applicable Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Permit (public street)</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Chapters 3.1, 4.2, 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annexation</td>
<td>Type III/IV</td>
<td>Comprehensive Plan and city/county intergovernmental agreement(s), as applicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Building Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Interpretation</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Chapter 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Amendment</td>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>Chapter 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Plan Amendment</td>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>Comprehensive Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional Use Permit</td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Planned Development</td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification to Approval</td>
<td>Type II/III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use District Map Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasi-Judicial (no plan amendment required)</td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative (plan amendment required)</td>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>Chapter 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Line Adjustment</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Chapter 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Conforming Use or Development Confirmation</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Chapter 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Chapter 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive Lands Permit</td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Chapter 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign Permit</td>
<td>Type I/II</td>
<td>Chapter 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Review</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Chapter 4.2, Building Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Design Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Chapter 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivision</td>
<td>Type II/III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Use Permit</td>
<td>Type II/III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Facilities and Improvements</td>
<td>Type II/III</td>
<td>Chapter 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Impact Study</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Chapter 4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Removal</td>
<td>Type I/II</td>
<td>Chapter 3.2 (may require Sensitive Land development permit first)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Chapter 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Chapter 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Chapter 5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The City shall send ODOT notice of all Type II, III and IV land use applications and Traffic Impact Study applications.*
A. **Application Requirements.**

1. **Application Forms.** Type I applications shall be made on forms provided by the City Manager or his/her designee.

2. **Application Requirements.** Type I applications shall:

   a. Include the information requested on the application form;
   
   b. Address the criteria in sufficient detail for review and action; and
   
   c. Be filed with the required fee.

B. **Administrative Decision Requirements.** The decision of the City Manager or his/her designee shall address all of the approval criteria. Based on the criteria and the facts contained within the record, the City Manager or his/ her designee shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the requested permit or action. A written record of the decision shall be provided to the applicant and kept on file at city hall.

C. **Final Decision.** The decision shall be final on the date it is mailed or otherwise provided to the applicant, whichever occurs first.

D. **Effective Date.** The decision is effective the day after it is final.

E. **Notice of Decision.**

1. Within five (5) days after the City Manager or designee signs the decision, a Notice of Decision shall be posted on the property and sent by mail to:

   a. Any person who submits a written request to receive notice;
   
   b. The applicant and all owners or contract purchasers of record of the site that is the subject of the application;
   
   c. Any City-recognized neighborhood group or association whose boundaries include the site;
   
   d. Any governmental agency that is entities to notice under an intergovernmental agreement entered into with the City.

2. The City Manager or designee shall cause an affidavit of mailing and posting of the notice to be prepared and made a part of the file. The affidavit shall show the date the notice was mailed and posted and the names and addresses of the people to whom it was mailed.
3. The Type I Notice of Decision shall contain:

   a. A brief description of the applicant’s proposal and the City’s decision to approve or deny the proposal;

   b. The address or other brief geographic description of the property proposed for development;

   c. A statement of where the City’s decision can be obtained;

   d. The date the decision shall become final, unless appealed;

   e. A statement that the applicant and any persons who are adversely affected or aggrieved by the decision may appeal the decision; and

   f. A statement briefly explaining how an appeal can be filed, the deadline for filing an appeal, and where further information can be obtained concerning the appeal process.

F. Final Decision and Effective Date. A Type I administrative decision is final for purposes of appeal, when it is mailed by the City. A Type I administrative decision is effective on the day after the appeal period expires. If an appeal is filed, the decision is effective when the appeal is decided.

G. Appeal. A Type I administrative decision may be appealed to the Planning Commission as follows:

   1. Who may appeal. The following people have legal standing to appeal a Type I Administrative Decision:

      a. The applicant; and

      b. Any persons who are adversely affected or aggrieved by the decision.

   2. Appeal procedure.

      a. Notice of appeal. Any person with standing to appeal, as provided in subsection 1, above, may appeal a Type I Administrative Decision by filing a Notice of Appeal according to the following procedures;

      b. Time for filing. A Notice of Appeal shall be filed with the City Manager or designee within 14 days of the date the Notice of Decision was mailed;

      c. Content of notice of appeal. The Notice of Appeal shall contain:

         (1) An identification of the decision being appealed, including the date of the decision;
4.1.300 Type I Procedure (Ministerial) (continued)

(2) A statement demonstrating the person filing the Notice of Appeal has standing to appeal;

(3) A statement explaining the specific issues raised on appeal;

(4) Filing fee.

3. Determination of Filing Fee. The amount of the filing fee shall be established by the City. The maximum fee for an initial hearing shall be the City’s cost for preparing for and conducting the hearing, or the statutory maximum, whichever is less.

4. Scope of appeal. The appeal of a Type I Ministerial Decision by a person with standing shall be through a Type III public hearing before the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission may allow evidence or testimony concerning any relevant issue.

5. Appeal procedures. Type III notice and hearing procedures shall be used for all Type I Ministerial Appeals, as provided in Section 4.1.500.C - E;

6. Final decision and effective date. The decision of the Planning Commission regarding an appeal of a Type I Ministerial Decision is the final decision of the City, unless appealed to the City Council.

7. Appeal to the City Council. The decision of the Planning Commission regarding an appeal of a Type I Ministerial Decision may be appealed to the City Council following a Type III process.

a. Who may appeal. The following have legal standing to appeal a Type III Appeal Decision of a Type I Administrative Decision:

(1) The applicant;

(2) Any person who was mailed written notice of the Type III appeal decision or Type I Administrative Decision;

(3) Any person who participated in the appeal proceeding through testimony or submission of evidence.

(4) Any person who is adversely affected or aggrieved by the decision.

b. Appeal procedure.

(1) The requirements for the Notice of Appeal, time for filing, content of Notice of Appeal, and determination of filing fee are the same as for the appeal of a Type II decision, as provided in Section 4.1.400G, sub-sections 2 and 3.
4.1.300 Type I Procedure (Ministerial) (continued)

(2) **Scope of appeal.** The appeal by a person with standing of a Type I decision to the City Council shall follow Type III appeal decision process and shall be a hearing *de novo* before the City Council. The appeal shall not be limited to the specific issues raised and evidence and testimony presented in the appeal to the Planning Commission.

(3) **Appeal procedures.** Type III notice, hearing and decision procedures shall be used for appeals of Planning Commission appeal decisions to the City Council, as provided in Section 4.1.500, sub-sections C-E.

c. **Final decision and effective date.** The decision of the City Council on a Type III appeal of a Type I application is final and effective on the date it is mailed by the City. The City Council’s decision may be appealed to the State Land Use Board of Appeals pursuant to ORS 197.805 – 197.860.

4.1.400 Type II Procedure (Administrative)

A. **Pre-application conference.** A pre-application conference may be required for Type II applications. Pre-application conference requirements and provisions are in Section 4.1.700(C-E).

B. **Application requirements.**

1. **Application Forms.** Type II applications shall be made on forms provided by the City Manager or his/her designee;

2. **Submittal Information.** The application shall:

   a. Include the information requested on the application form;

   b. Be filed with 3 copies of a narrative statement that explains how the application satisfies each and all of the relevant criteria and standards in sufficient detail for review and decision-making;

   c. Be accompanied by the required fee.

   d. Include one set of pre-stamped and pre-addressed envelopes for all real property owners of record who will receive a notice of the application as required in Section 4.1.400.C. The records of the Morrow County Assessor’s Office records are the official records for determining ownership. The applicant shall produce the notice list. At the applicant’s request, and upon payment of a fee noted on the city’s fee list, the city shall prepare the public notice mailing list. The city or the applicant shall use the most current County real property assessment records to produce the notice list;
4.1.400 Type II Procedure (Administrative) (continued)

e. Include an impact study for all land division applications. The impact study shall quantify and assess the effect of the development on public facilities and services. The study shall address, at a minimum, the transportation system, including pedestrian ways and bikeways, the drainage system, the parks system, the water system, the sewer system, and the noise impacts of the development. For each public facility system and type of impact, the study shall propose improvements necessary to meet City standards and to minimize the impact of the development on the public at large, public facilities systems, and affected private property users. In situations where this Code requires the dedication of real property to the City, the City shall either (1) include in the written decision evidence that shows that the required property dedication is roughly proportional to the projected impacts of the development on public facilities and services, or (2) delete the dedication as a condition of approval.

C. Notice of Application for Type II Administrative Decision

1. Before making a Type II Administrative Decision, the City Manager shall mail notice to:

   a. All owners of record of real property within 250 feet (measured from the property line) of the subject site;

   b. All City-recognized neighborhood groups or associations whose boundaries include the site;

   c. Any person who submits a written request to receive a notice; and

   d. Any governmental agency which is entitled to notice under an intergovernmental agreement entered into with the City. The City may notify other affected agencies; ODOT shall be notified when there is a land division abutting a State facility, for review of the application.

2. The purpose of the notice is to give nearby property owners and other interested people the opportunity to submit written comments about the application, before the Type II decision is made. The goal of this notice is to invite people to participate early in the decision-making process.

3. Notice of a pending Type II Administrative Decision shall:

   a. Provide a 20-day period for submitting written comments before a decision is made;

   b. List the relevant approval criteria by name and number of code sections;

   c. State the place, date and time the comments are due, and the person to whom the comments should be addressed;
4.1.400 Type II Procedure (Administrative) (continued)

d. Include the name and telephone number of a contact person regarding the Administrative Decision;

e. Identify the specific permits or approvals requested;

f. Describe the street address or other easily understandable reference to the location of the site;

g. State that if any person fails to address the relevant approval criteria with enough detail, they may not be able to appeal to the Land Use Board of Appeals or Circuit Court on that issue. Only comments on the relevant approval criteria are considered relevant evidence;

h. State that all evidence relied upon by the City Manager or his/her designee to make this decision is in the public record, available for public review. Copies of this evidence can be obtained at a reasonable cost from the City;

i. State that after the comment period closes, the City Manager or designee shall issue a Type II Administrative Decision. The decision shall be mailed to the applicant and to anyone else who submitted written comments or who is otherwise legally entitled to notice;

j. Contain the following notice: “Notice to mortgagee, lienholder, vendor, or seller: The City of Boardman Development Code requires that if you receive this notice it shall be promptly forwarded to the purchaser.”

D. Administrative Decision Requirements. The City Manager or his/her designee shall make Type II written decisions addressing all of the relevant approval criteria and standards. Based upon the criteria and standards, and the facts contained within the record, the City Manager or his/her designee shall approve, approve with conditions, or deny the requested permit or action. The decision may include a requirement for non-remonstration for future road improvements.

E. Notice of Decision

1. Within five (5) days after the City Manager signs the decision, a Notice of Decision shall be posted on the property and sent by mail to:

   a. Any person who submits a written request to receive notice, or provides comments during the application review period;
   b. The applicant and all owners or contract purchasers of record of the site which is the subject of the application;
   c. Any person who submits a written request to receive notice, or provides comments during the application review period;
   d. Any City-recognized neighborhood group or association whose boundaries include the site;
4.1.400 Type II Procedure (Administrative) (continued)

   e. Any governmental agency which is entitled to notice under an intergovernmental agreement entered into with the City, and other agencies which were notified or provided comments during the application review period.

2. The City Manager shall cause an affidavit of mailing and posting of the notice to be prepared and made a part of the file. The affidavit shall show the date the notice was mailed and posted, and shall demonstrate that the notice was mailed to the people and within the time required by law.

3. The Type II Notice of Decision shall contain:

   a. A description of the applicant’s proposal and the City’s decision on the proposal (i.e., may be a summary);

   b. The address or other geographic description of the property proposed for development, including a map of the property in relation to the surrounding area, where applicable;

   c. A statement of where the City’s decision can be obtained;

   d. The date the decision shall become final, unless appealed;

   e. A statement that all persons entitled to notice or who are otherwise adversely affected or aggrieved by the decision may appeal the decision;

   f. A statement briefly explaining how an appeal can be filed, the deadline for filing an appeal, and where further information can be obtained concerning the appeal process; and

   g. A statement that unless appellant (the person who files the appeal) is the applicant, the hearing on the appeal shall be limited to the specific issues identified in the written comments submitted during the comment period. Additional evidence related to the Notice of Appeal (See subsection G.2.a, below) may be submitted by any person during the appeal hearing, subject to any rules of procedure adopted by the Planning Commission.

F. **Final decision and effective date.** A Type II administrative decision is final for purposes of appeal, when it is mailed by the City. A Type II administrative decision is effective on the day after the appeal period expires. If an appeal is filed, the decision is effective when the appeal is decided.

G. **Appeal.** A Type II administrative decision may be appealed to the Planning Commission as follows:

   1. **Who may appeal.** The following people have legal standing to appeal a Type II Administrative Decision:

      a. The applicant;
b. Any person who was mailed written notice of the Type II administrative decision;

c. Any other person who participated in the proceeding by submitting written comments.

2. Appeal procedure.

a. Notice of appeal. Any person with standing to appeal, as provided in subsection 1, above, may appeal a Type II Administrative Decision by filing a Notice of Appeal according to the following procedures;

b. Time for filing. A Notice of Appeal shall be filed with the City Manager within 21 days of the date the Notice of Decision was mailed;

c. Content of notice of appeal. The Notice of Appeal shall contain:

   (1) An identification of the decision being appealed, including the date of the decision;

   (2) A statement demonstrating the person filing the Notice of Appeal has standing to appeal;

   (3) A statement explaining the specific issues raised on appeal;

   (4) If the appellant is not the applicant, a statement demonstrating that the appeal issues were raised during the comment period;

   (5) Filing fee.

3. Determination of Filing Fee. The amount of the filing fee shall be established by the City. The maximum fee for an initial hearing shall be the City’s cost for preparing and for conducting the hearing, or the statutory maximum, whichever is less.

4. Scope of appeal. The appeal of a Type II Administrative Decision by a person with standing shall be limited to the specific issues raised during the written comment period, as provided under Section 4.1.400.C, unless the Planning Commission allows additional evidence or testimony concerning any other relevant issue. The Planning Commission may allow such additional evidence if they determine that such evidence is necessary to resolve the case. The purpose of this requirement is to limit the scope of Type II Administrative Appeals by encouraging persons with standing to submit their specific concerns in writing during the comment period. The written comments received during the comment period will usually limit the scope of issues on appeal. Only in extraordinary circumstances should new issues be considered by the hearings body on appeal of a Type II Administrative Decision;
5. **Appeal procedures.** Type III notice and hearing procedures shall be used for all Type II Administrative Appeals, as provided in Sections 4.1.500.C - E;

6. **Appeal to City Council.** The decision of the Planning Commission regarding an appeal of a Type II Administrative Decision is the final decision of the City unless appealed to City Council. An appeal to City Council shall follow the same notification and hearing procedures as for the Planning Commission hearing.

**4.1.500 Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial)**

A. **Pre-application conference.** A pre-application conference may be required for Type III applications. The requirements and provisions for a pre-application conference are described in Section 4.1.700.C.

B. **Application requirements.**

1. **Application forms.** Type III applications shall be made on forms provided by the City Manager;

2. **Content.** Type III applications shall:
   a. Include the information requested on the application form;
   b. Be filed with copies of a narrative statement that explains how the application satisfies each and all of the relevant criteria in sufficient detail for review and action;
   c. Be accompanied by the required fee;
   d. Include one set of pre-stamped and pre-addressed envelopes for all real property owners of record who will receive a notice of the application as required in Section 4.1.400.C. The records of the Morrow County Assessor’s Office records are the official records for determining ownership. The applicant shall produce the notice list. At the applicant’s request, and upon payment of a fee noted on the city’s fee list, the city shall prepare the public notice mailing list. The city or the applicant shall use the most current County real property assessment records to produce the notice list;
4.1.500 Type III Procedure (Quasi-Judicial) (continued)

e. Include an impact study for all land division applications. The impact study shall quantify and assess the effect of the development on public facilities and services. The study shall address, at a minimum, the transportation system, including pedestrian ways and bikeways, the drainage system, the parks system, the water system, the sewer system, and the noise impacts of the development. For each public facility system and type of impact, the study shall propose improvements necessary to meet City standards and to minimize the impact of the development on the public at large, public facilities systems, and affected private property users. In situations where this Code requires the dedication of real property to the City, the City shall either (1) include in the written decision evidence that shows that the required property dedication is roughly proportional to the projected impacts of the development on public facilities and services, or (2) delete the dedication as a condition of approval.

C. Notice of Hearing.

1. Mailed notice. Notice of a Type III application hearing or Type II appeal hearing shall be given by the City Manager in the following manner:

a. At least 20 days before the hearing date, notice shall be mailed to:

   (1) The applicant and all owners or contract purchasers of record of the property which is the subject of the application;

   (2) All property owners of record within 250 feet of the property line of the site;

   (3) Owners of airports shall be notified of a proposed zone change in accordance with ORS 227.175;

   (4) Any neighborhood or community organization recognized by the City Council and whose boundaries include the property proposed for development;

   (5) Any person who submits a written request to receive notice;

   (6) For appeals, the appellant and all persons who provided testimony; and

   (7) For a land use district change affecting a manufactured home or mobile home park, all mailing addresses within the park, in accordance with ORS 227.175.

b. The City Manager shall have an affidavit of notice be prepared and made a part of the file. The affidavit shall state the date that the notice was posted on the property and mailed to the persons who must receive notice;

c. At least 20 days before the hearing, notice of the hearing shall be printed in a newspaper of general circulation in the City. The newspaper’s affidavit of publication of the notice shall be made part of the administrative record;
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d. At least 20 days before the hearing, the applicant shall post notice of the hearing on the property per Subsection 2 below. The applicant shall prepare and submit an affidavit of posting of the notice which shall be made part of the administrative record.

2. Content of Notice. Notice of appeal of a Type II Administrative decision or a Type III hearing to be mailed, posted and published per Subsection 1 above shall contain the following information:

a. The nature of the application and the proposed land use or uses which could be authorized for the property;

b. The applicable criteria and standards from the development code(s) that apply to the application;

c. The street address or other easily understood geographical reference to the subject property;

d. The date, time, and location of the public hearing;

e. A statement that the failure to raise an issue in person, or by letter at the hearing, or failure to provide statements or evidence sufficient to afford the decision-maker an opportunity to respond to the issue, means that an appeal based on that issue cannot be filed with the State Land Use Board of Appeals;

f. The name of a City representative to contact and the telephone number where additional information on the application may be obtained;

g. A statement that a copy of the application, all documents and evidence submitted by or for the applicant, and the applicable criteria and standards can be reviewed at Boardman City Hall at no cost and that copies shall be provided at a reasonable cost;

h. A statement that a copy of the City’s staff report and recommendation to the hearings body shall be available for review at no cost at least seven days before the hearing, and that a copy shall be provided on request at a reasonable cost;

i. A general explanation of the requirements to submit testimony, and the procedure for conducting public hearings.

j. The following notice: “Notice to mortgagee, lienholder, vendor, or seller: The City of Boardman Development Code requires that if you receive this notice it shall be promptly forwarded to the purchaser.”

D. Conduct of the Public Hearing.

1. At the commencement of the hearing, the hearings body shall state the following to those in attendance:
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a. The applicable approval criteria and standards that apply to the application or appeal;

b. A statement that testimony and evidence shall concern the approval criteria described in the staff report, or other criteria in the comprehensive plan or land use regulations which the person testifying believes to apply to the decision;

c. A statement that failure to raise an issue with sufficient detail to give the hearings body and the parties an opportunity to respond to the issue, means that no appeal may be made to the State Land Use Board of Appeals on that issue;

d. Before the conclusion of the initial evidentiary hearing, any participant may ask the Planning Commission for an opportunity to present additional relevant evidence or testimony that is within the scope of the hearing. The hearings body shall grant the request by scheduling a date to finish the hearing (a “continuance”) per paragraph 2 of this subsection, or by leaving the record open for additional written evidence or testimony per paragraph 3 of this subsection.

2. If the Planning Commission grants a continuance, the completion of the hearing shall be continued to a date, time, and place at least seven days after the date of the first evidentiary hearing. An opportunity shall be provided at the second hearing for persons to present and respond to new written evidence and oral testimony. If new written evidence is submitted at the second hearing, any person may request, before the conclusion of the second hearing, that the record be left open for at least seven days, so that they can submit additional written evidence or testimony in response to the new written evidence;

3. If the Planning Commission leaves the record open for additional written evidence or testimony, the record shall be left open for at least seven days after the hearing. Any participant may ask the City in writing for an opportunity to respond to new evidence submitted during the period the record was left open. If such a request is filed, the Planning Commission shall reopen the record per subsection E of this section;

a. When the Planning Commission re-opens the record to admit new evidence or testimony, any person may raise new issues which relates to that new evidence or testimony;

b. An extension of the hearing or record granted pursuant to Section D is subject to the limitations of ORS 227.178 (“120-day rule”), unless the continuance or extension is requested or agreed to by the applicant;

c. If requested by the applicant, the City shall allow the applicant at least seven days after the record is closed to all other persons to submit final written arguments in support of the application, unless the applicant expressly waives this right. The applicant’s final submittal shall be part of the record but shall not include any new evidence.

d. The record shall contain all testimony and evidence that is submitted to the City and the hearings body and not rejected;
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- The hearings body may take official notice of judicially cognizable facts under the applicable law. If the review authority takes official notice, it must announce its intention and allow persons participating in the hearing to present evidence concerning the noticed facts;

- The review authority shall retain custody of the record until the City issues a final decision.

4. Participants in the appeal of a Type II Administrative decision or a Type III hearing are entitled to an impartial review authority as free from potential conflicts of interest and pre-hearing ex parte contacts (see Section 6 below) as reasonably possible. However, the public has a countervailing right of free access to public officials. Therefore:

   - At the beginning of the public hearing, hearings body members shall disclose the substance of any pre-hearing ex parte contacts (as defined in Section 6 below) concerning the application or appeal. He or she shall state whether the contact has impaired their impartiality or their ability to vote on the matter and shall participate or abstain accordingly;

   - A member of the hearings body shall not participate in any proceeding in which they, or any of the following, has a direct or substantial financial interest: Their spouse, brother, sister, child, parent, father-in-law, mother-in-law, partner, any business in which they are then serving or have served within the previous two years, or any business with which they are negotiating for or have an arrangement or understanding concerning prospective partnership or employment. Any actual or potential interest shall be disclosed at the hearing where the action is being taken;

   - Disqualification of a member of the hearings body due to contacts or conflict may be ordered by a majority of the members present and voting. The person who is the subject of the motion may not vote on the motion to disqualify;

   - If all members abstain or are disqualified, those members present who declare their reasons for abstention or disqualification shall be re-qualified to make a decision;

   - If a member of the hearings body abstains or is disqualified, the City shall provide a substitute in a timely manner subject to the impartiality rules in Section 6;

   - Any member of the public may raise conflict of interest issues prior to or during the hearing, to which the member of the hearings body shall reply in accordance with this section.

5. *Ex parte* communications.

   - Members of the hearings body shall not:
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(1) Communicate, directly or indirectly, with any applicant, appellant, other party to the proceedings, or representative of a party about any issue involved in a hearing, except upon giving notice, per Section 5 above;

(2) Take official notice of any communication, report, or other materials outside the record prepared by the proponents or opponents in connection with the particular case, unless all participants are given the opportunity to respond to the noticed materials.

b. No decision or action of the hearings body shall be invalid due to ex parte contacts or bias resulting from ex parte contacts, if the person receiving contact:

(1) Places in the record the substance of any written or oral ex parte communications concerning the decision or action; and

(2) Makes a public announcement of the content of the communication and of all participants’ right to dispute the substance of the communication made. This announcement shall be made at the first hearing following the communication during which action shall be considered or taken on the subject of the communication.

c. A communication between City staff and the hearings body is not considered an ex parte contact.


a. The hearings body may set reasonable time limits for oral presentations and may limit or exclude cumulative, repetitious, irrelevant or personally derogatory testimony or evidence;

b. No oral testimony shall be accepted after the close of the public hearing. Written testimony may be received after the close of the public hearing, only as provided in Section D;

c. Members of the hearings body may visit the property and the surrounding area, and may use information obtained during the site visit to support their decision, if the information relied upon is disclosed at the hearing and an opportunity is provided to dispute the evidence. In the alternative, a member of the hearings body may visit the property to familiarize him or herself with the site and surrounding area, but not to independently gather evidence. In the second situation, at the beginning of the hearing, he or she shall disclose the circumstances of the site visit and shall allow all participants to ask about the site visit.
E. The Decision Process

1. Basis for decision. Approval or denial of an appeal of a Type II Administrative decision or a Type III application shall be based on standards and criteria in the development code. The standards and criteria shall relate approval or denial of a discretionary development permit application to the development regulations and, when appropriate, to the comprehensive plan for the area in which the development would occur and to the development regulations and comprehensive plan for the City as a whole;

2. Findings and conclusions. Approval or denial shall be based upon the criteria and standards considered relevant to the decision. The written decision shall explain the relevant criteria and standards, state the facts relied upon in rendering the decision, and justify the decision according to the criteria, standards, and facts;

3. Form of decision. The Planning Commission shall issue a final written order containing the findings and conclusions stated in subsection 2, which either approves, denies, or approves with specific conditions. The Planning Commission may also issue appropriate intermediate rulings when more than one permit or decision is required;

4. Decision-making time limits. A final order for any Type II Administrative Appeal or Type III action shall be filed with the City Manager within ten business days after the close of the deliberation.

5. Notice of Decision. Written notice of a Type II Administrative Appeal decision or a Type III decision shall be mailed to the applicant and to all participants of record within five business days after the hearings body decision. Failure of any person to receive mailed notice shall not invalidate the decision, provided that a good faith attempt was made to mail the notice. The decision many include a requirement for non-remonstration for future road improvements.

6. Final Decision and Effective Date. The decision of the hearings body on any Type II appeal or any Type III application is final for purposes of appeal on the date it is mailed by the City. The decision is effective on the day after the appeal period expires. If an appeal is filed, the decision becomes effective on the day after the appeal is decided by the City Council. The notification and hearings procedures for Type III applications on appeal to the City Council shall be the same as for the initial hearing.

A. Pre-Application conference. A pre-application conference is required for all Type IV applications. The requirements and provisions for a pre-application conference are described in Section 4.1.700.C.

B. Timing of Requests. The City Manager or designee shall review proposed Type IV actions no more than twice yearly, based upon the City’s approved schedule for such actions.
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C. Application requirements.

1. Application forms. Type IV applications shall be made on forms provided by the City Manager or designee;

2. Submittal Information. The application shall contain:

   a. The information requested on the application form;

   b. A map and/or plan addressing the appropriate criteria and standards in sufficient detail for review and decision (as applicable);

   c. The required fee; and

   d. 10 copies of a letter or narrative statement that explains how the application satisfies each and all of the relevant approval criteria and standards.

D. Notice of Hearing.

1. Required hearings. A minimum of two hearings, one before the Planning Commission and one before the City Council, are required for all Type IV applications, except annexations where only a hearing by the City Council is required.

2. Notification requirements. Notice of public hearings for the request shall be given by the City Manager in the following manner:

   a. At least 20 days, but not more than 40 days, before the date of the first hearing on an ordinance that proposes to amend the comprehensive plan or any element thereof, or to adopt an ordinance that proposes to rezone property, a notice shall be prepared in conformance with ORS 227.175 and mailed to:

      (1) Each owner whose property would be rezoned in order to implement the ordinance (i.e., owners of property subject to a comprehensive plan amendment shall be notified if a zone change would be required to implement the proposed comprehensive plan amendment);

      (2) Any affected governmental agency.

      (3) Recognized neighborhood groups or associations affected by the ordinance;

      (4) Any person who requests notice in writing;

      (5) For a zone change affecting a manufactured home or mobile home park, all mailing addresses within the park, in accordance with ORS 227.175.
(6) Owners of airports shall be notified of a proposed zone change in accordance with ORS 227.175;

b. At least 14 days before the scheduled Planning Commission public hearing date, and 10 days before the City Council hearing date, notice shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the City.

c. The City Manager shall:

(1) For each mailing of notice, file an affidavit of mailing in the record as provided by Subsection a; and

(2) For each published notice, file in the record the affidavit of publication in a newspaper that is required in subsection b.

d. The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) shall be notified in writing of proposed comprehensive plan and development code amendments at least 45 days before the first public hearing at which public testimony or new evidence will be received.

e. Notifications for annexation shall follow the provisions of this Chapter.

3. **Content of notices.** The mailed and published notices shall include the following information:

a. The number and title of the file containing the application, and the address and telephone number of the City Manager’s office where additional information about the application can be obtained;

b. A description of the location of the proposal reasonably calculated to give notice of the location of the geographic area;

c. A description of the proposal in enough detail for people to determine that a change is proposed, and the place where all relevant materials and information may be obtained or reviewed;

d. The time(s), place(s), and date(s) of the public hearing(s); a statement that public oral or written testimony is invited; and a statement that the hearing will be held under this title and rules of procedure adopted by the Council and available at City Hall (See subsection E below); and

e. Each mailed notice required by section D shall contain the following statement: “Notice to mortgagee, lienholder, vendor, or seller: The Boardman Development Code requires that if you receive this notice it shall be promptly forwarded to the purchaser.”
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4. Failure to receive notice. The failure of any person to receive notice shall not invalidate the action, providing:

a. Personal notice is deemed given where the notice is deposited with the United States Postal Service;

b. Published notice is deemed given on the date it is published.

E. Hearing Process and Procedure.

1. Unless otherwise provided in the rules of procedure adopted by the City Council:

a. The presiding officer of the Planning Commission and of the City Council shall have the authority to:

   (1) Regulate the course, sequence, and decorum of the hearing;

   (2) Direct procedural requirements or similar matters; and

   (3) Impose reasonable time limits for oral presentations.

b. No person shall address the Commission or the Council without:

   (1) Receiving recognition from the presiding officer; and

   (2) Stating their full name and address.

c. Disruptive conduct such as applause, cheering, or display of signs shall be cause for expulsion of a person or persons from the hearing, termination or continuation of the hearing, or other appropriate action determined by the presiding officer.

2. Unless otherwise provided in the rules of procedures adopted by the Council, the presiding officer of the Commission and of the Council, shall conduct the hearing as follows:

a. The presiding officer shall begin the hearing with a statement of the nature of the matter before the body, a general summary of the procedures, a summary of the standards for decision-making, and whether the decision which will be made is a recommendation to the City Council or the final decision of the Council;

b. The City Manager’s report and other applicable staff reports shall be presented;

c. The public shall be invited to testify;

d. The public hearing may be continued to allow additional testimony or it may be closed; and
e. The body’s deliberation may include questions to the staff, comments from the staff, and inquiries directed to any person present.

F. Continuation of the Public Hearing. The Planning Commission or the City Council may continue any hearing, and no additional notice of hearing shall be required if the matter is continued to a specified place, date, and time.

G. Decision-Making Considerations. The recommendation by the Planning Commission and the decision by the City Council shall be based on consideration of the following factors:

1. Approval of the request is consistent with the Statewide Planning Goals;

2. Approval of the request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; and

3. The property and affected area is presently provided with adequate public facilities, services and transportation networks to support the use, or such facilities, services and transportation networks are planned to be provided concurrently with the development of the property.

H. Approval Process and Authority.

1. The Planning Commission shall:

   a. After notice and a public hearing, vote on and prepare a recommendation to the City Council to approve, approve with modifications, approve with conditions, deny the proposed change, or adopt an alternative; and

   b. Within 10 business days of determining a recommendation, the presiding officer shall sign the written recommendation, and it shall be filed with the City Manager.

2. Any member of the Planning Commission who votes in opposition to the Planning Commission’s majority recommendation may file a written statement of opposition with the City Manager before the Council public hearing on the proposal. The City Manager shall send a copy to each Council member and place a copy in the record;

3. If the Planning Commission fails to adopt a recommendation to approve, approve with modifications, approve with conditions, deny the proposed change, or adopt an alternative proposal, within 60 days of its first public hearing on the proposed change, the City Manager shall:

   a. Report the failure together with the proposed change to the City Council; and

   b. Provide notice and put the matter on the City Council’s agenda, a public hearing to be held, and a decision to be made by the Council. No further action shall be taken by the Commission.
4. The City Council shall:
   
a. Approve, approve with modifications, approve with conditions, deny, or adopt an alternative to an application for legislative change, or remand the application to the Planning Commission for rehearing and reconsideration on all or part of the application;

b. Consider the recommendation of the Planning Commission; however, it is not bound by the Commission’s recommendation; and

c. Act by ordinance, which shall be signed by the Mayor after the Council’s adoption of the ordinance.

I. Vote Required for a Legislative Change.

   1. A vote by a majority of the qualified voting members of the Planning Commission present is required for a recommendation for approval, approval with modifications, approval with conditions, denial or adoption of an alternative.

   2. A vote by a majority of the qualified members of the City Council present is required to decide any motion made on the proposal.

J. Notice of Decision. Notice of a Type IV decision shall be mailed to the applicant, all participants of record, and the Department of Land Conservation and Development, within five business days after the City Council decision is filed with the City Manager. The City shall also provide notice to all persons as required by other applicable laws.

K. Final Decision and Effective Date. A Type IV decision, if approved, shall take effect and shall become final as specified in the enacting ordinance, or if not approved, upon mailing of the notice of decision to the applicant.

L. Record of the Public Hearing.

   1. A verbatim record of the proceeding shall be made by stenographic, mechanical, or electronic means. It is not necessary to transcribe an electronic record. The minutes and other evidence presented as a part of the hearing shall be part of the record;

   2. All exhibits received and displayed shall be marked to provide identification and shall be part of the record;

   3. The official record shall include:

      a. All materials considered by the hearings body;

      b. All materials submitted by the City Manager to the hearings body regarding the application;
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   c. The verbatim record made by the stenographic, mechanical, or electronic means; the minutes of the hearing; and other documents considered;

   d. The final ordinance;

   e. All correspondence; and

   f. A copy of the notices which were given as required by this Chapter.

4.1.700 General Provisions

A. **120-day Rule.** The City shall take final action on permit applications which are subject to this Chapter, including resolution of all appeals, within 120 days from the date the application is deemed as complete. Any exceptions to this rule shall conform to the provisions of ORS 227.178. (The 120-day rule does not apply to Type IV legislative decisions - plan and code amendments - under ORS 227.178.)

B. **Time Computation.** In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed by this chapter, the day of the act or event from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday or legal holiday, including Sunday, in which event, the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday or legal holiday.

C. **Pre-application Conferences.**

   1. **Participants.** When a preapplication conference is required, the applicant shall meet with the City Manager or his/her designee(s);

   2. **Information provided.** At such conference, the City Manager or his/her designee shall:

      a. Cite the comprehensive plan policies and map designations applicable to the proposal;

      b. Cite the ordinance provisions, including substantive and procedural requirements applicable to the proposal;

      c. Provide available technical data and assistance which will aid the applicant;

      d. Identify other governmental policies and regulations that relate to the application; and

      e. Reasonably identify other opportunities or constraints concerning the application.

   3. **Disclaimer.** Failure of the City Manager or his/her designee to provide any of the information required by this Section C shall not constitute a waiver of any of the standards, criteria or requirements for the application;
4. **Changes in the law.** Due to possible changes in federal, state, regional, and local law, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that the application complies with all applicable laws on the day the application is deemed complete.

D. **Applications.**

1. **Initiation of applications:**
   
a. Applications for approval under this chapter may be initiated by:
      
      (1) Order of City Council;
      
      (2) Resolution of the Planning Commission;
      
      (3) The City Manager;
      
      (4) A record owner of property (person(s) whose name is on the most recently recorded deed), or contract purchaser with written permission from the record owner.

   b. Any person authorized to submit an application for approval may be represented by an agent authorized in writing to make the application on their behalf.

2. **Consolidation of proceedings.** When an applicant applies for more than one type of land use or development permit (e.g., Type II and III) for the same one or more parcels of land, the proceedings shall be consolidated for review and decision.

   a. If more than one approval authority would be required to decide on the applications if submitted separately, then the decision shall be made by the approval authority having original jurisdiction over one of the applications in the following order of preference: the Council, the Commission, or the City Manager.

   b. When proceedings are consolidated:
      
      (1) The notice shall identify each application to be decided;
      
      (2) The decision on a plan map amendment shall precede the decision on a proposed land use district change and other decisions on a proposed development. Similarly, the decision on a zone map amendment shall precede the decision on a proposed development and other actions; and
      
      (3) Separate findings and decisions shall be made on each application.

3. **Check for acceptance and completeness.** In reviewing an application for completeness, the following procedure shall be used:
a. Acceptance. When an application is received by the City, the City Manager shall immediately determine whether the following essential items are present. If the following items are not present, the application shall not be accepted and shall be immediately returned to the applicant;

(1) The required form;

(2) The required fee;

(3) The signature of the applicant on the required form and signed written authorization of the property owner of record if the applicant is not the owner.

b. Completeness.

(1) Review and notification. After the application is accepted, the City Manager shall review the application for completeness. If the application is incomplete, the City Manager shall notify the applicant in writing of exactly what information is missing within 30 days of receipt of the application and allow the applicant 180 days to submit the missing information;

(2) When application deemed complete for review. In accordance with the application submittal requirements of this chapter, the application shall be deemed complete upon the receipt by the City Manager of all required information. The applicant shall have the option of withdrawing the application, or refusing to submit information requested by the City Manager in (1), above. For the refusal to be valid, the refusal shall be made in writing and received by the City Manager no later than 14 days after the date on the City Manager’s letter of incompleteness. If the applicant refuses in writing to submit the missing information, the application shall be deemed complete on 31st day after the City Manager first accepted the application.

(3) Standards and criteria that apply to the application. Approval or denial of the application shall be based upon the standards and criteria that were applicable at the time the application was first accepted.

(4) Coordinated Review. The City shall also submit the application for review and comment to the City Engineer, ODOT, and other applicable County, State and federal review agencies.

4. Changes or additions to the application during the review period. Once an application is deemed complete:
a. All documents and other evidence relied upon by the applicant shall be submitted to the City Manager at least seven days before the notice of action or hearing is mailed, if possible. Documents or other evidence submitted after that date shall be received by City Manager, and transmitted to the hearings body, but may be too late to include with the staff report and evaluation;

b. When documents or other evidence are submitted by the applicant during the review period, but after the application is deemed complete, the assigned review person or body shall determine whether or not the new documents or other evidence submitted by the applicant significantly change the application;

c. If the assigned reviewer determines that the new documents or other evidence significantly change the application, the reviewer shall include a written determination that a significant change in the application has occurred as part of the decision. In the alternate, the reviewer may inform the applicant either in writing, or orally at a public hearing, that such changes may constitute a significant change (see “d”, below), and allow the applicant to withdraw the new materials submitted, in order to avoid a determination of significant change;

d. If the applicant's new materials are determined to constitute a significant change in an application that was previously deemed complete, the City shall take one of the following actions, at the choice of the applicant:

(1) Continue to process the existing application and allow the applicant to submit a new second application with the proposed significant changes. Both the old and the new applications will proceed, but each will be deemed complete on different dates and may therefore be subject to different criteria and standards and different decision dates;

(2) Suspend the existing application and allow the applicant to submit a new application with the proposed significant changes. Before the existing application can be suspended, the applicant must consent in writing to waive the 120-day rule (Section A., above) on the existing application. If the applicant does not consent, the City shall not select this option;

(3) Reject the new documents or other evidence that has been determined to constitute a significant change, and continue to process the existing application without considering the materials that would constitute a significant change. The City will complete its decision-making process without considering the new evidence;

e. If a new application is submitted by the applicant, that application shall be subject to a separate check for acceptance and completeness and will be subject to the standards and criteria in effect at the time the new application is accepted.
E. **City Manager's Duties.** The City Manager shall:

1. Prepare application forms based on the criteria and standards in applicable state law, the City’s comprehensive plan, and implementing ordinance provisions;

2. Accept all development applications which comply with Section 4.1.700;

3. Prepare a staff report that summarizes the application(s) and applicable decision criteria, and provides findings of conformance and/or non-conformance with the criteria. The staff report should also provide a recommended decision of: approval; denial; or approval with specific conditions that ensure conformance with the approval criteria;

4. Prepare a notice of the proposal decision:
   - a. In the case of an application subject to a Type I or II review process, the City Manager shall make the staff report and all case-file materials available at the time that the notice of the decision is issued;
   - b. In the case of an application subject to a hearing (Type III or IV process), the City Manager shall make the staff report available to the public at least seven days prior to the scheduled hearing date, and make the case-file materials available when notice of the hearing is mailed, as provided by Sections 4.1.400.C (Type II), 4.1.500.C (Type III), or 4.1.600.D (Type IV);

5. Administer the hearings process;

6. File notice of the final decision in the City’s records and mail a copy of the notice of the final decision to the applicant; all persons who provided comments or testimony; persons who requested copies of the notice; and any other persons entitled to notice by law;

7. Maintain and preserve the file for each application for the time period required by law. The file shall include, as applicable, a list of persons required to be given notice and a copy of the notice given; the affidavits of notice; the application and all supporting information; the staff report; the final decision including the findings, conclusions and conditions, if any; all correspondence; minutes of any meeting at which the application was considered; and any other exhibit, information or documentation which was considered by the decision-maker(s) on the application; and

8. Administer the appeals and review process.

F. **Amended Decision Process.**

1. The purpose of an amended decision process is to allow the City Manager to correct typographical errors, rectify inadvertent omissions and/or make other minor changes which do not materially alter the decision.
2. The City Manager may issue an amended decision after the notice of final decision has been issued but before the appeal period has expired. If such a decision is amended, the decision shall be issued within 10 business days after the original decision would have become final, but in no event beyond the 120-day period required by state law. A new 10-day appeal period shall begin on the day the amended decision is issued.

3. Notice of an amended decision shall be given using the same mailing and distribution list as for the original decision notice.

4. Modifications to approved plans or conditions of approval requested by the applicant shall follow the procedures contained in Section 4.6. All other requested changes to decisions that do not qualify as minor or major modifications shall follow the appeal process.

G. Re-submittal of Application Following Denial. An application which has been denied, or an application which was denied and which on appeal or review has not been reversed by a higher authority, including the Land Use Board of Appeals, the Land Conservation and Development Commission or the courts, may not be resubmitted as the same or a substantially similar proposal for the same land for a period of at least 12 months from the date the final City action is made denying the application, unless there is substantial change in the facts or a change in City policy which would change the outcome, as determined by the City Manager.

A. Expedited Land Divisions. An Expedited Land Division ("ELD") shall be defined and may be used as in ORS 197.360, which is expressly adopted and incorporated by reference here.

1. Selection. An applicant who wishes to use an ELD procedure for a partition, subdivision or planned development instead of the regular procedure type assigned to it, must request the use of the ELD in writing at the time the application is filed, or forfeit his/her right to use it;

2. Review procedure. An ELD shall be reviewed in accordance with the procedures in ORS 197.365;

3. Appeal procedure. An appeal of an ELD shall be in accordance with the procedures in ORS 197.375.
4.1.900 Neighborhood Meetings

A. **Procedure.** Applicants are encouraged to meet with adjacent property owners and neighborhood representatives prior to submitting their application in order to solicit input and exchange information about the proposed development. In some cases, the City Manager may require the applicant to meet with a City-recognized neighborhood association or group prior to accepting an application as complete.

**Community Impact.** A Neighborhood Meeting may be required for development applications that are likely to have neighborhood or community-wide impacts (e.g. traffic, parking, noise or similar impacts), as determined by the City Manager or designee.
1. **CALL TO ORDER** – Members present: John Prag, Chairman, Glaya Baker, Vice Chairman, Sim Teneyck, Dr. Boss, Debi Watson, Carolyn Skoubo, and Dan Daltoso. Members absent Grant Chapman. Staff members present: Rex Mather, City Manager, and Lila Killingbeck, acting as secretary. Others attending the meeting were Al Phillips, Carol Michael, Jim Hollandsworth, Lynn Prag, Linda Smith, Alice Tatone, Gary Neal, Karen Pettigrew, Arlene Walters, Aaron Hoeft, Greg Smith, Lisa Mittelsdorf, Charlotte Hill, Richard Hill, Michelle Erickson, and her son Will, and Jim Kirker.

2. **MINUTES OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING:**
   A motion was made by Dr. Boss to approve the minutes for April 19, 2000. Glaya Baker seconds the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

3. **OLD BUSINESS**
   There was no old business to discuss.

**OPEN PUBLIC HEARING**

**ZONE CHANGE REQUEST**
   The Port of Morrow is requesting a zone change on 30 acres of ground surrounding the riverfront center from Port/Industrial to Heavy Commercial/Light Industrial, C-3. This property is owned by the Port of Morrow. Rex read the Staff Report which was prepared by Barry Beyeler. The report was for approval.

   The Port Representative, Gary Neal, gave a presentation on the history of this area and the transition area between Heavy I to Park area. The Commission then opened the floor to opponents that have anything to be said. The audience asked what the Port was planning on developing on this land. John Prag read the zone language for the C1, C2, and C3 zones. He also gave an overview of past history of studies that have been done. Another question from the audience was asked. They wanted to know how much of each C zone is already in the city. Rex calculated that as of now there are 269.92 acres (13%) and if this zone change is approved it would change it to 309.92 acres (15%).

**CLOSED PUBLIC HEARING**

**COMMISSION COMMENTS**
   Debi had some concerns as to other agencies being notified of the Public Hearing such as the Fire and Police Department being able to get over the single overpass in case of an emergency.
There was also a correction to the Cities Staff Report. The C-3 zone also includes the south property across from Columbia Avenue.

Debi Watson moved to approve the application for the zone change. Dr Boss second the motion. All in favor.

The application for zone change will be presented to the City Council on June 20th.

4. NEW BUSINESS

A. STREET NAMES
Barry was asking for staff requests on what to name “Future Blvd”, which runs through the Tradewinds Development. There was discussion on ways of naming streets. The committee was told that they would have to decide on a name that night otherwise it would have to remain “Logan Blvd”. Dr. Boss made the motion to name it Oregon Trail Blvd. Glaya Baker second the motion. All were in favor. There was discussion to have Barry compile a list of names and areas to be used.

B. TRADEWINDS
The Tradewinds Subdivision needs to be approved for the Final Plat. Debi Watson moved to approve the Final Plat for Tradewinds 1st phase contingent on the punch list surety bond and the name change to Oregon Trail Blvd. Dan Daltoso second the motion. All in favor.

C. SANDZSTONE
The Sandzstone Development is asking for final plat approval. Dr. Boss moved to approve the Sandzstone Development and Carolyn Skoubo second the motion contingent on the punch list surety bond. All in favor.

5. DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. MORROW COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
When the School District was at the meeting a few months back they had requested to put the parking lot for the emergency buses on the side of the building. They have now changed their minds and have started to put the parking lot behind the building. They are asking to approve the amended location of the bus parking lot. Debi Watson moved to approve this change. Carolyn Skoubo second the motion. All in favor.

Debi Watson moved to adjourn the meeting and Dr. Boss second the motion. The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 pm.

MEETING ADJOINED
Ordinance No. 203 - 2000

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF BOARDMAN FOR ZONE, CHANGE, AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

RECITALS;
There has been submitted to the City of Boardman, Oregon, a request for a zone change of certain real property within the Urban Growth Boundary of the City for a change of certain real property from Port Industrial to Heavy Commercial/Light Industrial and for an amendment to the Zoning Map to reflect this zone change; and

Following due notice, the Planning Commission of the City of Boardman held a public hearing on May 24, 2000, and voted unanimously to recommend to the City Council approval of the requested zone change and zoning map amendment; and

Following due notice, this council did on July 11, 2000, hold a public hearing on the requested zone change and zoning map amendment; and

Having heard no objections, the City of Boardman, by its duly elected Council, has unanimously approved the zone change and amendment to the zoning map.

THE PEOPLE OF BOARDMAN DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Rezoning. The real property specified in Exhibit “A” as shown on the map attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof shall be rezoned from Port Industrial to Heavy Commercial/Light Industrial.

Section 2. Zoning Map Amendments. The Zoning Map of Boardman, Oregon shall be amended as set forth in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereto to reflect the zone change of the affected area from Port Industrial to Heavy Commercial/Light Industrial

Section 3. Emergency Clause. This ordinance is in the interest of the public health, safety and welfare and therefore an emergency is declared to exist and this ordinance shall go into effect immediately.

Passed by the Council and approved by the Mayor on this 11 day of July, 2000.
CITY OF BOARDMAN

By: Thomas D. Meyers, Mayor

Attest:

By: City Recorder