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## NOTICE OF ADOPTED AMENDMENT

May 3, 2006
TO: $\quad$ Subscribers to Notice of Adopted Plan or Land Use Regulation Amendments

FROM: Mara Ulloa, Plan Amendment Program Specialist
SUBJECT: City of Stayton Plan Amendment DLCD File Number 001-06

The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received the attached notice of adoption. Due to the size of amended material submitted, a complete copy has not been attached. A copy of the adopted plan amendment is available for review at the DLCD office in Salem and the local government office.

Appeal Procedures*

## DLCD ACKNOWLEDGMENT or DEADLINE TO APPEAL: May 17, 2006

This amendment was submitted to DLCD for review 45 days prior to adoption. Pursuant to ORS 197.830 (2)(b) only persons who participated in the local government proceedings leading to adoption of the amendment are eligible to appeal this decision to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of intent to appeal with the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) no later than 21 days from the date the decision was mailed to you by the local government. If you have questions, check with the local government to determine the appeal deadline. Copies of the notice of intent to appeal must be served upon the local government and others who received written notice of the final decision from the local government. The notice of intent to appeal must be served and filed in the form and manner prescribed by LUBA, (OAR Chapter 661, Division 10). Please call LUBA at 503-373-1265, if you have questions about appeal procedures.
*NOTE: THE APPEAL DEADLINE IS BASED UPON THE DATE THE DECISION WAS MAILED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT. A DECISION MAY HAVE BEEN MAILED TO YOU ON A DIFFERENT DATE THAN IT WAS MAILED TO DLCD. AS A RESULT YOUR APPEAL DEADLINE MAY BE EARLIER THAN THE ABOVE DATE SPECIFIED.

Cc: Gloria Gardiner, DLCD Urban Planning Specialist Marguerite Nabeta, DLCD Regional Representative Matthew Crall, DLCD Transportation Planner Steve Goeckritz, City of Stayton

# D L C D NOTICE OF ADOPTION <br> This form must be mailed to DLCD within 5 working days after the final decision per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18 

Jurisdiction: $\qquad$ City of Stayton $\qquad$ Local File No.: $\frac{02-01 / 06}{\text { Iffno number, use }}$

Date of Adoption: .__4/24/06 $\qquad$ Date Mailed: $\qquad$ 4/26/06 (Date matled or sentro DLCD)

Date the Notice of Proposed Amendment was mailed to DLCD: __4/26/06

- Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment $\qquad$ Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment
$\qquad$ Land Use Regulation Amendment $\qquad$ Zoning Map Amendment
_ New Land Use Regulation
X Other: __Adoption - Interchange Area Management Plan
(Please Specify Type of Action)
Summarize the adopted amendment. Do not use technical terms. Do not write [See Attached.]
___The Sublimity Interchange will be undergoing modifications and improvements that are anticipated to extend the life of the interchange for the next 20 years. The adopted interchange plan is a required precursor for the improvement of the interchange.
Describe how the adopted amendment differs from the proposed amendment. If it is the same, write [Same. $\square$ If you did not give notice for the proposed amendment, write IN/A.]

Same $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Plan Map Changed from : $\qquad$ to $\qquad$
Zone Map Changed from: ___ to $\qquad$
Location: $\qquad$ Acres Involved: $\qquad$
Specify Density: Previous: $\qquad$ New: $\qquad$
Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: $\qquad$
Was an Exception Adopted? Yes: $\qquad$ No:_x

DLCD File No.: $001-06$ ( 15002 )

Did the Department of Land Conservation and Development receive a notice of Proposed Amendment
FOR'TY FIVE (45) days prior to the first evidentiary hearing. Yes: $x_{\text {_ }}$ No: ___ If no, do the Statewide Planning Goals apply. Yes: $\mathrm{x}_{-}$No: ...__

If no, did The Emergency Circumstances Require immediate adoption. Yes: $\qquad$ No: $\qquad$ Affected State or Federal Agencies, Local Governments or Special Districts: $\qquad$
_-City of Stayton, City of Sublimity, Marion County, Oregon Department of Transportation
Local Contact: _Steve Goeckritz $\qquad$ Area Code + Phone Number:__503.769.2998 $\qquad$
Address: __ $362 \mathrm{~N} 3^{\text {rd }}$ Ave $\qquad$ City: Stayton $\qquad$
Zip Code+4: 97383 $\qquad$ Email Address: planner@stayton.org

ADOPTION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS<br>This form must be mailed to DLCD within 5 working days after the final decision per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 -Division 18.

1. Send this Form and TWO (2) Copies of the Adopted Amendment to:

ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST
DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
635 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 150
SALEM, OREGON 97301-2540
2. Submit TWO (2) copies the adopted material, if copies are bounded please submit TWO (2) complete copies of documents and maps.
3. Please Note: Adopted materials must be sent to DLCD not later than FIVE (5) working days following the date of the final decision on the amendment.
4. Submittal of this Notice of Adoption must include the text of the amendment plus adopted findings and supplementary information.
5. The deadline to appeal will not be extended if you submit this notice of adoption within five working days of the final decision. Appeals to LUBA may be filed within TWENTY-ONE (21) days of the date, the [Notice of Adoption I is sent to DLCD.
6. In addition to sending the [Notice of Adoption] to DLCD, you must notify persons who participated in the local hearing and requested notice of the final decision.
7. Need More Copies? You can copy this form on to $8-1 / 2 \times 11$ green paper only ; or call the DLCD Office at (503) 373-0050; or Fax your request to:(503) 378-5518; or Email your request to Larry.French@state.or.us - ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST.
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## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ORDINANCE NO. 888

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY STAYTON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN, AN ELEMENT OF THE STAYTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, TO INCLUDE THS SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA
MANAGEMENT PLAN, ENABLING THE MODERINIZATION OF THE EXISTING INTERCHANGE AND BRIDGE STRUCTURE AND ASSOCIATED LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS

RESOLUTION NO. 767
AMENDMENT TO THE CITY OF STAYTON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN

ORDER OF APPROVAL

Amends Chapter 3 of the Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3 of the Transportation System Plan and adopts the Interchange Area Management Plan.

## AMENDMENTS

Stayton Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3 Transportation (AMENDNENTS IN ITALICS)
Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES
Planning Commission Discussion - February 27, 2006
Planning Commission Public Hearing - March 27, 2006
City Council Public Hearing - April 17, 2006
Minutes are being drafted at this time. Will be provided when they are signed by the Mayor.

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS

Before the Stayton Planning Commission March 27, 2006
Before the Stayton City Council April 17, 2006

## ORDINANCE NO. 888

## ORDINANCE NO. 888

## AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF STAYTON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN, AN ELEMENT OF THE STAYTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, TO INCLUDE THE SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN, ENABLING THE MODERNIZATION OF THE EXISTING INTERCHANGE, AND BRIDGE STRUCTURE AND ASSOCIATED LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS.

WHEREAS, The City of Stayton is acting pursuant to the authority of OAR 734.051.055(5),
WHEREAS, the existing interchange that carries OR 22 over Cascade Highway is functionally obsolete; and

WHEREAS, the Oregon Transportation Commaission (OTC) approved funding to reconstruct the existing interchange; and

WHEREAS, as a condition of funding construction for the project, the OTC required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be prepared in association with the design of the interchange and adopted by the City of Stayton, the City of Sublimity, and Marion County; and

WHEREAS, in the Auturn of 2004 ODOT contracted with the firm CH2MHILL to manage a project consultant team to develop the Sublinnity IAMP; and

WHEREAS, the City appointed staff and elected officials worked closely with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and project consultant team in planning for future improvements to the interchange, tbrough participation with the Project Management Team (PMT) for the Sublimity IAMP project and the developrnent of the IAMP; and

WHEREAS, small group meetings with affected property owners were held throughout the course of the project and were instrumental in griding the development of the Sublimity LAMP; and

WHEREAS, the Sublimity IAMP documents the land use planning, transportation planning, access management and pubilic involvement work that resulted in the Preferred Alternative Access Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stayton has held public heariags on the Sublimity IAMP on March 27, 2006 before the Stayton Planning Commission and April 17,2006 before the Stayton City Council, and

WHEREAS, the City of Sublimity has held public hearing on the Sublinity IAMP on April 10, 2006; and

Ordinance No. 888
Interchange Area Mantagement Plan (IAMP)
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WHEREAS, Marion County will hold a public hearing at a future date regarding the Sublimity IAMP; and

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council approved an Order with Findings of Fact; and
WHEREAS, timely and proper notice of the proposed IAMP and Comprehensive Plan amendments were sent to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCO) as required by law;

NOW THEREFORE, the Stayton City Council does ordain as follows:
SECTION 1. The Stayton City Council, based upon Findings of Fact incorporated in the Order, docs hereby amend the Stayton Comprehensive Plan, (Exhibit A) to include, by reference, the Sublimity LAMP.

SECTION 2. The Stayton City Council hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the Findings of Fact contained in the Sublimity IAMP attached hereto as Exhibits "B".

SECTION 3. Transportation improvements detailed in Section 5 of the Sublimity JAMP are hereby amended into the Street Plan Capital Improvements List of the City of Stayton Transportation System Plan, the Facility Improvements Tables of the City of Sublimity Transportation System Plan, and the Rural Improvements Project List of the Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan, respectively.

SECTION 4. Upon adoption by Stayton's City Council and Mayor's signing, this Ordinance shall become effective thirty days after adoption.

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL this 7 -7 2006.
Signed:


BY: 1000
Signed: $4 / 24 / 2006$


APPROVED TO FORM:


DAVID A. RHO TEN, CITY ATTORNEY

## RESOLUTION NO. 767

RESOLUTION NO. 767

## AMENDMENT TO THE CITY OF STAYTON'S TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council, following public hearings conducted by the Stayton Planning Commission and the Council, deems it appropriate to amend Stayton's Transportation System Plan (TSP);

WHEREAS, Stayton City Council, concurrent with the adoption of this Resolution, is amending Stayton's Comprehensive Plan and adopting the Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) accordingly; and

WHEREAS, it is in the interest that the Transportation System Plan be amended to incorporate the following Goals:
3) Chapter 3, pg 3.5. G. Goal 7-Maintain Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination
7.7 Adopt on Interchange Management Plan (IAMP), through City ordinance, as a refinement to the City's Transportation Systems Plan (TSP) and Comprehensive Plan through collaboration with affected jurisdictions and agencies.
4) Chapter 3,pg. 3.7 Goal 11 - Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)

It is the goal of the City to implement an IAMP that addresses access management, construction and land use measures that augments the cffectiveness of the interchange modification design.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 11 - Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) arc as follows:
11.1 Prolong the usefal life of the state's investment in the Sublimity Intcrchange.
11.2 Control or decrees through access managenent measures, the number of conflict points on Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange.
11.3 Provide feasible and equitable driveway relocation altematives for property owners with current direct access to Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange.
11.4 Balance the need for the interchange to support community development interests with the need for safe and efficient operation within the iuterchange area.

Resolution No. 767
Transportation System Plan
11.5 Establish agreements with local governments on how to effectively manage the long-term function of the interchange.
11.6 Monitor how the interchange capacity is managed through the cooperation with local governments.
11.7 Provide cortainty for property and business ownets and local governments.

## NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Stayton Transportation System Plan be and hereby is amended.

This Resolution shall becone effective upon the adoption by the Stayton City Council.

ADOPTED BY TRE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL this $17^{\text {th }}$ day of April 2006.
CITY OF STAYTON
Signed: $y / 2 y, 2006$
Signed: $\qquad$ , 2006


David A. Rhoten, City Attomey

## ORDER OF APPROVAL

## BEFORE THE STAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION

In the matter of the
Amendment to Stayton's
Comprehensive Plan,
Adopting an IAMP and
Amending the TSP
)Comprehensive Plan Chapter 3
)Sublimity Interstate Area Management
Plan (IAMP)
)Transportation System Plan (TSP)

## ORDER

## 1. NATURE OF THE APPLICATION

The matter comes before the Stayton Planning Commission to amend the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan and adopt the Sublimity Interstate Area Management Plan.

Comprehensive Plan Amendments: Attachment I.

1. Amend Chapter 3, pg. 1, Transportation Systems Plan.
2. Amend Chapter 3, pg. 3, G. Interchange Improvements
3. Amend Chapter 3, pg. 9, Goal 11- Interchange Area Management Plan
4. Amend Chapter 3, pgs 3.5, 3.7 Transportation System Plan

## II. PUBLIC HEARING

## PLANNIG COMMISSION ACTION

A public hearing was duly held on this application before the Stayton Planning Commission on March 27, 2006. At that hearing regarding Land Use File \#o2-01/06 Comprehensive Plan, Stayton Transportation System Plan (TSP), Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) and supporting documents were made part of this record. Notice of the hearing was published in the Stayton Mail. Notification was also mailed to the Department of Land Conservation and Development and posted at City Hall, library and community center buildings. No objection was raised to notice, jurisdictions, conflicts of interest, nor to evidence nor testimony presented at that hearing.

At the conclusion of the hearing, the Planning Commission deliberated on the issues and recommended the City Council approve the amendments to the City of Stayton Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3 and TSP and adopt the IAMP.

FINDINGS OF FACT
The Stayton Planning Commission, after careful consideration of the testimony and evidence in the record, adopted the following general findings of fact:

## A. GENERAL FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The City of Stayton is acting pursuant to the authority 0AR 734.051.0035, regarding highway approaches, access control, spacing standards and medians.
2. To receive construction funding the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) requires an IAMP be prepared.
3. An IAMP was prepared with collaboration of the Oregon Department of Transportation, City of Stayton, the City of Sublimity and Marion County.
4. The draft of the Sublimity IAMP profiles the community, by providing details on the existing operation of the interchange and the facilities needs including recommendations based on a 20 -year growth horizon.
5. The IAMP draft concludes the existing interchange that carries OR 22 over Cascade Highway is functionally obsolete.
6. Small group meetings with affected property owners were held throughout the course of the project and were instrumental in guiding the development of the Sublimity IAMP.

Conclusion: The amendments to the City of Stayton Comprehensive Plan are in keeping with the goals and policies incorporated in the draft Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan and Stayton's Transportation System Plan.

## B. APPROVAL CRITERIA

The proposed amendment to Stayton's Comprehensive Plan are incorporated in this Order. Under Stayton Municipal Code (SMC) 17.12.405 5 E. an amendment is appropriate as measured by at least one of the following criteria.

1) Corrects identified error(s) in the provisions of the plan.

Finding: Presently Chapter 3 of the Transportation System Plan of the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan does not address improvements to the Sublimity interchange.
2) It represents a logical implementation of the plan.

Finding: The City's Comprehensive Plan is a land use guide which requires that it be updated to meet the future growth demands of the city.
3) It is mandated by changes in federal, state or local law.

Finding: State regulations (Oregon Revised Statutes 197.175) require incorporated cities to conduct long range planning and periodically update those plans.
4) It is otherwise deemed by the Council to be desirable, appropriate, and proper.

Finding: The City's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation Plan do not presently address the need to improve the Sublimity interchange. Language must be incorporated into these documents to provide justification and support for the implementation of an IAMP.

## C. AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF STAYTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The City's Comprehensive Plan is to be amended to incorporate language that adequately addresses the objectives as described in the IAMP. The draft amendments to the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan are as follows:

1) Chapter 3 pg. 1 Paragraph 2, Transportation System Plan

Please refer to the 2004 Stayton Transportation System Plan (TSP) document for transportation - related information. The remainder of the chapter identifies transportation facilities and reiterates the transportation goals, and policies in the TSP and the Interchange Area Management Plan.

Finding: Not necessary for this amendment.
2) Chapter 3, pg. 3. G. Interchange Improvements.

Currently, the Sublimity Interchange poses the safety and operational problems relating to Highway 22 access in addition to traffic circulation issues within the interchange influence area of Cascade Highway. To remedy this problem first requires the implementation of an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) in accordance with state LAMP guidelines. Those guidelines require collaboration with all affected public jurisdictions and agencies to protect the state and local investment in the interchange facility. The IAMP establishes operational and physical improvements and access management techniques that will maximize the operation of the interchange for at least 20 years.

Finding: To substantiate the need for interchange improvements the OTC requires that an IAMP be adopted by all affected public jurisdictions and agencies
3) Chapter 3, pg 7. G. Goal 7 - Maintain Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination
7.7 Adopt on Interchange Management Plan (IAMP), through City ordinance,
as a refinement to the City's Transportation Systems Plan (TSP) and Comprehensive Plan through collaboration with affected jurisdictions and agencies.

Finding: Statewide Goals 1 - Citizen Involvement and 2 - Land Use Planning require participation by citizens and governmental agencies in the land use decision making process.
4) Chapter 3, pg. 9 Goal 11-Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)

It is the goal of the City to implement an IAMP that addresses access management, construction and land use measures that augments the effectiveness of the interchange modification design.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 11 - Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) are as follows:
11.1 Prolong the useful life of the state's investment in the Sublimity Interchange.
11.2 Control or decrees through access management measures, the number of conflict points on Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange
11.3 Provide feasible and equitable driveway relocation alternatives for property owners with current direct access to Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange.
11.4 Balance the need for the interchange to support community development interests with the need for safe and efficient operation within the interchange area.
11.5 Establish agreements with local governments on how to effectively manage the long-term function of the interchange.
11.6 Monitor how the interchange capacity is managed through the cooperation with local governments.
11.7 Provide certainty for property and business owners and local governments.

## D. AMENMENTS TO THE CITY OF STAYTON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN.

To insure continuity exists between the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation Systems Plan amendments in the Comprehensive Plan will be incorporated in the TSP by way of resolution.

## E. AGENCY COMMENTS.

The public hearing of the IAMP resulted in comments from [insert names] and attach written comments as exhibits.

## F. PUBLIC COMMENTS

The public hearing of the IAMP, Comprehensive Plan, and TSP resulted in comments from [ insert names] and attach written comments as exhibits.

## ORDER

It is hereby found the proposed amendments to the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan, Transportation System Plan, and Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan meet Oregon Revised Statutes (OAR) 197 and OAR 734.051.0035.

APPROVED BY A 6-0 VOTE OF THE STAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION ON THIS $\qquad$ DAY OF MARCH 2006.

Dated at Stayton Oregon this $\qquad$ day of March, 2006.


Chairperson, Carol Tower


Steve Goeckritz, Interim City Planner

$$
3-28-06
$$

Date
$\frac{3-29.06}{\text { Date }}$

## AMMENDMENTS

## DRAFT



## CHAPTER 3

## TRANSPORTATION

Exhibit "A"

## Chapter 3

## DRAFT

## Transportation System Plan

The City of Stayton, Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3, addresses the City of Stayton's anticipated transportation needs though 2025. It has been prepared to meet state and federal regulations that require urban areas to conduct long-range planning. Specifically, the TSP was developed in compliance with requirements of the Transportation Equity Act for the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century (TEA-21), Statewide Planning Goal 12, the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR - Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) Chapter 660, Division 12), and Oregon Highway Plan (1999). The long range planning is intended to serve as a guide for the City of Stayton in managing their existing transportation facilities and developing future transportation facilities.

Please refer to the 2004 Stayton Transportation System Plan (TSP) document for transportation - related information. The remainder of this chapter identifies transportation facilities and reiterates the transportation goals, and policies in the TSP and the Interchange Area Management Plan (LAMP).

The transportation element of the Stayton Comprehensive Plan considers ways to provide a safe, convenient, efficient, and economic system of moving people and goods in, around, and through the Stayton area. The modes of transportation to be considered under the transportation goal are: A) mass transit; B) rail; C) air; D) water; E) pipeline; and F) non-motorized improvements. The transportation element also considers the transportation disadvantaged. The streets and highways section address the items required in OAR 660, Div. 11, the public facilities rule.

## Transportation Facilities

## A. Mass Transit

Mass transit is passenger transportation which carries members of the public on a regular and continuing basis. Buses, taxis, shuttle trains, and car pools are forms of mass transit. As the cost of travel by private automobile increases, the alternative modes of mass transit, including rail and bus facilities, become more of an economic possibility.

Oregon Bus Lines (tickets through Greyhound Bus Lines) provides bus service from Salem to Bend via Highway 22. Stayton may benefit from a commuter system to and from the Salem area within the next decade. An intra-city bus system may also become feasible as growth continues and the cost of operating the private auto rises.

Taxi service is available from Salem; however, the cost to an individual is high. At present, there is no taxi service available in the Stayton area. This form of
transportation will not be readily available until the population of the Stayton area reaches a level that can support a taxi service.

The most practical form of mass transit is sharing of an automobile. This is becoming an attractive alternative for several reasons: cost of operation, reduced traffic, and less need for parking facilities at major employment centers. The Stayton park-and-ride lot is located on State Highway Division land on the southeast comer of the intersection of Cascade Highway and Highway 22. The Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments has developed a car pool program with the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. Individuals in the Stayton area can receive a list of persons interested in sharing a ride by contacting the car pool program. The telephone number is $585-\mathrm{POOL}$.

## B. Railroad

At present, there is a rail spur to Stayton from the Southern Pacific mainline in Salem. The spur terminates at NORPAC Foods, Inc., formerly the Stayton Cooperative Cannery. Wilco Farmers and Trus-Joist also maintain sidings to benefit from this spur. The other industrial areas along the spur could also become a major user of these rail facilities as the need for rapid and inexpensive movement of bulky items increases. An old railroad spur was removed that served Karsten and Philips Industries. The removal of this line allows the future extension of Locust Street to be constructed without a grade crossing.

## C. Air Transportation

The City of Stayton does not have an airport. There is not a sufficient need to support an airport at this time, nor is there a good airport site within the Stayton UGB. There is a full service commercial airport 15 miles away in Salem that provides needed service. Several small private air strips in Marion and Linn counties are within 20 miles of Stayton. A heliport at Santiam Hospital provides for recreational and medical emergencies.

## D. Water Transportation

Stayton is located adjacent to the North Santiam River and has developed around the need and demands of water oriented industries. The river has not been utilized as a mode of transportation because it is fairly shallow and other modes have been more economical. It is possible to travel by water from Stayton to Jefferson and the Willamette River; however, there are more economical and timely methods of travel. The river will continue to be used for aesthetic and recreational values and protected as a source of drinking water. It is doubtful if other than small recreational craft will ever travel on the river.

## E. Pipeline Facilities

The only existing pipeline facilities are the city water system and the natural gas system. The water system is discussed in detail in the Public Facilities section of this
plan. In addition to Stayton, the City of Salem transmits potable water from their supply facilities on Stayton (Geren) Island via two large transmission mains. The natural gas system is discussed in the Energy section of this plan.

An additional pipeline facility may, at some future date, be located in the Stayton area. The U.S. Forest Service is issuing exploratory permits for geothermal energy drilling in the Breitenbush Hot Springs area near Detroit. If and when sufficient geothermal resources are found and developed, Stayton will become a logical site for the receipt and use of this resource as an economical energy supply.

## F. Bicycle Paths and Routes

See the Park and Recreation Master Plan and the City of Stayton Transportation Plan regarding specific details pertaining to bicycle paths and routes. (Ord. 875, Feb. 2004)

## G. Interchange Improvements

Currently, the Sublimity Interchange poses safety and operational problems relating to Highway 22 access in addition to traffic circulation issues within the interchange influence area of Cascade Highway. To remedy this problem first requires the implementation of an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) in accordance with state IAMP guidelines. Those guidelines require collaboration with all affected public jurisdictions and agencies to protect the state and local investment in that interchange facility. The IAMP establishes operational and physical improvements and access management techniques that will maximize the operation of the interchange for at least 20 years.

## Transportation Goals and Policies

This section establishes broad policy objectives that provide the context to make transportation investment decisions and to develop the existing and future transportation system within the City of Stayton urban growth boundary.

## A. GOAL 1 - MOBILITY

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to provide a multi-modal transportation system that maximizes the mobility of Stayton residents and businesses.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 1-Mobility are as follows:
1.1. Establish a transportation system that can accommodate a wide variety of travel modes and minimizes the reliance on any one single mode of travel.
1.2. Properly plan transportation infrastructure to meet the level of service set for each type of facility.
1.3. Maintain a minimum level of service standard of LOS D for signalized intersections. Maintain a minimum level of service standard of LOS D for all
way stop controlled intersections and roundabouts. Maintain a minimum level of service standard of LOS E or $F$ with a volume to capacity of 0.95 or better for two-way stop controlled intersections.

For Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) facilities, the City of Stayton shall defer to ODOT mobility standards described in the most recent version of the Oregon Highway Plan.
1.4. Develop a local street plan to preserve future rights-of-way for future streets and to maintain adequate local circulation in a manner consistent with Stayton's existing street grid system.
1.5. Require developments to construct their accesses consistent with Stayton's existing street grid system.
1.6. Develop an access management policy for the local arterial system and direct commercial development access to local streets wherever possible.

## B. GOAL 2 - EFFICIENCY

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to create and maintain a multi-modal transportation system with the greatest efficiency of movement possible for Stayton residents and businesses in terms of travel time, travel distance, and efficient management of the transportation system.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 2 - efficiency are as follows:
2.1. Develop the City of Stayton's transportation system with alternative parallel corridors to reduce reliance on any one corridor and improve local access through a local street plan that preserves future rights-of-ways, consistent with a grid pattern.
2.2. Plan and improve routes to facilitate the movement of goods and services.
2.3. Manage the City of Stayton's resources to improve the transportation system through an up-to-date Capital improvement program reflecting the transportation needs of the city.
2.4 Encourage development to occur near existing community centers where services are presently available to minimize the need for expanding services and to more efficiently utilize existing resources.

## C. GOAL 3 -SAFETY

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to maintain and improve transportation system safety.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 3 - Safety are as follows:
3.1. Examine the need for speed reduction in specific areas such as adjacent to local schools.
3.2. Ensure that the multi-modal transportation system within Stayton is structurally and operationally safe.
3.3. Periodically review crash records in an effort to systematically identify and remedy unsafe intersection and roadway locations.
3.4. Develop a traffic calming program for implementation in areas with vehicle speeding issues.
3.5. Ensure adequate access for emergency services vehicles throughout the city's transportation system.

## D. GOAL 4 - EQUITY

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to ensure the cost of transportation infrastructure and services are borne by those who benefit from them. The policies to be used to implement Goal 4 -Equity are as follows:
4.1. System Development Charges (SDC) shall be updated periodically to accurately reflect a nexus between the traffic impact of development and the fees assessed to the development.
4.2. The City of Stayton shall seek equitable funding mechanisms to maintain transportation infrastructure and services at an acceptable level.
4.3. Developments shall be responsible for mitigating their direct traffic impacts. These impacts shall be determined through an approved traffic study submitted to the city by the developer.

## E. GOAL 5 - ENVIRONMENTAL

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to limit and mitigate adverse environmental impacts associated with traffic and transportation system development.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 5 - Environmental are as follows:
5.1. Transportation project related environmental impacts shall be identified at the earliest opportunity to ensure compliance with all federal and state environmental standards.
5.2. Transportation project environmental impacts shall be mitigated to state and federal standards as appropriate.

## F. GOAL 6 - ALTERNATIVE MODES OF TRANSPORTATION

Increase the use of alternative modes of transportation (walking, bicycling, rideshare/carpooling, and transit) through improved access, safety, and service. Increasing the use of alternative transportation modes includes maximizing the level of access to all social, work, and social resources for the transportation disadvantaged. The City of Stayton seeks for its transportation disadvantaged citizens the creation of customer-oriented regionally coordinated public transit system that is efficient, effective, and founded on present and future needs of the community.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 6 - Alternative Modes of Transportation are as follows:
6.1. Develop a citywide pedestrian and bicycle plan providing for sidewalks, bikeways, and safe crossings.
6.2. Promote alternative modes and rideshare/carpool programs through community awareness and education.
6.3. Coordinate with regional transit service efforts.
6.4. Seek Transportation and Growth Management (TGM) and other funding for projects evaluating and improving the environment for alternative modes of transportation.
6.5. Seek improvements of mass transit services to the City of Stayton.
6.6. Transportation Disadvantaged:
a. Continue to support programs for the transportation disadvantaged where such programs are needed and are economically feasible.
b. Increase all citizens' transportation disadvantaged where such programs are needed and are economically feasible.
c. Identify and retain community identity and autonomy.
d. Create a customer-oriented focus in the provision of transportation services.
e. Hold any regional system accountable for levels and quality of service.
f. Enhance public transportation sustainability.
g. Promote regional planning of transportation services.
h. Use innovative technology to maximize efficiency of operation, planning, and administration of public transportation.
i. Promote both inter-community and intra-community transportation services for the transportation disadvantaged.

## G. GOAL 7 - MAINTAIN MULTI-JURISDICTION COORDINATION

Maintain coordination between the City of Stayton, Marion County, and the Oregon
Department of Transportation (ODOT).
The policies to be used to implement Goal 7 - Maintain Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination are as follows:
7.1. Cooperate with ODOT in the implementation of the State wide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).
7.2. Encourage improvement of state highways, especially Highway 22 in the vicinity of Golf Club Road, Cascade Highway, Fern Ridge Road, and Stayton Road.
7.3. Work with Marion and Linn Counties ODOT, and the City of Sublimity in establishing cooperative road improvement programs and schedules.
7.4. Work to establish the right-of-way needed for new roads identified in the TSP.
7.5. Take advantage of federal and state highway funding programs.
7.6. Coordinate with ODOT to complete Phase 2 of the ORE 22, Joseph Street to Stayton project.
7.7 Adopt an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP), through City ordinance, as a refinement to the City's Transportation Systems Plan (TSP) and Comprehensive Plan.

## H. GOAL 8 - ROADWAY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to properly plan and maintain its transportation system based on a roadway functional classification system. The street and access standards are based on this roadway functional classification system.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 8 - Roadway Functional Classification are as follows:
8.1. The transportation system plan (TSP) shall classify roadways throughout the city's transportation system. Both an arterial and local street classification shall be identified in the TSP.
8.2. The street and access standards shall employ the roadway functional classification system.
8.3. Encourage use of alternative methods, such as alleys, shared driveways, etc., i.e. smart development techniques, to provide property access.
8.4. The roadway functional classification system represents a continuum in which through traffic increases and access provisions decrease in the higher classification category. The street and access standards shall reflect this principal.

## I. GOAL 9-TRUCK ROUTE

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to identify and designate a through truck route system utilizing arterial and major collector roads and to minimize impacts to residential areas.

The polices to be used to implement Goal 9 - Truck Route are as follows:
9.1. The City of Stayton shall designate a through truck route along its arterials and major collectors. The truck route shall be defined in the TSP.
9.2. Minimize use of other city roadways by truck traffic except by truck traffic for local deliveries and pickups.
9.3 The City of Stayton will follow the applicable state law as it relates to Oregon revised statutes 227.400 truck routes.

## J. GOAL 10 - TRANSPORTATION FINANCING

It is the goal of the City of Stayton to seek adequate financial revenues to fund its Capital Improvement Program and maintenance needs.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 10 - Transportation Financing are as follows:
10.1. The City of Stayton shall aggressively seek state and federal funding for relevant transportation projects.
10.2. The City of Stayton shall proactively seek new local and regional funding sources for its Capital Improvement Program.
(ORD. 868, Sept. 2004)
GOAL 11 -INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN (IAMP)
It is the goal of the City to implement an IAMP in order to address access management, construction and land use measures that improves the effectiveness of the interchange modification design.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 11 - Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) are as follows:
11.1 Prolong the useful life of the State's investment in the Sublimity Interchange.
11.2 Control or decrease, through access management measures, the number of conflict points on Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange.
11.3 Provide feasible and equitable driveway relocation alternatives for property owners with current direct access to Cascade Highway.
11.4 Balance the need for the interchange to support community development interests with the need for safe and efficient operation within the interchange area.
11.5 Establish agreements with local governments on how to effectively manage the long-term function of the interchange.
11.6 Monitor how the interchange capacity is managed through cooperation with local governments.
11.7 Provide certainty for property and business owners and local governments.

## BEFORE THE STAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION

In the matter of the
Amendment to Stayton's
Comprehensive Plan,
Adopting an IAMP and
Amending the TSP
)Comprehensive Plan Chapter 3
)Sublimity Interstate Area Management
Plan (IAMP)
)Transportation System Plan (TSP)

## ORDER

## 1. NATURE OF THE APPLICATION

The matter comes before the Stayton Planning Commission to amend the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan and adopt the Sublimity Interstate Area Management Plan.

Comprehensive Plan Amendments: Attachment I.

1. Amend Chapter 3, pg. 1, Transportation Systems Plan.
2. Amend Chapter 3, pg. 3, G. Interchange Improvements
3. Amend Chapter 3, pg. 9, Goal 11- Interchange Area Management Plan
4. Amend Chapter 3, pgs 3.5, 3.7 Transportation System Plan

## II. PUBLIC HEARING

## PLANNIG COMMISSION ACTION

A public hearing was duly held on this application before the Stayton Planning Commission on March 27, 2006. At that hearing regarding Land Use File \#o2-01/06 Comprehensive Plan, Stayton Transportation System Plan (TSP), Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) and supporting documents were made part of this record. Notice of the hearing was published in the Stayton Mail. Notification was also mailed to the Department of Land Conservation and Development and posted at City Hall, library and community center buildings. No objection was raised to notice, jurisdictions, conflicts of interest, nor to evidence nor testimony presented at that hearing.

At the conclusion of the hearing, the Planning Commission deliberated on the issues and recommended the City Council approve the amendments to the City of Stayton Comprehensive Plan, Chapter 3 and TSP and adopt the IAMP.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Stayton Planning Commission, after careful consideration of the testimony and evidence in the record, adopted the following general findings of fact:

## A. GENERAL FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The City of Stayton is acting pursuant to the authority 0AR 734.051.0035, regarding highway approaches, access control, spacing standards and medians.
2. To receive construction funding the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) requires an IAMP be prepared.
3. An IAMP was prepared with collaboration of the Oregon Department of Transportation, City of Stayton, the City of Sublimity and Marion County.
4. The draft of the Sublimity IAMP profiles the community, by providing details on the existing operation of the interchange and the facilities needs including recommendations based on a 20 -year growth horizon.
5. The IAMP draft concludes the existing interchange that carries OR 22 over Cascade Highway is functionally obsolete.
6. Small group meetings with affected property owners were held throughout the course of the project and were instrumental in guiding the development of the Sublimity IAMP.

Conclusion: The amendments to the City of Stayton Comprehensive Plan are in keeping with the goals and policies incorporated in the draft Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan and Stayton's Transportation System Plan.

## B. APPROVAL CRITERIA

The proposed amendment to Stayton's Comprehensive Plan are incorporated in this Order. Under Stayton Municipal Code (SMC) 17.12.405 5 E. an amendment is appropriate as measured by at least one of the following criteria.

1) Corrects identified error(s) in the provisions of the plan.

Finding: Presently Chapter 3 of the Transportation System Plan of the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan does not address improvements to the Sublimity interchange.
2) It represents a logical implementation of the plan.

Finding: The City's Comprehensive Plan is a land use guide which requires that it be updated to meet the future growth demands of the city.
3) It is mandated by changes in federal, state or local law.

Finding: State regulations (Oregon Revised Statutes 197.175) require incorporated cities to conduct long range planning and periodically update those plans.
4) It is otherwise deemed by the Council to be desirable, appropriate, and proper.

Finding: The City's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation Plan do not presently address the need to improve the Sublimity interchange. Language must be incorporated into these documents to provide justification and support for the implementation of an IAMP.

## C. AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF STAYTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The City's Comprehensive Plan is to be amended to incorporate language that adequately addresses the objectives as described in the IAMP. The draft amendments to the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan are as follows:

1) Chapter 3 pg. 1 Paragraph 2, Transportation System Plan

Please refer to the 2004 Stayton Transportation System Plan (TSP) document for transportation - related information. The remainder of the chapter identifies transportation facilities and reiterates the transportation goals, and policies in the TSP and the Interchange Area Management Plan.

Finding: Not necessary for this amendment.
2) Chapter 3, pg. 3. G. Interchange Improvements.

Currently, the Sublimity Interchange poses the safety and operational problems relating to Highway 22 access in addition to traffic circulation issues within the interchange influence area of Cascade Highway. To remedy this problem first requires the implementation of an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) in accordance with state IAMP guidelines. Those guidelines require collaboration with all affected public jurisdictions and agencies to protect the state and local investment in the interchange. facility. The IAMP establishes operational and physical improvements and access management techniques that will maximize the operation of the interchange for at least 20 years.

Finding: To substantiate the need for interchange improvements the OTC requires that an IAMP be adopted by all affected public jurisdictions and agencies
3) Chapter 3, pg 7. G. Goal 7 - Maintain Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination
7.7 Adopt on Interchange Management Plan (IAMP), through City ordinance,
as a refinement to the City's Transportation Systems Plan (TSP) and Comprehensive Plan through collaboration with affected jurisdictions and agencies.

Finding: Statewide Goals 1 - Citizen Involvement and 2 - Land Use Planning require participation by citizens and governmental agencies in the land use decision making process.
4) Chapter 3, pg. 9 Goal 11 - Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)

It is the goal of the City to implement an IAMP that addresses access management, construction and land use measures that augments the effectiveness of the interchange modification design.

The policies to be used to implement Goal 11-Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) are as follows:
11.1 Prolong the useful life of the state's investment in the Sublimity Interchange.
11.2 Control or decrees through access management measures, the number of conflict points on Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange.
11.3 Provide feasible and equitable driveway relocation alternatives for property owners with current direct access to Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange.
11.4 Balance the need for the interchange to support community development interests with the need for safe and efficient operation within the interchange area.
11.5 Establish agreements with local governments on how to effectively manage the long-term function of the interchange.
11.6 Monitor how the interchange capacity is managed through the cooperation with local governments.
11.7 Provide certainty for property and business owners and local governments.

## D. AMENMENTS TO THE CITY OF STAYTON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN.

To insure continuity exists between the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation Systems Plan amendments in the Comprehensive Plan will be incorporated in the TSP by way of resolution.

## E AGENCY COMMENTS

The public hearing of the IAMP resulted in comments from [insert names] and attach written comments as exhibits.

## F. PUBLIC COMMENTS

The publiç hearing of the IAMP, Comprehensive Plan, and TSP resulted in comments from [ insert names] and attach written comments as exhibits.

## ORDER

It is hereby found the proposed amendments to the City of Stayton's Comprehensive Plan, Transportation System Plan, and Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan meet Oregon Revised Statutes (OAR) 197 and OAR 734.051.0035.

APPROVED BY A 6-0 VOTE OF THE STAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION ON THIS $27^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF MARCH 2006.

Dated at Stayton Oregon this $\qquad$ day of March, 2006.


Chairperson, Carol Tower


Steve Goeckritz, Interim City Planner

$\frac{3-29.06}{\text { Date }}$
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## Executive Summary

The Sublimity Interchange, located at the junction of Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway in Marion County, Oregon, will be undergoing modifications and improvements. This Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) documents the land use and transportation strategies developed to protect the function of the Sublimity Interchange over the long-term (20-plus years) in light of these planned improvements, as directed by Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051-0155(6).

Evaluation of interchange ramp and bridge alternatives occurred as a result of earlier planning and design efforts; the interchange ramp and bridge design was approved through an Environmental Assessment (EA) by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in 1995. However, this study did evaluate the operational functioning of the ramp terminals, as proposed.

Operations analyses performed indicate that both interchange ramp terminals will require signalization by the year 2025. A signal was assumed at Whitney Drive in 2006, and the realignment of Golf Lane with Whitney Drive is assumed to take place within the 20-year timeframe of this project. Analysis indicates that some adjustments to the signalization at Cascade Highway and Shaff Road would be required in the future to synchronize signal operations along Cascade Highway.

Land use analysis conducted as part of the IAMP indicates the proposed facility would be adequate to handle proposed land uses as well as potential land uses that could arise from the conversion of land zoned for farm use subject to Measure 37. Future development of industrial and commercial properties would likely lead to the signalization of the new access for the backage road during the 20 -year timeframe

Alternatives analyzed for this IAMP were access-related in nature, and the preferred alternative package contains access management recommendations for Cascade Highway both north and south of the interchange within the 1,320-foot Interchange Area Management Area limits.

An effective access management strategy will help ensure compatibility between future transportation and land use needs (both local and regional) while optimizing mobility and safety conditions at the interchange and on Cascade Highway. This IAMP presents the following access management recommendations:

- A backage access road should be built behind the properties located northeast of the interchange, extending from Cascade Highway (at a point approximately 1,580 feet north of the interchange ramp terminus) to Sublimity Boulevard (at a point approximately 470 feet west of the Sublimity Boulevard/Cascade Highway intersection). Upon redevelopment, the properties located in this section would need to access the backage road instead of Cascade Highway. All private approaches to Cascade Highway in the Interchange Access Management Area Limit would be closed and access relocated to the backage road. These access recommendations are illustrated on Figure 4-9 of this document.
- The City of Sublimity should amend its Development Code to create an "Interchange Overlay Zone" that will prohibit future development on properties along Cascade Highway (northeast of the interchange) without the presence of an alternate access road.
- Access control should be purchased along the roadway property frontage of Tax Lot\# 091 W03A00100.The existing access serving this lot and Tax Lot\# 091W03A0200 will be allowed to retain access to Cascade Highway
- The existing approach serving Tax Lot\# 091W03A00300 will be consolidated with the approach serving Tax Lots\# 091W03A00200 and 091W03A00100.
- A frontage access road should be built from a point on Cascade Highway directly across from the proposed backage road (approximately 1,580 feet north of the interchange ramp terminus), to tie in with the existing driveway serving Tax Lots\# 091W03A00300, 091W03A00200, and 091W03A00100. The existing access currently serving Tax Lots\# 091 W 03 A 0200 and 091 W 03 A 00100 would be closed and access relocated to the frontage road.
- The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) should grant deviations for several accesses south of the interchange, including Whitney Drive, Golf Lane (with proviso that it be realigned, as agreed, with Whitney Drive as warranted in the future), and access to the ODOT Park-and-Ride lot.
- ODOT should grant deviations for Sublimity Boulevard and several private driveway accesses north of the interchange, based on the City of Sublimity Development Code changes noted earlier.
- ODOT should grant a deviation for the intersection of Sublimity Boulevard and the westbound interchange ramp terminals, as the future project is designed.
- The Fern Ridge Road and Santiam Street at-grade intersections should be modified or eliminated to improve access management and safety conditions along Oregon 22.

In addition to access management recommendations, this IAMP also includes the following physical improvement and traffic management recommendations:

- Reconstruct the Oregon 22 entrance ramps to provide standard merge operations onto Oregon 22.
- Widen Cascade Highway from and including Sublimity Boulevard through the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road intersection.
- Realign Golf Lane across from Whitney Street.
- Signalize the Oregon 22 ramp termini - Sublimity Boulevard/Cascade Highway intersection (north of interchange).
- Signalize the Oregon 22 ramp termini/Cascade Highway intersection (south of intersection).
- Signalize the Whitney Street - Golf Lane/Cascade Highway intersection
- Coordinate traffic signal operations along Cascade Highway due to the close spacing of signalized intersections.
- When traffic demand requires, install a right-turn pocket on the eastbound Oregon 22 exit ramp approach to Cascade Highway.
- When traffic demand requires, install right-turn pockets on the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road approaches to Cascade Highway.
- Provide bus and carpool service to the existing park-and-ride facility. This facility is not - CORRRT currently served by bus routes or formal carpool programs. Expansion of service to this facility would aide in managing traffic demand through the LAMP area.
- The Fern Ridge Road and Santiam Street at-grade intersections should be modified or eliminated to both for access management and safety along Oregon 22.

This document includes a complete description of the IAMP development process, land use assumptions, existing conditions analysis, access-related alternative evaluation, and traffic operations analysis. Short-term, medium-term, and long-term transportation strategies for the Sublimity Interchange Area are provided.

This IAMP was prepared in collaboration with ODOT, Marion County, the City of Stayton, and the City of Sublimity.

## SECTION 1

## Background

## Purpose and Intent

Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051-0155(6) states: "Interchange Area Management Plans are required for new interchanges and should be developed for significant modifications to existing interchanges..." This is a "project-based" IAMP, and is being prepared in accordance with the recommendation in the above OAR because of planned modifications and reconstruction of the Sublimity Interchange, located at the junction of Oregon 22 (North Santiam Highway) and Cascade Highway in Marion County, Oregon. The intent of the IAMP is to provide a consensus framework plan among all affected public jurisdictions and agencies to protect the state's investment in the Sublimity Interchange facility. Preparation of this document was conducted in accordance with state IAMP guidelines. ${ }^{1}$
This IAMP evaluates the transportation effects of the proposed interchange improvements and land use plans within the study area. Future commercial, industrial, and residential developments are expected to occur within the influence area of the interchange. The IAMP will recommend operational and physical improvements and access management techniques to maximize the operation of the interchange to accommodate future growth.

The purpose of this IAMP is to develop a strategy for the Sublimity Interchange that will protect the function of the interchange for at least 20 years. The Sublimity Interchange was proposed for modification and reconfiguration in the Joseph Street Environmental Assessment. ${ }^{2}$ The original design was subsequently revised and there is currently an interchange design scheduled for construction in 2008-2009 that includes improvements to the entrance ramps, but not the exit ramps.

## Problem Context

The current Sublimity Interchange poses safety and operational issues in that both the east and westbound entrance ramps to Oregon 22 terminate in stop signs. As a result, motorists are forced to quickly accelerate to highway speed from a complete stop. Westbound travelers must enter Oregon 22 traffic from a stop and accelerate up-hill, as the interchange is located at the top of a knoll. Traffic on Oregon 22 is traveling at 55 miles per hour or more, and during peak periods offers few breaks. Eastbound traffic enters on the top of a knoll, but with limited sight distance. Significant numbers of Stayton and Sublimity area residents traveling to jobs in the Salem area use the Sublimity Interchange.

[^0]The primary traffic issue within the interchange influence area is the location and function of access points to Cascade Highway. The Golf Lane access is very near the south side interchange ramp terminus. Currently, Golf Lane serves only a few houses. However, existing zoning would allow for more residential development, creating a potential operational conflict. Sublimity Boulevard, which provides access to the business cluster in the northwest quadrant of the interchange, is slightly off-set from the existing interchange ramp terminals on the north side of the interchange. In the southeeast quadrant, recent efforts have been made to improve traffic operations and control the access to Cascade Highway by prohibiting left turns to Martin Street. There is a traffic signal at the intersection of Fern Ridge Road-Shaff Road and Cascade Highway. On the north side of the interchange, the roadway is a two lane road, with a paved shoulder on the west side. Bicycle lanes are provided from Division Street through Sublimity.

## Project History and Phasing

The planned modification and reconstruction of the Sublimity Interchange is part of a much larger ODOT undertaking, the Joseph Street-North Stayton City Limits project (henceforth referred to as the "Joseph Street project"). The Joseph Street project was conceived in the late 1980s and the Environmental Analysis for the project was approved by the Federal Highway Administration in 1995.

The selected alternative of the Joseph Street project entailed the following transportation improvements:

- Conversion of approximately 8.5 miles of two-lane Oregon 22 to a four-lane divided highway. Includes a 100 -foot separation between the centerline of the westbound and the centerline of the eastbound travel lanes (to permit future expansion to a six-lane roadway within the right-of-way required for this project.
- Construction of new interchanges at Aumsville-Shaw Highway and Golf Club Road;
- Reconstruction/modification of existing interchanges at Silver Creek Falls and Cascade Highways (Sublimity Interchange).
- Implementation of full access control.
- Construction of new frontage roads.

Joseph Street project improvements were designed to be constructed in two major phases.

- Phase 1, which has already been completed, included the widening of Oregon 22 to four lanes between Joseph Street to MP 12, the construction of new interchanges at Aumsville-Shaw Highway and Golf Club Road, and the construction of Golf Lane (to provide access for properties whose approaches to Oregon 22 were removed).
- Phase 2, yet to be completed, will occur in two stages: Stage 1 entails the reconstruction/modification of the Sublimity Interchange; Stage 2 entails the widening of Oregon 22 from two to four lanes from MP 12 to MP 14.1.
- Stage 1 is currently underway, with physical improvements to the Sublimity Interchange programmed to begin in 2008. The proposed improvements will provide for standard merge entrance ramp operations. This IAMP is being prepared as part of Stage 1. Stage 1 improvements are shown on Figure 1-1.
- Stage 2 will be completed when necessary funding is available. Stage 2 improvements are shown on Figure 1-2.
The project area was identified as Oregon 22 between milepoint (MP) 5.16 and MP 14.1. The Joseph Street project entailed the following proposed improvements: widening Oregon 22 to four lanes in the project area; installing complete access control; and "Phase 1", already completed, entailed the segment of Oregon 22 from Joseph Street (MP 5.44) eastward to the MP 12.


## Interchange Function

Oregon 22 is an Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) designated expressway, and is classified by the Oregon Highway Plan as a highway of statewide significance and a Statewide Freight Route. Oregon 22 is also part of the National Highway System (NHS). Oregon 22 serves as a major connector between the Mid-Willamette Valley and Central Oregon.
Functional classifications of roadways in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange are summarized in Table 1-1.

TABLE ${ }^{1-1}$
Roadway Functional Classifications
Roadway Functional Classification

| Oregon 22 (North Santiam Highway) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cascade Highway (outside city limits) | Arterial** |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Street (Cascade Highway south of Oregon 22) | Principal Arteria\|*** |
| Center Street (Cascade Highway north of Oregon 22) | Arterial |
| Fem Ridge Road | Major Collector** |
| Shaff Road | Minor Arterial ${ }^{\text {t+4 }}$ |
| Martin Drive | Local*** |
| Whitney Street | Local ${ }^{\text {4* }}$ |
| Golf Lane | Local**********) |
| Sublimity Boulevard | Local***********) |
| 9th Street | Local** |

TABLE 1-1
Roadway Functional Classifications

| Roadway | Functional Classification |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sources: |  |
| Oregon Highway Design Manual (2003) |  |
| * Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan (1998) |  |
| *** Stayton Transportation System Plan Final Draft (2004) |  |
| *** Sublimity Transportation System Plan (1998) |  |

The Sublimity Interchange is an important facility for the communities of Stayton and Sublimity, and serves the following functions:

- Commercial/Industrial: The interchange directly serves the downtowns of each community, and the businesses therein. As the commercial and industrial-zoned areas of these communities continue to develop, the Sublimity Interchange will increasingly function as an integral economic development asset.
- Residential Commuting: A significant number of Stayton and Sublimity residents utilize the interchange to access Oregon 22 for their daily commutes into the Salem-Keizer area.
- Agricultural: The interchange serves a farm-to-market function for the numerous agricultural operations in the area.

Sublimity Interchange modifications and associated local improvements must be planned and implemented to accommodate the multi-functional nature of the interchange.

## Goals and Objectives

It is the goal of this IAMP to propose access management, construction and land use measures to interactively augment the effectiveness of the interchange modification design. This report documents the results of the project planning process used to achieve this stated goal for the Sublimity Interchange.
As stated in Policy 3C of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan, "it is the policy of the State of Oregon to plan for and manage grade-separated interchange areas to ensure safe and efficient operation between connecting roadways". From this definition and a consideration of project-specific local transportation issues, the generalized objectives of the Sublimity IAMP are to:

- Prolong the useful life of the state's investment in the Sublimity Interchange
- Control or decrease, through access management measures, the number of conflict points on Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange
- Provide feasible and equitable driveway relocation alternatives for property owners with current direct access to Cascade Highway
- Balance the need for the interchange to support community development interests with the need for safe and efficient operation within the interchange area
- Establish agreements with local governments on how to effectively manage the longterm function of the interchange
- Monitor how the interchange capacity is managed through cooperation with local governments
- Provide certainty for property and business owners and local governments


## IAMP Study Area

The Sublimity IAMP project study area is comprised of Oregon 22 between the Golf Club Road Interchange and the Mill Creek Bridge on Oregon 22, and Cascade Highway between SW 9th Street in Sublimity and Fern Ridge Road SE-Shaff Road in Stayton. The study area is shown on Figure 1-3.

Cascade Highway is alternatively called, respectively, Center Street inside Sublimity city limits and North 1st Avenue inside Stayton city limits. The study area encompasses the southern portion of the City of Sublimity, the northeastern portion of the City of Stayton, and portions of unincorporated Marion County.

The boundaries of the IAMP study area were developed based on a review of the surrounding roadway network and land use patterns as well as existing and future travel patterns. The parameters of the study area take into account:

- Required state IAMP regulations
- Required state access management regulations: the IAMP study area includes all land uses and roadways located within 1,320 feet of the existing Sublimity Interchange. This distance corresponds to the spacing standard outlined in the OAR 734-051 Division 51 rules for interchange ramps.
- Transportation facilities and traffic operations
- The mutual impact of existing natural and cultural resources
- The mutual impact of existing and planned land uses


## Related Work Products

- The Oregon 22 Sublimity Interchange modernization project is an approved project in the 2006-2009 Draft STIP. The project is described in the SIIP as a "Partial Interchange Reconstruction". This IAMP is part of the final planning for this project.
- Integral to the preparation of this LAMP is the Joseph Street - North Stayton City Limits Environmental Assessment ${ }^{3}$. This EA and subsequent REA, which were approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), provide the rationale for Sublimity

[^1]Interchange area improvements and serves to document the determination that project actions will not have a significant impact on the human environment.

- The City of Stayton Transportation System Plan (TSP) lists two Capital Improvement Projects (aside from the Sublimity Interchange project) that are located in the Sublimity Interchange influence area. These are excerpted below:
- Cascade Highway/1st Avenue Widening from Highway 22 to Regis Street - widen to 5 lanes with sidewalks.
- Cascade Highway/Whitney Street signalization with EB and WB Left Turn Lanes and Realign Golf Lane. ${ }^{4}$

Both of the above projects were factored into the operational analysis and alternatives decision-making process for this IAMP.

- The City of Sublimity Transportation System Plan lists the City's objectives for street network circulation and access management in the immediate vicinity north of the Sublimity Interchange.


## Public Involvement

The purpose of the public involvement program is to build a planning process that (1) balances the needs and issues of residences and businesses in the Sublimity Interchange area, including those who depend on the highway, and (2) has the informed support and acceptance of these communities and interests. One key goal of the program is to elicit public discussion of the issues affecting the selection of access relocation alternatives to ensure future safe and efficient conditions in the vicinity of the Sublimity friterchange along Cascade Highway.

Detailed discussion and results of the public involvement process for the Sublimity IAMP project is given in Appendix $A$ of this document.

[^2]
## SECTION 2

# Existing Conditions Inventory and Data Analysis 

## Regulatory Framework

The Sublimity IAMP study area contains land from three jurisdictions: Marion County, the City of Sublimity, and the City of Stayton. IAMP improvements are therefore subject to applicable land use regulations for each jurisdiction, as well as state and federal regulations.

State, county, and local regulations pertaining to IAMP actions are addressed in the Plan and Policy Review, located in Appendix B.

## Existing Land Use

The Sublimity IAMP study area contains a mixture of urban and agricultural land uses. The Sublimity Interchange lies between the communities of Stayton (population 7,360) and Sublimity (population 2,160 ) ${ }^{5}$. In the immediate vicinity the land is used primarily for agricultural purposes. A general description of land uses in the study area is as follows:

- NW Quadrant: commercial and light industrial uses along Sublimity Boulevard; lowdensity single-family residences along Cascade Highway.
- NE Quadrant: farm use.
- SW Quadrant: farm use; a few single-family residences.
- SW Quadrant: state-owned park-and-ride lot; medium-density single and multi-family residences; commercial establishments; elder-care residential facility.


## Comprehensive Plan Designations

Comprehensive Plan land use designations in Marion County, the City of Stayton, and the City of Sublimity are coincident with the zoning designations for these respective public agencies. Relevant zoning district designations are addressed below.

## Zoning Designations

Planned interchange improvements will take place solely within unincorporated Marion County, and will therefore only be subject to applicable Marion County zoning code regulations. However, longer-term IAMP recommendations will involve utilizing land inside Sublimity and/or Stayton jurisdictional limits. Additionally, the potential for

[^3]increased traffic in the interchange is tied to the type and intensity of development allowed in each of the zoning districts in the IAMP study area. For this reason, IAMP study area zoning regulations for Sublimity and Stayton are addressed here in addition to Marion County.

Zoning designations in the Sublimity IAMP study area are shown in Figure 2-1.

## Marion County Zoning

Existing Marion County zoning districts in the study area are as follows:

- Exclusive Farm Use (EFU)
- Urban Transitional Farm (UTF)


## Project Relevant Issues

- Public road improvements are permitted outright in all Marion County zones providing that such improvements are in conformance with the "applicable comprehensive plan and the standards of the Department of Public Works" [per Marion County Zoning Ordinance 25.10(b)]. The Marion County Comprehensive Plan does not contain any language constraining transportation improvements in an EFU zone. Therefore, Marion County zoning regulations do not constrain planned Sublimity Interchange improvements.


## City of Stayton Zoning

Existing City of Stayton zoning districts in the Sublimity LAMP study area are as follows:

- Commercial-Retail (CR)
- Interchange Development (ID)
- High-Density Residential (HD)
- Multiple-Family Residential (MD)
- Single-Family Residential (LD)


## Project Relevant Issues

- Public road improvements are permitted outright in the CR and ID zones [per Stayton Development Code 17.16.690.2(j) and 17.16.710.2(i), respectively].
- Public road improvements are conditionally permitted in the HD, MD, and LD zones [per Stayton Development Code 17.16.680.3(f), 17.16.670.3(b), and 17.16.660.3(b), respectively]. Although no IAMP improvements are planned to occur in any of these three zones, any change which results in transportation-related public improvements in these zones would be subject to site review by the City of Stayton.


## City of Sublimity Zoning

Existing City of Sublimity zoning districts in the Sublimity LAMP study area are as follows:

- Commercial (COM)
- Industrial (IND)
- Future Industrial
- Low-Density Residential (R-1)
- Medium-Density Residential (R-2)


## Project Relevant Issues

- Public road improvements are permitted outright in all City of Sublimity zones [per Sublimity Development Code 2.403.01. DI provided that "the right of way is not expanded to more width than prescribed for the street in the Public Facilities segment of the Comprehensive Plan."


## Transportation Facilities and Traffic Operations

This section summarizes the existing transportation conditions within the study area, provides assumptions and methodologies to be used in the traffic operational analyses, and catalogues existing transportation system facilities and services. To the extent possible, physical as well as operational characteristics of the roadways, intersections and transportation services are described.

## Existing Transportation Facilities

## Vehicular Facilities

The following section describes the existing physical characteristics of the study area roadways and the study intersections. Figure 2-2 shows traffic control and channelization in the study intersection.

- Oregon 22 is the major east-west highway in Marion County. It is located between the cities of Stayton and Sublimity, and is connected to both cities via an interchange at Cascade Highway. In addition to the Cascade Highway interchange, two other interchange locations, Golf Club Road and Fern Ridge Road, provide access to and from Stayton and Sublimity. Santiam Street provides a fourth connection between Oregon 22 and the City of Stayton. Golf Club Road and Cascade Highway are full interchanges, while Fern Ridge Road and Santiam Street are stop controlled at-grade intersections located east of the study interchange.

Both the east- and westbound Oregon 22 entrance ramps terminate in stop signs. Drivers enter Oregon 22 traffic from a full stop (right-turn only), and are required to accelerate up-hill due to the topography of the highway. In the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange, Oregon 22 is a two-lane undivided, access controlled highway. The posted speed limit is 55 mph .
The Oregon 22 eastbound exit ramp terminates in a shared left and right turn lane that is stop controlled at Cascade Highway. At this intersection, Cascade Highway has one
through travel lane in each direction, with a shared through and right-turn lane for southbound travel and a shared through and left-turn lane for northbound travel.

The Oregon 22 westbound exit ramp forms the east leg of the Cascade Highway/Sublimity Boulevard intersection. It consists of a single lane with shared left, through and right-turn movements. The ramp is stop controlled at its approach to Cascade Highway. At this intersection, Cascade Highway has one travel lane in each direction, with a shared through and left-lane for northbound travel and a shared left, through and right-turn lane for southbound travel.

- Cascade Highway is a major north-south arterial that provides the primary access to the cities of Sublimity and Stayton from Oregon 22.

North of Oregon 22, Cascade Highway is a two lane roadway with paved asphalt shoulders on its west side. The posted speed limit on the minor arterial is 45 mph .

South of Oregon 22, Cascade Highway is generally a two lane roadway with paved asphalt shoulders. Left turn pockets are provided at the Whitney Street and Shaff RoadFern Ridge Road intersections. As described in the Pedestrian Facilities section, a portion of Cascade Highway, from Whitney Street to the south, is served by a sidewalk. The posted speed limit on Cascade Highway is 45 mph .

Between the Oregon 22 eastbound ramps and Whitney Street, the width of Cascade Highway is less than the City of Stayton's standard street width of 40 feet for principal arterials. Two bridges are located on Cascade Highway within the City of Stayton project limits. Mill Creek Crossing occurs south of Golf Lane and Lucas Ditch crossing occurs south of Martin Drive.

- 9th Street forms a T-intersection with Cascade Highway in the southern portion of Sublimity and provides access to a residential area. All approaches to the intersection are single lanes, with no dedicated turn pockets. The west approach to the intersection is stop controlled.
- Sublimity Boulevard is located just north of Oregon 22, and aligns with the interchange's westbound ramps. All approaches to the intersection are single lanes, with no dedicated turn pockets. The east leg is comprised of the Oregon 22 westbound ramps. The west leg is a two lane roadway providing access to the business cluster in the northwest quadrant of the interchange including an insurance agency, motorcycle/car dealerships, a tire shop, and a hotel. The east and west approaches to the intersection are stop controlled.
- Golf Lane, located just south of Oregon 22, is a two lane local roadway. At Cascade Highway, a shared right-left turn lane is stop controlled. Cascade Highway has a shared through-right lane for southbound travel and a shared through-left lane for northbound travel. Currently this road serves a small number of residences; however, the adjacent undeveloped parcels are zoned for additional housing development. Across Cascade Highway from this intersection is the access to an ODOT park-and-ride lot.
- Whitney Street consists of one travel lane in each direction. At Cascade Highway, a shared right-left turn lane is stop controlled. Cascade Highway has a shared through-
right lane for northbound travel and a through lane with a left-turn pocket for southbound travel. Further to the east of Martin Drive, Whitney Street connects to a single family residential area. Adjacent to Cascade Highway, Whitney Street services commercial and retail properties. The Whitney Street intersection with Cascade Highway is scheduled to be signalized in 2006.
- Martin Drive is a right turn in, right turn out only connection to Cascade Highway with access to Whitney Street. The area served by Martin Drive and Whitney Street is primarily a small commercial/retail base area that includes a gas station with a minimart, fast-food restaurant, liquor store, and pharmacy.
- Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road provides a key east-west route in northern Stayton and helps relieve traffic congestion through the City. It is a two lane roadway with left-turn pockets at the signalized intersection with Cascade Highway.


## Truck Routes

Through the project area, Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway are designated as truck routes. Oregon 22 is also designated as a Freight Route in the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan. Truck routes designated by the City of Stayton serve the following areas: the industrial area on the west side of the city, NORPAC in central Stayton, and Morse Brothers south of Stayton. Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road is a designated City of Stayton truck route.

## Bicycle Facilities

Dedicated bicycle lanes are provided on Cascade Highway from the northern portion of the study area (vicinity of Division Street) through the City of Sublimity. Through the remainder of the IAMP study area, bicyclists must utilize the available roadway shoulders or share the vehicle travel lanes.

Bicycle lanes are also provided on a segment of Fern Ridge Road, east of Cascade Highway to Wildflower Drive.

## Pedestrian Facilities

A sidewalk is provided on the east side of Cascade Highway from just north of Whitney Street continuing south through the City of Stayton. Throughout the remainder of the study area, pedestrians on Cascade Highway must utilize the available roadway shoulders.
Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road and Whitney Street also have a sidewalk on the south side of the roadway, while Maxtin Drive has a sidewalk on the north side.

## Public Transportation \& Other Alternative Modes

Transit service in the Stayton/Sublimity area is provided by the Chemketa Area Regional Transit Service (CARTS) and the Wheels of Joy dial-a-ride system for disabled persons. Based on current and future ridership projections, neither would have a significant effect on area traffic patterns.

Laidlaw Transit provides bus service for the North Santiam School District. Within the study area, Cascade Highway and Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road are designated as school bus routes.

A Park-and-Ride facility with 94 stalls and semi-covered bicycle racks is located in the southeast quadrant of the Oregon 22/Cascade Highway interchange.

## Rail/Pipelines/Others

Willamette Valley Railroad services the City of Stayton on a spur line originating in Woodburn. Two to three trips per week currently service the area. Two at-grade crossings, Washington Street at NORPAC and Locust Street/Wilco Road), are located south and west of the IAMP study area, respectively.

The City of Sublimity has no railroad service.
There are no airports in the direct vicinity of the study area. A full service commercial airport is located in Salem, approximately 15 miles to the east. Several small private airstrips and a heliport at the Santiam Hospital are within a 20 mile radius.

## Existing Facilities Deficiencies

Deficiencies in the existing transportation network are outlined in the Marion County and City of Stayton Dreft Transportation System Plans. These deficiencies are noted below:

Missing sidewalk links within the study area include:

- Shaff Road - north side from Stayton Middle School to Cascade Highway
- Fern Ridge Road - north side Cascade Highway to west of Summerview Way
- Cascade Highway - west side from Oregon 22 to Shaff Road
- Cascade Highway - east side from north of Whitney to Oregon 22

Arterials and Collectors with deficient pavement widths include:

- Shaff Road from western UGB to west of Cascade Highway
- Cascade Highway south of Oregon 22 Eastbound Ramps to Whitney Street


## Existing Traffic Conditions

## Traffic Operations Performance Measures

Operational performance measures are outlined below for the three jurisdictions within the study area: ODOT, City of Stayton and City of Sublimity.

## ODOT

The intersections and approaches adjacent to the Oregon 22 interchange are under ODOT jurisdiction.

The Oregon Highway Plan outlines specific performance measures to be maintained along ODOT facilities as part of their Mobility Standards. These standards are intended to maintain mobility along important roadway sections and vary according to functional classification, location, and role within the National Highway System. Table 2-1 summarizes the mobility standards set by the Oregon Highway Plan.

TABLE 2-1
Maximum Volume to Capacity Ratios for Peak Hour Operating Conditions Outside of the Portland Metropolitan Area

| Highway | Land Use TypelSpeed Limits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inside Urban Growth Boundary |  |  |  | Outside Urban Growth Boundary |  |
|  | STAs | MPO | Non-MPO outside of STAs where non-freeway speed limit $<45 \mathrm{mph}$ | Non-MPO where non freeway speed limit $>=45 \mathrm{mph}$ | Unincorporated Communities | Rural Lands |
| Interstate Highways and Statewide (NHS) Expressways | N/A | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Statewide (NHS) Freight Routes | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Statewide (NHS) NonFreight Routes and Regional or District Expressways | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
| Regional Highways | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
| Districtlocal Interest Roads | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.75 |

Source: 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP).
Interstates and Expressways shall not be identified as Special Transportation Areas (STAs). For the purpose of this mobility policy of volume-to-capacity ratio standards, the peak hour shatl be the 30th highest annual hour. This approximates weekday peak hour traffic in farger urban areas.

- Oregon 22, North Santiam Highway is a Statewide Expressway and NHS Freight route, non-MPO within the UGB with a speed $>45 \mathrm{mph}$. The maximum acceptable $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio for this facility is 0.70 .
- The interchange ramps with Oregon 22 at Cascade Highway have a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.85 given their categorization as District/Local Interest Roads and Inside Urban Growth Boundary, non-MPO where non-freeway speed limit $<45 \mathrm{mph}$.
- Cascade Highway at the interchange ramps with Oregon 22 have a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.75 given its categorization as Regional Highway and Inside Urban Growth Boundary, non-MPO where non-freeway speed limit $>=45 \mathrm{mph}$.


## City of Stayton

The intersections south of the Oregon 22 interchange are within City of Stayton jurisdiction.
The City of Stayton TSP, Section 3 (Transportation Goals and Policies) establishes level of service standards for the City of Stayton as follows:

- Signalized Intersections - Level-of-Service D
- Unsignalized Intersections - Level-of-Service E


## City of Sublimity

The intersections north of the Sublimity Interchange are within the City of Sublimity jurisdiction. Marion County mobility standards were applied to these intersections.

- Signalized Intersections - Level-of-Service D
- 4-way Stop Controlled Intersections - Level-of-Service D
- 2-way Stop Controlled Intersections - Level-of-Service E


## Existing Traffic Volumes

Manual turning movement counts were collected at eight intersections along Cascade Highway on February 3, 2005. The duration of each intersection count is shown below:

- Cascade Highway and 9th Street: 4 hours from 6 AM to 10 AM.
- Cascade Highway and Sublimity Boulevard: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.
- Cascade Highway and Oregon 22 Eastbound ramps: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.
- Cascade Highway and Oregon 22 Westbound ramps: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM .
- Cascade Highway and Golf Lane: 4 hours from 6 AM to 10 AM.
- Cascade Highway and Whitney Street: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.
- Cascade Highway and Martin Drive: 4 hours from 6 AM to 10 AM.
- Cascade Highway and Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.

These intersections were included in the IAMP scope of work to represent the influence area of the Sublimity Interchange. In addition to these intersections, the Oregon 22 operations at the interchange entrance ramps were analyzed utilizing the ODOT highway traffic data. The vehicle turning movement data is compiled in Appendix C.

Peak hour turning movement counts were seasonally adjusted to represent the 30 th Highest Hour design volumes based on ODOT's permanent Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) at station \# 22-010, which is located on a segment of highway that closely resembles the traffic operations and geometric characteristics of Oregon 22.

The seasonal factor for volumes on Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway was interpolated from values between February 1 and February 15, 2003. The average seasonal factor was calculated to be 1.19.

The derived 30 th Highest Hour design volumes were then balanced along Cascade Highway between adjacent study intersections. The directional traffic volumes were adjusted until the difference between them was less than 10 percent. The derived traffic volumes at the study intersections are shown in Figure 2-3.

## Existing Intersection Operations

Existing (2005) V/C ratios, level-of-service and vehicle queues were computed for the eight study intersections and Oregon 22 entrance ramps based on the 30th Highest Hour design volumes. Table 2-2 shows the results of the existing operations analyses. All locations that do not meet the applicable jurisdiction's standards are highlighted in the table. Appendix D includes the traffic operations worksheets for the existing 30 th highest hour conditions.

A Synchro model was constructed for the study area based on the collected traffic turning movement counts balanced to the 30th Highest Hour design volumes, peak hour factors, truck percentages and field observations.

The Synchro model uses the methodology in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual to analyze both signalized and stop-controlled intersections. The model also computes the level-ofservice (LOS) and volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ) ratio necessary to determine whether the intersection meets the applicable mobility standards from the local municipalities and the Oregon Highway Plan.

TABLE 2-2
Existing intersection Analysis Summary 2005 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Ratio }^{\text {V/ }}]{ }$ | $\operatorname{LoS}^{2}$ | Queue (veh) ${ }^{2,3}$ | Mobidity Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\underset{\text { Ratio }^{2}}{\text { VIC }}$ | LOS ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queue } \\ & \text { (veh })^{23} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon 22 Approaches |  |  |  | Ramp Approaches |  |  |  |
| Oregon 22 eastbound entrance ramp | 0.70 | 0.30 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.40 | - | 4 |
| Oregon 22 westbound | 0.70 | 0.41 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.74 | - | 8 |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Higtway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | B | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity Boulevard/ Oregon 22 westbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.04 | - | 1 | 0.85 | 0.57 | - | 3 |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 westbound entrance ramp | 0.75 | 0.46 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 eastbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.30 | - | 2 | 0.85 | >1.0 | - | 15 |
| Cascade Highway at Golf Lane | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | D | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at Whitney Street | $E$ | - | B | 2 | E | - | F | 19 |
| Cascade Highway at Martin Drive | - | - | - | - | E | - | B | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at Shaff Road-Fem Ridge Road | D | - | E | 31 | D | - | D | 17 |

TABLE 2-2
Existing Intersection Analysis Summary
2005 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobllity <br> Standard | V/C <br> Ratio | LOS $^{2}$ | Queue <br> (veh) $^{23}$ | Mobility <br> Standard | V/C <br> Ratio | LOS $^{2}$ | Queue <br> (veh) $^{2,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1 ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-so-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service.
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics.
3 ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2-minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95th percentile queues.

## Existing Operational Deficiencies

The results of the existing operational analyses show that two stop-controlled intersection approaches to Cascade Highway, the Oregon 22 eastbound exit ramp and Whitney Street, operate below the required mobility standards. Both approaches are characterized by extensive vehicle queuing during the design hour. Additionally, although the overall intersection LOS of Cascade Highway at Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road is at an acceptable LOS D, the Cascade Highway approaches operate at LOS E, with significant vehicle queuing.

## Existing Safety Conditions

## Accident and Safety Analysis

A summary of the accidents within the study area was prepared for the period between January 1, 1999 and December 31, 2003.

Table 2-3 summarizes the accidents along Oregon 22 between mileposts 12.00 and 14.50 . For reference, the approximate locations of the existing Oregon 22 entrance and exit ramps are as follows:

- Eastbound Exit ramp - MP 13.0
- Eastbound Entrance ramp - MP 13.2
- Westbound Exit ramp - MP 13.4
- Westbound Entrance ramp - MP 13.5
- Fern Ridge Road intersection - MP 14.3

TABLE 2-3
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Oregon 22 Mainline Crash Rates and Severity of Accidents

| Milepost |  | Segment Featares | 1999-2003 <br> Average <br> Annual <br> Daily Traffic <br> (AADT) | Number of Accidents (Over 5 Years) |  |  | Total Crashes | Average Annual | Average Annual Crash Rate (Crashes per Million VehicteMiles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  |  | Property Damage Only | Injury | Fatal |  |  |  |
| 12.00 | 12.50 | mainline section west of Sublimity Interchange | 12,120 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.27 |
| 12.50 | 13.00 | Eastbound exit ramp | 11,880 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.18 |
| 13.00 | 13.50 | Easthound entrance ramp \& westbound exit ramp | 11,880 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 19 | 3.80 | 1.75 |
| 13.50 | 14.00 | westbound entrance ramp | 11,880 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.55 |
| 14.00 | 14.50 | Fem Ridge Road intersection | 11,060 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 2.20 | 1.09 |
| 12.00 | 14.50 | - | 11,764 | 17 | 21 | 3 | 41 | 8.20 | 0.76 |

A total of 41 crashes were reported along Oregon 22 between milepost 12.00 and 14.50 during the five year study period. Approximately half of the crashes occurred in the direct vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange and slightly over one-fourth occurred at the Fern Ridge Road intersection. Three fatality accidents occurred within the interchange vicinity during the study timeframe. The remainder of the accidents was roughly evenly split between property damage only and injury only.
The 2003 Crash Rates by Jurisdiction and Functional Classification table from the ODOT 2003 Crash Rate Tables indicates an average crash rate for "other freeways/expressways" as 0.87 crashes per million vehicle-miles. The half-mile segments immediately surrounding the Sublimity Interchange (between mileposts 13.00 and 13.50 ) and the Fern Ridge Road intersection (between mileposts 14.00 and 14.50) experience a higher than average crash rate (1.09.). However, the rate for the overall Oregon 22 area surrounding the Sublimity Interchange (0.76) remains below this average rate.

Tables 2-4 and 2-5 provide details of the conditions during the accidents as well as the type and severity.

TABLE 2-4
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Oregon 22 Crash Conditions

| Condition | Number of Accidents | Oregon 22 Mainline |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Total |  |  |
| Weather |  |  |
| Clear | 28 | $68.3 \%$ |
| Cloudy | 7 | $17.1 \%$ |
| Rain | 4 | $9.8 \%$ |
| Snow | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 2 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Roadway Surface |  |  |
| Dry | 34 | $82.9 \%$ |
| Wet | 5 | $12.2 \%$ |
| lce | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Snow | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 2 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Light |  |  |
| Day | 28 | $68.3 \%$ |
| Dimly Lit | 3 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Dark | 6 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Dusk | 1 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Dawn |  | $2.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

TABLE 2-5
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Oregon 22 Crash Descriptions

| Condition | Oregon 22 Mainline |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Accidents | Percentage of Total |
| Cotlision Type |  |  |
| Rear End | 12 | 29.3\% |
| Tuming | 6 | 14.6\% |
| Fixed Object | 8 | 19.5\% |
| Sideswipe | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Struck at Angle | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Pedestrian | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Head On | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Other | 5 | 12.2\% |
| Severity |  |  |
| PDO | 17 | 41.5\% |
| Injury only | 21 | 51.2\% |
| Fatality | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Crash Cause |  |  |
| Failed to yield right-of-way | 8 | 19.5\% |
| Other - improper driving | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Speed too fast for conditions | 18 | 43.9\% |
| Followed too closely | 5 | 12.2\% |
| Made improper turn | 2 | 4.9\% |
| Alcohol or drugs involved | 2 | 4.9\% |
| Mechanical defect | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Drove on Wrong Side of 2Way Rd | 1 | 2.4\% |
| No Code | 3 | 7.3\% |

The majority of the accidents on Oregon 22 occurred during clear, dry, daylight conditions. A factor in many of the accidents involved speeding and failure to appropriately yield. The stop-controlled entrance ramp configuration is likely a large contributing factor to these accidents, as vehicles enter the highway from a complete stop.

Table 2-6 summarizes the accidents along Cascade Highway between milepoints 0.61 and 1.59. Marion County utilizes milepoints to represent distances on county roads; therefore, each 0.01 milepoint is approximately 50 feet. For reference, the approximate milepoint locations of intersections along Cascade Highway are listed below:

- Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road - Milepoint 0.61
- Eastbound Entrance/Exit ramp - Milepoint 1.09
- Sublimity Blvd - Milepoint 1.20
- 9th Street - Milepoint 1.59

TABLE 2-6
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Cascade Highway Mainline Crash Rates and Severity of Accidents

| Milepost |  | Segment Features | 1999-2003 <br> Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) | Number of Accidents (Over 5 Years) |  |  | Total Crashes | Average Annual | Average Annual Crash Rate (Crashes per Million VehicleMiles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  |  | Property Damage Only | Injury | Fatal |  |  |  |
| 0.60 | 0.85 | Shaff Road/ Fern Ridge Road | 12,020 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 2.00 | 1.82 |
| 0.85 | 1.10 | Easthound entrancelex it ramp | 11,780 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.56 |
| 1.10 | 1.35 | Sublimity Blvd/westb ound ramps | 9,310 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 3.20 | 3.77 |
| 1.35 | 1.60 | 9th Street | 7,920 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.80 | 1.11 |
| 0.60 | 1.60 | - | 10,260 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 6.60 | 1.76 |

A total of 33 crashes were reported along Cascade Highway between Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road and 9th Street during the five year study period. Half of the accidents occurred near the intersection of Cascade Highway and Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound exit ramp, and just under one-third of the total accidents occurred in the vicinity of the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road intersection. No fatality accidents occurred along the one mile study corridor within the study timeframe. Of the 33 crashes, approximately two thirds resulted in property damage only while the remaining one third resulted in injuries.

Cascade Highway north of Oregon 22 is categorized as an arterial. The average crash rate for Suburban Non-Freeway Arterials is 0.60 crashes per million vehicle-miles. Both quartermile segments north of the interchange experience accidents at a rate higher than average 2003 Oregon crash rates. The segment immediately north of the interchange (including the intersection with Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound exit ramp) is over five times as great as this average. Cascade Highway south of Oregon 22 is categorized as a principal arterial. The average crash rate for this type of segment is 1.34 crashes per million vehiclemiles. The quarter-mile segment that includes the intersection of Shaff Road/Fern Ridge

Road experiences a higher than average crash rate, but overall, the southern portion of Cascade Highway remains below the average rate.

Tables 2.7 and $2-8$ provide details of the conditions during the accidents as well as the type and severity of accidents along Cascade Highway.

TABLE 2-7
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Cascade Highway Crash Conditions

|  | Cascade Hwy Mainline |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | Number of <br> Accidents | Percentage of Total |
| Weather |  |  |
| Clear | 22 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Cloudy | 6 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Rain | 3 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Snow | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 2 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Roadway Surface | 27 | $81.8 \%$ |
| Dry | 6 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Wet | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| lce | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Snow | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown |  |  |
| Llght | 31 | $93.9 \%$ |
| Day | 1 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Dimly Lit | 1 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Dark | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Dusk | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Dawn |  |  |

TABLE 2-B
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 turough December 31, 2003
Cascade Highway Crash Descriptions

| Condition | Cascade Hwy Mainline |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Accidents | Number of Accidents |
| Collision Type |  |  |
| Rear End | 17 | 51.5\% |
| Turning | 8 | 24.2\% |
| Fixed Object | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Sideswipe | 1 | 3.0\% |
| Struck at Angle | 6 | 18.2\% |
| Pedestrian | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Head On | 1 | 3.0\% |
| Other | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Severity |  |  |
| Property damage only | 21 | 63.6\% |
| Injury only | 12 | 36.4\% |
| Fatality | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Crash Cause |  |  |
| Failed to yield right-of-way | 13 | 39.4\% |
| Other - improper driving | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Speed too fast for conditions | 15 | 45.5\% |
| Followed too closely | 4 | 12.1\% |
| Made improper turn | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Alcohol or drugs involved | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Mechanical defect | 1 | 3.0\% |
| Drove on Wrong Side of 2 Way Rd | 0 | 0.0\% |
| No Code | 0 | 0.0\% |

Similar to Oregon 22, the majority of the accidents on Cascade Highway occurred during clear, dry, daylight conditions. Over half of the accidents on Cascade Highway involved rear end collisions. Speed and failure to yield to the right-of-way were factors in almost eighty-five percent of the accidents.

Access management requirements and deficiencies for the Sublimity Interchange are described in Section 4 of this report.

## Natural and Cultural Resources

The Sublimity IAMP study area contains land from three local jurisdictions: Marion County, the City of Sublimity, and the City of Stayton. Project improvements could therefore potentially trigger environmental protection regulations of any or all of these jurisdictions, as well as State and Federal regulations. This section examines the existence of natural and cultural resources in the study area and related potential project constraints presented

The existence of any Goal 5 resources are addressed in this section. Goal 5 is a broad Oregon statewide planning goal that covers a variety of environmental and other resources. Goal 5 and related Oregon Administrative Rules (Chapter 660, divisions 16 and 23) describe how cities and counties are to plan and zone land to conserve resources listed in the goal.

## Topography

The topography of the study area contains both flat and low rolling terrain. The main feature of the landscape is Mill Creek, which runs primarily east-west through the study area. Low ridges step up from the flatlands adjacent to the creek. Topography in the immediate vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange is described by quadrant below.

## NE Quadrant of Interchange

From the point where it passes under Oregon 22 northward, Cascade Highway SE ascends approximately 50 feet in less than a quarter-mile, dips slightly, then rises again as it intersects with 9 th Street in Sublimity.

## SE Quadrant of Interchange

The southeast quadrant of the interchange contains the flat floodplain and wetland area adjacent to Mill Creek. A subdivision with new homes on fill materials is located in the floodplain depression. Moving southward toward the center of Stayton, a low ridge exists with an elevation gain of approximately 50 feet.

## SW Quadrant of Interchange

The ridge that described in the NE Quadrant is present to the southwest of the interchange and presents a relatively steep grade. The existing two-way highway interchange ramp in this quadrant cuts through this ridge, with a resulting slight hill to the north of the ramp and a steeper hill to the south of the ramp.

## NW Quadrant Interchange

The terrain to the northwest of the intersection of Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway SE rises approximately $30-40$ feet. Sublimity Boulevard SE drops from this ridge to its intersection with Cascade Highway SE.

## Project Relevant /ssues

- The northbound grade on Cascade Highway SE and adjacent ridges present sightdistance issues for motorists at the two-way highway ramp intersections as well as the intersections of Sublimity Boulevard and Golf Lane. Closely-spaced slope changes have an adverse effect on safety in the interchange area. Interchange rehabilitation efforts will require more earthwork during construction and may require additional stabilization, which will have budget and schedule implications.


## Hydrology

The project study area lies within the Willamette River Subbasin, in the Middle Willamette Subbasin, in the Mill Creek Watershed. Mill Creek, after passing through the study area, flows northwestward to its convergence with the Willamette River in the City of Salem. Within the study area for this IAMP, Mill Creek runs south of Oregon 22 from the west end of the study area. The creek passes through a culvert under Cascade Highway SE just south of Golf Lane and then traverses under Oregon 22 approximately a quarter-mile east of Cascade Highway.

## Project Relevant /ssues

- There are hydrologic features contained in the study area that are classified as Goal 5 Resources (as defined in Oregon Administrative Rules Division 23). Sublimity IAMP actions may be subject to State and/or local regulabions that are in place to protect Goal 5 resources, as will be discussed in greater detail in the next section.


## Riparian Corridors

The Mill Creek riparian corridor located inside the study area includes the perennially flowing Mill Creek, several intermittent streams, and a wetland area measuring just over one acre.

The section of Mill Creek running through the study area is included on the Department of Environmental Quality's (DEQ's) 303(d) list of water quality-limited streams. The DEQ 2003 303 (d) list identifies the section of Mill Creek in the study area as water quality limited for Fecal Coliform.

According to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, the study area section of Mill Creek is spawning and rearing habitat for winter steelhead and fall Chinook, and is rearing and migration habitat for spring Chinook.

## Project Relevant /ssues

- Sublimity IAMP actions are subject to State Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) Goal 5 ordinance regulations concerning land use actions inside the Mill Creek riparian corridor. Proposed Sublimity IAMP use actions are allowed in riparian corridors [per OAR 660-023-0090(8)(a)], provided that these actions "are designed and constructed to minimize intrusion into the riparian area."
- Highway runoff can be a source of Fecal Coliform. Improvements related to the Sublimity IAMP Project would need to avoid or mitigate stormwater impacts to Mill Creek to meet the requirements of DEQ National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-CA.


## Floodplains

Portions of a river or stream channel and adjacent lands that are subject to floods with a one percent chance of being exceeded in any given year area identified as 100-year floodplains or Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's). 100-Year floodplains are indicated on Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), which are prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).
A large portion of the study area is located within the 100 -year floodplain of Mill Creek. Only the northwest quadrant of the interchange is outside the FEMA floodplain boundaries. FEMA 100-year floodplain boundaries in the Sublimity IAMP study area are shown on Figure 2-4.

The portion of the floodplain area within the jurisdiction of Marion County is covered by Marion County's Floodplain Overlay District, with associated development restrictions.

The portion of the floodplain area within the jurisdiction of the City of Stayton is covered by Stayton's Floodplain Overlay District, with associated development restrictions.

## Project Relevant Issues

- If the Sublimity IAMP project improvements enter the footprint of the 100-Year Floodplain, and if a NEPA process is followed, environmental documentation would be required to explain specific impacts of the project and the resources within the floodplain.
- In the event that Sublimity LAMP project improvements result in any increase in the 100year flood water level, FEMA must review and comment on the project. This involves applying for a Certified Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) from FEMA. All requests for CLOMRs must be supported by detailed flood hazard analyses prepared by a qualified professional engineer.
- Any project-related improvement development (i.e. placement of fill) in the Marion County Floodplain Overlay Zone is subject to conditional use review by the county via the submission of a Floodplain Development Permit.
- Per Marion County Rural Zoning Ordinance 178.050(E), any project related filling, grading, paving or excavation within the 500 -year floodplain of the Mill Creek Basin Flood Hazard Area (MCBFHA) is subject to conditional use review by the county via the submission of a Floodplain Development Permit.
- Any project-related improvement development (i.e. placement of fill) in the City of Sublimity Floodplain Overlay Zone is subject to conditional use review by the county via the submission of a Floodplain Development Permit per Sublimity Code 17.16.190.


## Floodway

The area of the 100-year flood plain is divided into floodway and floodway fringe. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order that the 100 -year flood is carried without substantial increase in flood heights. As a minimum standard, the Federal Insurance Administration limits increases in flood heights to 1.0 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. ${ }^{6}$

A FEMA-designated floodway exists along Mill Creek in the Sublimity IAMP study area. This floodway lies within the jurisdictions of Marion County and the City of Stayton. The location of this floodway can be seen on the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate map, included in this report as Appendix E.

## Project Relevant Issues

- Any project-related development improvements (including fill, new construction, and substantial improvements) in the floodway are prohibited unless a certified technical evaluation is submitted to FEMA demonstrating that such improvements will not result in an increase in flood levels during the occurrence of the base flood discharge. Upon FEMA approval, such improvements are then subject to applicable flood hazard reduction provisions of Marion County Rural Zoning Ordinance 178.060 and City of Stayton Code 17.16.190.


## Wetlands

A number of National Wetland Inventory (NWI) wetland areas are present in the study area. A Local Wetland Inventory (LWI) commissioned by the City of Stayton delineated additional wetland areas in the study area. The boundaries of the delineated wetland area are seen in Figure 4.3. A portion of land delineated as wetland in the LWI, located in Stayton east of Cascade Highway, has since been filled to allow for the construction of a residential development (the aerial photo in Figure 24 predates the construction of the residential subdivision).

## Project Relevant Issues

- The Sublimity IAMP will attempt to avoid actions that would impact identified wetlands. If impacts are unavoidable, ODOT will need to identify mitigation opportunities. Mitigation will need to be performed per the land development application requirements of the jurisdiction within which the wetland alteration is occurring. A wetland delineation and functional assessment need to be performed to determine the type and full extent of the potential wetland impacts.


## Wildlife Habitat

The Sublimity IAMP does not impact a documented wildlife habitat area, as defined by OAR 660-023-0110.

[^4]
## Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers

Mill Creek, the only river flowing through the study area, is not a designated Federal Wild and Scenic river

## Oregon Scenic Waterways

Mill Creek, the only waterway flowing through the project study area, is not listed on the Oregon Department of State Lands list of designated scenic waterways.

## Groundwater Resources

The entire study area lies within an area designated as a "groundwater restricted" zone by the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD). The entire study area also lies within Marion County's Sensitive Groundwater Overlay Zone. OAR 660-023-0030(5) states that local governments with jurisdiction in OWRD groundwater restricted areas must declare those areas Significant Natural Resource Areas. Per OAR requirements, these local governments must subsequently develop programs to protect the designated significant groundwater resources.

## Project Relevant Issues

- Sublimity IAMP project actions must comply with existing Marion County, City of Sublimity, or City of Stayton local ordinances regulating development in a groundwater restricted area.


## Approved Oregon Recreation Trails

The Sublimity IAMP does not impact an Oregon State Parks Department-designated recreational trail.

## Natural Areas

The Sublimity IAMP does not impact an area listed on the Bureau of Land Management's Established Natural Areas of Oregon.

## Wilderness Areas

The Sublimity IAMP does not take place in a designated Federal or local wilderness area.

## Mineral and Aggregate Resources

There are no existing quarries or gravel sites located in the study area.

## Energy Sources

There are no existing energy sources, as defined by OAR 660-023-0190(1)(a), in the study area.

## Open Space

There are no existing open spaces, as defined by OAR 660-023-0220(1), in the study area.

## Scenic Views and Sites

There are no publicly designated scenic views or sites in the study area.

## Threatened or Endangered Species

According to the Joseph Street EA, a query of the Oregon Natural Heritage Database returned no specific listings for rare, threatened, or endangered plants and animals in the Joseph Street EA project area (which encompasses the Sublimity LAMP study area). The following Willamette Valley native grassland plant species were reported as possible in the general area of the project: Aster curtis, Erigeron decumberns, Sidalcea nelsoniana, sidalcea campestris, Lomatium bradshawii, and Lathyrus holochlorus.

## Natural Hazards

According to the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Relative Earthquake Hazard Map, much of the study area is classified as a "Zone C" (low/intermediate earthquake hazard risk) with pockets of "Zone D" (low earthquake hazard risk) and "Zone B" (intermediate/high earthquake hazard risk). The immediate Oregon 22/Cascade Highway SE interchange is classified as a "Zone C" low risk. The ridge which curves through the memo study area is where "Zone $B$ " earthquake hazard conditions exist. The location of "Zone B" areas can be seen in Figure 2-4.

According to Marion County's Slide Hazards map, there are no Landslide Hazard Areas within the study area. However, there are existing areas with slopes greater than 20 percent. These "excessive slope areas" are shown in Figure 2-4.

## Project Relevant Issues

- Project improvements will include earthwork. Cuts and fills located in areas of landslide or earthquake hazards can be unstable. Further analysis of natural hazards would need to be conducted during project design, as would the development of applicable avoidance and mitigation techniques.


## Hazardous Substances

According to the Department of Environmental Quality's (DEQ) Environmental Cleanup Site Information (ECSI) database, there are no sites within the study area with known contamination from hazardous substances.

## Air Quality

The study area is located in an area that is consistently in attainment with clean air levels set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

## Historic and Cultural Resources

- Within the study area there are no properties listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).
- The study area is not part of a National Historic District.
- Within the study area there are no properties listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), nor are there properties listed on the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (OSHPO) Historic Inventory list.
- There is a Century Farm located in the northeast quadrant of the study area, but the farm was found to be ineligible for Historic Registry listing, per the Joseph Street/Stayton North City Limits Revised Environmental Assessment. ${ }^{7}$

7 Joseph Street/Stayton North City Limits Revised Environmental Assessmest ( p. 14). Prepared by Oregon Department of Transportation (1995)

## Future Conditions Analysis

## Land Use Analysis

## Planned Land Development

According to the City of Sublimity and the City of Stayton, there are currently no known large-scale planned land developments in the Sublimity IAMP study area. The Santiam Station development in the southeast quadrant of the interchange has a few developable lots remaining, which are expected to be developed within the project timeframe.

## Land Development Forecast

The potential for future land development varies among the four quadrants of the Sublimity Interchange study area. Although it is difficult to predict when and how intensively land will be developed in the vicinity of the interchange, a general picture of development constraints and/or potential in the immediate area surrounding the interchange is as follows.

## NW Quadrant

No significant development constraints. Full build-out development scenarios under current zoning were integrated into traffic operations forecasts in the Forecasted Traffic Operations section.

## NE Quadrant

Land use development potential is severely constrained by the following factors:

- A portion of this quadrant is within the boundaries of the 100 -year floodplain, and therefore subject to applicable governmental regulations.
- A portion of this quadrant is within the boundaries of a delineated wetland, and therefore subject to applicable governmental regulations.
- The entire quadrant is outside the Sublimity UGB and is zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). Oregon law does not allow new development in this zoning district, apart from a single dwelling unit and farm facility structures. However, the century-farm property in this quadrant is eligible to make a claim for development under Measure 37, given that the property was in the current owner's family prior to the enactment of Oregon land use laws (which established the EFU zone). The intensity of development that would be allowed to occur on this property under a successful Measure 37 claim is uncertain at this time.


## SE Quadrant

Land use development potential is constrained by the following factors:

- A substantial portion of this quadrant is within the boundaries of the 100 -year floodplain, and therefore subject to applicable governmental regulations (this is discussed in detail in the next section).
- A significant portion of this quadrant is within the boundaries of a delineated wetland, and therefore subject to applicable governmental regulations (this is discussed in detail in the next section).
- A portion of this quadrant is zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). This land is not believed to be eligible for a development claim under Measure 37.
- Most of the quadrant is owned by ODOT (park and ride lot, future interchange ramp, wetland mitigation site).


## Southwest Quadrant

- No significant development constraints. Full build-out development scenarios under current zoning were integrated into traffic operations forecasts in the Forecast Traffic Operations section.


## Forecasted Traffic Operations

## Traffic Forecasting Methodology

Land use and associated traffic generation for the forecast year 2025 were estimated based on the City of Stayton's Transportation Demand Model prepared for the Transportation System Plan (April 2004).

The household and employment data projections used in the model are shown in Tables 3-1 and 3-2. Overall population and employment are projected to increase by approximately 1.8 percent per year between 2000 and 2025.

TABLE 3-1
Population/Household Forecast

| Area | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Population | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ <br> Population | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Households | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ <br> Households |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stayton UGB | 6,816 | 10,213 | 2,654 | 3,977 |
| Sublimity | 2,148 | 4,082 | 711 | 1,351 |
| Other Surounding Area | 836 | 1,080 | 269 | 348 |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 7 5}$ | 3,634 | $\mathbf{5 , 6 7 6}$ |

Source: City of Stayton Transportation System Plen, April 2004.

TABLE $3-2$

| Employment Forecast |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 <br> Employment | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ <br> Employment |  |
| Agriculture | 33 | 33 |
| Industrial | 873 | 1,370 |
| Retail | 516 | 810 |
| Service | 769 | 1,206 |
| Education | 358 | 562 |
| Govemment | 47 | 74 |
| Other | 820 | 1,286 |
| Total | 3,416 | 5,341 |

Source: City of Stayton Transpartation System Plan, April 2004

The resultant traffic volume growth rate between the existing and Year 2025 No Build peak hour traffic volumes was calculated along the study corridor. An average growth rate for the entire area of 1.5 percent was calculated.

This growth rate was applied to the 2005 turning movement counts and compounded annually to derive the Year 2025 traffic volumes for the 30th highest hour. Figure 2-3 depicts the design hour traffic volumes for Year 2025.

## Future No Build (2025) Operations

The No Build operations scenario assumes that the existing roadway lane configurations and traffic control are maintained, with one exception. Golf Lane would be realigned so that it forms a four-leg intersection with Whitney Street and Cascade Highway. The new intersection would be signalized and have left-turn pockets on all legs. Figure 3-1 depicts both existing and Year 2025 no build lane geometry and traffic control.

The forecast Year 2025 V/C ratios, level-of-service and vehicle queues were computed for the eight study intersections and Oregon 22 entrance ramps based on the 30 th Highest Hour design volumes. Table 3-3 shows the results of the operations analyses. Locations that do not meet the applicable mobility standards are highlighted. Figure 3-2 depicts the Year 2025 turning movement volumes at the study intersections.

Appendix F includes the traffic operations worksheets for the Year 2025 No Build 30th highest hour conditions.

TABLE 3 3
No Build Intersection Analysis Summary
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobillty Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIC } \\ & \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Los ${ }^{2}$ | Queue $(\text { veh })^{2,3}$ | Mobllity Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\underset{\text { Ratio }^{2}}{\text { V/C }}$ | $\operatorname{Los}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queue } \\ & \text { (veh) }^{2,3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon 22 Approaches |  |  |  | Ramp Approaches |  |  |  |
| Oregon 22 eastbound entrance ramp | 0.70 | 0.40 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.75 | - | 5 |
| Oregon 22 westbound | 0.70 | 0.55 | - | - | 0.85 | $>1.0$ | - | 11 |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | c | 2 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity Boulevard/ Oregon 22 westbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.06 | - | 1 | 0.85 | $=1.0$ | - | 5 |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 westbound entrance ramp | 0.75 | 0.62 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 eastbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.41 | - | 3 | 0.85 | >1.0 | - | 20 |
| Cascade Highway at Golf LaneMhitrey Street | D | - | c | 19 | D | - | E | 8 |
| Cascade Highway at Martin Drive | - | - | - | - | E | - | c | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at Shaff Road-Fem Ridge Road | D | - | F | 51 | D | - | F | 30 |

ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublirnity standards are based on level of service.
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics.
3 ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2-minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95th percentile queues.

## Year 2025 No Build Deficiencies

The results of the No Build operational analysis show that all of the cross streets south of and including the interchange will operate beyond the required mobility standards. The only intersection approach that will operate at an adequate level of service is the right-in/right-out movement of Martin Drive. Additionally, the westbound entrance ramp to Oregon 22 will decline below the applicable mobility standards by the forecast year 2025.

An area with growth potential within the study area is located in the northeast quadrant of the interchange. The area is designated as Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). There are no known plans for development on the EFU properties, and they were not included in the land use
forecasts. If, however, these lands are developed under a Measure 37-type claim, the study area intersection operations will be further deteriorated. The extent of impacts resulting from the properties would depend on the type and level of use. Development of the EFU lands would likely result in an increase of heavy vehicles at the interchange. Thus, accident rates could also increase due to heavy vehicles utilizing the stop-controlled access to Oregon 22

## Future Build (2025) Operations

The Build scenario assumes that the ODOT Phase 1 improvements for the Sublimity Interchange are constructed. Phase 1 includes construction of a new loop ramp in the northeast quadrant of the interchange for the westbound entrance ramp. It also includes a new ramp in the southeast quadrant for the eastbound entrance ramp. Both of the reconstructed entrance ramps would have acceleration lanes to allow a merge movement for entering the Oregon 22 traffic stream. In addition, Cascade Highway will be widened to five lanes from the Sublimity Boulevard intersection to the south. As with the No Build scenario, Golf Lane will also be realigned to create a four-leg intersection with Whitney Street and Cascade Highway. The new Golf Lane/Whitney Street intersection will be signalized, as well as both of the ramp termini. The revised roadway configuration, traffic control and channelization are shown in Figure 3-3.
The ultimate configuration for Oregon 22 is a four-lane divided highway. Completion of the ultimate configuration has been assumed to be beyond the limits of this study timeframe.

Table 3-4 summarizes the Build intersection analysis. Figure 3-4 depicts the turning movement volumes for the Year 2025 Build scenario. It is identical to the No Build conditions, except at the new eastbound entrance ramp movement. Appendix $G$ includes the traffic operations worksheets for the 30th highest hour conditions.

TABLE 3-4
Build Intersection Analysis Summary
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | VIC Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue $\text { (veh }^{2,3}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | VIC Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Los}^{2}$ | Queue $(\text { veh })^{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon 22 Approaches |  |  |  | Ramp Approaches |  |  |  |
| Oregon 22 eastbound entrance ramp | 0.70 | 0.40 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.19 | - | - |
| Oregon 22 westbound | 0.70 | 0.55 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.28 | - | - |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | C | 2 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 westbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.40 | - | 7 | 0.85 | 0.35 | - | 3 |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 westbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.33 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 eastbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.64 | - | 12 | 0.85 | 0.91 | - | 8 |
| Cascade Highway at Whitney Street/Golf Lane | D | - | c | 13 | D | - | D | 5 |
| Cascade Highway at Martin Drive | - | - | - | - | $E$ | - | B | 1 |
| Cascade Highway 30 at Shaff Road-Fem Ridge Road | D | - | D | 16 | D | - | $E$ | 24 |

ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service.
Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics
3 ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2 -minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95 th percentile queues.

## Year 2025 Build Deficiencies

The results of the Build operational analysis show improved operations for the Oregon 22 entrance ramps with the construction of acceleration lanes to access the highway's stream of traffic. Both ramps will operate well within the mobility standard thresholds.

Operations along Cascade Highway will remain within all jurisdictional mobility standards. The queue analyses depict that vehicle queuing from adjacent intersections will not interfere with the operations of the interchange.

Intersection approaches to Cascade Highway also operate better under the Build conditions. However, two of the cross streets (the eastbound exit ramp and Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road) would not meet the required mobility standards.

The interchange area traffic operations would likely remain similar to the Build scenario even if the EFU properties were developed. However, as discussed previously, the type and level of development would need to be considered. At the time of development, trip generation, operation and traffic mitigation, should be analyzed. The revised entrance ramp configuration would allow for safer access onto the freeway, especially for any heavy vehicles generated by the EFU properties in the future.

## Conclusions

Current operating conditions along Oregon 22 meet ODOT's applicable mobility standards. However, accidents along the highway exceed the state's average rate in the vicinity of the interchange. The geometry of the roadway and the stop sign-controlled access likely contributed to the accidents, as the majority of incidents occurred during clear, dry, daylight conditions.

Along Cascade Highway, the stop sign-controlled Oregon 22 eastbound exit ramp and Whitney Street fall below the recommended operating standards. Additionally, the Cascade Highway / Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road intersection operates poorly with extensive vehicle queuing. Accidents along the highway generally occur at a higher rate than the statewide average for similar facilities. Similar to the Oregon 22 crashes, most incidents on Cascade Highway occurred during clear, dry, daylight conditions.
By the year 2025, the Sublimity Interchange area would largely be operating beyond the required mobility standards set for the study intersections under current geometric and traffic control measures. With higher levels of congestion, accident rates are also likely to increase.

Various projects are planned to address the geometric and operational deficiencies. The Oregon Department of Transportation's plans for the Sublimity Interchange include revision of the Oregon 22 entrance ramps to a standard merge configuration. In conjunction with the ODOT improvements, the preferred transportation system improvements for Cascade Highway, outlined in the Stayton Transportation System Plan assumes the widening of Cascade Highway from Sublimity Boulevard to Regis Street (five lane section).

Implementation of these improvements results in improved operations during the 2025 forecast year. Both Oregon 22 entrance ramps would operate within ODOT's requirements.

Accidents along Oregon 22 will likely decrease in the vicinity of the interchange as a result of installing the standard merge configuration.

Operations along Cascade Highway will also meet all mobility standards and will not result in interchange impacts due to queuing on the highway.

The Oregon 22 eastbound exit ramp operates slightly over the $v / \mathrm{c}$ standard of 0.85 . It can likely be accommodated by monitoring of the traffic signal timing through most of the study timeframe. If full buildout volumes are achieved, an additional right-turn lane would accommodate the traffic demand at the intersection.

Overall the Cascade Highway/Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road intersection operates at LOS D, meeting the mobility requirements of the City of Stayton. However, the Shaff Road approach to Cascade Highway operates at LOS E during the design year. Construction of right-turn pockets on Shaff Road and Fern Ridge Road would allow all of the approaches to the intersection to operate at the required mobility standard.

## Insert Figures 3-1 through 3-4 (11×17)

## SECTION 4

## Alternatives Development and Analysis

## Introduction

## Sublimity Interchange Improvements

The Sublimity Interchange is programmed for improvements in the 2006-2009 STIP. As proposed, this STIP project will involve partially reconstructing the interchange. Figure 41 shows programmed Sublimity Interchange improvements.

The entrance ramps in both directions will be rebuilt to a standard merge configuration, replacing the existing stop-sign controlled ramps. The ramp termini intersections with Cascade Highway will be aligned with their current locations. Both ramp terminals at Cascade Highway are proposed to be signalized. Figure 41 depicts the Sublimity Interchange Phase 1 improvements.
In preparation for this project, a frontage road (Golf Lane Road) has already been constructed that eliminated all private access to the Oregon 22 between Golf Club Road and Cascade Highway.

The ultimate configuration of the highway and interchange will include two lanes in each direction of travel on Oregon 22. This improvement is expected to occur beyond the timeframe of this IAMP.

## Access Management Requirements

The purpose of the ODOT access management and spacing standards is to provide a safe and efficient transportation system by protecting highway traffic from the hazards of unrestricted and unregulated entry from adjacent properties. ODOT standards are outlined in the OAR (OAR 734-051). The applicable standards are summarized in Table 4-1.

TABLE 41
Minimum Spacing Standards Applicable to Freeway Interchanges
Spacing Dimension

| Cascade Highway <br> Configuration | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two-lane | 1 mile | 1,320 feet | 1,320 feet | 990 feet |
| Mult-lane | 1 mile | 1,320 feet | 1,320 feet | 1,320 feet |

Source: Tables 5 and 6 in OAR 734-051-0125.
$A=$ The distance between the start and end of tapers of adjacent interchanges along Oregon 22.
$X=$ The distance to the first approach on the right, right invight out only.
$Y=$ The distance to the first intersections where left-turns are allowed.
$Z=$ The distance between the last right infright out approach road and the start of the taper for the entrance ramp.


In terms of access management, the goal of any interchange modernization project is to improve spacing and safety either by meeting or moving in the direction of applicable standards. The existing ramp configurations on Oregon 22 meet the ODOT access management spacing standards shown in Table 4-1. This is because the Golf Club Road Interchange to the west and the Fern Ridge Road intersection to the east are more than one mile apart.
Currently there are both public and private approaches onto Cascade Highway that violate the minimum access spacing requirements of the Oregon 22 ramp terminals. Cascade Highway is currently a two-lane facility. The City of Stayton TSP, however, recommends widening the highway to five lanes from Sublimity Boulevard to Regis Street (south of Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road) in its Year 2025 Preferred Alternative. Therefore, a 1320-foot spacing (multi-lane) requirement between the ramp termini and adjacent access points was assumed for Cascade Highway.

Table $4-2$ lists existing approaches along Cascade Highway within the IAMP study area. Figure 4-2 depicts the corresponding locations of these approaches.

The following sections discuss access management alternatives for the IAMP area including spacing deficiencies, queuing and traffic operations.

TABLE 4-2
Existing Approaches along Cascade Highway

| Figure 4-2 <br> Approach \# | Mile Point |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| West Side of Cascade Highway (North of Oregon 22) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.32 | Tax Lot(s) Served |

*Milepoint given represents approximate midpoint of approach

## Alternatives

This section describes how alternative solutions were developed and analyzed to remedy IAMP-identified deficiencies, which were predominantly access-related in nature. Sublimity Interchange modernization plans and ODOT access management standards for freeway interchanges are outlined here as part of this description.
Alternatives for the IAMP study area were considered in three parts:

1) Along Oregon 22
2) Cascade Highway - Stayton (south of the interchange)
3) Cascade Highway - Sublimity (north of the interchange)

## Along Oregon 22

The ODOT Sublimity Interchange Phase 1 design was assumed as the preferred alternative for the Oregon 22 Mainline.

## Oregon 22 Preferred Alternative

The recommendation for mainline Oregon 22 in the IAMP study area is for ODOT to consider closing the Fern Ridge Road at-grade intersection access to Oregon 22. Further analysis should be conducted to evaluate the demand and/or need for the Fern Ridge Road access, due to the applicable spacing requirements of the Sublimity Interchange and because at-grade intersections are not typically utilized along freeway segments. The Fern Ridge Road at-grade intersection with Oregon 22 is located at approximately MP 14.30. The revised eastbound entrance ramp merge places this intersection within the minimum ( 1 mile) spacing requirement of the Sublimity Interchange improvements. The distance measured from the acceleration lane to the intersection is approximately 0.5 miles $(2,550$ feet).

Otherwise, proposed Sublimity Interchange improvements ${ }^{8}$ achieve the operational mobility standards for both the Oregon 22 mainline and the new entrance ramps. Table 4-3 summarizes the forecast year 2025 operations on Oregon 22.
${ }^{8}$ Interchange physical improvements were proposed in the Joseph Street-Stayton North City Limits Environmental
Assessment (prepared by ODOT, March, 1995)

TABLE 43
Oregon 22 Traffic Operations Analysis Summary
2025 3oth Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility <br> Standard | V/C Ratio | Mobility <br> Standard ${ }^{1}$ | VIC Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon 22 Approaches | Entrance ramp Merge |  |  |
| Oregon 22 eastbound entrance <br> ramp | 0.70 | 0.40 | 0.85 | 0.19 |
| Oregon 22 westhound entrance <br> ramp | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.85 | 0.28 |

1 ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios.

## Cascade Highway - Stayton (South of Interchange)

The ODOT Sublimity Interchange Phase 1 design was assumed as the preferred alternative for the Oregon 22 Mainline.

The City of Stayton's preferred alternative roadway network concept (City of Stayton Transportation System Plan, April 2004) was analyzed for Cascade Highway south of Oregon 22.

Stayton Preferred Alternative
The 2004 Stayton TSP roadway concept incorporates ODOT's plans for improving the Oregon 22 Sublimity Interchange in its preferred Year 2025 alternative. The supporting local street network improvements for the preferred alternative include the following:

- Widen Cascade Highway to five lanes (two lanes in each direction with left-turn pockets) between and including the Sublimity Boulevard and Regis Street intersections.
- Realign Golf Lane to form a four-legged intersection with Whitney Street (City of Stayton has entered into a memorandum of understanding for this improvement with Marion County).
- Install traffic signal and eastbound/westbound left turn lanes at the Golf Lane/Whitney Street intersection.

Figure 4-3 shows proposed access management south of Oregon 22, representing the preferred alternative from the Stayton TSP.

The City of Stayton has also provided a $\$ 50,000$ match in conjunction with work recently completed for the interchange modernization project, further emphasizing their commitment to the project.
The City of Stayton's TSP supports improvements to the Golf Club Road corridor (west of the study area) by widening Golf Club Road to five lanes from Oregon 22 to Shaff Road, and signalizing the major intersections along the roadway. These improvements have the potential to relieve a portion of the travel demand on the Cascade Highway corridor.

However, as a conservative analysis approach, such vehicle diversions were not assumed in the analysis.

The three existing access deficiencies listed in Table 4-2 (Golf Lane, Park-and-Ride lot and Whitney Street) would all remain deficient with the proposed configuration.

The realignment of Golf Lane, across from Whitney Street, would increase the spacing of the roadway from the Oregon 22 eastbound ramps by approximately 500 feet, but still would not meet the access management spacing standards of 1320 feet. The new, signalized, fourlegged intersection is expected to operate at an overall V/C ratio of 0.66 . The critical movement in regards to the Sublimity Interchange operations is the southbound direction of travel. It is projected to produce queues of up to 315 feet. Queues of this magnitude would not interfere with the interchange's castbound ramp operations. Potential queue conflicts with the eastbound ramps can be further minimized by incorporating timing and phasing coordination between the new traffic signals along the Cascade Highway corridor. Figure $4-4$ shows the anticipated vehicle queuing along Cascade Highway, south of the interchange, during the Year 2025 design hour. All traffic signals are assumed to be coordinated and optimized.
Neither the horizontal nor vertical alignment of Cascade Highway south of the interchange result in sight distance restrictions. The reconfigured intersection will have adequate stopping and decision time for drivers.

The Park-and-Ride lot access would remain at its current location, approximately 600 feet south of the eastbound ramp terminal. Relocation of this driveway would impact adjacent wetlands and Mill Creek, which would be both undesirable and costly. The demand/usage of the park-n-ride was observed to be between $10-20$ parked vehicles. The current low usage is due to the limited transit opportunities in the Stayton and Sublimity area. Trip generation rates for park-n-rides with bus service were researched to estimate the potential trips emanating from this access if transit service were made available. Slightly over 50 trips would be generated at the access during the peak traffic hour.

A potential area for relocation of the park-n-ride lot is adjacent to the re-aligned Golf Lane Road. If substantial improvements to transit and park-and-ride services are envisioned, relocation of the lot may be an alternative. Alteration of the access to a right-in/right-out only driveway may also lessen access impacts within the interchange access management area but could limit the transit service into and out of the lot.

Table 4-4 shows the study intersection operations south of Oregon 22 under the preferred Stayton alternative.

TABLE 4-4
Stayton Traffic Operations Analysis Summany-Four-Lane Cascade Highway
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobillty Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VKC } \\ \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue $(\text { veh })^{2,3}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | VIC <br> Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue (veh) ${ }^{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 eastbound ramps ${ }^{4}$ | 0.75 | 0.64 | - | 12 | 0.85 | 0.91 | - | 8 |
| Cascade Highway at Park-n-Ride Access | E | - | A | 1 | $E$ | - | C | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at Golf L.ane Road/Whitney Street ${ }^{4}$ | D | - | c | 13 | D | - | D | 5 |
| Cascade Highway at Martin Drive | - | - | - | - | E | - | B | 1 |
| Cascade Highway 30 at Shaff Road-Fem Ridge Road ${ }^{4}$ | D | " | D | 16 | D | - | E | 24 |

ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service (see Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan: Oregon 22 with Cascade Highway Data Collection and Operational Analyses for Mobility Standard definitions).
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics.
${ }^{3}$ Vehicle queue results at ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2-minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95 th percentile queues.
4 Signalized intersection.

An interim condition for Cascade Highway in which the Oregon 22 overpass of Cascade Highway will not be extended due to funding constraints was also examined. If the overpass is not extended, the section of Cascade Highway between the Oregon 22 ramp intersections would not be widened to five lanes. Under this constrained condition, left-turn pockets are still recommended to be constructed at the Oregon 22 entrance ramps. The turn lane and taper at the east bound entrance ramp will be limited to approximately 200 feet by the Oregon 22 overpass. Operations along Cascade Highway would exceed the ODOT mobility standards at the eastbound ramp intersection. Vehicle queues will not impede operations through the adjacent westbound ramp/Sublimity Boulevard intersection. However, the northbound queue may impede turn movements at the Park-and-Ride lot access. Table $4-5$ summarizes conditions without the Cascade Highway widening, and Figure 4-5 depicts the estimated queue length.

TABLE 45
Stayton Traffic Operations Analysis Summary - Two Lane Cascade Highway
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue $(\text { veh })^{23}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | Vic Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue $(\mathrm{veh})^{2,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22/Sublimity Boulevard/ westbound ramps ${ }^{4}$ | 0.75 | 0.65 | - | 18 | 0.85 | 0.62 | - | 3 |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 eastbound ramps ${ }^{4}$ | 0.75 | 0.92 |  | 24 | 0.85 | 0.96 | - | 14 |

${ }^{1}$ ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service (see Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan: Oregon 22 with Cascade Highway Data Collection and Operational Analyses for Mobility Standard definitions).
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics.
3 Vehicle queue resuits at ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2-minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95th percentile queues.
4 Signalized intersection.

## Cascade Highway - Sublimity (North of Interchange)

The PMT developed a preferred alternative for Cascade Highway north of Oregon 22 based on preliminary access management layouts.
Access management alternatives for the north side of the interchange were compiled based upon input from the affected residents, project management and consultant teams as well as local government officials. All proposed alternatives were evaluated by the consultant team. A previous plan to realign Sublimity Boulevard was rejected by the City of Sublimity; thus, none of the alternatives considered that plan as an option. An access deviation will be needed for the Sublimity Boulevard approach, as all of the interchange designs maintain the connection to this approach (opposite from the westbound interchange ramps).

The widening of Cascade Highway to a five lane section through the Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 ramp intersection is assumed in all alternatives. The intersection is also assumed to be signalized in all alternatives.

## Sublimity Alternative 1

The elements of Sublimity Alternative 1 are shown in Figure 4-6. The long-term strategy removes all private approach access points along Cascade Highway within the management area. It creates a new intersection 1,320 feet north of the Oregon 22 westbound ramps. The existing properties in the northwest quadrant of the interchange will be served from an internal roadway connecting to the new intersection and to Sublimity Boulevard ( 300 feet west of Cascade Highway). The new roadway will be located near the western property line of the affected parcels. To further enhance circulation alternatives, an additional connection could also be constructed to the west when Sublimity Boulevard is extended.

The two properties in the northeast quadrant of the interchange will also access Cascade Highway at the new intersection. The existing access points for these properties will be closed. A frontage road, top be built adjacent to Cascade Highway, will connect the existing driveways to the new intersection.

The new intersection on Cascade Highway is located along a crest vertical curve, with sight distance half the required 610 feet. In order to provide adequate sight distance at this location, it would be necessary to modify the vertical profile of Cascade Highway. This modification would be at substantial cost and would adversely impact the access to the properties fronting on Cascade Highway.

The new access along Sublimity Boulevard may be affected by queuing from the Cascade Highway signalized intersection. However, this would occur infrequently. The vehicle queue estimates for the Year 2025 design year are approximately 60 feet for the westbound Sublimity Boulevard traffic.

Currently, all properties within the access management area are residential (one residence contains a home occupation and parking lot) and/or farm use. Trip generation is and will remain low with these land uses. The parcels, however, are zoned for commercial use on the west side of the highway. Table 4-6 summarizes operations north of Oregon 22 assuming build-out of the properties as commercial businesses. (Note: Further analyses should be performed upon redevelopment of properties, when detailed information is available) The new access along Cascade Highway is projected to operate poorly at LOS F. The stop controlled approaches could be improved to meet the mobility standards by providing leftturn pockets for both the north and eastbound vehicles.

The new roadway would provide safer access to/from Cascade Highway, good circulation and access options. The roadway will be more suitable to serve the commercial land use upon re-development of the properties.

TABLE 4-6
Sublimity Traffic Operations Analysis Summary - Alternative 1
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | V/C Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue (veh) ${ }^{23}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | VIC Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Los}^{2}$ | Queue (veh) ${ }^{2,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | C | 2 |
| Cascade Highway at new intersection 1320' north of Westbound ramps | E | - | A | 1 | $E$ | - | $F$ | 3 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity <br> Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound ramps ${ }^{4}$ | 0.75 | 0.40 | - | 7 | 0.85 | 0.35 | - | 3 |
| 1 ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service (see Sublimity Interchange Area Manegement Plan: Oregon 22 with Cascade Highway Data Collection and Operational Analyses for Mobility Standard definitions). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle queue results at ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2 -minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95th percentile queues. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Signalized intersection. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sublimity Altemative 2

This alternative includes an internal connection between the properties fronting Cascade Highway in the northwest quadrant of the interchange. This alternative would connect the properties served by Approaches \#5 to \#2 listed in Table 4-2 and shown in Figure 4.2. Figure $4-7$ depicts the proposed alignment of this alternative. Full access is proposed to occur at two locations; on Cascade highway at the north end of Tax Lot \#091W03BA08400 ( 1100 feet north of the interchange), and on Sublimity Boulevard through the south side of Tax Lot \#091W03BA03400 (300 feet west of the interchange). An additional right-in/rightout only access on Cascade Highway is proposed between Tax Lots \#091W03B00500 and \#091W03B00900 ( 550 feet north of the interchange). The consolidated access points remain within the access management area of the interchange. The internal roadway severely impacts the property served by Approach \#5. However, this roadway would provide an alternative route for drivers when the Sublimity Boulevard/Cascade Highway intersection is operating at congested levels.
The new intersection on Cascade Highway is located along a crest vertical curve, with sight distance of slightly less than the required 610 feet.

The new access along Sublimity Boulevard may be affected by queuing from the Cascade Highway signalized intersection. However, this would not occur frequently. Queue estimates for the Year 2025 design year are approximately 60 feet for the westbound Sublimity Boulevard traffic.

The two private driveways in the northeast quadrant of the interchange are proposed to remain in their current locations, within the 1,320 feet access management area. The
residential/farm trip generation is expected to remain low, thus should not create operational problems. Mitigation for the accesses on the east side of Cascade Highway could include construction of a large radius driveway approach or widened shoulder to allow vehicles to slow down out of the travel lane.

Table 47 summarizes operations north of Oregon 22 assuming build-out of the commercial properties (Note: Further analyses should be performed upon redevelopment of properties, when detailed information is available). All of the access points along Cascade Highway are projected to operate within the required mobility standards and are characterized by minimal queuing.

TABLE 4-7
Sublimity Traffic Operations Analysis Summary - Alternative 2
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobitity Standard ${ }^{1}$ | V/C Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Los}^{2}$ | Quene (veh) ${ }^{23}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | V/C Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue $\text { (veh) }{ }^{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | $E$ | - | A | 1 | $E$ | - | C | 2 |
| Cascade Highway @ Private Driveway 5 | E | - | A | 0 | $E$ | - | 0 | 1 |
| Cascade Highway@ Private Driveway 7 | $E$ | - | A | 0 | $E$ | - | D | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at consolidated Driveways \#1 through \#4 | $E$ | - | A | 0 | $E$ | - | B | 1 |
| Cascade Highway © Private Driveway 6 | $E$ | - | A | 0 | $E$ | - | B | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound ramps ${ }^{4}$ | 0.75 | 0.40 | - | 7 | 0.85 | 0.35 | - | 3 |

1 ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service (see Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan: Oregon 22 with Cascade Highway Data Collection and Operational Analyses for Mobifity Standard definitions).
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics.
3 Vehicle queue results at ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2-minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95th percentile queues.
4 Signalized intersection.

## Sublimity Alternative 3

This alternative combines aspects of the previous alternatives. Driveway consolidation is incorporated into the scenario, while minimizing impacts to the affected properties. The elements of Sublimity Alternative 1 are shown in Figure 4-8.

In the northeast quadrant of the interchange, Approaches \#13 and \#14 are proposed to be consolidated, with access at the existing \#14 location ( 1100 feet north of the interchange).

Although within the access management area, travel demand for these two properties is expected to remain low. Sight distance at this location meets the 610 foot requirement. Mitigation could include construction of a large radius driveway approach or widened shoulder to allow vehicles to slow down out of the travel lane.

In the northwest quadrant, the southern properties would be served by a common access easement connecting to Sublimity Boulevard, approximately 300 feet west of Cascade Highway. The new access on Sublimity should not be affected by vehicle queues at the Cascade Highway traffic signal. Ninety-fifth percentile queues are estimated to be 60 feet. The northern properties are served by an access road connecting to a new intersection 1320 feet north of the interchange. This configuration will decrease the amount of right-of-way acquisition and roadway construction needed to service the properties. This alternative will also maintain lower traffic volumes behind the residential properties, and reduce safety conflicts.

Table 48 summarizes operations north of Oregon 22 assuming build-out of the commercial properties. (Note: Further analyses should be performed upon redevelopment of properties, when detailed information is available). Although characterized by short vehicle queues, the consolidated driveway access points would operate poorly at LOS F.
table 4-B
Sublimity Traffic Operations Analysis Summary - Alternative 3
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility Standard ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue $(\text { veh })^{23}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V/C } \\ & \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Los}^{2}$ | Queue $\text { (veh) }{ }^{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | $E$ | - | C | 2 |
| Cascade Highway (e) Consolidated Driveway 1320 north of Westoound ramps | $E$ | - | A | 0 | E | - | $F$ | 1 |
| Cascade Highway @ Private Driveway $6 / 7$ | $E$ | - | A | 0 | $E$ | - | $F$ | 1 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity <br> Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound ramps ${ }^{4}$ | 0.75 | 0.40 | - | 7 | 0.85 | 0.35 | - | 3 |

1 ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service (see Sublimity Intenchange Area Management Plan: Oregon 22 with Cascade Highway Data Collection and Operational Analyses for Mobility Standard definitions).
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics.
3 Vehicfe queue results at ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2 -minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95th percentile queues.
4 Signalized intersection.

## Sublimity Preferred Alternative

The three Sublimity alternatives were presented at a June 2005 PMT meeting. The benefits and impacts of each were discussed, and a preferred alternative was derived. Figure 4-9 shows the preferred alternative.

Two new access roadways will be created, respectively serving properties on the east and west side of Cascade Highway. Both roadways will access Cascade Highway at a new intersection, located approximately 1,580 feet from the interchange. The intersection will be established according to AASHTO standards and located to meet access management spacing requirements, provide adequate sight distance and maximize safety. This new intersection will be unsignalized and allow for full movement.

A technical memo describing the rationale for IAMP-recommended intersection placement is included in Appendix $H$.

On the west side of Cascade Highway, a backage road will extend behind the existing properties (served by Approaches 1 through 5) and through a currently unimproved lot (ID \#091W03B00900) to an unsignalized, full movement intersection with Sublimity Boulevard. This intersection would be located approximately 470 feet west of the Sublimity Boulevard/Cascade Highway intersection. On the east side, a frontage road will connect the new intersection to the two existing driveways.

This alternative removes all private driveways along Cascade Highway within the Interchange Access Management Limit area, and places the new highway access at a location with the maximum sight distance. This alternative also minimizes potential impacts along Sublimity Boulevard in the vicinity of the intersection with Cascade Highway and the Oregon 22 ramp termini.

Currently, all properties within the access management area are residential (one residence contains a home occupation) and/or farm use. Trip generation is and will remain low with these land uses. The parcels, however, are zoned for commercial use on the west side of the highway. Table 49 summarizes operations north of Oregon 22 assuming build-out of the properties as commercial businesses. (Note: Further analyses should be performed upon redevelopment of properties, when detailed information is available).

The new access along Cascade Highway is projected to operate poorly at LOS F. The stop controlled approaches could be improved to meet the mobility standards by providing leftturn pockets for both the north and eastbound vehicles.

TABLE 49
Sublimity Traffic Operations Analysis Summary - Preferred Alternative
2025 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Moblifty Standard ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V/C } \\ & \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $L O S S^{2}$ | Queue (veh) $^{23}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VFC } \\ \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{Los}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queue } \\ & \text { (veh) }{ }^{2,3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | C | 2 |
| Cascade Highway at new intersection $1320^{\prime}$ north of WB ramps | $E$ | - | A | 1 | $E$ | - | F | 3 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound ramps ${ }^{4}$ | 0.75 | 0.40 | - | 7 | 0.85 | 0.35 | - | 3 |

ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on leval of service (see Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan: Oregon 22 with Cascade Highway Data Collection and Operational Analyses for Mobility Standard definitions)
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristios
3 Vehicle queue results at ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2 -minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95 th percentile queues.
4 Signalized intersection.

## Summary

A summary of the preferred access management alternatives detailed in this section is as follows:

- Along Oregon 22, proposed ODOT interchange improvements will situate the Fern Ridge intersection within the minimum spacing requirements of the new eastbound Oregon 22 eastbound entrance ramp. An evaluation of the need for this at-grade intersection along an expressway should be made.
- The preferred Stayton alternative does not meet all access management requirements. However, existing deficiencies are being mitigated by realigning Golf Lane Road across from Whitney Street. Additionally, the intersection is proposed to be signalized to accommodate the forecast traffic demand. Adequate sight distance is provided at this modified intersection. The Park-and-Ride lot driveway will also remain within the access management area. Sight distance is also adequate at this location, and due to the limited transit opportunities, traffic demand remains low.
- The preferred Sublimity alternative, with the exception of the Sublimity Boulevard intersection, meets access management requirements along Cascade Highway. In addition adequate sight distance at the new Cascade Highway intersection is provided. The new access point along Sublimity Boulevard is also situated with the furthest distance from the interchange, minimizing impacts at the westbound ramp intersection.

Table 4-10 summarizes all of the alternatives in tabular form. See Figure 4-2 for corresponding access locations.

| Alternative | Description | Access Standard (feet) | Deficient Access | Distance (feet) | IAMP <br> Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Along Oregon 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Sublimity Interchange Configuration | Stop controlled Oregon 22 entrance ramps. | 5,280 | Fern Ridge Road. | - | 2-2 |
| Proposed Sublimity Interchange Configuration | Entrance ramps with merge configuration. | 5,280 | Fern Ridge Road | 3,300 | 4-1 |
| South of Interchange - Stayton (South of Interchange) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Stayton | Unsignalized, full movement intersections at Golf Lane Road, Park-and-Ride diveway and Whitrey Street. | $\begin{aligned} & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \end{aligned}$ | Golf Lane Road <br> Park-and-Ride lot | $\begin{aligned} & 600 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ | 2-2 |
|  |  | 1,320 | Whitney Street | 1,100 |  |
| Preferred Stayton Altemative | Realignment of Golf Lane Road, across from Whitney Street. | $\begin{aligned} & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \end{aligned}$ | Park-and-Ride lot <br> Golf Lane Road/VWitney Street | 600 1,100 | 4-3 |
| North of Interchange - Sublimity (North of Interchange) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Sublimity | Sublimity Boulevard across from westbound ramp intersection \& numerous private driveway access on Cascade Highway | $\begin{aligned} & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \\ & 1,320 \end{aligned}$ | Approach \#5 (private) <br> Approach \#4 (private) <br> Approach \#3 (private) <br> Approach \#2 (private) <br> Approach \#1 (private) <br> Approach \#13 <br> (private) <br> Approach \#14 (private) | 500 <br> 600 <br> 900 <br> 1,100 <br> 1,250 <br> 700 <br> 1,100 | 2-2 |
| Sublimity Alternative 1 | Sublimity Boulevard across from westbound ramp intersection \& new intersection 1320 feet from interchange servicing all property access. | 1,320 | Subdimity Boulevard | 0 | 4-6 |

TABLE 4-10
Access Management Alternatives Summary

| Alternative | Description | Access Standara (feet) | Deficient Access | Distance (feet) | \{AMP <br> Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sublimity Alternative 2 | Sublimity Boulevard across from westbound ramp intersection \& private driveway access consolidated onto an internal road for driveways within 1000 feet of the interchange. | 1,320 | Sublimity Boulevard | 0 | 4-7 |
|  |  | 1,320 | New right-in/right-out approach | 550 |  |
|  |  | 1,320 | Approach \#13 (private) | 700 |  |
|  |  | 1,320 | New consolidated approach | 1,000 |  |
|  |  | 1,320 | Approach\#14 (private) | 1,100 |  |
|  |  | 1,320 | Approach \#1 (private) | 1,250 |  |
| Sublimity Alternative 3 | Sublimity Boulevard across from westbound ramp intersection, east parcels combined access, west parcels split into two combined accesses (one on Cascade, one on Sublimity). | 1,320 | Sublimity Boulevard |  | $4-8$ |
|  |  | 1,320 | New consolidated approach | 1,100 |  |
| Preferred <br> Sublimity <br> Altemative | Sublimity Boulevard across from westbound ramp intersection, new intersection $\sim 1620$ feet north of interchange servicing all properties. Internal roadiway connection to the existing Sublimity Boulevard termini. | 1.320 | Sublimity Boulevard | 0 | 4-9 |

## SECTION 5

## Interchange Area Management Plan

## Selected Alternative and Findings

The preferred alternative package consists of a recommended access management plan as well as transportation improvement and traffic management recommendations.

Recommendations and associated findings are presented in this section.

## Access Management Plan

As part of the Sublimity IAMP, future access locations and public street connections were evaluated for properties along Cascade Highway. The intent of the Access Management Plan is to identify the location of driveways and internal circulation routes for properties whose accesses will need to be relocated to achieve the safety and mobility objectives of the Access Management Standards. The plan, as described in the following paragraphs, shall be applied by ODOT, Marion County, the City of Sublimity, and the City of Stayton in future land use decisions involving the properties located within the IAMP study area.

It should be noted that the strategies below mostly apply to areas of new development or redevelopment; existing accesses are allowed to remain as long as the land use does not change. As a result, access management is a long-term process in which the desired access spacing to a street slowly evolves over time as redevelopment occurs. It should also be kept in mind that parcels cannot be land-locked, and must have some way of accessing the public street system. This may mean allowing shorter access spacing then would otherwise be allowed.

Access management strategies for approaches located within the Interchange Access Management Limit are described in this section.

Figure 5-1 contains a detailed inventory of all properties and approaches in the Access Management Plan impact area, with short and medium/long-term actions described for each. Figure 5-2 depicts corresponding approaches and properties.

## Cascade Highway (West Side of Roadway, North of Oregon 22)

Short-Term Access Actions
Existing private driveway approaches will continue to be allowed individual access to Cascade Highway. Access deviations will be requested for all of these private approaches.

Sublimity Boulevard will continue to access Cascade Highway. An access deviation will be requested. As part of IAMP physical improvements, a traffic signal will be installed at the Sublimity Boulevard/Cascade Highway intersection, directly across from the realigned Oregon 22 termini.

The City of Sublimity will adopt an Interchange Overlay zoning district in its Development Code and amend sections of Code language. The new overlay zone will prohibit development from occurring at the properties on this part of Cascade Highway without the presence of an alternate roadway to access. Proposed changes to the City of Sublimity's Development Code are provided as Appendix I.

## Medium/Long-Term Access Management

Under the guidance of this IAMP, properties located on this part of Cascade Highway will take future access via the access backage road described in Section 4 of this report and illustrated on Figure 4-9. During the IAMP planning process, the Project Management Team (PMT) strongly considered whether this backage road should be constructed as a short-term improvement. The PMT concluded that the timing and precise route of this access road should be guided by the future commercial development of the properties.
The backage access road (and new driveways necessary to connect to this access road) will most likely be constructed as a condition of one or more commercial developments in the medium-to-long-term future.

## Cascade Highway (West Side of Roadway, South of Oregon 22)

## Short-Term Access Actions

Golf Lane will continue to access Cascade Highway. An access deviation will be requested.

## Medium/Long-Term Access Management

Under an existing Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City of Stayton and Marion County, Golf Lane will be realigned at such time that the existing Golf
Lane/Cascade Highway intersection warrants signalization or fails to meet Marion County standards for safety and/or operations. The realigned Golf Lane approach would intersect with Cascade Highway directly across from Whitney Street, approximately 470 feet south of its existing location.

The aforementioned MOU is provided as Appendix J.

## Cascade Highway (East Side of Roadway, South of Oregon 22)

## Short-Term Access Actions

Whitney Street will continue to access Cascade Highway. An access deviation will be requested.
The Park-and-Ride lot will continue to be allowed access to Cascade Highway. An access deviation will be requested.

## Medium/Long-Term Access Management

As part of Stayton TSP physical improvements, a traffic signal will be installed at the Whitney Street/Cascade Highway intersection.

## Cascade Highway (East Side of Roadway, North of Oregon 22)

## Short-Term Access Actions

Approach \#13 (see Figure 4-2) will be closed and alternate access will be provided via Approach \#14. ODOT will construct a frontage road extending from the driveway at Approach \#13 to the driveway at Approach \#14 to allow for this consolidation of driveways.

Approach \#14 will continue to be allowed access to Cascade Highway. An access deviation will be requested.

ODOT will purchase access control along entire property road frontage of Tax Lot\# 091 W03A00100. This proposed line of access control purchase is depicted on Figure 5-2.

## Medium/Long-Term Access Management

Approach \#14 will be closed and alternate access will be provided via a frontage road that will access Cascade Highway at a new intersection located directly across from the new backage access road described earlier.

## Access Management Deviations

In the short-term, LAMP actions reduce by one the number of approaches to Cascade Highway within the Interchange Access Management Limits. In the medium-to long-term, however, the actions performed as part of this IAMP will reduce the number of approaches by six. Under OAR 734-051-0135(5) the ODOT Region Access Management Engineer " shall require any deviation for an approach located in an interchange access management area as defined in the Oregon Highway Plan, to be evaluated over a 20-year horizon from the date of application and may approve a deviation for an approach located in an interchange access management area if... (b) The approach is consistent with an access management plan for an interchange that includes plans to combine or remove approaches resulting in a net reduction of approaches to the highway". Deviations identified in this IAMP are consistent with this statute.

Table 5-1 addresses all approach locations where access deviations will be required and provides a rationale for why the deviations should be granted. See Figure 42 for corresponding depiction of approach locations.

TABLE 5-1

| $\underset{\#}{\text { Approach }}$ | Tax Lot(s) Served | Deviation Request Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 091 W03BA03300 | As part of this IAMP, the City of Sublimity will be establishing an "Interchange Overlay" zone, encompassing all of these properties. This zone will prohibit development that increases vehicle trip generation onto Cascade Highway and will require future development of any of these properties to access onto a local backage road in the rear of the property. This action moves in the direction of ODOT Access Management Standards. <br> The aforementioned future backage road was considered as a potential physical improvement to be created as part of this IAMP. However, it was concluded that the precise route and construction timing of this future backage road shouid be guided by the development of these properties. Therefore, a deviation should be |
| 2 | 091 W03BA03400 |  |
| 3 | 091W03BA03700 |  |

TABLE 5-1
IAMP Access Deviations

| Approach \# | Tax Lot(s) Served | Deviation Request Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 093W03B00500 | approved per OAR 734-051-0080(2), since these private accesses have no reasonable altemate access to their properties. <br> These properties currently produce very few vehicle trips. Analysis performed for this IAMP concluded that the presence of these approaches is consistent with the safety factors listed under OAR 734-051-0080(9). These approaches have only a slight effect on Cascade Highway safety and a negligible effect on mobility. Therefore, a deviation should be approved per OAR 734-051-0135(1). |
| 5 | 091W03B00700 |  |
| 6 | Sublimity Blvd. | The intersection of Sublimity Boulevard and Cascade Highway was aligned with the westbound ramp terminal in the original design of the interchange. This location was selected after a review of altematives, all of which were determined to be infeasible. The location was consistent with the access management rules in effect at the time and the layout was approved by ODOT and FHWA through the adoption of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). |
| 8 | Golf Lane | An existing Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City of Stayton and Marion County calls for the realignment of Golf Lane at such time that Golf iane warrants signalization or fails to meet Marion County standards for safety and/or operations. The realigned Golf Lane approach would intersect with Cascade Highway directly across from Whitney Street, approximately 470 feet south of its existing location. By relocating an existing access point further from the interchange ramp terminal, this action moves in the direction of ODOT Access Management Standards. <br> Analysis performed for this LAMP concluded that the presence of this approach is consistent with the safety factors listed under OAR 734-051-0080(9). Therefore, a deviation should be approved per OAR 734-05i-0135(1). |
| 9 | Whitney Street | The 2004 traffic study done for the City of Stayton TSP revealed that this intersection operates at LOS A and LOS C, respectively, for the southbound left and westbound approach. Whitney Street is identified in an integral part of Stayton's circulation system. |
| 10 | 091 W03DB00300; 091 W03DB00200 | This park and ride access provides a carpool altemative, helping to decrease the number of vehicles using the interchange at peak periods, thereby improving operational and safety conditions - the overall objective of Access Management Standards. Park and Ride lots serve the multi-modal objectives of Goal 12. Altemate access to the park and ride lot is infeasible due to the existence of wetlands adjoining the lot. |
| 14 | 091W03A00200; 091W03A00100 | As part of this IAMP. Approach \#13 will be closed and consolidated with Approach \#14. Therefore, a deviation should be approved per OAR 734-051-0135(3)(b). Additionally, access control will be purchased along entire property road frontage of Tax Lot\# 091WO3A00100. |

## Physical Improvement Recommendations

Based on the level of traffic demand associated with the proposed development in Stayton and Sublimity, specific improvements are required by the design year, 2025. In its current configuration, the interchange exit ramps and westbound entrance ramp would operate beyond capacity. In addition, all of the approaches to Cascade Highway would operate beyond their applicable mobility standards with the exception of Martin Drive which is restricted to a right-turn-in-right-turn-out access.

Implementing the proposed ODOT interchange improvements and the City of Stayton's preferred transportation plan would entail the following improvements within the interchange management area:

- Reconstruct the Oregon 22 entrance ramps to provide standard merge operations onto Oregon 22.
- Widen Cascade Highway from and including Sublimity Boulevard through the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road intersection.
- Realign Golf Lane across from Whitney Street.
- Signalize the Oregon 22 ramp termini - Sublimity Boulevard/Cascade Highway intersection (north of interchange).
- Signalize the Oregon 22 ramp termini/Cascade Highway intersection (south of intersection).
- Signalize the Whitney Street - Golf Lane/Cascade Highway intersection.

In addition to these improvements the following are recommended to accommodate the forecasted travel demand through the design year:

1. Coordinate traffic signal operations along Cascade Highway due to the close spacing of signalized intersections.
2. When traffic demand requires, install a right-turn pocket on the eastbound Oregon 22 exit ramp approach to Cascade Highway.
3. When traffic demand requires, install right-turn pockets on the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road approaches to Cascade Highway.

The incorporation of these infrastructure improvements would result in acceptable operations within the Sublimity IAMP area.

## Traffic Management Recommendations

A park-and-ride facility is located within the IAMP area. Currently the facility is not served by bus routes or formal carpool programs. Expansion of service to this facility is not currently planned, but could aide in managing traffic demand through the IAMP area.
Another inherent traffic management mechanism is the availability of alternate access to Stayton and Sublimity. An additional access point to the Cities of Stayton and Sublimity is provided at the Golf Club Road interchange to the west. Improvements to Golf Club Road are also programmed into the City of Stayton's TSP, thus providing an attractive alternative route to Stayton.

To a lesser extent, Fern Ridge Road and Santiam Street provide alternative access points at at-grade intersections along Oregon 22 to the east of the Sublimity Interchange. These locations, however, should be modified or eliminated both for access management and safety improvement along Oregon 22.

## Adoption and Implementation Process

Adoption and implementation of the Sublimity IAMP will occur at several levels of government. It is necessary for the City of Sublimity, the City of Stayton, and Marion County to amend their respective Transportation System Plans to incorporate the elements of the Sublimity IAMP. This amendment process will include Planning Commission/City Council hearings at the City level and Planning Commission/County Commission hearings at the County level. Following successful adoption at the City and County levels, the Sublimity IAMP will be presented to the OTC for review and approval.

ODOT IAMP adoption occurs when the OTC formally adopts the plan as an ODOT facility plan. Notwithstanding consultation with the OTC on preliminary recommendations, local government adoption should precede OTC adoption. Formal approval of the LAMP is required by the OTC prior to starting project construction.

## Implementation Authority

Development, adoption, and implementation of this IAMP are determined by regulatory authority. Local agency authority comes through state statutes, and city and county comprehensive plans and development codes. State of Oregon authority comes in the form of policy and administrative rules governing authority over federal and state systems, as granted through the following:

- State Agency Coordination Rule and Agreement (SAC 1990-OAR 731-015) - The purpose of this rule is to define what ODOT actions are land use actions and how ODOT will meet its responsibilities for coordinating these activities with the statewide land use planning program, other state agencies, and local government.
- Transportation Planning Rule (OAR 660-012) - This rule is one of several statewide planning rules that provides protection of the long-term livability of Oregon's communities for future generations. The rule requires multi-modal transportation plans to be coordinated with land use plans. In satisfying the goal, state and local governments must satisfy requirements that lead to implementation of a transportation system that functions consistent with the planned land uses.

Access Management Rule (OAR 734-051) - This rule applies to the location, construction, maintenance and use of approaches onto the state highway rights-of-way and properties under the jurisdiction of ODOT. These rules also govern closure of existing approaches, spacing standards, medians, deviations, appeal process, grants of access, and indentures of access.

## Implementation Steps and Responsibilities

## City of Sublimity Actions:

- Adopt IAMP, through City ordinance, as a refinement element to City's TSP and Comprehensive Plan. This ordinance is provided as Appendix $K$.
- Amend Development Code Chapter 2.103.05, through City ordinance, to support the creation of an Interchange Management Area Overlay Zone and IAMP access recommendations. This ordinance is provided as Appendix $L$.
- Amend Development Code, through City ordinance, to include a new chapter - Chapter 2.106. Chapter 2106 will establish the creation of an Interchange Management Area Overlay Zone. This ordinance is provided as Appendix $M$.
- Amend Development Code Chapter 2.202.03, through City ordinance, to support the creation of an Interchange Management Area Overlay Zone and IAMP access recommendations. This ordinance is provided as Appendix N .
- Amend City's Zoning Map, through new City ordinance, to include the boundaries of the Interchange Management Area Overlay zone. This ordinance is provided as Appendix $O$. The proposed boundary of the Interchange Management Overlay zone is shown in Appendix $P$.


## City of Stayton Actions:

- Adopt IAMP, through City ordinance, as a refinement element to City's TSP and Comprehensive Plan. This ordinance is provided as Appendix $Q$.


## Marion County Actions:

- Adopt IAMP, through new County ordinance, as a refinement element to County's TSP and Comprehensive Plan. This ordinance is provided as Appendix R.


## Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments (MVCOG) Actions:

- Provide comments and technical support as relevant.


## StatelODOT Actions:

- OTC adoption of IAMP as a facility plan
- Develop and fund IAMP-identified transportation system improvements
- Close identified approaches as described in the IAMP
- Consolidate identified approaches as described in the IAMP
- Construct IAMP-identified transportation system improvements including installation of traffic signal and control devices
- Purchase access control along east side of Cascade Highway (north of interchange) from current point of access control northwards to encompass entirety of Interchange Access Management Limit area. This entails purchasing access rights from one private property owner (Tax Lot\# 091 W03A00100)
- Participate and comment on local land development actions with the potential to affect the interchange


## Department of Land Conservation and Development Actions:

- Acknowledge any TSP refinements made as part of IAMP implementation
- Review IAMP


## Insert Figures 5-1 and 5-2 (11×17)

## Public Involvement

## Roles and Responsibilities of Key Jurisdictions and Groups

Decision making for the Oregon Highway 22/Cascade Highway Interchange Area Management Plan was shared among the Cities of Sublimity and Stayton, Marion County, the Federal Highway Administration and ODOT through a Project Management Team (PMT). The PMT had responsibility for oversight of the public process and for ensuring that any alternatives considered the policies and needs of the jurisdictions. Ultimately, the city councils were responsible for adopting the Interchange Area Management Plan as part of their transportation system plans. The PMT liaisons from each jurisdiction briefed the elected officials during work sessions at key decision points in the project. At these meetings, the PMT presented information generated by the PMT and the community.

ODOT was responsible for managing and funding the project, soliciting and receiving public comment, and ensuring that the alternatives generated by the public and PMT were technically sound and consistent with state policies. ODOT developed the draft plan for presentation and adoption by the city councils. Following action by the city councils, ODOT presented the plan to the OTC for formal approval. ODOT and city councils approval are necessary before ODOT will adopt a project plan.

## Project Management Team

The PMT responsibilities included the following:

- Definition of project scope and applicable standards
- Management of project scope, schedule and budget
- Receive public comment
- Informal briefing with the Stayton and Sublimity City Councils at major milestones.
- Direction, production and quality assurance of technical and public/agency involvement work

The PMT members are:

- Dan Fricke, ODOT
- Lisa Ansell, ODOT
- Mike Faught, City of Stayton
- Gene Ditter, City of Sublimity
- Mike McCarthy, Marion County
- Anthony Boesen, FHWA

The PMT met in person to review deliverables and to plan upcoming activities. Other technical resource people were included in these meetings, as needed.

## Public Involvement Program

The PMT conducted a public involvement program targeted to affected property owners and businesses. The purpose of the program was to:

- Elicit and facilitate public discussion of the needs and issues affecting the land uses and access changes in the four quadrants of the Oregon Highway 22 and Cascade Highway interchange.
- Generate a collaborative problem solving process to help identify solutions that address access issues.
- Build broad public understanding of the project needs, access requirements, and other issues.
- Nurture and strengthen the credibility of the partners (ODOT and the Cities of Stayton and Sublimity) and the legitimacy of the process.


## Program Elements

The public involvement program incorporated the following major elements:

- Research to identify affected property owners and business
- One-on-one and small group meetings with affected property owners, businesses and community services (i.e. police, fire, EMS, School transport.)
- Mailing list
- City council briefings
- Phone calls and mailings
- Fact Sheet

TABLE 4-1
Table Public Involvement Activity Summary

| Date | Event or Activity | Purpose | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 12, 2005 | Three Small Group Meetings with Affected Property Owners ( 8 people attending.) | Introduction of the project; solicitation of input on problems and issues related to access and land use; opportunity to suggest alternatives | Identification of issues and concerns; suggestions for alternatives to consider |
| May 16, 2005 | Two Small Group Meetings with Affected Property Owners (16 people attending.) | Introduction of the project; solicitation of input on problems and issues related to access and land use; opportunity to suggest alternatives | Identification of issues and concerns; suggestions for alternatives to consider |
| July 25, 2005 | Small Group Meeting with Northwest and Northeast property owners (16 people attending.) | Presentation of access requirements; updated interchange concept; discussion of access alternatives. | Agreement on an access alternative for the north west quadrant. |

TABLE 4.1
Table Public Involvement Activity Summary

| Date | Event or Activity | Purpose |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| August 2005 | Mailing to mailing list | Summarize information <br> gathered-to-date and <br> outine next steps. Inform <br> of public hearing dates. |
| Throughout | Answer questions and <br> provide information for the <br> public. |  |
| Throughout | Mailing list (property <br> owners, business owners <br> and meeting attendees) | To be notified of meetings <br> and city council hearings. <br> Information will be given to <br> project leader for the <br> interchange project. |
| Throughout | City Council briefings for <br> the cities of Stayton and <br> Sublimity |  |

# Compliance with State and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations 

## Documents Reviewed

The following state and local plans, policies and regulations were reviewed as part of the Sublimity IAMP process. The remainder of this section summarizes the objectives of each of these documents and identifies sections relevant to the Sublimity IAMP.

- Statewide Planning Goals
- Oregon Transportation Plan
- Oregon Highway Plan
- Transportation Planning Rule
- Oregon Adminustrative Rule 734-051 (Access Management)
- Marion County Comprehensive Plan
- Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan
- Marion County Urban and Rural Zoning Ordinance
- City of Stayton Comprehensive Plan
- City of Stayton Transportation System Plan
- City of Stayton Land Use and Development Code
- City of Sublimity Comprehensive Plan
- City of Sublimity Transportation System Plan
- City of Sublimity Development Code
- Joseph Street - Stayton North City Limits Environmental Assessment / Revised Environmental Assessment


## State Plans and Policies

## Oregon's Statewide Planning Goals

The State of Oregon has established 19 statewide planning goals to guide local and regional land use planning. The goals express the state's policies on land use and related topics. Goals expressly relevant to the Sublimity IAMP are as follows:

- Goal 2- Land Use Planning: Establish a land use planning process and policy framework as a basis for all decisions and actions related to use of land to assure an adequate factual base for such decisions and actions.
- Goal 11-Public Facilities and Services: Plan and develop a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development.
- Goal 12- Transportation: Provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system.
- Goal 14-Urbanization: Provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use.
The Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development has acknowledged that the Marion County Comprehensive Plan, Stayton Comprehensive Plan, and the Sublimity Comprehensive Plan are in compliance with the statewide planning goals. Each of these three comprehensive plans are reviewed in this section.


## Oregon Transportation Plan

The Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP) is a long-range policy document to address federal and state mandates for systematic statewide transportation system planning. It is developed by the Oregon Department of Transportation. The goal of the OTP is to promote a safe, efficient, and convenient transportation system over the next 40 years that improves livability and facilitates economic development for residents of the state. The OTP's goals, policies and actions integrate all modes of transportation with the intention of encouraging the most appropriate mode for each type of travel. The Plan's System Element identifies a coordinated multimodal transportation system, to be developed over the next 20 years, which is intended to implement the goals and policies of the Plan. The goals and policies of the OTP cover a broad range of issues. Those goals and policies most directly applicable to the Sublimity IAMP and its associated actions are as follows:

## Goal 1: Characteristics of the System

## Policy 1B-Efficiency

- Action 1B.1-calls for the consideration of economic, social, energy and environmental impacts in transportation planning and design processes.
- Action 1B.4-corridors should be preserved for transportation development.


## Policy 1G - Safety

- Action 1G.4 - resources should be targeted to dangerous routes and locations in cooperation with local and state agencies.
- Action 1G.9 - calls for the transportation system to be built, operated, and regulated so that users feel safe and secure as they travel.


## Goal 2: Livability

## Policy 2A - Land Use

- Action 2A.1 - supports local land use planning as part of system planning to minimize automobile trips per capita and automobile miles traveled.

Policy 2C - Relationship of Interurban and Urban Mobility

- Action 2C. 1 - interurban corridors in and near urban areas should be planned and designed to preserve their utility for interurban travel.


## Goal 3: Economic Development

## Policy 3B - Linkages to Markets

- Action 3B. 3 - the highway system should be maintained, preserved, and improved in order to provide infrastructure for the efficient movement of goods by truck and bus.


## Goal 4: Implementation

## Policy 4G - Management Practices

- Action 4G. 1 - calls for preserving, maintaining, and improving transportation infrastructure and services that are of statewide significance.
- Action 4G.2 - access control be a part of transportation system projects to achieve reasonable levels of service.
- Action 4G. 4 - calls for controlled accesses to statewide transportation corridors and facilities.


## Oregon Highway Plan

The 1999 Oregon Highway Plan is a modal element of the 1992 OTP and defines policies and investment strategies for Oregon's state highway system over the next 20 years. The plan contains three elements - a vision element that describes the broad goal for how the highway system should look in 20 years; a policy element that contains goals, policies, and actions to be followed by state, regional, and local jurisdictions; and a system element that includes an analysis of needs, revenues, and performance measures.
The policy element contains several policies and actions, described below, that are relevant to the Sublimity IAMP and its associated recommended actions.

## Policy 1A: State Highway Classification System

Policy 1A develops a state highway classification system to guide ODOT priorities for system investment and management.

Action 1A. 1 defines five categories of state highway facilities - interstate highways, statewide highways, regional highways, district highways, and local interest roads. Two of these (interstate and statewide highways) are part of the national highway system.

Interstate highways provide connections to major cities and regions within the state and facilitate movement to and from other states. The management objective for interstate highways is to provide safe and efficient high-speed travel in urban and rural areas.

Statewide highways provide inter-urban and inter~regional mobility and connections to larger urban areas, ports, and major recreation areas not directly served by Interstate Highways. Statewide highways also provide connections for intra-urban and intra-regional trips. The management objective for statewide highways is to provide safe and efficient, high-speed, continuous-flow operation along the corridor, with minimal interruptions to flow in constrained or urban areas.

Action 1A. 2 defines and classifies expressways as a subset of statewide, regional, and district highways. The function of expressways is to provide safe and efficient high speed and high volume traffic movements with minimal interruptions, for interurban travel and connections to ports and major recreation areas. Along expressways, private access is discouraged, connections to public roads are highly controlled, traffic signals (rural areas only) are discouraged, and nontraversable medians are encouraged.

## Policy 1B: Land Use and Transportation

Policy 1B directs the state to work with regional agencies and local jurisdictions to consider land use when planning transportation systems and projects. Action 1B. 7 gives special designations for certain land use patterns off the freeway to foster compact development patterns in communities. The three designations provided are special transportation area, commercial center, and urban business area.

## Policy 1C: State Highway Freight System

Policy 1C states that the timeliness of freight movements should be considered when developing and implementing plans and projects on freight routes.

## Policy 1F: Highway Mobility Standards

Action 1F. 1 requires that highways operate at a certain level of mobility, depending on their location and classification. Part of this action requires that freeway interchanges be managed to maintain safe and efficient operation of the freeway through the interchange area.

## Policy 1G: Major Improvements

Action 1G. 1 directs agencies to make the fewest number of structural changes to a roadway system to address its identified needs and deficiencies, and to protect the existing highway system before adding new facilities to it. The action ranks four priorities of projects, as follows:

- 1. Preserving the functionality of the existing system
- 2. Making minor improvements to improve the efficiency and capacity of the existing system
- 3. Adding capacity to the existing system
- 4. Building new transportation systems


## Policy 2F: Traffic Safety

Policy 2F identifies the need for projects in the state to improve safety for all users of the state highway system.

## Policy 3A: Classification and Spacing Standards

Policy 3A addresses the location, spacing and type of road and street intersections and approach roads on state highways. It includes spacing standards for each highway classification. Appendix C of the OHP provides tables of access management spacing standards.

## Policy 3B: Medians

This policy establishes the state's criteria for the placement of medians. Action 3B. 2 calls for the construction of nontraversible medians to be considered as part of modernization projects for urban, multi-lane Statewide Highways when certain factors related to traffic volume, topography, and crash rate are present.

## Policy 3C: Interchange Access Management Areas

Policy 3C calls for the planning and management of grade-separated interchange areas to ensure safe and efficient operation between connecting roadways. Action 3C. 1 requires agencies to develop Interchange Area Management Plans to protect the function of interchanges over the long-term. The intention of an Interchange Area Management Plan is to minimize the need for major interchange improvements.

## Transportation Planning Rule

The Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) implements Oregon Statewide Planning Goal 12, which encourages construction of transportation facilities that are safe and efficient and designed to reduce automobile reliance. The objective of the TPR is to reduce air pollution, congestion, and other livability problems found in urban areas.
The TPR requires the preparation of regional transportation systems plans (TSP's) by MPO's or counties and local TSP's by counties and cities. Through TSP's, the TPR provides a means for regional and local jurisdictions to identify long-range (20-year) strategies for the development of local transportation facilities and services for all modes, to integrate transportation and land use, to provide a basis for land use and transportation decisionmaking, and to identify projects for the State Transportation Improvement Program. TSP's need to be consistent with the State Transportation Plan and its modal and multimodal elements. The TSP's of the three jurisdictions inside the Sublimity IAMP area are reviewed in this document (Marion County, Stayton, and Sublimity).

## Access Management Rules OAR 734-051

The intention of the Access Management Rules is to balance the safety and mobility needs of travelers along state highways with the access needs of property and business owners.

ODOT's rules manage access to the state's highway facilities to the degree necessary to maintain functional use, highway safety, and the preservation of public investment consistent with the 1999 OHP and local comprehensive plans. Access management is the most pivotal transportation planning tool being utilized in this IAMP to ensure safe and operationally efficient conditions in the vicinity of the interchange.

Sections of the Access Management Rules that are particularly relevant to the Sublimity IAMP are addressed below

## 734-051-0125, Access Management Spacing Standards for Approaches in an Interchange Area

1) Access management spacing standards for approaches in an interchange area:
(a) Are based on classification of highway and highway segment designation, type of area, and posted speed;

Project Relevance: Based on the Oregon Highway Plan, the applicable access spacing standard for the Sublimity Interchange is 1,320 feet Correspondingly, it is a primary objective of this IAMP to remove a number of approaches along Cascade Highway within 1,320 feet of the interchange ramp terminals.
(c) Do not apply to approaches in existence prior to April 1, 2000 except where any of the following occur:
(A) These standards will apply to private approaches at the time of a change of use.
(B) If infill development or redevelopment occurs, spacing and safety factors will improve by moving in the direction of the access management spacing standards, with the goal of meeting or improving compliance with the access management spacing standards.
(C) For a highway or interchange construction or modernization project or other roadway or interchange project determined by the Region Manager, the project will improve spacing and safety factors by moving in the direction of the access management spacing standards, with the goal of meeting or improving compliance with the access management spacing standards.
Project Relevance: The preparation of this IAMP was necessitated by planned modernization improvements to the Sublimity Interchange. It is a main objective of this plan to provide implementable recommendations that will reduce the number of approaches to Cascade Highway in the interchange area. Recommendations include the provision of a backage road for properties along the west side of Cascade Highway (north of the interchange) to connect driveways to and local land use ordinances that will disallow access to Cascade Highway when one of these properties is redeveloped.
(2) Spacing standards in Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8 and Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4, adopted and made a part of this rule, identify the spacing standards for approaches in an interchange area

Project Relevance: As noted earlier, based on the Oregon Highway Plan, the applicable access spacing standard for the Sublimity Interchange is 1,320 feet.
(4) Deviations must meet the criteria in OAR 734-051-0135.

Project Relevance: This IAMP is recommending deviations for two approaches in the project area (Sublimity Boulevard and the driveway to state-owned park-and-ride), as will be discussed in the review of OAR 734-051-0135.
(5) Location of traffic signals within an interchange management area must meet the criteria of OAR 734-020-0400 through 734-020-0500.

Project Relevance: This IAMP is recommending the installation of two traffic signals (at Cascade Highway and Sublimity Boulevard, and at the proposed intersection of Cascade Highway and Whitney Boulevard). The installation of these traffic signals will be in accordance with the criteria in OAR 734-020-0400 through 734-020-0500.
(6) The Department should acquire access control on crossroads around interchanges for a distance of 1320 feet. In some cases it may be appropriate to acquire access control beyond 1320 feet.

Project Relevance: This IAMP is recommending the long-term acquisition of access control along all sides of Cascade Highway for at least 1,320 feet, except at Sublimity Boulevard, where an access deviation is expected to be permanent.

## 734-051-0135, Deviations from Access Management Spacing Standards

1) A deviation will be considered when an approach does not meet spacing standards and the approach is consistent with safety factors in OAR 734-051-0080(9).

Project Relevance: As noted earlier, this IAMP is recommending access management standard deviations for two approaches in the project area. These two approaches have been deemed to be consistent with the safety factors in OAR 734-051-0080(9), which are as follows: roadway character; traffic character; geometric character; environmental character; and operational character.

## 734-051-0155, Access Management Plans, Access Management Plans for Interchanges, and Interchange Area Management Plans

(1) The Department encourages the development of Access Management Plans, Access Management Plans for Interchanges, and Interchange Area Management Plans to maintain highway performance and improve safety by improving system efficiency and management before adding capacity consistent with the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan.
(5) The Department encourages the development of Interchange Area Management Plans to plan for and manage grade-separated interchange areas to ensure safe and efficient operation between connecting roadways:
(a) Interchange Area Management Plans are developed by the Department and local governmental agencies to protect the function of interchanges by maximizing the capacity of the interchanges for safe movement from the mainline facility, to provide safe and efficient operations between connecting roadways, and to minimize the need for major improvements of existing interchanges;
(c) Priority should be placed on those facilities on the Interstate system with cross roads carrying high volumes or providing important statewide or regional connectivity.
(6) Interchange Area Management Plans are required for new interchanges and should be developed for significant modifications to existing interchanges consistent with the following:
(a) Should be developed no later than the time an interchange is designed or is being redesigned;
(b) Should identify opportunities to improve operations and safety in conjunction with roadway projects and property development or redevelopment and adopt strategies and development standards to capture those opportunities;
(c) Should include short, medium, and long-range actions to improve operations and safety in the interchange area;
(d) Should consider current and future traffic volumes and flows, roadway geometry, traffic control devices, current and planned land uses and zoning, and the location of all current and planned approaches;
(e) Should provide adequate assurance of the safe operation of the facility through the design traffic forecast period, typically 20 years;
(f) Should consider existing and proposed uses of the all property in the interchange area consistent with its comprehensive plan designations and zoning;
(g) Are consistent with any adopted Transportation System Plan, Corridor Plan, Local Comprehensive Plan, or Special Transportation Area or Urban Business Area designation, or amendments to the Transportation System Plan unless the jurisdiction is exempt from transportation system planning requirements under OAR 660-012-0055;
(h) Are consistent with the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan; and
(i) Are approved by the Department through an intergovernmental agreement and adopted by the local government, and adopted into a Transportation System Plan unless the jurisdiction is exempt from transportation system planning requirements under OAR 660-012-0055.

Project Relevance: This IAMP was prepared in accordance with the most current guidance offered by ODOT for the creation of IAMP's ${ }^{1}$. By following this guidance, which encapsulates the requirements of 734-051-0155, this IAMP will be in conformance with the entirety of the rule.

[^5]
## Marion County Plans and Policies

## Marion County Comprehensive Plan (1994)

The Sublimity IAMP has been found to be compliant with applicable sections of the Marion County Comprehensive Plan as provided in the following table:

| Marion County <br> Comprehensive Plan <br> Element | Relevant Goals/Policies/Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agricultural Lands | To preserve and maintain agricultural lands for farm use consistent with <br> the present and future need for agricultural products, forest and open <br> space. <br> Preserve lands designated as Primary Agriculture by zoning them EFU. <br> Lands designated as Special Agriculture should be protected by the <br> corresponding SA zone and farmiand in the Farm/Timber designation <br> should be protected by the Farm/Timber zone. |
| Economic Development | Provision of increased employment opportunities for all residents of the <br> County. <br> Provision of sufficient areas for future industrial land use. <br> Development of a transportation system for the safe and efficient |
| Urbanization | movement of persons and goods for present needs. <br> Coordination of planning and development of public facilities. |
| (Urban Land Use) | Basic planning goal is the conservation and intelligent use of land and <br> (elated resources. |
| To provide an orderly transition from rural to urban land use. Planning) |  | | Orderly and economic provision for public facilities and services. |
| :--- |
| Maximum efficiency of land uses within and on the fringe of the existing |


| Marion County <br> Comprehensive Plan <br> Element | (Transportation) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address transportation needs appropriate to both urban and rural areas <br> throughout the County. <br> Marion County shall jointly plan with communities to meet the <br> transportation needs in the future. <br> Allow for complementary mix of land uses and transportation systems. |  |
| (Environmental) | Encourage coordination of traffic calming methods. <br> Preserve and protect agricultural and forest lands, wetland, wildlife <br> habitat, riparian corridors and natural areas through wise stewardship. |
|  | Promote conservation, recycling and the efficient use of energy and <br> resources. |
| To protect rural farm and forest lands, identify land use efficiency |  |
| standards that should be met before a city can amend its UGB. |  |

## Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan (1998)

The Sublimity IAMP has been found to be compliant with applicable sections of the Marion County Rural TSP as provided in the following table:

| Marion County TSP Element | Relevant Goals/Policies/Comments |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 Goals and Objectives |  |
| Goal 1 | Improve transportation system safety. |
| Goal 2 | Provide an accessible, efficient and practical transportation system. |
| Goal 3 | Provide sufficient and uniform design standards. |
| Goal 4 | Provide sufficient transportation capacity. |
| Goal 5 | Work in partnership with communities to address community needs and values. |
| Goal 6 | Promote altemative modes of transportation. |
| Goal 7 | Consider land use and transportation relationships. |
| Goal 8 | Address transportation policy issues and intergovernmental coordination. |
| 7.2.4 Roadway Design Standards | Balance between transportation and land use. |
| 9.7.5 Development, Land Use, and Access Policies |  |
| Policy 3 | County shall consider and strive to minimize the negative impacts to surrounding land uses and communities in selection and implementation of transportation projects. |
| Policy 4 | Development proposals and changes in land use designations shall conform to any sub-area management plans created or adopted by Marion County |
| Policy 8 | New transportation facilties of all types should use existing rights-of-way to the extent possible to minimize disruption to existing land use. |
| Policy 12 | To prevent exceeding the expected capacity of any component of the transportation system, the County will consider roadway functional classification, capacity, and current conditions as primary criteria for proposed changes in land use designations and proposed land use developments. |
| Policy 13 | The County shall review land use actions, development proposais, and large transportation projects in the region for impacts to the transpiration system and facilities. If impacts are deemed significant and can not be mitigated, the action shall be denied or altered. |


| Marion County TSP Element | Relevant Goals/Policies/Comments |
| ---: | ---: |
| Policy 14 | Number of access points on arterial and major collector roadways shall <br> be kept to a minimum to minimize the interruption to traffic flow and to <br> promote safety. |

## Marion County Zoning Ordinances (2004 - Revised)

The entire range of transportation improvements discussed in this IAMP are permitted outright in all zones in Marion County. Relevant sections are described in the following table:

| Provision | Relevant Requirements |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25.10 Uses Permitted in all | (b) Except as provided in (d), expansion and reatignment of existing right <br> of way and easements, including improvement and construction of streets, <br> Zoads and utilities in conformance with the applicable comprehensive plan <br> and standards of the Department of Public Works. |
|  | (d) In the UTF zone, in addition to existing uses and facilities, the following <br> uses and facilities within street rights-of-way are permitted without <br> approval: (1) climbing and passing lanes within the right-of-way existing <br> as of July 1, 1987; (2) Reconstruction or modification of streets. <br> Additional travel lanes, removal or displacement of buildings, or creation <br> of new lots are not included. |
|  |  |

## City of Stayton Plans and Policies

## Stayton Comprehensive Plan ( 1995 - Revised)

The Sublimity IAMP has been found to be compliant with applicable sections of the Stayton Comprehensive Plan as provided in the following table:

| Stayton Comprehensive <br> Plan Element | Relevant Goals/Policies/Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Section 2 | TSP has been prepared to meet state and federal regulations that <br> require urban areas to conduct long-range planning. The long-range <br> planning is intended to seve as a guide for the City of Stayton in <br> managing their existing transportation facilities and developing future <br> transportation facilities. |
| $\qquad 2.2 .1$ Land Uses | Four major types: commercial, industrial, residential, and public uses. |


| Stayton Comprehensive Plan Element | Relevant Goals/Policies/Comments |
| :---: | :---: |
| Section 4 |  |
| 4.3.2 Arterials | Cascade Hwy/1st Ave is north/south arterial providing primary access to Stayton from Hwy 22 and Linn County. Conflicts with through traffic, local traffic, and pedestrians. |
| 4.4.2 Pavement Width | Deficiencies at Golf Club Road from Mill Creek Road to Shaff Road; Fern Ridge Road from west of 10th Ave to Hwy 22; Cascade Hwy south of Hwy 22 eastbound ramps to Whitney Street. |
|  | Required widths for arterials are 100 feet for right-of-way and 40 feet for pavement. |
| Section 7 |  |
| 7.1.2 Functional Classification | Golf Club Road upgraded to minor arterial; Cascade Highway fro Hwy 22 upgraded to primary arterial. |
| 7.1.5 Traffic Calming | Installed on a case-by-case basis. |
| 7.1.6 Street Improvements | Highway 22 Joseph Street project ( $\$ 50,000$ ); Widen Golf Club Road between Hwy 22 and Shaff Road ( $\$ 4,000,000$ ); Signalize Golf Club Road/Hwy 22 eastbound ramps and install eastbound right-turn lane ( $\$ 250,000$ ). |
| 7.2 Ped/Bike Improvements | Fern Ridge Road, north side, sections between 1st Ave and Hwy 22 $(\$ 72,000)$ |

## Stayton Transportation System Plan (2004 - Final Draft)

The Sublimity IAMP has been found to be compliant with applicable sections of the Stayton TSP as provided in the following table:

| Stayton TSP Element <br> Natural Resources | IAMP-Relevant Goals and Policies |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| NR-11 | Vegetation along streams and rivers should be maintained in a natural state. A buffer between urban development and fish habitat a strip of riparian vegetation should be retained along the North Santiam River and Mill Creek. |
| NR-12 | Flood plain areas along Mill Creek and the North Santiam River that remain after flood protection measures, such as dikes or fill, are used, shall be retained as areas for open space and fish and wildlife habitat. |
| Transportation | Plan considers ways to provide a safe, convenient, efficient, and economic system of moving people and goods in, around, and through the Stayton area. |


| Stayton TSP Element <br> Street Improvements | IAMP-Relevant Goals and Policies |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Long-term improvements include more safe and convenient access to and from Highway 22; and adequate provision for increased traffic to and from industrial areas. |
| Highway 22 | Two lanes will eventually be needed from the intersection of Highway 214 and Highway 22 to Mehama. |
| Financing | Additional funding is needed to do any street construction. The city relies heavily on the Marion County Road Department and the State Highway Division for construction and maintenance of major streets and bridges. |
| Transportation Policies |  |
| T-2 | The City of Stayton, Marion County, and the Oregon State Highway Division are the primary providers of bikeways, streets, and highways within the Stayton urban growth area and shall also maintain the streets for which they are responsible. |
| T-3 | Future arterial streets shall have a minimum 80 -foot right-of-way. Existing arterials should be improved to an 80 -foot right-of-way. |
| T-4 | All designated arterials shall have a 50 -foot center line setback to allow for improvements and widening. |
| T-12 | The City of Stayton encourages the State Highway Division to include an overpass at Goif Club Road and Highway 22 in its 6 -year plan. |
| Land Use Policies |  |
| LU-2 | Zoning shall follow property lines and include entire rights-of-way as much as practicable. |
| LU-8 | Land for medium density residential development shall be designated on the periphery of the central business area and in each sector of the city and urban growth area. |
| LU-11 | The central business area of Stayton shall continue to be the primary retail business area of the community. |
| LU-12 | The development regulations shail contain specific requirements for offstreet parking needed for commercial, industrial, public, and residential development. |
| LU-14 | Strip-type commercial development along major streets (arterials and collectors) shall be discouraged. |
| LU-20 | The development regulations shall allow utility facilities necessary for public service to all zones. Utility facilities shall include, but not be limited to, water lines, sewer lines, storm drains, streets, power lines, telephone lines, natural gas lines, and the like. |
| Economy | This element indicates Stayton's capability to provide for economic development. |
| E-3 | Commercial development at Highway 22 shall be discouraged. |
| Energy Policies |  |


| Stayton TSP Element | IAMP-Relevant Goals and Policies |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Vacant lands within the corporate city limits shall be developed rather <br> than leap-frogging to areas outside the city. |
| En-7 | Transportation facilities shall be developed in such a manner as to <br> encourage the use of alternative modes. |

## Stayton Land Use and Development Code (2004 - Revised)

The Sublimity IAMP has been found to be compliant with applicable sections of the Stayton Code as provided in the following table:

| Stayton Code Provision | Relevant Requirements |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17.12.420 Plan Amendments |  |
| 5 c . | The proposed amendment complies with all applicable Statewide Planning Goals and administrative rule requirements, including Goal 14 and the urban growth policies of Stayton if a change to the urban growth boundary is requested. |
| 17.12.430 Zone Changes |  |
| 5 a. | Proposed change and intended use is compatible with existing land use pattems and traffic generation and circulation. |
| 5 d. | Proposed change is compatible with applicable provisions of Stayton Comp Plan. |
| 5 e. | Proposed zone change satisfies applicable provisions of Oregon Statewide Planning Goals and Administrative Rules. |
| 17.12.470 Site Plan Review |  |
| 6 b . | Provisions for safe and efficient internal traffic circulation, including both pedestrian and motor vehicle traffic, and provision for safe access to and from the property to those public streets and roads which serve the property. |
| Chapter 17.16 Zoning |  |
| 17.16.630 General Requirements |  |
| 2. Minimum Street Width | All street rights-of-way shall conform to requirements in Chapter 17.20 of this code. |


| Stayton Code Provision | Relevant Requirements |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17.16.710 Interchange Development District <br> 1. Purpose <br> 8. Parking | To provide for the location of needed highway service commercial facilities at the intersections of controlled access highways and arterial roads. All land zoned ID shall have frontage (not necessarily access) on Highway 22 or an arterial. <br> All uses are subject to parking and loading requirements of chapter 17.20 of this title. |
| 17.16.780 Floodplain Overlay District <br> 3. Floodway | Except as permitted in SMC Section 17.16.790.16, no development shall be allowed in the floodway. |
| Chapter 17.20 Development and Improvement Standards <br> 17.20.860 Off Street Parking <br> 6. Auto Parking <br> 17.20.880 Special Street and Riparian Setbacks <br> 1. Special Street Setbacks <br> 2. Riparian Setbacks | Number of spaces determined by use, i.e. Retait store is one space per 400 square feet plus one space per 2 employees. <br> Minimum building setback of 50 -feet, measured at right angles from centerline for First Avenue, Golf Club Road from Highway 22 to Shaff Road. <br> b. Shall be 15 -feet from ordinary high water mark along Salem ditch and 35 -feet along Mill Creek and the North Santiam River. |
| Chapter 17.26 Transportation <br> 17.26.1020 Access Management <br> 1. Access Permits <br> 3. Location of Access | a. Required for all projects requiring any type of permitting from the City that result in additional trip generation or change in use. <br> c. Permits for access onto State highways shall be subject to review and approval by ODOT except when responsibility has been delegated to City or Marion County. <br> g. City has authority to change accesses for all uses if it is constructing a capital improvement project along that section of the public street. <br> h. Access spacing standards per table 17.26.1020-3h. |


| Stayton Code Provision | Relevant Requirements |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | i. Highway 22-adopts the 1999 OHP for access management <br> spacing for Highway 22 at Golf Club Road and Cascade Highway. |
| 6. Development Review |  |
| Procedures | b.3. The access shail be consistent with the access management <br> standards adopted in the TSP and contained in 17.26.1010. |

## City of Sublimity Plans and Policies

## Sublimity Comprehensive Plan (1973)

The Sublimity IAMP has been found to be compliant with applicable sections of the Sublimity Comprehensive Plan as provided in the following table:

| Sublimity Comprehensive <br> Plan Element | Relevant Goals and Policies <br> Air Quality |
| :--- | :--- |
| All development within the City shall adhere to applicable federal and <br> state air quality standards. |  |
| Open Space Control | Future development activities that generate a significant amount of noise <br> will be required to meet all noise regulations of the State of Oregon. |
| Economics | The City shall encourage development plans that provide for <br> preservation of open space. <br> Discourage the premature, unnecessary and wasteful conversion of <br> valuable agricultural land to city uses. |
| Increase local employment opportunities to meet the needs of the |  |
| residents of the area. |  |
| Foster commercial and/or industrial activities to meet the expressed |  |
| needs of the residents. |  |
| Create a favorable climate to attract new commercial uses which will |  |


| Sublimity Comprehensive <br> Plan Element | Relevant Goals and Policies |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transportation | Establish a street system which is consistent with orderly growth and <br> minimizes conflicts with adjacent land uses. <br> Provide a circulation system which is safe and efficient for both vehicle <br> users and pedestrians. |
| Bike paths and sidewalks should be provided to connect schools, parks <br> and shopping facilities with residential areas. |  |
| Future streets should seek to facilitate access by residents to major <br> transportation routes. |  |
| Amendment, 1997 | Give priority to street improvements which are necessary to achieve <br> safety, lower maintenance costs and increase efficiency. |
| TSP replaces Transportation Element; TSP in full compliance with <br> requirements of TPR. |  |

## Sublimity Transportation System Plan (1998 - Final Draft)

The Sublimity IAMP has been found to be compliant with applicable sections of the Sublimity TSP as provided in the following table:

| Sublimity TSP Element | Relevant Goals and Policies |
| :--- | :--- |
| Overall Guidance | Provide a circulation system which is consistent with orderly growth and <br> minimizes conflicts with adjacent land uses. <br> The City shall establish a system of transportation facilities and services <br> adequate to meet identified local transportation needs and stall be <br> consistent with regional and state TSP's. <br> Bicycle and Pedestrian facilities should be developed that provide safe <br> and convenient access within and from new subdivisions, planned <br> developments, shopping centers and industrial arks to nearby <br> residential areas, transit stops, and neighbortood activity centers, such <br> as schools, parks and shopping. |
| The City shall establish a system of transportation facilities and services <br> adequate to meet identified local transportation needs and shall be <br> consistent with regional and state TSP's <br> The City shall consider the adoption of the State Highway Compatibility <br> Guidelines and Model Ordinance when completed by ODOT. |  |
| Future streets should seek to facilitate access by residents to major |  |
| transportation routes. |  |
| Traffic movement on arterials shall be facilitated by controlling access |  |
| wherever possible. Access control shall include restrictions on the |  |
| number and location of individual encroachments and street |  |
| intersections. |  |


| Sublimity TSP Element | Relevant Goals and Policies |
| :--- | :--- |
| Access Management | Maintain acceptable level of service (good mobility). <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Improve safety by minimizing potential conflict points. <br> Improve bicycle/pedestrian mobility. |

## Sublimity Land Use and Development Code (2002 - Revised)

The entire range of transportation improvements discussed in this IAMP are permitted outright in all zones in the City of Sublimity. Relevant sections are described in the following table:

| Sublimity Code Provision | Relevant Requirements |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.202 Street Standards | 2.202.04 General Right-of-Way and Improvement Width, Standards for <br> new and existing streets |
| 2.403 Uses Permitted in All | 2.403.01 C. Surfaced travel lanes, curbs, gutters, drainage ditches, <br> sidewalks, bikeways, transit stops, landscaping and related structures <br> and facilities located within rights-of-way controlled by a public agency. |
|  | 2.403.01 D. Expansion of public right-of-way and widening or adding <br> improvements within the right-of-way, provided the right-of-way is not <br> expanded to more width than prescribed for the street in the Public <br> Facilities segment of the Comprehensive Plan. A non-conforming use <br> may be continued although not in conformity with the regulations for the <br> zone in which the use is located. |

## Other Documents

## Joseph Street - North Stayton City Limits Environmental Assessment / Revised Environmental Assessment (1995)

Findings from the Joseph Street EA, relevant to the Sublimity IAMP, are described in the following table:

| Element | Relevant Findings |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purpose and Need | Project consistent with $\overline{\mathrm{OHP}}$. <br> OR 22 is one of 15 Access Oregon Highway ( AOH ) routes. <br> Major safety concerns in the corridor. <br> Increasing traffic, unsatisfactory LOS, accident history, and importance of this highway are the need for the project. |
| Transportation | LOS D east of Golf Club Road as well as east of Cascade Highway. <br> All private access to OR 22 would be terminated. |
| Utilities | Northwest Natural Gas line on the east side of Sublimity interchange. <br> Waterline and sewer lift stations in the NW quadrant of Sublimity Interchange. |
| Economics | Insurance office in NW quadrant of Sublimity interchange would lose a small amount of ROW. |
| Community Facilities | Small amount of ROW to be taken from golf course at Goif Club Road. |
| Land Use/Zoning | Sublimity Comp Plan does not specifically mention this project yet does mention the need to improve Sublimity Interchange. <br> Stayton Plan recommends an overpass at Golf Creek Road. |
| Natural Resources | Mill Creek to pass under new westbound lanes in Phase 2. |
| Wetlands | Cascade Highway option to impact 0.01 acre. |
| Wetland Mitigation | Land in Mill Creek floodiplain as mitigation site. |
| Historic Properties | Century Farm (Miller property) northeast of Sublimity Interchange. |
| Compliance w/ Comp Plans, Statewide Planning Goals, Transportation Planning Rule | County Plan calls for widening Highway 22 from Joseph St to Mehama. |

Modification of Sublimity Interchange would require conditional use permits from Marion County.

## Existing 2005 Turning Movement Counts

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy
07/07/2005


Queues
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy 07/07/2005


Stayton/Sublimity IAMP 04/12/2005 Existing 2005

Queues
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | E |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 16.0 | 28.0 | 14.0 | 26.0 | 16.0 | 46.0 | 16.0 | 46.0 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Max | Max | Gap | Max | Max | Coord | Max | Coord |  |
| 70th \%ille Green (s) | 13.5 | 30.3 | 11.7 | 28.5 | 16.0 | 48.6 | 13.4 | 46.0 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Max | Gap | Hold | Max | Coord | Gap | Coord |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 11.7 | 31.8 | 10.2 | 30.3 | 16.0 | 50.5 | 11.5 | 46.0 |  |
| 50 th \% Fl Term Code | Gap | Max | Gap | Hold | Max | Coord | Gap | Coord |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 10.1 | 32.2 | 8.9 | 31.0 | 14.3 | 53.3 | 9.6 | 48.6 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Gap | Coord | Gap | Coord |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 8.0 | 25.3 | 7.0 | 24.3 | 10.6 | 75.7 | 0.0 | 61.1 |  |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap | Gap | Hold | Gap | Coord | Skip | Coord |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( f ) | 67 | 240 | 51 | 177 | 118 | 416 | 65 | $\sim 547$ |  |
| Queue Length 95th (f) | 114 | \#430 | 84 | 261 | \#179 | \#585 | 116 | \#782 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 736 |  | 746 |  | 807 |  | 866 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) | 200 |  | 200 |  | 200 |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 341 | 427 | 282 | 407 | 221 | 784 | 219 | 702 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reducth |  | 0 | 0 | + 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.38 | 0.85 | 0.36 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.78 | 0.40 | 0.95 |  |



Area Type: Other
Cycle Length, 120 ,
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset $0(0 \%)$, Reterenced to phase 2 NBI, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 120
Control Type: Actuated Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay 502, $\quad \square \quad$ Intersection LOS -
Intersection Capacity Utilization $81.1 \% \quad$ ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Martin \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Whitney \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Golf Lane \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: EB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: WB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
7: Sublimity Blvd \& Cascade Hwy
07/07/2005


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
8: 9th Street \& Cascade Hwy



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
9: ORE 22 \& EB On Ramp


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
10: ORE 22 \& WB On Ramp



# APPENDIX D <br> Existing 2005 Traffic Operations Worksheets 

# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

## 3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97

Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&FernRidge
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/3/2005
Page No : 1

|  | Cascade Hwy Sotthbound |  |  |  |  | Fern Ridge Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Fem Ridge Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starn Time | Right | Thu | Left | Peds | app Tobal | Right | Tru | Left | Peds | Apo Tool | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | app Toal | Righ | Trru | Left |  | ? | tec Total |
| Factor ! | 1.0 | 1.01 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 8.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 10 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0. | to |  |  |
| 06:00 : | 6 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 108 |
| 0615 | 5 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 6 | 26 | 9 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 22 | 345 |
| 06:30 | 13 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 69 | 7 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 19 | :62 |
| 06:45 | 9 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 55 | 11 | 31 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 5 | 57. | 6 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 2. | 28 | 203 |
| Total | 33 | 111 | 13 | 0 | 157 | 28 | 92 | 27 | 0 | 147 | 9 | 206 | 18 | 0 | 233 | 11 | 37 | 31 | 2 | 81 | 618 |
| 07:00 | 8 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 59 | 14 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 24 | 191 |
| 07:15 | 11 | 67 | 6 | 0 | 84 | 10 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 79 | 10 | 0 | 92 | 11 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 43 | 262 |
| 07:30 | 12 | 81 | 15 | 0 | 108 | 17 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 87 | 14 | 0 | 104 | 9 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 42 | 324 |
| 07.45 | 16 | 126. | 19 | 0. | 161 | 18 | 49. | 54 | 0 | 121 | 10 | 98 | 19 | 0 | 127 | 18 | 57 | 27 | 0 | 102 | 511. |
| Total! | 47 | 320 | 45 | 0 | 412 | 59 | 128 | 94 | 0 | 281 | 18 | 318 | 48 | 0 | 384 | 42 | 95 | 74 | 0 | 211 | 1288 |
| 08:00 | 15 | 74 | 15 | 1 | 105 | 16 | 43 | 14 | 0 | 73 | 9 | 77 | 32 | 0 | 118 | 21 | 32 | 14 | 0 | 67 | 363 |
| 08:15 | 6 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 53 | 14 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 15 | 46 | 10 | 0 | 71 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 45 | 208 |
| 08:30 | 12 | 48 | 7 | 1 | 68 ! | 15 | 17 | 21 | 0 | 53 | 9 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 88 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 246 |
| 08:45 | 7 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 65 | 8. | 15 | 12 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 59. | 6 | 0 | 67 | 8 | 11. | 5 | 0 | 24 | 191 |
| Total | 40 | 215 | 34 | 2 | 291 | 53 | 95 | 52 | 0 | 200 | 35 | 253 | 56 | 0 | 344 | 58 | 73 | 42 | 0 | 173 | 1008 |
| 09:00 | 9 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 69 | 7 | 20 | 9 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 53 | 9 | 0 | 66 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 24 | 195 |
| 09: 55 | 7 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 6 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 7 | 57 | 9 | 0 | 73 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 183 |
| 09:30 | 7 | 43 | 8 | 0 | 58 | 12 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 38 | 10 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 85 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 38. | 219 |
| 09:45 | 17 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 78 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 10. | 55 | 11 | 1 | 77 | 25 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 48 | 231 |
| Total | 40 | 197 | 22 | 0 | 259 | 33 | 72 | 28 | 1 | 134 | 31 | 230 | 39 | 1 | 301 | 52 | 45 | 36 | 1 | 134 | 828 |
| 10:00\| | 16 | 43 | 12 | 0 | 71 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 0 | 41 | 7 | 43 | 8 | 0 | 58 | 20 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 44 | 214 |
| 10:15 | 10 | 46 | 8 | ; | 65 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 47 | 15 | 63 | 26 | 0 | 104 | 22 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 54 | 270 |
| 10:30 | 13 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 64 | 13 | 19 | 12 | - | 44 | 5 | 45 | 25 | 0 | 75 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 0 | 48 | 231 |
| 10:45 | 13 | 48 | 15 | 0 | 76 | 11 | 15 | 13. | 0 | 39 | 18 | 91 | 15 | 0 | 124 | 26 | 17 | 14 | 0 | 57 | 296 |
| Total | 52 | 182 | 41 | 1 | 276 | 49 | 63 | 59 | 0 | 171 | 45 | 242 | 74 | 0 | 361 | 85 | 65 | 53 | 0 | 203 | 1011 |
| 11:00 | 18 | 54 | 15 | 0 | 87 | 16 | 20 | 14 | D | 50 | 11 | 53 | 27 | 0 | 91 ! | 15 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 37 | 265 |
| 11:15 | 13 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 88 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 37 | 9 | 80 | 21 | 0 | 110 | 29 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 67 | 302 |
| 11:30 | 18 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 79 | 12 | 19 | 18 | 1 | 50 | 10 | 60 | 14 | 0 | 84 | 25 | 16 | 15 | 6 | 62 | 275 |
| 11.45 | 12. | 63 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 13 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 49 | 6. | 74 | 19 | 0 | 99 | 25 | 25. | 13 | 0 | 63 | 294 |
| Total | 61 | 236 | 40 | 0 | 337 | 51 | 76 | 58 | 1 | 186 | 36 | 267 | 81 | 0 | 384 : | 94 | 72 | 57 | 6 | 229 | 1136 |
| 12:00 | 15 | 66 | 4 | 0 | 85 | 12 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 45 | 9 | 66 | 16 | 0 | 91 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 1 | 67 | 288 |
| $12: 15$ | 26 | 55 | 14 | 0 | 95 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 14 | 43 | 17 | 0 | 74 | 20 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 42 | 240 |
| 12:30 | 19 | 62 | 10 | 0 | 91 | 14 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 45 | 8 | 50 | 16 | 0 | 74 | 32 | 30 | 17 | 0 | 79 | 269 |
| 12:45 | 18. | 73 | 12 | 0 | 103. | 10 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 56 | 21 | 0 | 90 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 0 | 81 | 320 |
| Total ! | 78 | 256 | 40 | 0 | 374 | 44 | 78 | 43 | 0 | 165 | 44 | 215 | 70 | 0 | 329 | 101 | 89 | 78 | 1 | 269 | 1137 |
| 13:00 | 24 | 65 | 23 | 0 | 112 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 68 | 15 | 0 | 88 | 18 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 240 |
| 13:15 | 22 | 75 | 11 | 0 | 108 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 52 | 20 | 0 | 87 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 60 | 290 |
| 13:30 | 11 | 79 | 12 | 0 | 102 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 42 ! | 9 | 42 | 23 | 0 | 74 | 31 | 21 | 23 | 0 | 75 | 293 |
| 13:45 | 17 | 79 | 19 | 0 | 115 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 0. | 43 | 17. | 52 | 17 | 0 | 86 | 29 | 23. | 21. | 0 | 73 | 317 |
| Total : | 74 | 298 | 65 | 0 | 437 | 46 | 47 | 37 | 0 | 130 | 46 | 214 | 75 | 0 | 335 | 103 | 61 | 74 | 0 | 238 | 1140 |
| 14:00: | 9 | 70 | 14 | 0 | 93 | 6 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 401 | 15 | 67 | 21 | 1 | 104 | 25 | 24 | 30 | 0 | 79 | 316 |
| 14:15; | 20 | 81 | 25 | 0 | 126 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 68 | 10 |  | 82 | 9 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 28 | 263 |
| 14.30 | 20 | 60 | 15 | 0 | 95 | 16 | 25 | 13 | 0 | 54 | 19 | 73 | 24 | 0 | 116 | 32 | 38 | 22 | 0 | 92 | 357 |
| $14: 45$ | 32 | . 105 | 27 | 0 | 164 | 17 | 39. | 23 | 0 | 79 | 7 | 89 | 29 | 0 | - 125 | 35 | 32 | 24 | 0 | 91 | 459 |
| Total : | 81 | 316 | 81 | 0 | 478 | 52 | 88 | 59 | 1 | 200 | 44 | 297 | 84 | 2 | 427 | 101 | 100 | 89 | 0 | 290 | 1395 |

## All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&FernRidge
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date $: 2 / 3 / 2005$
Page No :2

|  |  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  | Fern Ridge Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | Fem Ridge Eastbound |  |  |  |  | nt rotad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stant Time | Right | Thru: | Left | Peds | Aop Topor | Sight | Thru | Left | Peds. | see 5oul | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Apen Toun |  |  |  |  | Aex T Iown |  |
| Factor, | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 10 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10 |  |  |
| $15: 00$ | 21 | 83 | 15 | ? | 120 | 19 | 31 | 32 | 0 | 82 | 33 | 79 | 20 | 0 | 132 | 40 | 38. | 29 | 0 | 107 | 441 |
| $15: 15$ | 24 | 79 | 11 | 0 | 114 | - 9 | 36 | 26 | 3 | 74 | 19 | 75 | 26 | 0 | 120 | 33 | 43 | 29 | 3 | 108 | 416 |
| $15: 30$ | 21 | 83 | 15 | 1 | 120 | 19 | 34 | 20 | 0 | 73 | 23 | 79 | 21 | 0 | 123 | 28 | 34 | 22 | 0 | 84 | 400 |
| 15:45 | 21 | 96 | 8. | 1 | 126 | 9 | 35 | 18. | 0 | 62 | 12 | 86 | 30 | 0 | 128 | 27 | 2 B | 24 | 0 | 79. | 395 |
| Total I | 87 | 341 | 49 | 3 | 480 | 56 | 136 | 96 | 3 | 291 | 87 | 319 | 97 | 0 | 503 | 12B | 143 | 104 | 3 | 378. | 1652 |
| 16:00: | 27 | 91 | 14 | 0 | 132 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 70 | 13 | 81 | 24 | 0 | 118 | 27 | 31 | 22 | 0 | 80 | 400 |
| 16:15 ; | 13 | 103 | 22 | 1 | 139 | 9 | 30 | 17 | 0 | 56 | 18 | 67 | 27 | 0 | 112 | 28 | 38 | 19 | 0 | 85 | 392 |
| 16:30: | 20 | 94 | 20 | 0 | 134 | 6 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 42 ? | 11 | 64 | 18 | 0 | 93 | 26 | 33 | 32 | 0 | 91 | 360 |
| 16:45: | 16 | 92 | 15 | 0 | 123 | 15 | 30 | 14 | 0 | 59 | 17 | 85 | 25 | 0 | 127 | 36 | 36 | 21 | 0 | 93. | 402 |
| Total | 76 | 380 | 71 | 1 | 528 | 54 | 107 | 66 | 0 | 227 | 59 | 297 | 94 | 0 | 450 | 117 | 138 | 94 | 0 | 349 | 1554 |
| 17:00 | 26 | 93 | 20 | 0 | 139 | 20 | 33 | 24 | 0 | 77 | 16 | 96 | 25 | 0 | 137 | 36 | 25 | 42 | 0 | 103 | 456 |
| 17:15 | 31 | 113 | 9 | 0 | 153 | 12 | 24 | 19 | 0 | 55 | 18 | 106 | 35 | 1 | 160 | 28 | 36 | 24 | 1 | 89 : | 457 |
| 17:30 | 11 | 120 | 19 | 0 | 150 | 10 | 44 | 14 | 1 | 69 | 17 | 67 | 24 | 0 | 108 | 31 | 46 | 18 | 0 | 95 | 422 |
| 17:45 | 19 | 101 | 15 | 0 | 135 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 0 | 60 | 17 | 77 | 22 | 0 | 116 | 25 | 36 | 23 | 0 | 84 | 395 |
| Total | 87 | 427 | 63 | 0 | 577 | 60 | 121 | 79 |  | 261 | 68 | 346 | 106 | 1 | 521 | 120 | 143 | 107 | O | 371 | 1730 |
| 18:00 | 23 | 109 | 17 | 0 | 149 | 8 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 40 - | 14 | 62 | 20 | 0 | 96 | 20 | 36 | 19 | 0 | 75 | 360 |
| 18:15 | 17 | 72 | 13 | 0 | 102 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 15 | 52 | 22 | 0 | 89 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 0 | 61 | 292 |
| 18:30: | 15 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 96 | 9 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 43 | 16 | 43 | 15 | 0 | 74 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 0 | 57 | 270 |
| 18:45 | 15 | 84 | 16 | 0 | 115 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 11 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 51 | 18 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 48. | 247 |
| Total | 70 | 338 | 54 | 0 | 462 | 37 | 82 | 37 | 0 | 156 | 56 | 185 | 69 | 0 | 310 | 76 | 100 | 65 | 0 | 241 | 1169 |
| 19:00 | 12 | 68 | 4 | 0 | B4 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 33 | 19 | 50 | 27 | 0 | 96 ' | 25 | 27 | 21 | 0 | 73 | 286 |
| 19:15 | 14 | 60 | 10 | 0 | 84 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 14 | 28 | 16 | 0 | 58 | 12 | 17 | 18 |  | 47 ' | 215 |
| 19:30 | 14 | 34 | 15 | 0 | 63 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 8 | 37 | 12 | 0 | 57 | 10 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 44 | 187 |
| 19:45. | 10 | 34 | 4 | 0. | 48 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0. | 19 | 11 | 40 | 15 | 0 | 66 | 9 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 41 | 174 |
| Total | 50 | 196 | 33 | 0 | 279 | 25 | 47 | 29 | 0 | 101 | 52 | 155 | 70 | 0 | 277 | 56 | 81 | 68 | 0 | 205 | 862 |
| 20:00 | 3 | 30 | 6 | 0 | 39 | - 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 60 | 8 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 37 | 147 |
| 20:15 | 7 | 29 | 7 |  | 43 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 120 |
| 20:30 | 9 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 13 | , | 6 | 0 | 26 | 125 |
| 20:45 | 4 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 36 | 110 |
| Total ! | 23 | 111 | 22 | 0 | 156 | 15 | 37 | 20 | 0 | 72 ; | 29 | 102 | 21 | 0 | 152 | 38 | 51 | 33 | 0 | 122 | 502 |
| 21:00 | 8 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 31 ; | 43 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 116 |
| 21:15 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 93 |
| 21:30 | 5 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 31. | 4 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 97 |
| 21:45 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 48. | 4 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 110 |
| Total : | 20 | 81 | 25 | 0 | 126 | 14 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 15 | 120 | 49 | - | 154 | 28 | 33 | 20 | 0 | 81 | 416 |
| Grand Total | 519 | 4005 | 698 | 7 | 5629 | 676 | 1295 | 799 | 7 | 2777 | 674 | 3766 | 1021 | 4 | 5465 | 1210 | 1325 | 1025 | 14 | 3575 | 17446 |
| Apprch \% | 16.3 | 71.8 | 12.4 | 0.1 |  | : 24.3 | 46.6 | 28.8 | 0.3 |  | 12.3 | 68.9 | 18.7 | 0.1 |  | 33.8 | 37.1 | 28.7 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Total \% | 5.3 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 32.3 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 0 | 15.9 | 3.9 | 21.6 | 5.9 | 0 | 31.3 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 20.5 |  |
| Unshifted | 871 | 3838 | 687 | 7 | 5403 | 661 | 1246 | 768 | 7 | 2582 | 650 | 3632 | 1000 | 4 | 5286 ! | 1179 | 1283 | 993 | 13 | 3468 : | 33678 |
| \% Unsthitled | 94.8 | 95.8 | 98.4 | 100 | 96 | 97.8 | 96.2 | . 96.1 | 100. | 96.6 | 96.4 | 96.4 | 97.9 | 100 | 96.7 | 97.4 | 96.8 | 96.9 | 92.9 | 97 | 96.5 |
| HV | 48 | f67 | 11 | 0 | 226 | 15 | 49 | 31 | 0 | 95 | 24 | 134 | 21 | 0 | 179 | 31 | 43 | 32 | 1 | 107 | 1214 |
| \% HV | 5.2 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0 | 4 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 0 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 0 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 3 : | 3.5 |

## All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&FernRidge
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 2/3/2005
Page No : 3


## All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&FernRidge Site Code : 00000000 Start Date: 2/3/2005 Page No : 4

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | Fern Ridge Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | Fem Ridge Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Tha | Left | Peds | 2000 Tool | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | P. Tous | Right | Thru | Left | Peds |  |  |  | Left | Peds | ppasal | Int Tola |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 21:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:45 | 16 | 92 | 15 | 0 | 123 | 15 | 30 | 14 | 0 | 59 | 17 | 85 | 25 | 0 | 127 | 36 | 36 | 21 | 0 | 93 | 402 |
| 17:00 | 26 | 93 | 20 | 0 | $\{39$ | 20 | 33 | 24 | 0 | 77 | 16 | 96 | 25 | 0 | 137 | 36 | 25 | 42 | 0 | 103 | 455 |
| 97:15 | 31 | 113 | 9 | 0 | 153 | 12 | 24 | 19 | 0 | 55 | 18 | 106 | 35 | 1 | 160 | 28 | 36 | 24 | 1 | 89 | 457 |
| 17:30 | 11 | 120 | 19. | 0 | 150 | 10 | 44 | 14 | 1 | 69 | 17 | 67 | 24 | - | 108 | 31 | 46 | 18 | O | 95 | 422 |
| Total Volume | 84 | 418 | 63 | 0 | 565 | 57 | 131 | 71 | 1 | 260 | 68 | 354 | 109 | 1 | 532 | 131 | 143 | 105 | 1 | 380 | 1737 |
| \% App. Tola | $14$ | $74$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 0 |  | $21 .$ | $50 \text {. }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 0.4 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.2 |  | 34 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 27. 6 | 0.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 67 | . 87 | . 78 | . 00 | . 923 | . 73 | . 74 | . 74 | 25 | 844 | 94 | 83 | . 77 | . 25 | 831 | . 91 | 77 | . 62 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 | . 923 | 3 | 4 | O | 0 |  | 4 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 831 | 0 | 7 |  |  | . 922 | . 950 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 21:45-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at

|  | 17:15 |  |  |  |  | 14:45 |  |  |  |  | 16:45 |  |  |  |  | 14.30 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 31 | 113 | 9 | 0 | 153 | 17 | 39 | 23 | 0 | 79 | 17 | 85 | 25 | 0 | 127 | 32 | 38 | 22 | 0 | 2 |
| +15 mins. | 31 | 120 | 19 | 0 | 150 | 19 | 31 | 32 | 0 | 82 | 16 | 96 | 25 | 0 | 137 | 35 | 32 | 24 | 0 | 93 |
| +30 mins. | 19 | 101 | 15 | 0 | 135 | 9 | 36 | 26 | 3 | 74 | 18 | 106 | 35 | 1 | 160 | 40 | 38 | 29 | 0 | 107 |
| +45 mins. | 23 | 109 | 17 | 0 | 149 | 19 | 34. | 20 | 0 | 73 | 17 | 67 | 24 | 0 | 108 | 33 | 43 | 29 | 3 | 108 |
| Total Volume | 84 | 443 | 60 | 0 | 587 | 64 | 140 | 101 |  | 308 | 68 | 354 | 109 | 1 | 532 | 140 | 151 | 104 | 3 | 398 |
| \% APP. Total | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ \ldots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 . \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $10 .$ | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20 . \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $32 .$ | 1 |  | $12$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20 . \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 0.2 |  | $35 .$ $2$ | $37 .$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.8 |  |
| PHF | 67 | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .78 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 959 | $\begin{array}{r} .84 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .89 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ \hline 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 939 | $.94$ | $.83$ | $\begin{array}{r} -77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $25$ | . 831 | $.87$ | $87$ | $.89$ | $25$ | . 921 |
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|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | Martin Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | Martin Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 09:45 - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 | 0 | B4 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 |
| 07.45 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 137 | 0 | 1 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 |
| rota Volume | 0 | 458 | 0 | 0 | 458 | 2 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 10 | 461 | - |  | 472 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 932 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2.1 | 97. | 0 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | .00 0 | $\begin{array}{r}.71 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 00 0 | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ \hline \quad 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 711 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 250 | $\begin{array}{r} .62 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .84 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .25 \\ -\quad 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 831 | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ -0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 000 |  |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 09:45 - Peak 1 of 1

|  | 07:15 | 08:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 07:15 |  |  |  |  | 06:00 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | $0 \quad 34$ | 0 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +15 mins. | 0108 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +30 mins. | 0161 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 137 | 0 | 1 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +45 mins. | 0.105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0458 | 0 | 0 | 458 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 461 | 0 | 1 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% App. Total | 0100 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2.1 | 97. | 0 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PHF | $\begin{array}{rr} 00 & .71 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 711 | $\begin{array}{r} .66 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $00$ | . 667 | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $.84$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 831 | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 000 |

## All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc

## 3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97

Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&Whitney
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 2/3/2005
Page No : 1


# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&Whitney
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/3/2005
Page No : 2

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | Whitney Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | Whitney Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time, | Right | Thru | Left | Pods | Aep. Toal | Righ | Taru. | Left | Peds | Mop. Tobs | Righ | Thres | Left | Peds |  | Rignt | Thru | Left |  | Aep Tat | In Topal |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | Nin |
| 15:00 | 0 | 107 | 20 | 0 | 127 | 17 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 |
| 15:15 | 0 | 114 | 23 | 0 | 137 | 24 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 35 | 19 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 113 ! | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 |
| 15:30 | 0 | 100 | 33 | 0 | 133 | 27 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 47 | 13 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 122 ! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 302 |
| 15:45 | 0 | 108 | 28 | 0 | 136 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 37. | 21. | 97 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291. |
| Total | 0 | 429 | 104 | 0 | 533 | 88 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 147 | 66 | 414 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1160 |
| 16:00 | 0 | 112 | 33 | 0 | 145 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 38 | 15 | 113 | 0 | , | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 311 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 127 | 22 | 0 | 149 | 24 | 0 | 11 |  | 36 | 11 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 116 | 35 | 0 | 151 | 26 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 42 | 13 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 115 | 32 | 0 | 147 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 26. | 20 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 293 |
| Total $\mid$ | 0 | 470 | 122 | D | 592 | 86 | 0 | 55 | 9 | 142 | 59 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1179 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 124 | 34 | 0 | 158 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 145 | , | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 134 | 43 | 0 | 177 | 17 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 36 | 12 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 140 | 42 | 0 | 182 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 37 | 12 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 314 |
| 17:45! | 0 | 122 | 26 | 0 | 148 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 35 | 12 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 301 |
| Total : | 0 | 520 | 145 | 0 | 665 | 76 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 138 : | 49 | 464 | 0 | 0 | 513 ! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1316 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 132 | 43 | 0 | 175 | 28 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 44 | 12 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 |
| 18:15 | 0 | 88 | 23 | 0 | 111 | 17 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 |
| 18:30 | 0 | 84 | 22 | 0 | 106 | 23 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 37 | 18 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 70 : | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
| 18:45 | 0 | 99 | 19 | 0 | 118 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 26 | 10. | 36 | 0 | 0 | 46 ' | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 190 |
| rotal | 0 | 403 | 107 | 0 | 510 | 79 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 137 | 56 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 935 |
| 19:00 | 0 | 71 | 27 | 0 | 98 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 |
| 19:15 | 0 | 69 | 19 | 0 | 88 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| 19:30 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 24 | 10 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| 19:45 | 0 | 42 | 12 | 0 | 54 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 23. | 11 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| Total ! | 0 | 231 | 65 | 0 | 296 | 58 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 104 | 39 | 209 | 0 | 1 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 649 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 44 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 60 ! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| 20:15 | 0 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 22 |  | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 20:30 | 0 | 34 | 10 | 0 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 20:45 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 31. | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14. | 5 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| Yotal | 0 | 129 | 42 | 0 | 171 | 40 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 67 | 22 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| 21:00 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0 | 39 | 11 | D | 50 : | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 21:15 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 30 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| $21: 30$ | 0 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 21:45 | 0 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92. |
| Total | 0 | 108 | 32 | 0 | 140 : | 32 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 50 | 23 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 343 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 4954 | 1165 | 0 | 6119 | 1115 | 0 | 671 | 11 | 1797 | 679 | 4768 | 0 | 2 | 5449 ' | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13365 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 81 | 19 | 0 |  | 62 | 0 | 37.3 | 0.6 |  | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 37.1 | 8.7 | 0 | 45.8 | 8.3 | 0 | 5 | 0.1 | 13.4 | 5.1 | 35.7 | 0 | 0 | 40.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Unshifted | 0 | 4749 | 1142 | 0 | 5891 | 1078 | 0 | 654 | 9 | 1741 | 675 | 4592 | 0 | 2 | 5269 : | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25802 |
| \%ounshifted | 0 | 95.9 | 98. | 0. | 96.3 | 96.7 | 0 | 97.5 | 81.8 | 96.9 | 99.4 | 96.3 | 0 | 100 | 96.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.5 |
| HV | 0 | 205 | 23 | 0 | 228 | 37 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 56 | 4 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 928 |
| \% HV | 0 | 4.1 | 2 | 0 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 0 | 2.5 | 18.2 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 |
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Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 21:45-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach

|  | 17:15 |  |  |  |  | 15:30 |  |  |  |  | 16:30 |  |  |  |  | 06:00 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 134 | 43 | 0 | 177 | 27 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 47 | 13 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 140 | 42 | 0 | 182 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 37 | 20 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 122 | 26 | 0 | 148 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 38 | 13 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 132 | 43 | 0 | 175 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 36 | 12 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 528 | 154 | 0 | 682 | 91 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 158 | 58 | 465 | 0 |  | 523 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 0 | $47 .$ | 0.6 |  | $11 .$ | $88 .$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PHF | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .94 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .89 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 937 | $\begin{array}{r} .84 \\ -\quad 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .25 \\ -\quad 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 840 | $\begin{array}{r} .72 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .80 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ -0 \end{array}$ | . 828 | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 000 |
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|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | Golf Lane Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Golf Lane Eastbound |  |  | Aen Toual In Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stan Time | Rigat | Thru | Left | Peds | - App IOW | Right | Finu | Left | Peds | Pepa Toat | Righe | Thru | Left | Peds | App Tast |  |  | Left |  |  |  |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 1.0 | 10 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10 | 10 |  |  |
| 06:00 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 90 |
| 06:15 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| 06:30 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| 06:45 | 2 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 150 |
| Total | 4 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 485 |
| 07:00 | 2 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 169 |
| 07.15 | 4 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 238 |
| 07:30 | 3 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 1 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 |
| 07:45 | 3 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 322 |
| Total | 12 | 452 | 0 | 0 | 464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 . | 0 | 510 | 1 | 0 | 511 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 982 |
| 08:00 | 1 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| 08:15 | 2 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 145 |
| 08:30 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 162 |
| 08:45 | 1 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 |
| Total | 5 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | C | 0 | 354 | 1 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 : | 638 |
| 09:00 | 3 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 154 |
| 09:15 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 74 : | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| 09:30 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| 09:45 | 1 | 98 | 0 | 0. | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| Total | 5 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 303 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 ! | 0 | 311 | 1 | 0 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 616 |
| Grand Total | 26 | 1204 | 0 | 0 | 1230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1477 | 3 | 0 | 1480 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 : | 2721 |
| Apprch \% | 2.1 | 97.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 54.5 | 0 | 45.5 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1 | 44.2 | 0 | 0 | 45.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 54.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.4 |  |
| Unshifted | 26 | 1150 | 0 | 0 | 1176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4409 | 3 | 0 | 1412 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 5198 |
| \% Unshinted | 100 | 95.5 | 0 | 0 | 95.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Q | 0 | 0 | 95.4 | 100 | 0 | 95: | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 95.5 |
| HV | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| \% HV | 0 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | 4.4 ! | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 4.6 | 0 | 0 | 4.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |

All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc
3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97 Vancouver, WA. 98683 Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name : Cascade\&GolfLane Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/3/2005
Page No : 2


# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name : Cascade\&Golflane
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/3/2005
Page No : 3

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | Golf Lane Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | Golf Lane Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stan Time | Right Thru Lef |  |  | Peds |  | Right Thu \| Left I Peds |  |  |  |  | Kight | Thru 1 | Left TPeds |  | P0p Toun | Right |  |  |  | Int Tote |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 09:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour fo | Entir | re Inte | sectio | Beg | ¢ | 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 | 4 | 97 | 0 | D | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 238 |
| 07:30 | 3 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 116 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 1 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 |
| 07:45 | 3 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 322 |
| 08:00 | 1 | 82. | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 104. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| Total Volume | 11 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 472 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 2 | 0 | 522 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1000 |
| \% App. Totat | 2.3 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.4 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | $\begin{gathered} 6 B \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .68 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | .686 | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | .000 | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .89 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $50$ | $00$ | 894 | $.37$ | $.00$ | $25$ | $.00$ | 375 | . 776 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 09:45 - Peak 1 of 1

|  | 07:15 |  |  |  |  | 06:00 |  |  |  |  | 07:15 |  |  |  |  | $\overline{77} \overline{00}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 4 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| +15 mins. | 3 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 1 | 0 | 137 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| +30 mins. | 3 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +45 mins. | 1 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 104 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Total Volume | 11 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 2 | 0 | 522 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| \% App. Total | 2.3 | $97 .$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $99 .$ | 0.4 | 0 |  | $57 .$ | 0 | $42 .$ | 0 |  |
| PHF | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .68 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 686 | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 000 | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 894 | $\begin{array}{r} .50 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .25 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 438 |

# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&EBRamp
Site Code :00000000
Start Date $: 2 / 3 / 2005$
Page No $: 1$

| Start Time | Cascade Hwy Soutthbound |  |  |  |  | Groups Printed-Unshifted - HV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EB Ramp Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | EB Ramp Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Righ1 | Thru | Left | Peds | Aep 10 - | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | - Topl | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Mep Tout | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App |  |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 10 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 05:00 | 0 | 24 | 0 | D | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 06:15 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 106 |
| 06.30 | 6 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 2 | 0 | 98 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14. | 151 |
| 06:45 | 8 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 3 | 0 | 89 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25. | 161 |
| Total | 18 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 | 10 | 0 | 308 | 46 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 498 |
| 07:001 | 3 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 3 | 0 | 99 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 37 | 180 |
| 07:15 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 5 | 0 | 126 | 43 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 48 | 229 |
| 07:30 | 3 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 6 | 0 | 135 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 44 | 257 |
| 07:45 | 6 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121. | 4. | 0 | 125 | 37 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 56 | 327 |
| Total . | 17 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 18 | 0 | 485 | 152 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 185 | 993 |
| 08:001 | 3 | 69 | D | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 4 | 0 | 122 | 26 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 229 |
| 08:15 | 12 | 35 | D | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 82 | 3 | 0 | 85 | 25 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 36. | 168 |
| OB:30 | 4 | 43 | D | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 101 | 24 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 35 | 183 |
| Q8:45 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 2 | 0 | 79 | 28 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 41 | 174 |
| Total | 22 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 220 ! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 13 | 0 | 387 | 103 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 147 | 754 |
| 09.00 ! | 3 | 43 | 0 | D | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 4 | 0 | 70 | 39 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 52 | 168 |
| 09:15 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 79 | 19 |  | 4 | 0 | 23 | 150 |
| 09:30 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 0 | 79 | 30 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 38 | 155 |
| 09:45 | 5 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69. | 5 | 0 | 74 | 36 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 182. |
| Total | 16 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 17 | 0 | 302 | 124 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 155. | 655 |
| 10:00 | 13 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 601 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 85 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 30 | 175 |
| 10:15 | 4 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 96 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 171 |
| 10:30 | 7 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 0 | 81 | 34 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 40 | 169 |
| 10:45 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 106 | 2 | 0 | 108 | 26 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 36 | 199 |
| Total : | 29 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | i 0 | 363 | 7 | 0 | 370 | 105 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 131 | 714 |
| 11:00 | 3 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 3 | 0 | 104 | 32 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 42 | 219 |
| 11:15 | 7 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 3 | 0 | 113 | 37 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 216 |
| 11:30 | 7 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 91 | 5 | 0 | 96 ! | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 187 |
| 11:45 | 9 | 42 | 0 | D | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92. | 6. | 0 | 981 | 43 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 51. | 200 |
| Total | 26 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 | 17 | 0 | 411 | 144 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 170 | 822 |
| 12:00: | 7 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 4 | 0 | 117 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 219 |
| 12:15 \| | 5 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 4 | 0 | 70 | 41 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 60. | 196 |
| 12:30 | 5 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 0 | 94 | 30 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 195 |
| 12:45 | 3 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 91. | 39. | 0 | 6 | 0 | 45 ! | 208 |
| Total | 20 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 359 | 13 | 0 | 372 | 138 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 172 | 818 |
| 13:00 | 4 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 6 | 0 | 83 | 48 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 65 | 228 |
| 13:15 | 7 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 10 | 0 | 95 | 34 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 47 | 221 |
| 13:30 | 9 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 83 | 37 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 46 | 206 |
| 13:45 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 3 | 0 | 91 | 45 | 0. | 15 | 0 | 60. | 212 |
| Total | 20 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 24 | 0 | 352 | 164 | 0 | 50 | 4 | 218 | 867 |
| 14:00 | 7 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 109 | 8 | 0 | 117 | 41 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 50 | 235 |
| 14:15 | 7 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 5 | 0 | 106 | 53 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 62 | 260 |
| 14:30 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 5 | 0 | 116 | 44 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 53 | 24.4 |
| 14:45 | 6 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 125 | 7 | 0 | 132 | 62 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 71 | 308 |
| Total | 25 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 340 ! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 446 | 25 | 0 | 471 | 200 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 236 | 1047 |

# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&EBRamp
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 2 / 3 / 2005$
Page No $: 2$

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | EB Ramp Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | EB Ramp Eastbound Thru Left : Peds |  |  | Nor Tool Lne Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thus | Left | Peds | [Aep | Rigth | Thru | Left | Peds | sperioul | Right | Thru | Left | Pers | Anp Toxa | Right |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0! |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 15:00 | 10 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 93 ; | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 2 | 0 | 128 | 43 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 54 | 275 |
| 15:15 | 8 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ס | 114 | 5 | 0 | 119 | 55 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 64 | 277 |
| 15.30 | 8 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 5 | 0 | 134 | 49 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 63 | 288 |
| 15:45 | 11 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 6 | 0 | 117 | 51 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 69 | 281 |
| Total | 37 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 3731 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 18 | 0 | 498 ! | 198 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 250 | 1129 |
| 16:00 | 6 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 : | 0 | 126 | 8 | 0 | 134 | 69 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 76 ; | 291 |
| 16:15 | 7 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 2 | 0 | 107 | 84 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 94 ! | 278 |
| 16:30 | 10 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 12 | 0 | 114 | 67 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 80 | 281 |
| 16:45 | 8 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 7 | 0 | 115 | 71 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 78 | 281 |
| Total | 31 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 333 . | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 441 | 29 | 0 | 470 | 291 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 328 | 1131 |
| 37:00 | 9 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 5 | 0 | 159 | 72 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 83 : | 344 |
| 17:15 | 11 | 86 | D | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 6 | 0 | 145 | 96 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 109 | 351 |
| 17:30 | 6 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 9 | 0 | 106 | 97 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 105 | 298 |
| 17.45 | B | 73 | 0 | 0 | 81 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123. | 3 | 0 | 126 | 71 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 83 | 290 |
| Total | 34 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 ! | 0 | 513 | 23 | 0 | 536 : | 336 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 380 | 1283 |
| 18:00 | 4 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 7 | 0 | 105 | 59 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 67 | 285 |
| 18:15 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 0 | 83 | 48 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 53 ' | 207 |
| 18:30 | 7 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 8 | 0 | 79 | 50 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 53. | 189 |
| 18:45 | 5 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 51 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 58 | 173 |
| Total | 23 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291 | 23 | 0 | 314 | 208 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 231 | 854 |
| 19:00 | 2 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 85 | 44 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 48 | 186 |
| 19:15 | 1 | 36 | D | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 58 | 43 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 53 | 148 |
| 19:30 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 117 |
| 19:45 | 1 | 30. | 0 | 0 | 31. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 63 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 115 |
| Total | 5 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | $1!$ | 0 | 263 | 130 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 149 | 566 |
| 20:00 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 0 | 70! | 21 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 117 |
| 20:15 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 45 : | 35 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 46 | 110 |
| 20.30 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 81 |
| 20:45. | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44. | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 84 |
| Total | 9 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 8 | 0 | 193 | 92 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 112 : | 392 |
| 21:00! | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 77 |
| 21:15 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 361 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 75 |
| 21:30 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 : | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 72 |
| 21:45 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 95 |
| Total | 10 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{1}$ | 0 | 143 | 9 | 0 | 152 | 80 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 97 | 319 |
| Grand Tolal | 342 | 3594 | 0 | 0 | 3936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5519 | 255 | 0 | 5884 | 2511 | 0 | 493 | 10 | 3014 | 12834 |
| Apprch \% | 8.7 | 91.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 95.5 | 4.5 | 0 |  | 83.3 | 0 | 16.4 | 0.3 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.7 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 30.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43.8 | 2.1 | 0 | 45.8 | 19.6 | 0 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 23.5 |  |
| Unshifted | 290 | 3451 | 0 | 0 | 3741 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5413 | 261 | 0 | 5674 | 2431 | 0 | 465 | 10 | 2906 | 24642 |
| \% Unstrifted | 84.8 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.3 | 98.5 | 0 | -96.4 | 96.8 | 0 | 44.3 | 100 | 96.4 | 96 |
| HV | 52 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 4 | 0 | 210 | 80 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 108 | 1026 |
| \% HV | 15.2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 0 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0 | 5.7 | 0 | 3.6 | 4 |

## All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97 Vancouver, WA 98683 Ph. 503-833-2740

File Name : Cascade\&EBRamp Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 2/3/2005 Page No : 3


# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name : Cascade\&EBRamp
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 2/3/2005
Page No : 4

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | EB Ramp Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | EB Ramp Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 9 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 5 | 0 | 159 | 72 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 83 | 344 |
| 17.15 | 11 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 6 | 0 | 145 | 96 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 109 | 351 |
| 17:30 | 6 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 9 | 0 | 106 | 97 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 105 | 298 |
| 17:45 | 8 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 123 | 3 | 0 | 126 | 71 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 83 | 290 |
| Total Volurie | 34 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 513 | 23 | 0 | 536 | 336 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 380 | 1283 |
| \% App. Total | 9.3 | $90 .$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 95. | 4.3 | 0 |  | $88 \text {. }$ | 0 | $11 .$ | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 77 | . 89 | . 00 | . 00 |  | .00 |  |  |  |  | 00 | . 83 |  | . 00 |  | . 86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | . 900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $3$ | 9 | 0 | . 843 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 872 | . 914 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 21:45-Peak 1 of 1

|  | 14:45 |  |  |  |  | 06:00 |  |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +D mins. | 6 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 5 | 0 | 159 | 72 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 83 |
| +15 mins. | 10 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 6 | 0 | 145. | 96 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 109 |
| +30 mins. | 8 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 9 | 0 | 106 | 97 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 105 |
| +45 mins. | B | 83 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 123 | 3 | 0 | 126 | 71. | 0 | 12 | 0 | 83 |
| Total Volume | 32 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 513 | 23 | 0 | 536 | 336 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 380 |
| \% App. Total | 8.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 91 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $95 .$ | 4.3 | 0 |  | $88 .$ | 0 | $11$ | 0 |  |
| PHF | 80 0 | $\begin{array}{r}.88 \\ 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 00 | 00 0 | 912 | 00 0 | 00 0 | 00 0 | 00 0 | . 000 | 00 0 | 83 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $0$ | . 843 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ .86 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $00$ | .84 6 | $0$ | . 872 |

## All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name : Cascade\&WBRamp
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 2/3/2005
Page No : 1

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | WB Ramp Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | WB Ramp Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Righ | Thns | Left | Peds: | A80. 1 genel | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Are Tom | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | An9: : Toal | Right |  | Leff |  |  | Taxa |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10 |  | 1.0 | 10 | 1.0 | 10 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 06:00 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 06:15 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 : | 91 |
| 06:30 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 06:45 | 0 | 44. | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| Total | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | \| 199 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 |
| 07:00' | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 56 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 87 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 |
| 07:45: | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - 50 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 |
| Total | 0 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 3 | D | 8 | - 264 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 498 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 821 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 53 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 : | 199 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | - 42 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 08:30 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 51 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 |
| 08:45 | 0 | 52. | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 |
| Total $i$ | 0 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 179 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 638 |
| 09:00: | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 |
| 09:15 : | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 40 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 : | 127 |
| 09:30: | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 09:45 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - 31 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| Total ! | 0 | 186 | 1 | 0 | 887 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 13 | ! 141 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 517 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 60 | 0 | D | 60 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| 10:15 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 10:30 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| 10:45 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 71 | 0. | 0 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 : | 171 |
| Total | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 162 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 382 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 ! | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 50 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| 11:15 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 44 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 ! | 171 |
| 11:30 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 | 33 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| 1145 | D | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| Tota! : | 0 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 233 i | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 161 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 413 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 654 |
| 12.001 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 12:15 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| 12:30 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 |
| 12:45 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 4 | D | 4 | 27 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| Total: | 0 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 137 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 669 |
| 13:00 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 82 ; | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 28 | 63 | 0 | 2 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| 13:15 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 : | 177 |
| 13:30 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| $13: 45$ | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 36 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 166 |
| Total | 0 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  | ! 115 | 264 | 0 | 2 | 381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 680 |
| 14:00 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | ! 32 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| 14:15 | O | 89 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2. 32 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| 14:30, | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ; 33 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 |
| 14:45 | 0 | 105 | 1. | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \| 48 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 |
| Total ! | 0 | 334 | 2 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | \| 145 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 , | 822 |

## All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740


# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name : Cascade\&WBRamp Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/3/2005
Page No : 3


# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740

> File Name : Cascade\&WBRamp
> Site Code $: 00000000$ Start Date $: 2 / 3 / 2005$
> Page No $: 4$

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | WB Ramp Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbaund |  |  |  |  | WB Ramp Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stan Time | Right | Thru | Left. | Peas | No. 1 100 | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Aex Iout | Right | Inru |  | Peds | Spp Tout | Right | Thru- |  |  | App toom | Int Tota |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 21:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| 17.15 | 0 | 94. | 0 | 0 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 49 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | O | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 |
| 17:45. | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 168 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 559 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 927 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 33 . \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .87 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 879 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .50 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 500 | 75 0 | $.89$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 847 | $.00$ | $00$ | $.00$ | $.00$ | . 000 | . 865 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 21:45 - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins a

|  | 14:45 |  |  |  |  | 08:30 |  |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |  |  | 06:00 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 mins. | 0 | 105 | 1 | 0 | 106 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 56 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 49 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 87 | 0 | D | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 45 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 378 | 2 | 0 | 380 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 168 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 559 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 99 . \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.5 | 0 |  | 7.1 | 0 | $92 .$ | 0 |  | 30. | $69$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PHF | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 896 | $\begin{array}{r} .25 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .81 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 875 | $\begin{array}{r} .75 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .89 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 847 | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $00$ |  |

# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name : Cascade\&Sublimity
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/3/2005
Page No : 1

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | Sublimity Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Narthbound |  |  |  |  | Sublimity Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Righ | Thru | Left | Peds | App Tout | Right | I Thru | Lett | Peds | Ato Iow | Right ! | IThru | Left | Peds | Anp Toal |  | Thru | Left |  | App. TCon | Inc- Toan |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0) | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 10 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | I 10 |  |  |
| 06:00 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 06:15 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 54 |
| 06:30 | 1 | 37 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 75 |
| 06:45 | 1 | 44 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 39. | 3 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 98 |
| Total | 3 | 126 | 17 | 0 | 146 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 ; | 0 | 92 | 6 | 0 | 98 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 270 |
| 07:00 ! | 2 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 42 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100 |
| 07:15 | 2 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 56 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 117 |
| 07:30 | 1 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 130 |
| 07:45 | 1 | 133 | 5 | 0 | 139 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 77 | 10 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 239 |
| Total | 6 | 295 | 21 | 0 | 3221 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 30 ; | 1 | 201 | 23 | 0 | 225 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 586 |
| 08:00: | 2 | 69 | 5 | 0 | 76 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 63 | 10 | 0 | 73 : | 7 | D | 1 | 0 | 8 | 162 |
| 08:15 | 3 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 53 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 108 |
| 08:30 | 2 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 44 | 9 | 0 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 115 |
| 08:45 | 1 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 10 | 0 | 57 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 128 |
| Total | 8 | 207 | 18 | 0 | 233 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 195 | 35 | 0 | 230 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 513 |
| 09:00 | 2 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $a$ | 39 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 95 |
| 09:15 | 1 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 50 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 99 |
| 09:30 | 2 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 89 |
| 09:45 | 0 | 50 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 45 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 108 |
| Total | 5 | 166 | 14 | 0 | 185 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 150 | 15 | 0 | 167: | 11 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 19 : | 391 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 54 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 44 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 111 |
| 10:15 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 | O | 1 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 102 |
| 10:30 | 3 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 44 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 115 |
| 10:45; | 3 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 62 | 9 | 0 | 71 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 . | 137 |
| Total | 6 | 180 | 14 | 0 | 200 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 200 | 22 | 0 | 223 | 22 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 465 |
| 11:00, | 1 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 58 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 135 |
| 11:15 | 3 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 61 | 6 | 0 | 67 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 135 |
| 11:30 | 3 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 8 | 0 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 123 |
| 11:45 | 0 | 44 | 4. | 0 | 45 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 45 | 17 | 0 | 62 | 8. | 4 | 4 | 0 | 16 : | 132 |
| Total | 7 | 192 | 11 | 0 | 210 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 , | 0 | 213 | 37 | 0 | 250 | 29 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 44 | 525 |
| 12:00 | 1 | 52 | 3 | 0 | 56 : | 3 | 1 | $\gamma$ | 0 | $5!$ | 0 | 61 | 8 | 0 | 69 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 154 |
| 12:15 | 3 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 72 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - 1 | 47 | 12 | 0 | 60 ! | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 138 |
| 12:30 | 3 | 53 | 8 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 45 | 8 | 0 | 54 ; | 9 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 139 |
| 12:45 | 5 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 66 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 8 | 0 | 68 | 8 | 0 | 1. | 0 | 9 | 148 |
| Total | 12 | 230 | 16 | 0 | 258 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 ; | 2 | 213 | 36 | 0 | 251 | 34 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 55 | 579 |
| 13:00 | 1 | 79 | 10 | 0 | 90 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 52 | 13 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 : | 164 |
| 13:15 | 1 | 61 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 ; | 160 |
| 13:30 | 1 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 147 |
| 13:45 | 6 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 72. | 7 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 7 | 0 | 58 | 11 | 0 | 69 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 163 |
| Total ! | 9 | 269 | 22 | 0 | 300 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 233 | 39 | 0 | 275 | 29 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 634 |
| 14:00\| | 2 | 55 | 7 | 0 | 64 ' | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 ! | 0 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 90 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 12 : | 171 |
| 14:15 | 2 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 90 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 71 | 10 | 0 | 81 | 10 | , | 2 | 0 | 13 : | 192 |
| 14:30 | 2 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 85 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 87 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 190 |
| 14:45 | 1 | 101 | 3 | 0 | 105 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 75 | 9 | 0 | 84 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9. | 205 |
| Tolal | 7 | 320 | 17 | 0 | 344 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 303 | 39 | 0 | 342 | 25 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 40 | 758 |

# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name: Cascade\&Sublimity
Site Code :00000000
Start Date : $2 / 3 / 2005$
Page No : 2

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | Sublimity Westiound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | Sublimity Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Noe.toun | Right | Thru | Lett | Peds | Ape Tout | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Top Toat | Right | Thai | Left | Peds | Ape Tobi | Im Tolat |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10 |  | 1.0. | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | moun |
| 15:00 | 3 | 79 | 4 | 0 | 86 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 86 | 13 | 0 | 99 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 211 |
| 15:15 | 2 | 77 | 3 | 0 | 82 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 91 | 7 | 0 | 98 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 214 |
| 15.30 | 5 | 75 | 4 | 0 | B4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 89 | 9 | 0 | 98 i | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 198 |
| 15:45 | 3 | 82 | 3 | 0 | B8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | D | B | 0 | 80 | 13 | 0 | 93 : | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 202 |
| Total ! | 13 | 313 | 14 | 0 | 340 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 346 | 42 | - | 388 | 46 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 63 | 825 |
| 16:00 | 3 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 77 | 8 | 0 | 85 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 186 |
| 16:15 | 4 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 79 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 175 |
| 16:30 | 6 | 75 | 5 | 0 | 86 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 88 | 11 | 0 | 99 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 212 |
| 16:45 | 4 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 82 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 74 | 3 | 0 | 77 | 9 | 3. | 2 | 0 | 14 | 187. |
| Total | 17 | 282 | 15 | 0 | 314 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 311 | 25 | 0 | 336 | 41 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 67 | 760 |
| 17:00 | 2 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 93 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 106 | 8 | 0 | 114 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 237 |
| 17:15 | 3 | 85 | 6 | 0 | 94 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 102 | 8 | 0 | 110 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 233 |
| 17.30 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 182 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 74. | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 89 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 177 |
| Total 1 | 5 | 327 | 8 | 0 | 340 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 372 | 27 | 0 | 400 | 30 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 56 | 829 |
| 18:00 | 0 | 119 | 3 | 0 | 122 | - 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 67 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 211 |
| 18:15 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 57 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 135 |
| 18:30 | 0 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 115 |
| 18:45 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 120 |
| Total | 0 | 303 | 11 | 1 | 315 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 21 | 179 | 14 | 0 | 214 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 581 |
| 19:00 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 451 | - 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 67 ! | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 118 |
| 19:15 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 91 |
| 19:30 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 75 |
| 19:45 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 75 |
| Total | 1 | 149 | 6 | 0 | 156 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 174 | 9 | 0 | 185 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 359 |
| 20:00 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 74 |
| 20:15 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 20:30 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 61 |
| 20:45 | 0 | 20. | 2 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 60. |
| Total | $\dagger$ | 73 | 5 | 0 | 79 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 5 | 153 | 8 | 0 | 166 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 263 |
| 21:00 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 48 |
| 21:15 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 27 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| $21: 30$ | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 21:45 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 ! | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Total | 0 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 91 | 6 | 0 | 101 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 175 |
| Grand Total | 100 | 3496 | 213 | 1 | 3810 | 306 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 343 | 42 | 3426 | 383 | 0 | 3851 | 338 | 97 | 73 | 1 | 509 | 8513 |
| Apprch \% | 2.6 | 99.8 | 5.6 | 0 |  | 89.2 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 0 |  | 1.1 | 89 | 9.9 | 0 |  | 66.4 | 19.1 | 14.3 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.2 | 41.1 | 2.5 | 0 | 44.8 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 4 | 0.5 | 40.2 | 4.5 | 0 | 45.2 | 4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0 | 6 |  |
| Unshifted | 97 | 3322 | 202 | 1 | 3622 | 267 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 301 | 41 | 3269 | 367 | 0 | 3677 | 320 | 90 | 72 | 1 | 483 | 16166 |
| \% Unsthifted | 97 | 95 | 94.8 | 100 | 95.1 | 87.3 | 89.7 | 100 | 0 | 87.8 | -97.6 | 95.4 | 95.8 | 0 | 95.5 | 94.7 | 92,8 | 98.6 | 100 | 94.9 | 94.9 |
| HV | 3 | 174 | 11 | 0 | 188 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 157 | 16 | 0 | 174 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 860 |
| \% HV | 3 | 5 | 5.2 | 0 | 4.9 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 0 | 0 | 12.2 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 0 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 0 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
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# All TRAFFIC DATA SERVICES, Inc 

3209 S.E. 147th PL. \#97
Vancouver, WA. 98683
Ph. 503-833-2740
File Name : Cascade\&9th
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 2/3/2005
Page No : 3

|  | Cascade Hwy Southbound |  |  |  |  | 9th Westbound |  |  |  |  | Cascade Hwy Northbound |  |  |  |  | 9th <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stant Time | Rigat | Thru | Left | Peds | Ape Tomb | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Sppraed | Right | Thru |  | Peds | Apeo Toal | Right |  |  | Peas | ep Toma | Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06;00 to 09:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour | Entir | re int | sectio | on Bec | ns at | $7: 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0. | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 52 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 109 |
| 07:30 | 4 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 135 |
| 07:45 | 5 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 237 |
| 08:00 | 4 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 152 |
| Total Volume | 13 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 9 | 0 | 260 | 37 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 50 | 633 |
| \% App. Total | 4 | 96 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | $96 .$ | 3.5 | 0 |  | 74 | 0 | 26 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | $\begin{array}{r} .65 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .61 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 616 | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 000 | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70 \\ .70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .56 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 722 | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .65 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 781 | . 668 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 to 09:45 - Peak 1 of 1



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy


Queues
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy
07/07/2005

|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | \% |  | $t$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | क |  | \% | F |  | K | $\sigma^{2}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | t |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphipl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width ( ft ) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 200 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 50 | 50. |  | 50 | 50 | \% | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector ( ft ) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Tuming Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1660 | 1623 | 0 | 1660 | 1667 | 0 | 1660 | 1704 | 0 | 1644 | 1684 | 0 |
| Fit Permitted | 0.154 |  |  | 0.121 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 269 | 1623 | 0 | 211 | 1667 | 0 | 1660 | 1704 | 0 | 1644 | 1684 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 30 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 10 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  | 816 |  |  | 826 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 946 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 88.5 |  |  | 18.8 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 165 | 240 | 215 | 115 | 215 | 95 | 175 | 575 | 115 | 110 | 680 | 150 |
| Conif Peds. (\#/tr) Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Eactor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 083 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 179 | 495 | 0 | 137 | 369 | $\bigcirc$ | 211 | 832 | 0 | 120 | 902 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  |  | pm+pt |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | , 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 50 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 30.0 |  | 20.0 | 300 |  | 20.0 | 400 |  | 20.0 | 400 |  |
| Total Split ( s ) | 20.0 | 37.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 37.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 63.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 63.0 | 00 |
| Total Split (\%) | 14.3\% | 26.4\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 26.4\% | 00\% | 14.3\% | 45.0\% | 00\% | 14.3\% | 45.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 00 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 00 |  | 00 | 0.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Max |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effet Green (s) , . | 50.6 | 361 |  | 47.4 | 34.4 |  | 16.0 | 590 |  | 16.0 | 59.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.26 |  | 0.34 | 0.25 |  | 0.11 | 0.42 |  | 0.11 | 0.42 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 112 |  | 0.67 | 0.88 |  | 1.11 | 115 |  | 0.64 | 1.26 |  |
| Control Delay | 46.1 | 125.4 |  | 40.8 | 71.0 |  | 153.1 | 120.3 |  | 69.4 | 159.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 00 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 00 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 46.1 | 125.4 |  | 40.8 | 71.0 |  | 153.1 | 120.3 |  | 69.4 | 159.2 |  |
| LOS | D | F |  | D | $E$ |  | F | F |  | E | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 104.3 |  |  | 62.8 |  |  | 126.9 |  |  | 148.6 |  |

Stayton/Sublimity IAMP 04/12/2005 No Build 2025
CH 2 M H HL

Queues
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy 07/07/2005

|  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  | E |  | F |  | F |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 16.0 | 33.0 | 16.0 | 33.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 |  |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Coord | Max | Coord |  |
| 70 th \%ile Green (s) | 16.0 | 33.8 | 15.2 | 33.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Max | Max | Gap | Max | Max | Coord | Max | Coord |  |
| 50 th \%ile Green (s) | 16.0 | 35.7 | 13.3 | 33.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 |  |
| 50tn \%ile TemmCode | Max | Max | Gap | Max | Max | Coord | Hold | Coord |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 14.0 | 37.6 | 11.4 | 35.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 |  |
| 30th\%ile Terncode | Gap | Max | Gap | Hold | Max | Coord | Hold | Coord |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 10.8 | 40.2 | 8.8 | 38.2 | 16.0 | 59.0 | 16.0 | 59.0 |  |
| 10 th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Max | Gap | Hold | Max | Coord | Hold | Coord |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 113 | $\sim 503$ | 84 | 318 | -220 | $\sim 887$ | 107 | ~1030 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (f) | \#194 | \#759 | 128 | \#447 | \#342 | \#1000 | m168 | \#1278 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 736 |  | 746 |  | 807 |  | 866 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 200 |  | 200 |  | 200 |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 258 | 440 | 241 | 421 | 190 | 723 | 188 | 715 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reducts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 1.13 | 0.57 | 0.88 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 0.64 | 1.26 |  |


Area Type: Other
Cycle Length 140 . $\quad$ :
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset $4(3 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2NBT, Slart of Green
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.26
Intersection Signal Delay 119.1 Intersection LOS. $F$
Intersection Capacity Utilization 104.9\% ICU Level of Service G
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum atter two cycles.
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Martin \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3. Whitney \& Cascade Hwy

| 3: Whitney $\&$ Cascade Hwy |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Queues
3: Whitney \& Cascade Hwy
07/07/2005

|  | * | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | + | ¢ |  | $+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | K | fo |  | ${ }_{1}$ | F |  | * | t |  | * | F |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length ( ft ) | 300 |  | 0 | 300 |  | 0 | 300 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1. |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Leading Detector (f) | 50 | 50. |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Traling Detector ( ft ) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1676 | 1500 | 0 | 1660 | 1485 | 0 | 1660 | 1723 | 0 | 1644 | 1726 |  |
| Fit Permitted | 0.357 | - |  | 0.743 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 630 | 1500 | 0 | 1298 | 1485 | 0 | 1660 | 1723 | 0 | 1644 | 1726 |  |
| Right Tum on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes: |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 271 |  |  | 339 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance ( f ) |  | 1105 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 354 |  |  | 541 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 251 |  |  | 145 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 6.7 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 15 | 0 | 20 | 105 | 0 | 140 | 10 | 740 | 75 | 240 | 815 | 15 |
| Confl Peds. (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 092 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 081 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | $3 \%$ |  | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Parking (\#/fr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 16. | 22 | 0 | 113 | 151 | 0 | 11 | 1007 | 0 | 264 | 912 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 200 | 20.0 |  | 80 | 20.0 |  | 80 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 91.0 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 112.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $143 \%$ | $143 \%$ | 00\% | 14.3\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 650\% | 00\% | 20.7\% | 80.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 05 | 05. |  | 05 | 0.5 | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.5 | 05 |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 14.9 | 14.9 |  | 14.9 | 14.9 |  | 5.1 | 88.9 |  | 24.2 | 173.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.11 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |  | 0.04 | 0.64 |  | 0.17 | 0.81 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 024 | 0.05 |  | 0,82 | 0.33 |  | 0.18 | 0.92 |  | 0.93 | 0.65 |  |
| Control Delay | 64.7 | 0.2 |  | 91.5 | 1.8 |  | 66.6 | 15.6 |  | 88.6 | 8.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 00 | 00 |  | 0.0 | 00 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 00 |  |
| Total Delay | 64.7 | 0.2 |  | 91.5 | 1.8 |  | 66.6 | 15.6 |  | 88.6 | 8.6 |  |
| LOS | E | A |  | F | A |  | $E$ | B |  | F | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 27.4 |  |  | 40.2 |  |  | 16.1 |  |  | 26.5 |  |


| 3. Whitney \& Cascade Hwy |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: EB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy



* User Entered Value

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: WB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
7: Sublimity Blvd \& Cascade Hwy
07/07/2005

| 7 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  |
| Sign Control $\quad$ Stop |  |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop. |  |
| Grade $0 \%$ |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Volume (veh/h) 20 | 35 | 75 | 50 | 585 |  | 20 | 535 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 65 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) 23 | 40 | 85 | 57 | 665 | 0 | 21 | 569 | 21 | 19 | 13 | 84 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Wiath (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type, None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (f) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v C$, conflicting volume 1492 | 1401 | 580 | 590 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 1506 | 1411 | 665 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v C 2$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol 1492 | 1401 | 580 | 590 |  |  | 665 |  |  | 1506 | 1411 | 665 |
| tc, single (s) $\quad 8.6$ | 880 | 777 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | ${ }^{8} 87$ | *8.1 | *7.8 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) $\quad 4.5$ | *50 | *43 | 22 |  |  | 22 |  |  | *4.6 | *5.1 | * 4. |
| p0 queue free \% 18 | 40 | 75 | 94 |  |  | 98 |  |  | 0 | 79 | 70 |
| cM capacity (vehh) 26 | 67 | 336 | 970 | 12 |  | 910 | $\triangle$ |  | 17 | 63 | 283 |



* User Entered Value

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
8: 9th Street \& Cascade Hwy
07/07/2005


Hourly How rate (vph) $\quad 22<76 \times 32 \quad 681 \quad 549,22$
Pedestrians
Lane Widh ( H ),
Walking Speed (tts)
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh)
Median type
Median storage veh)
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( (t)
pX, platoon unblocked
VC, conficuting volume $1304 \quad 560 \quad 571$
vC 1 , stage 1 conf vol
vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{VCu}, \text { unblocked vol } & 1304 & 560 & 571\end{array}$
$t C$, single (s) $\quad \begin{array}{llll}6.4 & 6.2 & 4 .\end{array}$
tC, 2 stage ( s )
tr (s)

| p0 queue free $\%$ | 87 | 85 | 97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CM capacity (veh/h) , $169,524,587$, $\quad . \quad$.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Volumethal $\%$, 98 |  |
| Volume Left 22 | 320 |
| Volume Right $\quad 1076$ | 0.22 |
| CSH | 9871700 |
| Volume to Capacity $\quad 0.27$ | $003.034 \times 1$ |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) 27 | $3 \quad 0$ |
| Control Delay (s) 18.8 | $0.8 \times 0.0$ |
| Lane LOS C | A |
| Approach Delay (s) $\quad 18.8$ | 0.800 |
| Approach LOS C |  |
|  |  |
| Average Delay 1.8 |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $\quad 73.7 \% \quad 160$ Level of Service, $\quad$ D |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
9: ORE 22 \& EB On Ramp


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total $\quad .674 \quad 1277$ | 172 |
| Volume Left 00 | 0 |
| Volume Right $\quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ | 172 , ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ${ }^{2}$, |
| cSH 17001700 | 231 |
| Volume to Capacity $\quad 0.40 \quad 075$ | 0.75 \% |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) 0 | 130 |
| Control Delay (s) , , 000, 00 | 558 |
| Lane LOS | F |
| Approach Delay (s), , 00-0.0 | 55.8 , $\square^{\circ}$ |
| Approach LOS | F |
|  |  |
| Average Delay | 4.5 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $76.2 \%$ ICU Level of Service $\quad$ D |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |

* User Entered Value

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
10: ORE 22 \& WB On Ramp


* User Entered Value

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy


Queues
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy
07/08/2005

|  |  |  |  |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | t |  | \% | T |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4t |  | \% | 禹 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphil) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 200 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Leading Detector (f) | 50 | 60. | $\times$ | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector ( ft ) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15. |  | 9 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1660 | 1623 | 0 | 1660 | 1667 | 0 | 1660 | 3237 | 0 | 1644 | 3200 | 0 |
| Fit Permitted | 0.174 |  |  | 0154 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 304 | 1623 | 0 | 269 | 1667 | 0 | 1660 | 3237 | 0 | 1644 | 3200 | 0 |
| Right Tutn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 38 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 23 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  | 816 |  |  | 826 |  |  | 887 |  |  | 946 |  |
| Travel Time ( $s$ ) |  | 18.5 |  |  | 188 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 165 | 240 | 215 | 115 | 215 | 95 | 175 | 575 | 115 | 110 | 680 | 150 |
| Conf Peds (\#hr) Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor: | 0.92 | 0.92 | 092 | 084 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 083 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | $3 \%$ | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 179 | 495 | 0 | 137 | 369 | 0 | 211 | 832 | 0 | 120 | 902 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  |  | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{pt}$ |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases | , 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 300 |  | 20.0 | 30.0 |  | 20.0 | 40.0 |  | 20.0 | 40. |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 182\% | 273\%. | 00\% | 182\% | 27.3\% | 00\% | 18.2\% | 36.4\% | 00\% | 18.2\% | 36.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 00 | 00 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 00 | 0.0 | \% | 00 | 00 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Max |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effet Green (s) | 43.5 | 307 |  | 40.5 | 29.1 |  | 18.5 | 39.3 |  | 12.7 | 33.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.28 |  | 0.37 | 0.26 |  | 0.17 | 0.36 |  | 0.12 | 0.30 |  |
| v/c Ratio, | 064 | 103 |  | 0.57 | 0.81. |  | 0.76 | 071 |  | 0.63 | 0.91 |  |
| Control Delay | 28.5 | 86.8 |  | 25.5 | 52.0 |  | 63.2 | 34.2 |  | 53.3 | 44.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 28.5 | 86.8 |  | 25.5 | 52.0 |  | 63.2 | 34.2 |  | 53.3 | 44.1 |  |
| LOS | C | F |  | C | D |  | E | C |  | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 71.3 |  |  | 44.9 |  |  | 40.1 |  |  | 45.2 |  |

Stayton/Sublimity IAMP 04/12/2005 Build Alternative 2025

Queues
1: Fern Ridge Rd \& Cascade Hwy


## 

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length 110 , 110
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2 NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.03
Intersection Signal Delay $489, \quad$ Intersection LOS 0
Intersection Capacity Utilization $82.4 \% \quad$ ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Penod (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maxmum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue showh is maximum after two cycles.


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Martin \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3. Whitney \& Cascade Hwy


Queues
3: Whitney \& Cascade Hwy
07/08/2005

| \% | $\rightarrow$ | $v$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations \% | F |  | K | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 紬 |  | ${ }_{7}$ | 4t |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphipl) , < 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (ft) 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (f) 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 0 | 300 |  | 0 | 300 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes, |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1. |  | 0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) $\quad 4.0$ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Leading Detector ( f ) $\quad 50$ | 50 |  | + 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) , 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | +7 9 | 15 |  | \% 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) 1676 | 1500 | 0 | 1660 | 1485 | 0 | 1676 | 3274 | 0 | 1644 | 3280 | 0 |
| Fll Pemilted $\quad 0950$ |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) 1676 | 1500 | 0 | 1660 | 1485 | 0 | 1676 | 3274 | 0 | 1644 | 3280 | 0 |
| Right Tum on Red , , | \% | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | 264 |  |  | 501 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 941 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 354 |  |  | 579 |  |
| Travel Time (s). | 21.4 |  |  | 14.5 | \% $\%$ |  | 4.4 |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| Volume (vph) 15 | 0 | 20 | 105 | 0 | 140 | 10 | 740 | 75 | 240 | 815 | 15 |

Confi Peds (\#hr)
Confl. Bikes (\#/hr)
PeakHour Factor $\quad 0.92,0.92,0.92,093,0.92,0.93,0.92 .081 \quad 0.81,0.91 \quad 0.91 \quad 0.92$

| Growth Factor | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |


| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |

## Parking (\#hr)

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Mid-Block Traffic (\%) } & 0 \% & 0 \% & 0 \% & 0 \%\end{array}$


| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detector Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 200 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 80 | 200 |  | 80 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 42.0 | $0 \quad 0.0$ |
| Total Split (\%) | 222\% | 22.2\% | 00\% | 222\% | 22.2\% | 00\% | 8.9\% | 356\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 46.7\% | \% 0.0\% |


| Total Split (\%) | 222\% | 22.2\% | 0.0\% | 22:2\% | 22.2\% | 000\% | 89\% | 35.6\% | 00\% $20.0 \%$ | 46.7\% | 0.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 05 | 05 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effet Green(s) | 6.4 | 6.4 |  | 112 | 11.2 |  | 56 | 46.2 | 14.0 | 62.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  | 0.06 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 0.69 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 006 |  | 0.55 | 0.24 |  | 0.11 | 0.60 | 1.03 | 0.40 |  |
| Control Delay | 39.3 | 0.2 |  | 39.9 | 0.8 |  | 42.5 | 18.9 | 104.3 | 8.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 39.3 | 0.2 |  | 39.9 | 0.8 |  | 42.5 | 18.9 | 104.3 | 8.6 |  |
| LOS | D | A |  | D | A |  | D | B | WQF | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.6 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 19.2 |  | 30.1 |  |

Stayton/Sublimity IAMP 04/12/2005 Build Alternative 2025

Queues
3: Whitney \& Cascade Hwy

|  | 209 |  |  |  | 80 ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | ) ${ }^{\text {rex }}$ | , |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approachicos |  | B |  | 7 |  |  | B |  | C |  |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 7.8 | 7.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 |  | 4.9 | 36.3 | 14.0 | 45.4 |  |
| 90 th \%ile Tem Code | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |  | Max | Coord | Max | Coord |  |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 6.9 | 6.9 | 12.9 | 12.9 |  | 0.0 | 40.2 | 14.0 | 58.2 |  |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |  | Skip | Coord | Max | Coord |  |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 6.2 | 6.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 |  | 0.0 | 42.6 | 14.0 | 60.6 |  |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Gap | Gap | Gap | Gap |  | Skip | Coord | Max | Coord |  |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 9.4 |  | 0.0 | 54.6 | 14.0 | 72.6 |  |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Skip | Skip | Gap | Gap |  | Skip | Coord | Max. | Corrd |  |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 |  | 0.0 | 57.2 | 14.0 | 75.2 |  |
| 10th \%ile Trem Code | Skip | Skip | Gap | Gap |  | Skip | coord | Max | Coord |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( f ) | 9 | 0 | 61 | 0 |  | 6 | 217 | ~163 | 107 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (f) | 28 | 0 | 109 | 0 |  | 23 | 278 | \#313 | 234 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (f) |  | 861 |  | 556 |  |  | 274 |  | 499 |  |
| Tumbay Length (f) | 300 |  | 300 |  |  | 300 |  | 300 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 298 | 484 | 295 | 676 |  | 103 | 1686 | 256 | 2275 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | + 0 | W3. 3 \% 0 |  | \% |  | 0 | 120 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.38 | 0.22 |  | 0.11 | 0.60 | 1.03 | 0.40 |  |


Area Type: Other

Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2NBT and 6 SBT Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90

Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.03
Intersection Signal Defay $241, \ldots, \quad, \quad$ Infersection $L O S: C$
Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.3\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.



HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: EB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy

| $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | \% | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\dagger$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | K | 44 |  |
| Ideal Flow (yphpl) $\quad 1800$ | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util Factor | 1.00 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 100 | 0.95 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  | 095 | 100 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1644 | 1471 |  |  |  |  | 3253 |  | 1629 | 3257 |  |
| Fit Permited | 095 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 019 | 1800 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1644 | 1471 |  |  |  |  | 3253 |  | 321 | 3257 |  |
| Volume (vph) 65 | 0 | 530 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 830 | 65 | 85 | 540 | 0 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj Flow (vph) $\quad 75$ | 0 | 609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 988 | 77 | 94 | 600 | 0 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 | 75 | 478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 1056 | 0 | 94 | 600 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Turn Type , Split |  | Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 21.4 | 21.4 |  |  |  |  | 30.6 |  | 30.6 | 30.6 |  |
| Effective Green, 9 (s) | 21.4 | 21.4 |  |  |  |  | 30.6 |  | 30.6 | 30.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  | 0.51 |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  |
| Clearance $\mathrm{Tm}_{\text {me }}(\mathrm{s}$ ) , , | 40 | $\times 40$ |  |  |  |  | 40 |  | 40 | 40 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 586 | 525 |  |  |  |  | 1659 |  | 164 | 1661 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.05 | c0.33 |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |  | 0.18 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 | 0.91 |  |  |  |  | 0.64 |  | 0.57 | 0.36 |  |
| Uniform Delay d1 | 13. | 184 |  |  | , |  | 107 |  | 102 | 8.8 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 01 | 20. |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |  | 138 | 06 |  |
| Delay (s) | 13.1 | 38.5 |  |  |  |  | 12.5 |  | 23.9 | 9.4 |  |
| Level of Service | B | D |  |  |  |  | B |  | c | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 35.7 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 12.5 |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| ApproachLOS | D | - | \% ${ }^{4}$ | A | $\cdots$ |  | B |  | $\%$ | B |  |



Queues
5: EB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy
07/08/2005

|  |  |  |  | $4$ | $4$ | $4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |  | 个\% |  | \% | +4 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vohpl) , , 1800 | 1800 |  | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (ft) 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (ft) 0 |  | 300 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes $\quad 0$ |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) $\quad 4.0$ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Leading Detector (t) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( 50 | 50 | 50 |  | \% |  |  | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turning Sped (mph) - $\quad 15$ |  | 9 |  |  | 0 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) 0 | 1644 | 1471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3252 | 0 | 1629 | 3257 | 0 |
| Fit Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.168 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) 0 | 1644 | 1471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3252 | 0 | 288 | 3257 | 0 |
| Right Tum onRed |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 203 |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 437 |  |  | 770 |  |  | 375 |  |  | 562 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 9.9 |  |  | 175 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Volume (vph) 65 | 0 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 830 | 65 | 85 | 540 | 0 |
| Confl Peds (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor, 0.0 .87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 092 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) , 4\% | 4\% | $4 \%$ | 2\%\% | $2 \%$ | 2\% | - $4 \%$ | 4. $4 \%$ | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Parking (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 | 75 | 609 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1065 | 0 | 94 | 600 | 0 |
| Turn Type Split |  | Prot |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases $\quad 4$ | 4 |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | 2 |  | , | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phases, $\quad 4$ | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split(s) $\quad 20.0$ | 20.0 | 200 |  |  |  |  | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) - 46, $\mathbf{7}^{\text {\% }}$ | 46.7\% | 46.7\% | 00\% | 00\% | 00\% | 0.0\% | 53.3\% | 0.0\% | 53.3\% | 53.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) $\quad 3.5$ | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-RedTime (s) $\quad 05$ | 06 |  |  |  |  |  | 05 |  | 05 | 0.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode None | None | None |  |  |  |  | C-Min |  | C-Min | C-Min |  |
| Act Effot Green (s) , | 21.4 | 21.4 |  |  |  |  | 30.6 |  | 306 | 30.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  |  |  | 0.51 |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  |
| v/c Ratio , \% , \% | 0.13 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  | 0.64 |  | 0.64 | 0.36 |  |
| Control Delay | 12.2 | 26.4 |  |  |  |  | 13.5 |  | 39.3 | 10.4 |  |
| Queue Delay ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 12.2 | 26.4 |  |  |  |  | 13.5 |  | 39.3 | 10.4 |  |
| LOS | B | C |  |  |  |  | B |  | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay | 24.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 14.3 |  |

Stayton/Sublimity IAMP 04/12/2005 Build Alternative 2025

Queues
5: EB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy



Area Type: Other

Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset $0(0 \%)$ Referenced to phase $2 \mathrm{NB} T$ and 6 SBIL , Stall of Gteen.
Natural Cycle: 60

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93

Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.1\% ICU Level of Service B

\# 95 th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: WB Ramp \& Cascade Hwy


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
7: Sublimity Blvd \& Cascade Hwy

|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | K | $t$ |  | * | F |  | 7 | 4 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphil) | 1800 | 3800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util Factor | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.90 |  | 1.00 | 0.87 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Fit Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 100 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1629 | 1539 |  | 1527 | 1398 |  | 1629 | 3257 |  | 1629 | 3240 |  |
| Flt Permited | 095 | 1100 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 095 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1629 | 1539 |  | 1527 | 1398 |  | 1629 | 3257 |  | 1629 | 3240 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 35 | 75 | 15 | 10 | 65 | 50 | 585 | 0 | 20 | 535 | 20 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 23 | 40 | 85 | 19 | 13 | 84 | 57 | 665. | 0 | 21 | 569 | 21 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (uph) | 23 | 47 | 0 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 57 | 665 | 0 | 21 | 588 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Turn Type | Prot |  |  | Prof |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 3.2 | 7.0 |  | 3.0 | 6.8 |  | 7.0 | 50.9 |  | 3.1 | 47.0 |  |
| Effective Green 9 (s) | 32 | 70 |  | 30 | 6.8 |  | 7.0 | 50.9 |  | 3.1 | 47.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.04 | 0.09 |  | 0.04 | 0.08 |  | 0.09 | 0.64 |  | 0.04 | 0.59 |  |
| Clearance 7 me (s) | 40 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 40 |  | 40 | 40 |  | 40 | 40 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 65 | 135 |  | 57 | 119 |  | 143 | 2072 |  | 63 | 1904 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.01 | c0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.04 | c0.20 |  | 0.01 | c0.18 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.35 |  | 0.33 | 0.17 |  | 0.40 | 0.32 |  | 0.33 | 0.31 |  |
| Uniform Delay d1 - | 37.4 | 34.4 |  | 375 | 340 |  | 345 | 67 |  | 37.4 | 8.3 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 33. | 16 |  | 3.4 | 07 |  | 18 | 0.4 |  | 31 | 0.4 |  |
| Delay (s) | 40.7 | 35.9 |  | 41.0 | 34.7 |  | 36.3 | 7.1 |  | 40.6 | 8.7 |  |
| Level of Service. | D | D |  | D | $\bigcirc$ | $\%$ | , D | A |  | D | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 36.7 |  |  | 35.7 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  | 9.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Average Control Delay $\quad 140$ ACMLevel of Service $\quad$ B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length(s) $\quad 800 \quad$ Sum of lost time (s) $\quad 120$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $38.2 \%$ ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Queues
7: Sublimity Blvd \& Cascade Hwy
07/08/2005

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\leqslant$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | $\cdots$ |  | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | 3 | F |  | 7 | \$ |  | $\cdots$ | 个4 |  | K | 针 |  |
| Ideal Ftow (uphol) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800: | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width ( ft ) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length ( ft ) | 200 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 200 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Leading Detecfor (f) | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1629 | 1539 | 0 | 1527 | 1398 | 0 | 1629 | 3257 | 0 | 1629 | 3241 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted , | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1629 | 1539 | 0 | 1527 | 1398 | 0 | 1629 | 3257 | 0 | 1629 | 3241 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 85 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  | 1097 |  |  | 355 |  |  | 250 |  |  | 730 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 24.9 |  |  | 81 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 9.0 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 35 | 75 | 15 | 10 | 65 | 50 | 585 | 0 | 20 | 535 | 20 |
| Contl Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hout Factor | 0.88 | 088 | 0.88 | 0.077 | 077 | 0.77 | 088 | 088 | 088 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | , 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#ht) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Lane Group Flow (yph) | 23 | 125 | 0 |  | - 97 | 0 | 57 | 665 | 0 | 21 | 590 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  | Prot |  |  |
| Protected Phases, $, 7,4,4,4,4,4,4$Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 80. | 20.0 |  | 8.0 | 200 |  | 8.0 | 20.0 |  | 80 | 200 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Split (\%) , 16.3\% $31.3 \% \quad 00 \% 15.0 \%$ 300\% $\quad 0.0 \% \quad 18.8 \% \quad 38.8 \% \quad 0.0 \% \quad 15.0 \% \quad 35.0 \% \quad 0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| AllRedTme (s) | - 05 | 05 | * | 0.05 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 | 05 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes. |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  |
| Act Effet Green (s) | 6.6 | 8.0 |  | 6.4 | 79 |  | 85 | 57.4 |  | 65 | 53.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.10 |  | 0.08 | 0.10 |  | 0.11 | 0.72 |  | 0.08 | 0.67 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.54 |  | 015 | 0.46 |  | 033 | 028 |  | 016 | 0.27 |  |
| Control Delay | 35.2 | 14.7 |  | 35.6 | 11.8 |  | 35.0 | 8.4 |  | 35.4 | 10.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 00 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 00 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 35.2 | 14.7 |  | 35.6 | 11.8 |  | 35.0 | 8.4 |  | 35.4 | 10.1 |  |
| LOS | $4 \square 0$ | B |  | D | B |  | D | A |  | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.8 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 10.9 |  |

Stayton/Sublimity IAMP 04/12/2005 Build Alternative 2025
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Queues
7: Sublimity Bivd \& Cascade Hwy
07/08/2005



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
8: 9th Street \& Cascade Hwy


CH2M HILI
CH2M HILL

Phone:
E-mail:
Fax:
$\qquad$ Merge Analysis $\qquad$
Analyst:
Agency/Co.
Date performed: 4-14-05
Analysis time period:
Ereeway/Dir of Travel:
Junction:
oth Highest Hour
ORE 22 EB

Jurisdiction:
Analysis Year: 2025 Build Scenario
Description: Stayton Sublimity IAMP/ ORE22
$\qquad$ Freeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway
Free-flow speed on freeway
Merge
2
$60.0 \quad \mathrm{mph}$
Volume on freeway
620
vph
$\qquad$ On Ramp Data
ide of freeway
umber of lanes in ramp
Free-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Length of first accel/decel lane
Length of second accel/decel lane

Right
RLg
1
35.0 mph

150
150
400 vph
ft
It

Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$
Does adjacent ramp exist?
No
Volume on adjacent Ramp
Position of adjacent Ramp
Type of adjacent Ramp
Distance to adjacent Ramp
1
_______Conversion to pc/h Under Base Conditions



HCS2000: Ramps and Ramp Junctions Release 4.1d
CH2M HILL
CH2M HILL

Phone: Fax:
E-mail:

Merge Analysis



Ereeway Data $\qquad$
Type of analysis
Number of lanes in freeway

| Merge |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2 |  |
| 60.0 | mph |
| 860 | vph |

Eree-flow speed on freeway
Volume on freeway
$\qquad$
?ide of freeway
umber of lanes in ramp
Eree-flow speed on ramp
Volume on ramp
Right
35.0

315
400
mph
Length of fixst accel/decel lane
vph
Length of second accel/decel lane
ft
$\qquad$ Adjacent Ramp Data (if one exists) $\qquad$

| Does adjacent ramp exist? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume on adjacent Ramp |  |
| Position of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Type of adjacent Ramp |  |
| Distance to adjacent Ramp | ft |




Access Road Intersection Sight Distance Memo

FROM: Jerry Rosenblad PE
DATE: July 6, 2005
SUBJECT: OR22 At Sublimity Interchange (Ph1) Sec. Kn \# 13658.
Sight distance findings, Cascade Highway, North of Project.
Myself and Monte Richards (District 3 Permit Specialist) met on site Tuesday July 5, 2005 to determine various points of minimal intersection sight distance in the area near the crest of the hill, of Cascade Highway, just north of the Intersection of Highway 22 and Cascade Highway. We determined areas acceptable to having an access and areas where intersection sight distance would prevent an access to exist with adequate site distance.

This section of roadway is posted at 45 mph , which means that we should consider a design speed of 55 mph .

The 2003 Highway Design Manual (HDM) Section 5.2.3 Intersection Sight Distance states, "Intersection sight distance is determined by using a 3.5 foot eye height and 3.5 foot height of object." The HDM refers to "Pages $665-669$ of the 2001 AASHTO'S "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets - 2001 " indicate intersection sight distance requirements for traffic turning left, crossing, or turning right onto a major highway."

Exhibit 9-55 of AASHTO, indicates for a design speed of 55 mph , the Intersection Sight Distance should be 610 feet. This distance is what was used to identify allowable locations of an access.

Refer to the attached map below.
Point C denotes the point of an existing access on the East side of Cascade highway. We analyzed the existing conditions, and the design conditions of lowering the southerly crest (Near " CH " $16+50$ ) slightly as part of the project, and determined that the Driveway could move as much as 105 feet to the North and opposite an existing driveway on the west side of the highway (point D). Locating an access any further North of point $D$ or any further south of Point $C$ would reduce the actual sight distance to below required standards, and not be acceptable. On the west side of the highway in this location is a house that would likely prevent others from using this same access.

If an access were to be considered further north to gain additional distance from the proposed ramp termini (point A) then the earliest acceptable position to allow an access due to limited intersection sight distance, would be at Point E. This access would have to be placed along the Northerly property line of the large open field. However, as the picture indicates, this point would be approximately 212 feet from SW $9^{\text {th }}$ St. (point F).

The driveway at point C is at the very crest of the Hill. It is conceivable that the crest could be lowered to improve Sight Distance to the North and possibly allow point E to move south. This crest would need to be lowered at least 3 to 5 feet, as a minimum for this to occur. The roadway currently follows the surrounding terrain and lowering the roadway that amount may be adverse to the frontage of the adjacent homes. This crest location is currently beyond the limits of the proposed project (See point B), and would add considerable cost to an already strained budget if it were to be considered at this time.

There is one remaining access on the East side of Cascade Hwy. just south of point C that could be disconnected from the highway and routed north to connect with the access, at point C.

The remaining 2 or 3 accesses on the West side of Cascade Hwy. just south of point D, could be served by a backage road or alley, that would connect south to Sublimity Blvd. The access at point $D$ could then remain in place.


City of Sublimity Development Code Language Changes

## Proposed City of Sublimity Development Code Language Changes

## Changes and additions to existing City of sublimity Development Code language are underlined.

### 2.103.05 Development Standards

All developments in the Commercial District shall comply with the applicable provisions of Section 2.400 of this Ordinance. In addition, the following specific standards shall apply:
A. Off-Street Parking. Off-street parking shall be as specified in Section 2.203. Due to the required ten (10) feet front yard setback businesses are strongly encouraged to provide parking areas behind the commercial structures.
B. Signs. Signs in the Commercial District shall be subject to the provisions of Section 2.206.
C. Subdivisions and Partitions. All subdivisions and partitions shall be reviewed in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.107.
D. Design Review. All new development and expansion of an existing structure or use in the Commercial District shall be subject to the Site Development Review procedures of Section 2.103.6 and 3.105.
E. Outdoor Display. There shall be no outdoor display or storage of materials or merchandise within a designated alleyway, roadway or sidewalk that would impede pedestrian or vehicular traffic except during community retail sales events. Safety precautions shall be observed at all times.
F. Minimum Landscaped Area. Landscaping in the Commercial District shall be subject to Section 2.207
G. Access. All driveways and points of access shall be subject to the provisions of Section 2.202.03(N).

### 2.106 INTERCHANGE MANAGEMENT AREA OVERLAY DISTRICT (IA)

2.106.01 Purpose

To objective of this overlay zone is to protect and preserve the safe and efficient functional operation of the local street network in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange for all users. A goal of the City's Transportation System Plan (TSP) is to "ensure that the objectives of mobility and safety are preserved for Center Street [Cascade Highway], the city's only arterial." The establishment of this overlay zone acknowledges that an increase of vehicular traffic directly onto Center Street will have a deleterious effect on both the safety and mobility functions of that roadway.
Implementation of the overlay zone objective is achieved through the utilization of access management measures, as called for in the TSP.

### 2.106.02 Regulations

A. Inside the IA Overlay Zone, access permits are required for all projects requiring any type of development permitting from the city of Sublimity that result in additional trip generation or change of use. "Change in use" is defined as a change in land use, an expansion of an existing land use, or a remodel of an existing use that results in increased traffic.

1) Marion County Roadway Access Permits

Permits for access onto Marion County roadways shall be subject to review and approval by Marion County, except where the county has delegated this responsibility to the City of Sublimity, in which case the City of Sublimity shall determine whether access is granted based on adopted based on adopted City of Sublimity standards.
B. Inside the IA Overlay Zone, development involving additional significant trip generation or change of use shall not be permitted to access onto Center Street.
C. Inside the IA Overlay Zone, the location of access placement for a development involving a change of use must be provided from the lowest functional classification roadway.

### 2.202.03 General Provisions

N. Driveways and Points of Access: Approaches shall be constructed according to City standards and shall meet the minimum separations of five (5) feet between residential driveways, twenty-two (22) feet between commercial and industrial driveways, twenty (20) feet from intersections for residential streets, fifty (50) feet for collectors, and one hundred (100) feet for arterials. The separation shall be measured between the nearest outside edges of each access lane and the edge of the radius of the street.
Construction of driveways and points of access inside the Interchange Area Overlay Zone (IA) shall be subject to the special access provisions of that zone (2.106).

The construction of a duplex on a corner shall provide one driveway per unit per street frontage unless the Planning commission allows a combined access on one street frontage based upon a conflict created by the topography of the lot, the location of a public utility, significant vegetation, or different street classifications.

Adjoining properties are encouraged to combine accesses. For public safety purposes, and wherever possible, driveways shall align with the access points to properties across the street and other street intersections. Where impractical due to lot configuration, driveways shall be as approved by the City's Public Works Director.

# MOU: City of Stayton and Marion County <br> (Regarding Golf Lane Realignment) 

## MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING <br> May 19, 2003

This Memorandum of Understanding is between Marion County, a political subdivision of the State of Oregon ("COUNTY") and the City of Stayton, a municipal corporation ("CTYY").

The COUNTY and CITY previously entered into an agreement for improvements to Cascade Highway between Santiam Highway (State Route 22) and Shaff/Fern Ridge Road. These improvements included a bridge replacement, pavement upgrades, a traffic signal at Shafffern Ridge Road and median placement. The CITY, in consultation with their traffic engineer, believes that the installation of medians, as initially callod for by the construction plans, along Cascade Highway restricting turns in at the Whitney intersection are not as desirable as the installation of a traftic signal.

The COUNTY has concems regarding the change to a traffic signal at this intersection and the potential future traffic impacts it will create. To adiress the potential future traftic impacts, this Memorandum of Understanding has been entered into by hoth parties and pertains to potential future improvements to Cascade Highway, a COUNTY-maintained road, between Santiam Highway (State Route 22) and the intersection with Shafffern Ridge Road (Fern Ridge is a CITY-maintained street and Shaff Road is a COUNTY-maintained road). This section of Cascade Highway includes intersections with Whitney Street and Martin Drive, both CITYmaintained streets. It is mutually understood that the parties agree as follows:

The COUNTY understands that the CITY caused the Kittelson \& Associates Whitney/Cascade Highway operational study to be completed in August 2001. In addition, the CITY will complete and update their Transportation Plan by December 2004. These two documents shall serve as a basis for future decisions regarding transportation issues on Cascade Highway between the CITY's norhem boundary and Shafffern Ridge Road.

The CITY will cause the realignment of the east end of Golf Lare as designed in Kittelson \& Associates recommended lane configuration and traffic control map (attachment "A" Figure 10 , dated August 2001), to intersect Cascade Highway at such time Golf Lane warrants signalization or Golf Lane fails to meet COUNTY standards for safety and/or operations and as funds become available. Golf Lane may remain in its existing location at the time a signal is installed at Whitney Street provided that vehicle queues from the signal do not interfere with turning movements at Golf Lane and Golf Lane mects COUNTY standards for safety and openations. If one or more of the above conditions requiring realignment of Golf Lane are met, and funding for the realignment is not avalable, then the CITY will prohibit any further development on Golf I ane until the east end realignment is funded and completed.

The CITY will cause the installation of a traffic signal at the Whitney/Cascade Highway intersection. However, the CITY will not cause the installation of the traffic signal at the Whitney/Cascade Highway intersection until the intersection meets at least two traffic signal warrants. Once the intersection meets the required warrants, the intersection improvements shall be made as funds become available, but no later than December 31, 2008. Intersection improvements shall be constructed as designed in Kittelson \& Associates's recommended lane configuration and traffic control map (attachment "A" Figure 10, dated August 2001) and approved by Marion County Public Works.

The CITY acknowledges that installing the signal and realigning Golf Lane may result in traffic flow on one or more of the intersecting roadways failing to meet COUNTY standards for progression speed, signal cycle time, level of service, and volume/capacity ratio CITY understands that failure to meet any of these standards will cause drivers to experience congestion and possible delays at certain timos of day.

The CITY will cause the installation of a median on Whitney Street between Cascade Highway: and Martin Street as proposed in the attached Kittelson \& Associates recommended Lane Configuration and Traffic Control map (attachment "A" Figure 10, dated August 2001). This work shall be completed either when the Whitney/Cascade Highway traffic signal is constructed, or when property identified on the Marion County Assessors Map 91W03DB Tax Lot $\neq 500$ (attachment " $B$ ") is developed, but no later than October 3I, 2008. In addition to the signal, tax lots 500 \& 400 on Marion County Assessors Map 91 W03DB shall access Whitney Street via a shared driveway as proposed in the Kittelson \& Associates tecommended Lane Configuration \& Traffic Control Map (attachment "A" Figure 10, dated August 2001)

The COUNTY will cause installation of the median on Cascade Highway to himit Martin Drive to right tums in and out as designed in Kittelson \& Associates's recommended lane corfiguration and traffic control map (attachment " $A$ ", Figure 10, dated August 2001). This median will be installed at such time Martin Drive fails to meet COUNTY standards for safery and/or operations but not later than completion of the signal at Whitney Street.

The COUNTY, upon completion of the Whitney Street signal, shall program, operate and maintain the signal with the CITY paying for the cost of powet.

The CTYY will secure all necessary right-of-way, provide all engineering, and financing for all improventents covered under this Memorandum as funds become available.

The CITY understands that COUNTY does not commit or intend to expend any additional funds over and above the contributions made to date towads any of the improvements at this time. All future capacity improvements (ie. additional travel lanes, turning lanes, median, signals, etc.) shall be funded by development or corresponding System Development Charges. Routine maintenance, such as overlays, signing, striping, drainage, and pavement markings, will remain the responsibility of the COUNTY.

This Memorandum of Understanding is entered into this $19^{\text {ih }}$ day of May, 2003.

N WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned parties have agreed to the temps and provisions stated in this agreement.

## APPROVED AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY



MARION COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS


APPROVED AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY


APPROVAL RECOMMENDED
By mererae $p$ tar
Director of Public Word

CITY OF STAYTON


Attest $\frac{\text { A. IV loucten } C M D C}{\text { City Reorder }}$
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City of Sublimity IAMP Adoption Ordinance

An ordinance amending the Marion County
Transportation System Plan, an element of the Marion County Comprehensive Plan, to include the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan, (IAMP) enabling the modernization of the existing interchange and bridge structure and associated local transportation system improvements.

## ORDINANCE No. XXXX

## SECTION 1 Title.

This ordinance shall be known as the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan Ordinance and shall be so cited and pleaded.

## SECTION 2 Purpose.

Pursuant to the authority of OAR $734.051 .055(5)$, the Board of Commissioners adopts this ordinance in order to accomplish the following objectives for the Sublimity Interchange area:

- Protect the state and local investment in the Sublimity Interchange
- Protect the function of interchanges bymaximizing the capacity of the interchange for safe movement from the painline highway facility
- Balance the meed for officient interstate and state travel with local use
- Preserve and improve the safety of the existing interchanges
- Provide the safe and efficient operation between connecting roadways
- Adequately protect the Sublimity Interchange from unintended and unexpected development while accommodating planned community development
- Manage existing Sublimity Interchange capacity and new capacity provided through interchange improvements
- Establish how future land use and transportation decisions will be coordinated in the Sublimity Interchange area between ODOT and the local governments
- Minimize impacts to farm and forest lands and other resource lands around the Sublimity Interchange in accordance with adopted Statewide Planning Goals
- Time development with appropriate improvements to the local system after Sublimity Interchange improvements are in place
$\qquad$


## SECTION 3 Definitions.

(1) "Interchange" means a system of interconnecting roadways in conjunction with one or more grade separations that provide for the movement of traffic between two or more roadways or highways on different levels.**
(2) "Interchange Area Management Plan" means a plan similar to an Access Management Plan or an Access Management Plan for an Interchange developed to plan for and manage grade-separated interchange areas to ensure sage and efficient operation between connecting roadways and to protect the functional integrity, operations, and safety of an interchange. Interchange Area Matragement Plans typically include analysis of the relationships between existing local land uses, zoning and long range plans and the state and local roadway network within a designated study area around an existing or planned interchange, and identify necessary improvements to approach roads and the local street network to support the long-term safety and efficiency of the interchange. An Interchange Management Plan is a document that may be developed independent of or in conjunction with a specific roadway project. A plan document is not a roadway project in and of itself.**
(3) "Sublimity Interchange" means the interchange located in Marion County at which Oregon State Highway 22 and Cascade Highway intersect
(4) "Sublimity Interchange Management Area" is the area surrounding the Sublimity Interchange, inside which IAMP analysis was performed and physical mprovements and access management recommendations were make. The Sublimity Interchange Management Area can be seen on Figure 1-1 of the IAMP, attached hereto as Exhibit'A:

* Definition excerpted from OAR 734.051.0040(33)
** Definition excerpted from OAR 734.051.0040(34)


## SECTION 4 Recitals

(1) WHEREAS, Marion County is acting pursuant to the authority of OAR 734.051.055(5); and
(1) WHEREAS, the existing iuterchange that carries OR 22 over Cascade Highway is functionally obsolete; and
(2) WHEREAS, the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) approved funding to reconstruct the existing interchange; and
(3) WHEREAS, as a condition of funding construction for the project, the OTC required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be prepared in association with the design of the interchange and adopted by Marion County, the City of Sublimity, and the City of Stayton; and
(4) WHEREAS, in the Autumn of 2004 ODOT contracted with the firm CH2M HILL to manage a project consultant team to develop the Sublimity IAMP; and
(5) WHEREAS, County-appointed staff and elected officials worked closely with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and project consultant team in planning for future improvements to the interchange, through participation on the Project Management Team (PMT) for the Sublimity IAMP project and the development of the lAMP; and
(6) WHEREAS, small group meetings with affected property owners were held throughout the course of the project and were instrumental in guiding the development of the Sublimity IAMP; and
(7) WHEREAS, the Sublimity IAMP documents the land use planning, transportation planning, access management and public involvement work that resulted in the Preferred Alternative; and
(8) WHEREAS, the County has held public hearing on the Sublimity IAMP on [insert date] and [insert date]; and
(9) WHEREAS, the City of Sublimity has held public hearing on the Sublimity IAMP on [insert date] and [insert date]; and
(10) WHEREAS, the City of Stayton has held public hearing on the Sublimity IAMP on [insert date] and [insert date]; and

NOW, THEREFORE, MARION COUNTY ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:
(1) The City Council of the City of Sublimity hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the Sublimity IAMP, attached hereto as Exhibit A.
(2) The Marion County Board of Commissioners, based upon the Findings of Fact, does hereby amend the Marion County Transportation System Plan to include the Sublimity IAMP.
(3) Transportation improvements detailed in Section 5 of the Sublimity IAMP are hereby amended into the Rural Improvements Project List of the Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan, the Facility Improvements Tables of the City of Sublimity Transportation System Plan, and the Street Plan Capital Improvements List of the City of Stayton Transportation System Plan, respectively.
(4) The Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan is adopted as a supporting document to the Marion County Transportation System Plan.
(5) EFFECTIVE DATE: This ordinance shall take effect on the xx day after its enactment.

Signed and finalzzed at Salem, Marion County, Oregon, this XXth day of XXXX 200X.
$\qquad$

MARION COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

## ORDINANCE NO.

$\qquad$

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF SUBLIMITY DEVELOPMENT CODE CHAPTER 2.103.05 TO IMPLEMENT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the City of Sublimity has adopted the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP), attached hereto as Exhibit A, for the purposes of preserving the long-term function of the Sublimity Interchange in accordance with OAR 734-051-0155(5); and

WHEREAS, the Sublimity IAMP obligates the City to enact language changes to its Development Code to implement the recommendations of the IAMP; and

WHEREAS, this Ordinance amends City of Sublimity Development Code Chapter 2.103.05; and
NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SUBLIMITY ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:
SECTION 1. City of Sublimity Development Code Chapter 2.103.05 is amended to read as follows, with the new text underlined:

### 2.103.05 Development Standards

All developments in the Commercial District shall comply with the applicable provisions of Section 2.400 of this Ordinance. Li addition, the following specific standards shall apply:
A. OffeStreetParking. Off-street parking shall be as specified in Section 2.203. Due to the required ten (10) feet front yard setback businesses are strongly encouraged to provide parking areas behind the commercial structures.
B. Signs. Signs in the Commercial District shall be subject to the provisions of Section 2206.
C. Subdivisions and Partitions. All subdivisions and partitions shall be reviewed in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.107.
D. Desiga Review. All new development and expansion of an existing structure or use in the Commercial District shall be subject to the Site Development Review procedures of Section 2.103.6 and 3.105.
E. Outdoor Display. There shall be no outdoor display or storage of materials or merchandise within a designated alleyway, roadway or sidewalk that would impede pedestrian or vehicular traffic except during community retail sales events. Safety precautions shall be observed at all times.
F. Minimum Landscaped Area. Landscaping in the Commercial District shall be subject to Section 2.207
G. Access. All driveways and points of access shall be subject to the provisions of Section 2.202.03(N).
$\qquad$

Passed by the City Council this [insert] day of [insert month], 200x, by the following vote:


## Raymond Heuberger, Mayor

ATTESTED BY:

Sue Bernt, City Recorder

Filed in the office of the City Recorder this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 200x


ATTACHMENT: "EXHIBIT A: SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN"
$\qquad$

APPENDIXM
City of Sublimity Code Section 2.106 Amendment Ordinance
$\qquad$

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF SUBLIMITY DEVELOPMENT CODE CHAPTER 2 TO CREATE AN INTERCHANGE OVERLAY ZONING DISTRICT, SO AS TO IMPLEMENT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the City of Sublimity has adopted the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan(IAMP) for the purposes of preserving the long-term function of the Sublimity Interchange in accordance with OAR 734-051-0155(5); and

WHEREAS, the Sublinity IAMP calls for the City to create an Interchange Overlay Zoning District to implement the recommendations of the lAMP; and

WHEREAS, this Ordinance amends City of Sublimity Development Code Chapter 2

## NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SUBLIMITYORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

City of Sublimity Development Code Chapter 2 is amended to include an additional section, 2.106, to read as follows, with the new text underlined:

### 2.106 INTERCHANGE MANAGEMENT AREA OVERLAY DISTRICT (IA) <br> 2.106.01 Purpose

To objective of this overlay zone is to protect and preserve the safe and efficient functional operation of the local street network in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange for all users. A goal of the City's Transportation System Plan (TSP) is to "ensure that the objectives of mobility and safety are preserved for Center Street. [Cascade Highway], the city's only arterial." The establishment of this overlay zone acknowledges that an increase of vehicular traffic directly onto Center Street will have a deleterious effect on both the safety and mobility functions of that roadway.
Implementation of the overlay zone objective is achieved through the utilization of access management measures, as called for in the TSP.

### 2.106.02 Regulations

A. Inside the IA Overlay Zone, access permits are required for all projects requiring any type of development permitting from the city of Sublimity that result in additional trip generation or change of use. "Change in use" is defined as a change in land use, an expansion of an existing land use, or a remodel of an existing use that results in increased traffic.

1) Marion County Roadway Access Permits

Permits for access onto Marion County roadways shall be subject to review and approval by Marion County, except where the county has delegated this responsibility. to the City of Sublimity, in which case the City of Sublimity shall determine whether access is granted based on adopted based on adopted City of Sublimity standards.
B. Inside the IA Overlay Zone, development involving additional significant trip generation or change of use shall not be permitted to access onto Center Street.
C. Inside the IA Overlay Zone, the location of access placement for a development involving
$\qquad$
a change of use must be provided from the lowest functional classification roadway.

Passed by the City Council this [insert] day of [insert month], 2005, by the following vote:


APPROVED BY THE MAYOR, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2005.
$\qquad$

ATTESTED BY:
Sue Bernt, City Recorder

Filed in the office of the City Recorder this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2005.

# Appendix $\mathbf{N}$ <br> City of Sublimity Code Section 2.202.03 Amendment Ordinance 

$\qquad$

# AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF SUBLIMITY DEVELOPMENT CODE CHAPTER 2.202.03(N) TO IMPLEMENT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN 

WHEREAS, the City of Sublimity has adopted the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP), attached hereto as Exhibit A, for the purposes of preserving the long-term function of the Sublimity Interchange in accordance with OAR 734-051-0155(5); and

WHEREAS, the Sublimity IAMP obligates the City to enact language changes to its Development Code to implement the recommendations of the IAMP; and

WHEREAS, this Ordinance amends City of Sublimity Development Code Chapter 2.202.03(N); and

## NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SUBLIMITY ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: City of Sublimity Development Code Chapter 2.202.03(N) is amended to read as follows, with the new text underlined:

### 2.202.03 General Provisions

N. Driveways and Points of Access: Approaches shall be constructed according to City standards and shall mieet the minimum separations of five (5) feet between residential driveways, fiventy two (22) feet between commercial and industrial driveways, twenty (20) feet from intersections for residential streets, fifty (50) feet for collectors, and one hundred (100) feet for arterials. The separation shall be measured between the nearest outside edges of each access lane and the edge of the radius of the street.

Construction of driveways and points of access inside the Interchange Area Qverlay Zone (1A) shall be subject to the special access provisions of that zone (2.106).

The construction of a duplex on a comer shall provide one driveway per unit per street frontage unless the Planning commission allows a combined access on one street frontage based upon a conflict created by the topography of the lot, the location of a public utility, significant vegetation, or different street classifications.
Adjoining properties are encouraged to combine accesses. For public safety purposes, and wherever possible, driveways shall align with the access points to properties across the street and other street intersections. Where impractical due to lot configuration, driveways shall be as approved by the City's Public Works Director.

Passed by the City Council this [insert] day of [insert month], 200 x , by the following vote:
First Reading
Second ReadingAyes: $\quad$ Nays: $\quad$ Nays:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 200x.

Raymond Heuberger, Mayor

ATTESTED BY:
Sue Bernt, City Recorder

Filed in the office of the City Recorder this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$


ATTACHMENT: "EXHIBIT A: SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN"
$\qquad$

ORDINANCE NO. $\qquad$

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF SUBLIMITY ZONING MAP TO INCLUDE THE ADDITION OF AN INTERCHANGE MANAGEMENT OVERLAY ZONING DISTRICT, SO AS TO IMPLEMENT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the City of Sublimity has adopted the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP), hereto attached as Exhibit A, for the purposes of preserving the long-term function of the Sublimity Interchange in accordance with OAR 734-051-0155(5); and

WHEREAS, the Sublimity IAMP obligates the City to create an Interchange Management Overlay Zoning District to implement the recommendations of the IAMP; and

WHEREAS, this Ordinance amends the City of Sublimity Zoning Map to depict the boundaries of the Interchange Management Overlay Zoning District; and

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SUBLIMITY ORBAINS AS FOLLOWS:
SECTION 1: The official zoning map of the city of Sublimity is amended to include an "Interchange Management Overlay" zoning district, the boundaries of which are indicated on the map attached hereto as Exhibit B.

SECTION 2: All parcels and properties located within the LA Zone boundaries depicted on Exhibit B will herein be subject to the regulations of the IA Zone as articulated in Code Chapter 2.106 .

Passed by the City Council this [insert] day of [insert month], 200x, by the following vote:

| First Reading |
| :---: |
| Second ReadingAyes: Ayes: | Nays: Nays: _ _ , 200x.

ATTESTED BY:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 200x.

ATTACHMENTS (2): "EXHIBIT A: SUBLIMITY INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN"; "EXHIBIT B: INTERCHANGE MANAGEMENT AREA OVERLAY ZONING DISTRICT MAP"
$\qquad$


# Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan 
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## Executive Summary

The Sublimity Interchange, located at the junction of Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway in Marion County, Oregon, will be undergoing modifications and improvements. This Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) documents the land use and transportation strategies developed to protect the function of the Sublimity Interchange over the long-term (20-plus years) in light of these planned improvements, as directed by Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051-0155(6).
Evaluation of interchange ramp and bridge alternatives occurred as a result of earlier planning and design efforts; the interchange ramp and bridge design was approved through an Environmental Assessment (EA) by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in 1995. However, this study did evaluate the operational functioning of the ramp terminals, as proposed.
Operations analyses performed indicate that beth ${ }_{2}$ terchange ramp termina 1 signalization by the year 2025. A signal was assumed at Whitney Brives 2006, and the realignment of Golf Lane with Whitney Drive assumed to take place within the 20-year timeframe of this project. Analysis indicates that some adjustments to the signalization at Cascade Highway and Shaff Road would be required in the future to synchronize signal operations along Cascade Highway.
Land use analysis conducted as part of the IAMP indicates the proposed facility would be adequate to handle proposed land uses as well as potential land uses that could arise from the conversion of land zoned for farm use subject to Measure 37. Future development of industrial and commercial properties would likely lead to the signalization of the new access for the backage road during the 20 -year timeframe.

Alternatives analyzed for this IAMP were access-related in nature, and the preferred alternative package contains access management recommendations for Cascade Highway both north and south of the interchange within the 1,320-foot Interchange 5 rea Management Area limits.

An effective access management strategy will help ensure compatibility between future transportation and land use needs (both local and regional) while optimizing mobility and safety conditions at the interchange and on Cascade Highway. This IAMP presents the following access management recommendations:

- A backage access road sheutd, be built behind the properties located northeastef the interchange, extending from Cascade Highway (at a point approximately 1,580 ffeet north of the interchange ramp terminus) to Sublimity Boulevard (at a point approximately 470 feet west of the Sublimity Boulevard/Cascade Highway intersection). Upon redevelopment, the properties located in this section would need to access the backage road instead of Cascade Highway. All private approaches to Cascade Highway in the Interchange Access Management Area Limit would be closed and access relocated to the backage road. These access recommendations are illustrated on Figure 4-9 of this document.
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- The City of Sublimity hould $_{2}$ mend its Development Code to create an "Interchange Overlay Zone" that will proh Highway (northeast of the interchange) without the presence of an alternate access road.
 091W03A00100.The existing access serving this lot and Tax Lot\# 091W03A0200 will be allowed to retain access to Cascade Highway.
- The existing approach serving Tax Lot\# 091W03A00300 will be consolidated with the approach serving Tax Lots\# 091W03A00200 and 091W03A00100.
- A frontage access road should be built from a point on Cascade Highway directly across from the proposed backage road (approximately 1,580 feet north of the interchange ramp terminus), to tie in with the existing driveway serving Tax Lots\# 091W03A00300, 091W03A00200, and 091W03A00100. The existing access currently serving Tax Lots\# 091 W 03 A 0200 and 091W03A00100 would be closed and access relocated to the frontage road.
- The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) shexded frant deviations for several accesses south of the interchange, including Whitney Drive 5 (olf Lane (with proviso that it be realigned, as agreed, with Whitney Brive ${ }_{7}{ }^{5}$ warranted ${ }^{\text {Th }}$, the future), and access to the ODOT Park-and-Ride lot.
- ODOT sheuld drant deviations for Sublimity Boulevard and several private driveway accesses northof the interchange, based on the City of Sublimity Development Code changes noted earlier.
- ODOT should girant a deviation for the intersection of Sublimity Boulevard and the westbound interchange ramp terminals, as the future project is designed.
- The Fern Ridge Road and Santiam Street at-grade intersections should be modified or eliminated to improve access management and safety conditions along Oregon 22.
In addition to access management recommendations, this IAMP also includes the following physical improvement and traffic management recommendations:
- Reconstruct the Oregon 22 entrance ramps to provide standard merge operations onto Oregon 22 10
- Widen Cascade Highway from and including Sublimity Boulevard through the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road intersection
- Realign Golf Lane across from Whitney Street
- Signalize-the Oregon-22-ramp-termini-Sublinity-Beulevard-Gaseade-Highway interseetien-(notthef-interehange):
- Signalize the-Oregen 22 ramp termini--Caseade-Highway-intersection-fouth-of interseetion):
- Signalize the Whitney Street - Golf Lane/Cascade Highway intersection $n_{\lambda}$
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- The City of Sublimity sheulef amend its Development Code to create an "Interchange Overlay Zone" that will prohibit future development on properties along Cascade Highway (northeast of the interchange) without the presence of an alternate access road.
- Access control sheater be purchased along the roadway property frontage of Tax Lot\# 091W03A00100.The existing access serving this lot and Tax Lot\# 091W03A0200 will be allowed to retain access to Cascade Highway.
- The existing approach serving Tax Lot\# 091 W03A00300 will be consolidated with the approach serving Tax Lots\# 091W03A00200 and 091W03A00100.
- A frontage access road should be built from a point on Cascade Highway directly across from the proposed backage road (approximately 1,580 feet north of the interchange ramp terminus), to tie in with the existing driveway serving Tax Lots\# 091W03A00300, 091 W03A00200, and 091W03A00100. The existing access currently serving Tax Lots\# 091W03A0200 and 091W03A00100 would be closed and access relocated to the frontage road.
- The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) should, grant deviations for several accesses south of the interchange, including Whitney Drive A $_{\text {A }}$ Golf Lane (with proviso that it be realigned, as agreed, with Whitney Brivg as warranted in the future), and access to the ODOT Park-and-Ride lot.
- ODOT sheuld grant deviations for Sublimity Boulevard and several private driveway accesses north of the interchange, based on the City of Sublimity Development Code changes noted earlier.
- ODOT sheuld grant a deviation for the intersection of Sublimity Boulevard and the westbound interchange ramp terminals, as the future project is designed.
- The Fern Ridge Road and Santiam Street at-grade intersections should be modified or eliminated to improve access management and safety conditions along Oregon 22.
In addition to access management recommendations, this IAMP also includes the following physical improvement and traffic management recommendations:
- Reconstruct the Oregon 22 entrance ramps to provide standard merge operations onto Oregon 22
- Widen Cascade Highway from and including Sublimity Boulevard through the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road intersection $\lambda$
- Realign Golf Lane across from Whitney Street $\sqrt[12]{12}$
- Signalize the Oregen-22-ramp-termini-Sublimity-Boutevard/Caseade Highway interseetien (northof-irterehange):
- Signolize the- Oregen-22 ramp termini--GaseadeHighway-intersection-(south-ef intersectien):
- Signalize the Whitney Street - Golf Lane/Cascade Highway intersection $\sqrt[3]{13}$
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- Coordinate traffic signal operations along Cascade Highway due to the close spacing of signalized intersections团
- When traffic demand requires, install a right-turn pocket on the eastbound Oregon 22 exit ramp approach to Cascade Highway 伍 $^{2}$
- When traffic demand requires, install right-turn pockets on the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road approaches to Cascade Highway ${ }_{3}$
- Provide bus and carpool service to the existing park-and-ride facility. This facility is currently served by bus routes or formal carpool programs. Expansion of service to this facility would aide in managing traffic demand through the IAMP area.
- 4he Fern-Ridge Read-andSantiam-Street-at-grade-intersectiont-should be-modified-er eliminated to both for areess-management ard safety along $\Theta$ regen- 22.

This document includes a complete description of the IAMP development process, land use assumptions, existing conditions analysis, access-related alternative evaluation, and traffic operations analysis. Short-term, medium-term, and long-term transportation strategies for the Sublimity Interchange Area are provided.

This IAMP was prepared in collaboration with ODOT, Marion County, the City of Stayton, and the City of Sublimity.
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## SECTION 1

## Background

## Purpose and Intent

Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051-0155(6) states: "Interchange Area Management Plans are required for new interchanges and should be developed for significant modifications to existing interchanges..." This is a "project-based" IAMP, and is being prepared in accordance with the recommendation in the above OAR because of planned modifications and reconstruction of the Sublimity Interchange, located at the junction of Oregon 22 (North Santiam Highway) and Cascade Highway in Marion County, Oregon. The intent of the IAMP is to provide a consensus framework plan among all affected public jurisdictions and agencies to protect the state's investment in the Sublimity Interchange facility. Preparation of this document was conducted in accordance with state IAMP guidelines. ${ }^{1}$
This IAMP evaluates the transportation effects of the proposed interchange improvements and land use plans within the study area. Future commercial, industrial, and residential developments are expected to occur within the influence area of the interchange. The IAMP will recommend operational and physical improvements and access management techniques to maximize the operation of the interchange to accommodate future growth.

The purpose of this IAMP is to develop a strategyfor the Sublimity Interchange that will protect the function of the interchange for at least 20 years. The Sublimity Interchange was proposed for modification and reconfiguration in the Joseph Street Environmental Assessment. ${ }^{2}$ The original design was subsequently revised and there is currently an interchange design scheduled for construction in 2008-2009 that includes improvements to the entrance ramps, but not the exit ramps.

## Problem Context

The current Sublimity Interchange poses safety and operational issues in that both the east and westbound entrance ramps to Oregon 22 terminate in stop signs. As a result, motorists are forced to quickly accelerate to highway speed from a complete stop. Westbound travelers must enter Oregon 22 traffic from a stop and accelerate up-hill, as the interchange is located at the top of a knoll. Traffic on Oregon 22 is traveling at 55 miles per hour or more, and during peak periods offers few breaks. Eastbound traffic enters on the top of a knoll, but with limited sight distance. Significant numbers of Stayton and Sublimity area residents traveling to jobs in the Salem area use the Sublimity Interchange.
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The primary traffic issue within the interchange influence area is the location and function of access points to Cascade Highway. The Golf Lane access is very near the south side interchange ramp terminus. Currently, Golf Lane serves only a few houses. However, existing zoning would allow for more residential development, creating a potential operational conflict. Sublimity Boulevard, which provides access to the business cluster in the northwest quadrant of the interchange, is slightly off-set from the existing interchange ramp terminals on the north side of the interchange. In the south-east quadrant, recent efforts have been made to improve traffic operations and control the access to Cascade Highway by prohibiting left turns to Martin Street $\sqrt{1}$ here is a traffic signal at the intersection of Fern Ridge Road-Shaff Road and Cascade Highway. On the north side of the interchange, the roadway is a two lane road, with a paved shoulder on the west side. Bicycle lanes are provided from Division Street through Sublimity.

## Project History and Phasing

The planned modification and reconstruction of the Sublimity Interchange is part of a much larger ODOT undertaking, the Joseph Street-North Stayton City Limits project (henceforth referred to as the "Joseph Street project"). The Joseph Street project was conceived in the late 1980s and the Environmental Analysis for the project was approved by the Federal Highway Administration in 1995.

The selected alternative of the Joseph Street project entailed the following transportation improvements:

- Conversion of approximately 8.5 miles of two-lane Oregon 22 to a four-lane divided highway. Includes a 100 -foot separation between the centerline of the westbound and the centerline of the eastbound travel lanes (to permit future expansion to a six-lane roadway within the right-of-way required for this project园
- Construction of new interchanges at Aumsville-Shaw Highway and Golf Club Road;
- Reconstruction/modification of existing interchanges at Silver Creek Falls and Cascade Highways (Sublimity Interchange).
- Implementation of full access control.
- Construction of new frontage roads.

Joseph Street project improvements were designed to be constructed in two major phases.

- Phase 1, which has already been completed, included the widening of Oregon 22 to four lanes between Joseph Street to MP 12, the construction of new interchanges at Aumsville-Shaw Highway and Golf Club Road, and the construction of Golf Lane (to provide access for properties whose approaches to Oregon 22 were removed).
- Phase 2, yet to be completed, will occur in two stages: Stage 1 entails the reconstruction/modification of the Sublimity Interchange; Stage 2 entails the widening of Oregon 22 from two to four lanes from MP 12 to MP 14.1.
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- Stage 1 is currently underway, with physical improvements to the Sublimity Interchange programmed to begin in 2008. The proposed improvements will provide for standard merge entrance ramp operations. This IAMP is being prepared as part of Stage 1. Stage 1 improvements are shown on Figure 1-1.
- Stage 2 will be completed when necessary funding is available. Stage 2 improvements are shown on Figure 1-2.

The project area was identified as Oregon 22 between milepoint (MP) 5.16 and MP 14.1. The Joseph Street project entailed the following proposed improvements: widening Oregon 22 to four lanes in the project area; installing complete access control; and "Phase 1", already completed, entailed the segment of Oregon 22 from Joseph Street (MP 5.44) eastward to the MP 12.

## Interchange Function

Oregon 22 is an Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) designated expressway, and is classified by the Oregon Highway Plan as a highway of statewide significance and a Statewide Freight Route. Oregon 22 is also part of the National Highway System (NHS). Oregon 22 serves as a major connector between the Mid-Willamette Valley and Central Oregon.

Functional classifications of roadways in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange are summarized in Table 1-1.

TABLE 1.1
Roadway Functional Classifications

| Roadway | Functional Classification |
| :--- | :--- |
| Oregon 22 (North Santiam Highway) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other* |
| Cascade Highway (outside city limits) | Arterial** |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Street (Cascade Highway south of Oregon 22) | Principal Arterial*** |
| Center Street (Cascade Highway north of Oregon 22) | Arterial**** |
| Fern Ridge Road | Major Collector*** |
| Shaff Road | Minor Arterial*** |
| Martin Drive | Local*** |
| Whitney Street | Local*** |
| Golf Lane | Local*** |
| Sublimity Boulevard | Local**** |
| 9th Street | Local**** |
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TABLE 1-1
Roadway Functional Classifications

| Roadway | Functional Classification |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sources: |  |
| $* \quad$ Oregon Highway Design Manual (2003) |  |
| $* * \quad$ Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan (1998) |  |
| $* * * \quad$ Stayton Transportation System Plan Final Draft (2004) |  |
| $* * * * \quad$ Sublimity Transportation System Plan (1998) |  |

The Sublimity Interchange is an important facility for the communities of Stayton and Sublimity, and serves the following functions:

- Commercial/Industrial: The interchange directly serves the downtowns of each community, and the businesses therein. As the commercial and industrial-zoned areas of these communities continue to develop, the Sublimity Interchange will increasingly function as an integral economic development asset.
- Residential Commuting: A significant number of Stayton and Sublimity residents utilize the interchange to access Oregon 22 for their daily commutes into the Salem-Keizer area.
- Agricultural: The interchange serves a farm-to-market function for the numerous agricultural operations in the area.
Sublimity Interchange modifications and associated local improvements must be planned and implemented to accommodate the multi-functional nature of the interchange.


## Goals and Objectives

It is the goal of this IAMP to propose access management, construction and land use measures to interactively augment the effectiveness of the interchange modification design. This report documents the results of the project planning process used to achieve this stated goal for the Sublimity Interchange.

As stated in Policy 3C of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan, "it is the policy of the State of Oregon to plan for and manage grade-separated interchange areas to ensure safe and efficient operation between connecting roadways". From this definition and a consideration of project-specific local transportation issues, the $\frac{1}{5}$ eneralized objectives of the Sublimity IAMP are to:

- Prolong the useful life of the state's investment in the Sublimity Interchange
- Control or decrease, through access management measures, the number of conflict points on Cascade Highway in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange
- Provide feasible and equitable driveway relocation alternatives for property owners with current direct access to Cascade Highway
- Balance the need for the interchange to support community development interests with the need for safe and efficient operation within the interchange area
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- Establish agreements with local governments on how to effectively manage the longterm function of the interchange
- Monitor how the interchange capacity is managed through cooperation with local governments
- Provide certainty for property and business owners and local governments


## IAMP Study Area

The Sublimity IAMP project study area is comprised of Oregon 22 between the Golf Club Road Interchange and the Mill Creek Bridge on Oregon 22, and Cascade Highway between SW 9th Street in Sublimity and Fern Ridge Road SE-Shaff Road in Stayton. The study area is shown on Figure 1-3.

Cascade Highway is alternatively called, respectively, Center Street inside Sublimity city limits and North 1st Avenue inside Stayton city limits. The study area encompasses the southern portion of the City of Sublimity, the northeastern portion of the City of Stayton, and portions of unincorporated Marion County.

The boundaries of the IAMP study area were developed based on a review of the surrounding roadway network and land use patterns as well as existing and future travel patterns. The parameters of the study area take into account:

- Required state IAMP regulations
- Required state access management regulations: the IAMP study area includes all land uses and roadways located within 1,320 feet of the existing Sublimity Interchange. This distance corresponds to the spacing standard outlined in the OAR 734-051 Division 51 rules for interchange ramps.
- Transportation facilities and traffic operations
- The mutual impact of existing natural and cultural resources
- The mutual impact of existing and planned land uses


## Related Work Products

- The Oregon 22 Sublimity Interchange modernization project is an approved project in the 2006-2009 1 Reconstruction". This IAMP is part of the final planning for this project.
- Integral to the preparation of this IAMP is the Joseph Street - North Stayton City Limits Environmental Assessment ${ }^{3}$. This EA and subsequent REA, which were approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), provide the rationale for Sublimity
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Interchange area improvements and serves to document the determination that project actions will not have a significant impact on the human environment.

- The City of Stayton Transportation System Plan (TSP) lists two Capital Improvement Projects (aside from the Sublimity Interchange project) that are Iocated in the Sublimity Interchange influence area. These are excerpted below:
- Cascade Highway/1st Avenue Widening from Highway 22 to Regis Street - widen to 5 lantes with sidewalks.
- Cascade Highway/Whitney Street signalization with EB and WB Left Turn Lanes and Realign Golf Lane. ${ }^{4}$

Both of the above projects were factored into the operational analysis and alternatives decision-making process for this IAMP.

- The City of Sublimity Transportation System Plan lists the City's objectives for street network circulation and access management in the immediate vicinity north of the Sublimity Interchange.


## Public Involvement

The purpose of the public involvement program is to build a planning process that (1) balances the needs and issues of residences and businesses in the Sublimity Interchange area, including those who depend on the highway, and (2) has the informed support and acceptance of these communities and interests. One key goal of the program is to elicit public discussion of the issues affecting the selection of access relocation alternatives to ensure future safe and efficient conditions in the vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange along Cascade Highway.
Detailed discussion and results of the public involvement process for the Sublimity IAMP project is given in Appendix $A$ of this document.
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# Existing Conditions Inventory and Data <br> Analysis 

## Regulatory Framework

The Sublimity IAMP study area contains land from three jurisdictions: Marion County, the City of Sublimity, and the City of Stayton. IAMP improvements are therefore subject to applicable land use regulations for each jurisdiction, as well as state and federal regulations.
State, county, and local regulations pertaining to IAMP actions are addressed in the Plan and Policy Review, located in Appendix B.

## Existing Land Use

The Sublimity IAMP study area contains a mixture of urban and agricultural land uses. The Sublimity Interchange lies between the communities of Stayton (population 7,360) and Sublimity (population 2,160 ). In the immediate vicinity the land is used primarily for agricultural purposes. A general description of land uses in the study area is as follows:

- NW Quadrant: commercial and light industrial uses along Sublimity Boulevard; lowdensity single-family residences along Cascade Itighway.
- NE Quadrant: farm use.
- SW Quadrant: farm use; a few single-family residences.
 resiadences; commercial establishments; elder-care residential facility.


## Comprehensive Plan Designations

Comprehensive Plan land use designations in Marion County, the City of Stayton, and the City of Sublimity are coincident with the zoning designations for these respective public agencies. Relevant zoning district designations are addressed below.

## Zoning Designations

Planned interchange improvements will take place solely within unincorporated Marion County, and will therefore only be subject to applicable Marion County zoning code regulations. However, longer-term IAMP recommendations will involve utilizing land inside Sublimity and/or Stayton jurisdictional limits. Additionally, the potential for
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increased traffic in the interchange is tied to the type and intensity of development allowed in each of the zoning districts in the IAMP study area. For this reason, IAMP study area zoning regulations for Sublimity and Stayton are addressed here in addition to Marion County.

Zoning designations in the Sublimity IAMP study area are shown in Figure 2-1.

## Marion County Zoning

Existing Marion County zoning districts in the study area are as follows:

- Exclusive Farm Use (EFU)
- Urban Transitional Farm (UTF)


## Project Relevant Issues

- Public road improvements are permitted outright in all Marion County zones providing that such improvements are in conformance with the "applicable comprehensive plan and the standards of the Department of Public Works" [per Marion County Zoning Ordinance $25.10(b)]$. The Marion County Comprehensive Plan does not contain any language constraining transportation improvements in an EFU zone. Therefore, Marion County zoning regulations do not constrain planned Sublimity Interchange improvements.


## City of Stayton Zoning

Existing City of Stayton zoning districts in the Sublimity IAMP study area are as follows:

- Commercial-Retail (CR)
- Interchange Development (ID)
- High-Density Residential (HD)
- Multiple-Family Residential (MD)
- Single-Family Residential (LD)


## Project Relevant Issues

- Public road improvements are permitted outright in the CR and ID zones [per Stayton Development Code 17.16.690.2(j) and 17.16.710.2(i), respectively].
- Public road improvements are conditionally permitted in the HD, MD, and LD zones [per Stayton Development Code 17.16.680.3(f), 17.16.670.3(b), and 17.16.660.3(b), respectively]. Although no IAMP improvements are planned to occur in any of these three zones, any change which results in transportation-related public improvements in these zones would be subject to site review by the City of Stayton.

This page contains no comments

## City of Sublimity Zoning

Existing City of Sublimity zoning districts in the Sublimity IAMP study area are as follows:

- Commercial (COM)
- Industrial (IND)
- Future Industrial
- Low-Density Residential (R-1)
- Medium-Density Residential (R-2)


## Project Relevant Issues

- Public road improvements are permitted outright in all City of Sublimity zones [per Sublimity Development Code 2.403.01.D] provided that "the right of way is not expanded to more width than prescribed for the street in the Public Facilities segment of the Comprehensive Plan."


## Transportation Facilities and Traffic Operations

This section summarizes the existing transportation conditions within the study area, provides assumptions and methodologies to be used in the traffic operational analyses, and catalogues existing transportation system facilities and services. To the extent possible, physical as well as operational characteristics of the roadways, intersections and transportation services are described.

## Existing Transportation Facilities

## Vehicular Facilities

The following section describes the existing physical characteristics of the study area roadways and the study intersections. Figure 2-2 shows traffic control and channelization in the study intersection.

- Oregon 22 is the major east-west highway in Marion County. It is located between the cities of Stayton and Sublimity, and is connected to both cities via an interchange at Cascade Highway. In addition to the Cascade Highway interchange, wwer ther interchange 3 , Stayton and Sublimity. and the City of Stayton. Golf Club Road and Cascade Highway are full interchanges, while Fern Ridge Road and Santiam Street are stop controlled at-grade intersections located east of the study interchange.

Both the east- and westbound Oregon 22 entrance ramps terminate in stop signs. Drivers enter Oregon 22 traffic from a full stop (right-turn only), and are required to accelerate up-hill ${ }_{8} \mu \mathrm{e}$ to the topography of the highway. In the vicinity of the Sublimity
Interchange, Oregon 22 is a two-Jane undivided, access controlled highway. The posted speed limit is 55 mph .
The Oregon 22 eastbound exit ramp terminates in a shared left and right turn lane that is stop controlled at Cascade Highway. At this intersection, Cascade Highway has one
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through travel lane in each direction, with a shared through and right-turn lane for southbound travel and a shared through and left-turn lane for northbound travel.

The Oregon 22 westbound exit ramp forms the-east-leg-ef-the-Gaseade Highway/Sublimity Beulevard-interseetien. Ifeonsists-ofa-single-lane-with shared left, through and right-turn-mevements, The ramp is stop controlled at its approach to Cascade Highway. At this intersecton, Cascade Highway has one travel lane in each direction, with a shared through and left-lane for northbound travel and a shared left, through and right-turn lane for southbound travel.

- Cascade Highway is a major north-south arterial that provides the primary access to the cities of Sublimity and Stayton from Oregon 22.

North of Oregon 22, Cascade Highway is a two lane roadway with paved asphalt shoulders on its west side. The posted speed limit on the minor arterial is 45 mph .

South of Oregon 22, Cascade Highway is generally a two lane roadway with paved asphalt shoulders. Left turn pockets are provided at the Whitney Street and Shaff RoadFern Ridge Road intersections. As described in the Pedestrian Facilities section, a portion of Cascade Highway, from Whitney Street to the south, is served by a sidewalk. The posted speed limit on Cascade Highway is 45 mph .

Between the Oregon 22 eastbound ramps and Whitney Street, the width of Cascade Highway is less than the City of Stayton's standard street width of 40 feet for principal arterials. Two bridges are located on Cascade Highway within the City of Stayton project limits. Mill Creek Crossing occurs south of Golf Lane and Lucas Ditch crossing occurs south of Martin Drive.

- 9th Street forms a T-intersection with Cascade Highway in the southern portion of Sublimity and provides access to a residential area. All approaches to the intersection are single lanes, with no dedicated turn pockets. The west approach to the intersection is stop controlled.
- Sublimity Boulevard is located just north of Oregon 22, and aligns with the interchange's westbound ramps. All approaches to the intersection are single lanes, with no dedicated turn pockets. The east leg is comprised of the Oregon 22 westbound ramps. The west leg is a two lane roadway providing access to the business cluster in the northwest quadrant of the interchange including an insurance agency, motorcycle/car dealerships, a tire shop, and a hotel. The east and west approaches to the intersection are stop controlled.
- Golf Lane, Iocated just south of Oregon 22, is a two lane local roadway. At Cascade Highway, a shared right-left turn lane is stop controlled. Cascade Highway has a shared through-right lane for southbound travel and a shared through-left lane for northbound travel. Currently this road serves a small number of residences; however, the adjacent undeveloped parcels are zoned for additional housing development. Across Cascade Highway from this intersection is the access to an ODOT park-and-ride lot.
- Whitney Street consists of one travel lane in each direction. At Cascade Highway, a shared right-left turn lane is stop controlled. Cascade Highway has a shared through-
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right lane for northbound travel and a through lane with a left-turn pocket for southbound travel. Further to the east of Martin Drive, Whitney Street connects to a single family residential area. Adjacent to Cascade Highway, Whitney Street services commercial and retail properties. The Whitney Street intersection with Cascade Highway is scheduled to be signalized in 2006.

- Martin Drive is a right turn in, right turn out only connection to Cascade Highway with access to Whitney Street. The area served by Martin Drive and Whitney Street is primarily a small commercial/retail base area that includes a gas station with a minimart, fast-food restaurant, liquor store, and pharmacy.
- Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road provides a key east-west route in northern Stayton and helps relieve traffic congestion through the City. It is a two lane roadway with left-turn pockets at the signalized intersection with Cascade Highway.


## Truck Routes

Through the project area, Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway are designated as truck routes. Oregon 22 is also designated as a Freight Route in the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan. Truck routes designated by the City of Stayton serve the following areas: the industrial area on the west side of the city, NORPAC in central Stayton, and Morse Brothers south of Stayton. Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road is a designated City of Stayton truck route.

## Bicycle Facilities

Dedicated bicycle lanes are provided on Cascade Highway from the northern portion of the study area (vicinity of Division Street) through the City of Sublimity. Through the remainder of the IAMP study area, bicyclists must utilize the available roadway shoulders or share the vehicle travel lanes.

Bicycle lanes are also provided on a segment of Fern Ridge Road, east of Cascade Highway to Wildflower Drive.

## Pedestrian Facilities

A sidewalk is provided on the east side of Cascade Highway from just north of Whitney Street continuing south through the City of Stayton. Throughout the remainder of the study area, pedestrians on Cascade Highway must utilize the available roadway shoulders.
Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road and Whitney Street also have a sidewalk on the south side of the roadway, while Martin Drive has a sidewalk on the north side.

Public Transportation \& Other Alternative Modes
Transit service in the Stayton/Sublimity area is provided by the Chempta Area Regional Transit Service (CARTS) and the Wheels of Joy dial-a-ride system for disabled persons. Based on current and future ridership projections, neither would have a significant effect on area traffic patterns.
Laidlaw Transit provides bus service for the North Santiam School District. Within the study area, Cascade Highway and Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road are designated as school bus routes.
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A Park-and-Ride facility with 94 stalls and semi-covered bicycle racks is located in the southeast quadrant of the Oregon 22/Cascade Highway interchange.

## RaillPipelines/Others

Willamette Valley Railroad services the City of Stayton on a spur line originating in Woodburn. Two to three trips per week currently service the area. Two at-grade crossings, Washington Street at NORPAC and Locust Street/Wilco Road, are located south and west of the IAMP study area, respectively.

The City of Sublimity has no railroad service.
There are no airports in the direct vicinity of the study area. A full service commercial airport is located in Salem, approximately 15 miles to the east. Several small private airstrips and a heliport at the Santiam Hospital are within a 20 mile radius.

## Existing Facilities Deficiencies

Deficiencies in the existing transportation network are outlined in the Marion County and City of Stayton 2 transportation System Plans. These deficiencies are noted below:

Missing sidewalk links within the study area include:

- Shaff Road - north side from Stayton Middle School to Cascade Mighway
- Fern Ridge Road - north side Cascade Highway to west of Summerview Way
- Cascade Highway - west side from Oregon 22 to Shaff Road
- Cascade Highway - east side from north of Whitney to Oregon 22

Arterials and Collectors with deficient pavement widths include:

- Shaff Road from western UGB to west of Cascade Highway
- Cascade Highway south of Oregon 22 Eastbound Ramps to Whitney Street


## Existing Traffic Conditions

## Traffic Operations Performance Measures

Operational performance measures are outlined below for the three jurisdictions within the study area: ODOT, City of Stayton and City of Sublimity.

## ODOT

The intersections and approaches adjacent to the Oregon 22 interchange are under ODOT jurisdiction.

The Oregon Highway Plan outlines specific performance meastrest ${ }^{\text {m }}$ be maintained along ODOT facilities as part of their Mobility Standards, These standards are intended to maintain mobility along important roadway sections and vary according to functional classification, location, and role within the National Highway System. Table 2-1 summarizes the mobility standards set by the Oregon Highway Plan.
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TABLE 2-1
Maximum Volume to Capacity Ratios for Peak Hour Operating Conditions Outside of the Portland Metropolitan Area

| Highway | Land Use TypelSpeed Limits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inside Urban Growth Boundary |  |  |  | Outside Urban Growth Boundary |  |
|  | STAs | MPO | Non-MPO outside of STAs where non-freeway speed limit $<45 \mathrm{mph}$ | Non-MPO where non freeway speed limit $>=45 \mathrm{mph}$ | Unincorporated Communities | Rural Lands |
| Interstate Highways and Statewide ( NH HS ) Expressways | N/A | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Statewide (NHS) <br> Freight Routes | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Statewide (NHS) NonFreight Routes and Regional or District Expressways | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
| Regional Highways | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
| District/Local Interest Roads | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.75 |

Source: 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP).
Interstates and Expressways shall not be identified as Special Transportation Areas (STAs). For the purpose of this mobility policy of volume-to-capacity ratio standards, the peak hour shall be the 30th highest annual hour. This approximates weekday peak hour traffic in larger urban areas.

- Oregon 22, North Santiam Highway is a Statewide Expressway and NHS Freight route, non-MPO within the UGB with a speed $>45 \mathrm{mph}$. The maximum acceptable V/C ratio for this facility is 0.70 .
- The interchange ramps with Oregon 22 at Cascade Highway have a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.85 given their categorization as District/Local Interest Roads and Inside Urban Growth Boundary, non-MPO where non-freeway speed limit $<45 \mathrm{mph}$.
- Cascade Highway at the interchange ramps with Oregon 22 have a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.75 given its categorization as Regional Highway and Inside Urban Growth Boundary, non-MPO where non-freeway speed limit $>=45 \mathrm{mph}$.


## City of Stayton

The intersections south of the Oregon 22 interchange are within City of Stayton jurisdiction.
The City of Stayton TSP, Section 3 (Transportation Goals and Policies) establishes level of service standards for the City of Stayton as follows:

- Signalized Intersections - Level-of-Service D
- Unsignalized Intersections - Level-of-Service E
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## City of Sublimity

The intersections north of the Sublimity Interchange are within the City of Sublimity jurisdiction. Marion County mobility standards were applied to these intersections.

- Signalized Intersections - Level-of-Service D
- 4-way Stop Controlled Intersections - Level-of-Service D
- 2-way Stop Controlled Intersections - Level-of-Service E


## Existing Traffic Volumes

Manual turning movement counts were collected at eight intersections along Cascade Highway on February 3, 2005. The duration of each intersection count is shown below:

- Cascade Highway and 9th Street: 4 hours from 6 AM to 10 AM.
- Cascade Highway and Sublimity Boulevard: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.
- Cascade Highway and Oregon 22 Eastbound ramps: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.
- Cascade Highway and Oregon 22 Westbound ramps: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM .
- Cascade Highway and Golf Lane: 4 hours from 6 AM to 10 AM.
- Cascade Highway and Whitney Street: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.
- Cascade Highway and Martin Drive: 4 hours from 6 AM to 10 AM.
- Cascade Highway and Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road: 16 hours from 6 AM to 10 PM.

These intersections were included in the IAMP scope of work to represent the influence area of the Sublimity Interchange. In addition to these intersections, the Oregon 22 operations at the interchange entrance ramps were analyzed utilizing the ODOT highway traffic data. The vehicle turning movement data is compiled in Appendix $C$.

Peak hour turning movement counts were seasonally adjusted to represent the 30 th Highest Hour design volumes based on ODOT's permanent Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) at station \# 22-010, which is located on a segment of highway that closely resembles the traffic operations and geometric characteristics of Oregon 22.
The seasonal factor for volumes on Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway was interpolated from values between February 1 and February 15, 2003. The average seasonal factor was calculated to be 1.19.

The derived 30th Highest Hour design volumes were then balanced along Cascade Highway between adjacent study intersections. The directional traffic volumes were adjusted until the difference between them was less than 10 percent. The derived traffic volumes at the study intersections are shown in Figure 2-3.

## Existing Intersection Operations

Existing (2005) V/C ratios, level-of-service and vehicle queues were computed for the eight study intersections and Oregon 22 entrance ramps based on the 30th Highest Hour design volumes. Table $2-2$ shows the results of the existing operations analyses. All locations that do not meet the applicable jurisdiction's standards are highlighted in the table. Appendix D includes the traffic operations worksheets for the existing 30th highest hour conditions.
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A Synchro model was constructed for the study area based on the collected traffic turning movement counts balanced to the 30 th Highest Hour design volumes, peak hour factors, truck percentages and field observations.

The Synchro model uses the methodology in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual to analyze both signalized and stop-controlled intersections. The model also computes the level-ofservice (LOS) and volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio necessary to determine whether the intersection meets the applicable mobility standards from the local municipalities and the Oregon Highway Plan.

TABLE 2-2
Existing Intersection Analysis Summary
2005 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility Standard | $\underset{\text { Ratio }^{\text {V/C }}}{ }$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue (veh) ${ }^{2,3}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\underset{\text { Ratio }}{\text { VIC }}$ | $\operatorname{LOS}^{2}$ | Queue <br> (veh ${ }^{2,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon 22 Approaches |  |  |  | Ramp Approaches |  |  |  |
| Oregon 22 eastbound entrance ramp | 0.70 | 0.30 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.40 | - | 4/20 |
| Oregon 22 westbound entrance ramp | 0.70 | 0.41 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.74 | - | $8 / 3$ |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | B | 4 |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity Boulevard/ Oregon 22 westbound ramps | 0.76 | 0.04 | - | 1 | 0.85 | 0.57 | - | $\sqrt[3]{5}$ |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 westbound entrance ramp | 0.75 | 0.46 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 eastbound ramps | $0.78$ | 0.30 | - | 2 | 0.85 | >1.0 | - | $\sqrt[75]{7}$ |
| Cascade Highway at Golf Lane | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | D | 者 |
| Cascade Highway at Whitney Street | E | - | B | 2 | E | - | F | 1910 |
| Cascade Highway at Martin Drive | - | - | - | - | E | - | B | $\sqrt[7]{11}$ |
| Cascade Highway at Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road | D | - | E | 31 | D | - | D | ${ }^{17}$ |
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A Synchro model was constructed for the study area based on the collected traffic turning movement counts balanced to the 30th Highest Hour design volumes, peak hour factors, truck percentages and field observations.

The Synchro model uses the methodology in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual to analyze both signalized and stop-controlled intersections. The model also computes the level-ofservice (LOS) and volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio necessary to determine whether the intersection meets the applicable mobility standards from the local municipalities and the Oregon Highway Plan.

TABLE 2-2
Existing Intersection Analysis Summary
2005 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | VIC Ratio ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{2}$ | Queue (veh) ${ }^{2,}$ | Mobility Standard ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V/C } \\ & \text { Ratio }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | LOS $^{2}$ | Queue (rebt ${ }^{2,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oregon 22 Approaches |  |  |  | Ramp Approaches |  |  |  |
| Oregon 22 eastbound entrance ramp | 0.70 | 0.30 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.40 | - | ${ }^{4}$ |
| Oregon 22 westbound | 0.70 | 0.41 | - | - | 0.85 | 0.74 | - | 8 |
|  | Cascade Highway Approaches |  |  |  | Cross Street Approaches |  |  |  |
| Cascade Highway at 9th Street | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | B | $7_{1}$ |
| Cascade Highway at Sublimity Boulevard/ Oregon 22 westbound ramps | 0.7.5 | 0.04 | - | 1 | 0.85 | 0.57 | - | 3 |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 westbound entrance ramp | 0.75 | 0.46 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cascade Highway at Oregon 22 eastbound ramps | 0.75 | 0.30 | - | 2 | 0.85 | >1.0 | - | 75 |
| Cascade Highway at Golf Lane | E | - | A | 1 | E | - | D | $4_{\lambda}$ |
| Cascade Highway at Whitney Street | E | - | B | 2 | E | - | $F$ | 4.9 |
| Cascade Highway at Martin Drive | - | - | - | - | E | - | B | ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{\text {A }}$ |
| Cascade Highway at Shaff Road-Fern Ridge Road | D | - | E | 31 | D | - | D | 4712 |

TABLE 2-2
Existing Intersection Analysis Summary
2005 30th Highest Hour Design Volumes

| Intersection | Mobility <br> Standard $^{1}$ | V/C <br> Ratio | LOS $^{2}$ | Queue <br> (veh) | Mobility | V/C <br> Standard $^{2}$ | Ratio $^{2}$ | LOS $^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Queue |
| :---: |
| (veh) |

3. ODOT mobility standards are based on volume-to-capacity ratios. Stayton and Sublimity standards are based on level of service.
2 Results are reported for approach with worst operational characteristics.
${ }^{3}$ ODOT intersections are calculated with the 2-minute rule. Stayton and Sublimity intersections show 95th percentile queues.

## Existing Operational Deficiencies

The results of the existing operational analyses show that two stop-controlled intersection approaches to Cascade Highway, the Oregon 22 eastbound exit ramp and Whitney Street, operate below the required mobility standards. Both approaches are characterized by extensive vehicle queuing during the design hour. Additionally, although the overall intersection LOS of Cascade Highway at Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road is at an acceptable LOS D, the Cascade Highway approaches operate at LOS E, with significant vehicle queuing.

## Existing Safety Conditions

## Accident and Safety Analysis

A summary of the accidents within the study area was prepared for the period between January 1, 1999 and December 31, 2003.

Table 2-3 summarizes the accidents along Oregon 22 between mileposts 12.00 and 14.50 . For reference, the approximate locations of the existing Oregon 22 entrance and exit ramps are as follows:

- Eastbound Exit ramp - MP 13.0
- Eastbound Entrance ramp - MP 13.2
- Westbound Exit ramp - MP 13.4
- Westbound Entrance ramp - MP 13.5
- Fern Ridge Road intersection - MP 14.3
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table 2-3
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Oregon 22 Mainline Crash Rates and Severity of Accidents

| Milepost |  | Segment Features | 1999-2003 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) | Number of Accidents (Over 5 Years) |  |  | TotalCrashes | Average Annual | Average Annual Crash Rate (Crashes per Million VehicleMiles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  |  | Property Damage Only | Injury | Fatal |  |  |  |
| 12.00 | 12.50 | mainline section west of Sublinity Interchange | 12,120 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.27 |
| 12.50 | 13.00 | Eastbound exit ramp | 11,880 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.18 |
| 13.00 | 13.50 | Eastbound entrance ramp \& westbound exit ramp | 11,880 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 19 | 3.80 | 1.75 |
| 13.50 | 14.00 | westbound entrance ramp | 11,880 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.55 |
| 14.00 | 14.50 | Fern Ridge Road intersection | 11,060 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 2.20 | 1.09 |
| 12.00 | 14.50 | - | 11,764 | 17 | 21 | 3 | 41 | 8.20 | 0.76 |

A total of 41 crashes were reported along Oregon 22 between milepost 12.00 and 14.50 during the five year study period. Approximately half of the crashes occurred in the direct vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange and slightly over one-fourth occurred at the Fern Ridge Road intersection. Three fatality accidents occurred within the interchange vicinity during the study timeframe. The remainder of the accidents was roughly evenly split between property damage only and injury only.

The 2003 Crash Rates by Jurisdiction and Functional Classification table from the ODOT 2003 Crash Rate Tables indicates an average crash rate for "other freeways/expressways" as 0.87 crashes per million vehicle-miles. The half-mile segments immediately surrounding the Sublimity Interchange (between mileposts 13.00 and 13.50) and the Fern Ridge Road intersection (between mileposts 14.00 and 14.50) experience a higher than average crash rate (1.09.). However, the rate for the overall Oregon 22 area surrounding the Sublimity Interchange (0.76) remains below this average rate.

Tables 2-4 and 2-5 provide details of the conditions during the accidents as well as the type and severity.
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TABLE 2-4
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Oregon 22 Crash Conditions

| Condition | Number of Accidents | Oregon 22 Mainline |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Total |  |  |
| Weather |  |  |
| Clear | 28 | $68.3 \%$ |
| Cloudy | 7 | $17.1 \%$ |
| Rain | 4 | $9.8 \%$ |
| Snow | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 2 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Roadway Surface | 34 |  |
| Dry | 5 | $82.9 \%$ |
| Wet | 0 | $12.2 \%$ |
| Ice | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Snow | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown |  | $4.9 \%$ |
| Light | 28 |  |
| Day | 3 | $68.3 \%$ |
| Dimly Lit | 3 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Dark | 1 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Dusk |  | $7.3 \%$ |
| Dawn |  | $2.4 \%$ |
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## TABLE 2-5

Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Oregon 22 Crash Descriptions

| Condition | Oregon 22 Mainline |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Accidents | Percentage of Total |
| Collision Type |  |  |
| Rear End | 12 | 29.3\% |
| Tuming | 6 | 14.6\% |
| Fixed Object | 8 | 19.5\% |
| Sideswipe | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Struck at Angle | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Pedestrian | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Head On | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Other | 5 | 12.2\% |
| Severity |  |  |
| PDO | 17 | 41.5\% |
| Injury $1^{\text {bly }}$ | 21 | 51.2\% |
| Fatality | 3 | 7.3\% |
| Crash Cause |  |  |
| Failed to yield right-of-way | 8 | 19.5\% |
| Other - improper driving | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Speed too fast for conditions | 18 | 43.9\% |
| Followed too closely | 5 | 12.2\% |
| Made improper turn | 2 | 4.9\% |
| Alcohol or drugs involved | 2 | 4.9\% |
| Mechanical defect | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Drove on Wrong Side of 2Way Rd | 1 | 2.4\% |
| No Code | 3 | 7.3\% |

The majority of the accidents on Oregon 22 occurred during clear, dry, daylight conditions. A factor in many of the accidents involved speeding and failure to appropriately yield. The stop-controlled entrance ramp configuration is likely a large contributing factor to these accidents, as vehicles enter the highway from a complete stop.
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Table 2-6 summarizes the accidents along Cascade Highway between milepoints 0.61 and 1.59. Marion County utilizes milepoints to represent distances on county roads; therefore, each 0.01 milepoint is approximately 50 feet. For reference, the approximate milepoint locations of intersections along Cascade Highway are listed below:

- Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road - Milepoint 0.61
- Eastbound Entrance/Exit ramp - Milepoint 1.09
- Sublimity Blvd - Milepoint 1.20
- 9 th Street - Milepoint 1.59

TABLE 2-6
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Cascade Highway Mainline Crash Rates and Severity of Accidents

| Milepost |  | Segment Features | 1999-2003 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) | Number of Accidents (Over 5 Years) |  |  | TotalCrashes | Average Annual | Average Annual Crash Rate (Crashes per Million VehicleMiles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  |  | Property Damage Only | Injury | Fatal |  |  |  |
| 0.60 | 0.85 | Shaff Road/ Fern Ridge Road | 12,020 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 2.00 | 1.82 |
| 0.85 | 1.10 | Eastbound entrance/ex it ramp | 11,780 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.60 | 0.56 |
| 1.10 | 1.35 | Sublimity Blvd/westb ound ramps | 9,310 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 3.20 | 3.77 |
| 1.35 | 1.60 | 9th Street | 7,920 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.80 | 1.11 |
| 0.60 | 1.60 | - | 10,260 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 6.60 | 1.76 |

A total of 33 crashes were reported along Cascade Highway between Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road and 9 th Street during the five year study period. Half of the accidents occurred near the intersection of Cascade Highway and Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound exit ramp, and just under one-third of the total accidents occurred in the vicinity of the Shaff Road/Fern Ridge Road intersection. No fatality accidents occurred along the one mile study corridor within the study timeframe. Of the 33 crashes, approximately two thirds resulted in property damage only while the remaining one third resulted in injuries.
Cascade Highway north of Oregon 22 is categorized as an arterial. The average crash rate for Suburban Non-Freeway Arterials is 0.60 crashes per million vehicle-miles. Both quartermile segments north of the interchange experience accidents at a rate higher than average 2003 Oregon crash rates. The segment immediately north of the interchange (including the intersection with Sublimity Boulevard/Oregon 22 Westbound exit ramp) is over five times as great as this average. Cascade Highway south of Oregon 22 is categorized as a principal arterial. The average crash rate for this type of segment is 1.34 crashes per million vehiclemiles. The quarter-mile segment that includes the intersection of Shaff Road/Fern Ridge
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Road experiences a higher than average crash rate, but overall, the southern portion of Cascade Highway remains below the average rate.
Tables 2-7 and 2-8 provide details of the conditions during the accidents as well as the type and severity of accidents along Cascade Highway.

TABLE 2-7
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Cascade Highway Crash Conditions


Cascade Hwy Mainline

| Condition | Number of <br> Accidents | Percentage of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Weather |  |  |
| Clear | 22 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Cloudy | 6 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Rain | 3 | $9.1 \%$ |
| Snow | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 2 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Roadway Surface |  |  |
| Dry | 27 | $81.8 \%$ |
| Wet | 6 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Ice | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Snow | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | 31 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Light | 1 |  |
| Day | 1 | $93.9 \%$ |
| Dimly Lit | 0 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Dark | 0 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Dusk |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| Dawn | $0.0 \%$ |  |
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TABLE 2-8
Five-Year Accident History: January 1, 1999 through December 31, 2003
Cascade Highway Crash Descriptions

| Condition | Cascade Hwy Mainline |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Accidents | Number of Accidents |
| Collision Type |  |  |
| Rear End | 17 | 51.5\% |
| Turning | 8 | 24.2\% |
| Fixed Object | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Sideswipe | 1 | 3.0\% |
| Struck at Angle | 6 | 18.2\% |
| Pedestrian | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Head On | 1 | 3.0\% |
| Other | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Severity |  |  |
| Property damage only | 21 | 63.6\% |
| Injury 1any $^{2}$ | 12 | 36.4\% |
| Fatality | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Crash Cause |  |  |
| Failed to yield right-of-way | 13 | 39.4\% |
| Other - improper driving | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Speed too fast for conditions | 15 | 45.5\% |
| Followed too closely | 4 | 12.1\% |
| Made improper turn | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Alcohol or drugs involved | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Mechanical defect | 1 | 3.0\% |
| Drove on Wrong Side of 2Way Rd | 0 | 0.0\% |
| No Code | 0 | 0.0\% |
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Similar to Oregon 22, the majority of the accidents on Cascade Highway occurred during clear, dry, daylight conditions. Over half of the accidents on Cascade Highway involved rear end collisions. Speed and failure to yield to the right-of-way were factors in almost eighty-five percent of the accidents.
Access management requirements and deficiencies for the Sublimity Interchange are described in Section 4 of this report.

## Natural and Cultural Resources

The Sublimity IAMP study area contains land from three local jurisdictions: Marion County, the City of Sublimity, and the City of Stayton. Project improvements could, therefore ${ }_{1}$ potentially trigger environmental protection regulations of any or all of these jurisdictions, as well as State and Federal regulations. This section examines the existence of natural and cultural resources in the study area and related potential project constraints presented.
The existence of any Goal 5 resources are addressed in this section. Goal 5 is a broad Oregon statewide planning goal that covers a variety of environmental and other resources. Goal 5 and related Oregon Administrative Rules (Chapter 660, divisions 16 and 23) describe how cities and counties are to plan and zone land to conserve resources listed in the goal.

## Topography

The topography of the study area contains both flat and low rolling terrain. The main feature of the landscape is Mill Creek, which runs primarily east-west through the study area. Low ridges step up from the flatlands adjacent to the creek. Topography in the immediate vicinity of the Sublimity Interchange is described by quadrant below.

## NE Quadrant of Interchange

From the point where it passes under Oregon 22 northward, Cascade Highway SE ascends approximately 50 feet in less than a quarter-mile, dips slightly, then rises again as it intersects with $9^{\text {th }}$ Street in Sublimity.

## SE Quadrant of Interchange

The southeast quadrant of the interchange contains the flat floodplain and wetland area adjacent to Mill Creek. A subdivision with new homes on fill materials is located in the floodplain depression. Moving southward toward the center of Stayton, a low ridge exists with an elevation gain of approximately 50 feet.

## SW Quadrant of Interchange

The ridge that described in the NE Quadrant is present to the southwest of the interchange and presents a relatively steep grade. The existing two-way highway interchange ramp in this quadrant cuts through this ridge, with a resulting slight hill to the north of the ramp and a steeper hill to the south of the ramp.
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## NW Quadrant Interchange

The terrain to the northwest of the intersection of Oregon 22 and Cascade Highway SE rises approximately $30-40$ feet. Sublimity Boulevard SE drops from this ridge to its intersection with Cascade Highway SE.

## Project Relevant Issues

- The northbound grade on Cascade Highway SE and adjacent ridges present sightdistance issues for motorists at the two-way highway ramp intersections as well as the intersections of Sublimity Boulevard and Golf Lane. Closely-spaced slope changes have an adverse effect on safety in the interchange area. Interchange rehabilitation efforts will require more earthwork during construction and may require additional stabilization, which will have budget and schedule implications.


## Hydrology

The project study area lies within the Willamette River Subbasin, in the Middle Willamette Subbasin, in the Mill Creek Watershed. Mill Creek, after passing through the study area, flows northwestward to its convergence with the Willamette River in the City of Salem. Within the study area for this IAMP, Mill Creek runs south of Oregon 22 from the west end of the study area. The creek passes through a culvert under Cascade Highway SE just south of Golf Lane and then traverses under Oregon 22 approximately a quarter-mile east of Cascade Highway.

## Project Relevant Issues

- There are hydrologic features contained in the study area that are classified as Goal 5 Resources (as defined in Oregon Administrative Rules Division 23). Sublimity IAMP actions may be subject to State and/or local regulations that are in place to protect Goal 5 resources, as will be discussed in greater detail in the next section.


## Riparian Corridors

The Mill Creek riparian corridor located inside the study area includes the perennially flowing Mill Creek, several intermittent streams, and a wetland area measuring just over one acre.

The section of Mill Creek running through the study area is included on the Department of Environmental Quality's (DEQ's) 303(d) list of water quality-limited streams. The DEQ 2003 303 (d) list identifies the section of Mill Creek in the study area as water quality limited for Fecal Coliform.

According to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, the study area section of Mill Creek is spawning and rearing habitat for winter steelhead and fall Chinook, and is rearing and migration habitat for spring Chinook.

## Project Relevant Issues

- Sublimity IAMP actions are subject to State Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) Goal 5 ordinance regulations concerning land use actions inside the Mill Creek riparian corridor. Proposed Sublimity IAMP use actions are allowed in riparian corridors [per OAR 660-023-0090(8)(a)], provided that these actions "are designed and constructed to minimize intrusion into the riparian area."


## PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

# STAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION <br> MEETING MINUTES <br> MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2006 

## CALL TO ORDER

Vice-Chair Brandt

COMMISSIONERS: Carol Tower-Chairperson-Excused Karen Odenthal John Brandt Judy Snider-Excused
Ralph Lewis Michelle Wonderling

COUNCIL LIAISON: Don Koenig-Excused
STAFF MEMBERS: Steve Goeckritz, Interim City Planner
Allison Thayer, Assistant Planner
Mike Faught, Public Works Director

## 1. COMMISSION BUSINESS

## a. Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan discussion

Mr. Faught gave a brief presentation of the proposed changes to the Highway 22/Cascade Highway interchange. He gave some of the history of the project, the $\$ 12.5$ million from the state and the two phases of construction. Mr. Faught explained how the various jurisdictions involved were able to come to agreement on this interchange improvement. Mr. Faught explained the purpose of an area management plan is to protect the interchange from surrounding development so that it does not become obsolete as soon as it is completed.

The Commission inquired about the effects on traffic that construction would have. Mr. Faught responded that it would likely shift traffic onto Golf Club Road. The Commission inquired about the status of the proposed traffic signals on Cascade Highway that are to go in "when warranted." Mr. Faught indicated that the City would be negotiating with the state to try and get those lights as soon as possible.

The Commission asked for additional maps from the IA.MP document to be included with the report. They inquired about the phases of construction and what was needed from them for adoption of the IAMP.

## b. Phase IV-Questionnaire discussion

The Commission requested a brief discussion of the issues raised in the questionnaire handed out on $1 / 30 / 06$ regarding the development of design standards. They began by discussing multi-family design standards.

The first question was should the number of units in one building be limited control the bulk of buildings. The Commission discussed the merits of limiting the number of units. Limiting lot coverage was also discussed. The addition of amenities and architectural features to larger multi-family complexes versus smaller complexes was discussed. One suggestion made by the Commission was to provide guidelines based on the number of units in a development; increasing the required number of amenities and architectural features for larger developments.

The Commission listed blank walls, cinder block, or long blank roofs as features to be controlled. The Commission was not inclined to develop guidelines that prohibit or proscribe a specific architectural style. The main concern was preventing unsightly or inappropriate development. The Commission agreed to the importance of height transitions for tall developments and adjoining neighborhoods. They also agreed there was a need for lighting standards.

Looking at design standards for commercial development, the Commission had a lengthy discussion over the merits of placing commercial buildings close to the street; the benefits of pedestrian friendly environments versus safety in visible parking areas. There was agreement that parking lots needed more landscaping and pedestrian amenities, long blank walls should be prevented and sidewalks should be provided.

## c. Phase III-Changes (revisited)

Mr. Goeckritz gave the Commission an update on the status of Phase III. There were several new developments relating to parking issues in the last few weeks. Because of issues raised regarding inadequate parking and queuing areas for drive-thrus, staff did additional research on parking standards. There are additional proposed changes for the parking section of Title 17 that will be brought to the next meeting in a discussion paper.

## ADJOURN



## ATTEST
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# STAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES MONDAY, MARCH 27, 2006 

COMMISSIONERS: | Carol Tower-Chairperson |
| :--- |
| John Brandt |
| Ralph Lewis |

Karen Odenthal Judy Snider Michelle Wonderling

COUNCIL LIAISON: Don Koenig
STAFF MEMBERS: Steve Goeckritz, Interim City Planner
Allison Thayer, Assistant Planner
Mike Faught, Public Works Director

## 1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Motion: Commissioner Odenthal moved the minutes for March 13, 22006 be approved as written. Commissioner Lewis seconded.
Motion passed: Unanimously.
2. PUBLIC HEARING, Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)
a. Public Hearing: Land Use File \#02-01/06
b. Declaration of Ex Parte Contact: None
c. Declaration of Conflict of Interest: None
d. Staff Report: Mr. Goeckritz began by entering Land Use File \#02-01/06 and the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan with Appendices into the record in their entirety. Mr. Goeckritz then gave a brief summary of the staff report. He explained that the Commission would be sending a recommendation to the City Council for amendment of the Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan. These amendments are to support the IAMP which the Commission will also recommend to the City Council. Mr. Goeckritz then gave a summary of the proposed changes to the Comprehensive Plan goals.

Mr. Faught then presented additional information about the IAMP regarding the timelines for completion of the project and the status of the funding for the process. Mr. Faught began by presenting the history of the Interchange project. The project is broken down into two phases. Currently funding has been obtained for Phase I and there are tentative funds for Phase II. Phase I includes a new westbound on/off ramp, a new bridge over Cascade Hwy., a new eastbound on ramp and a realignment of intersections. The intent of the IAMP is to protect the life span of the project so that it will fill the needs for the next 20 years by controlling the development around the interchange that would cause the interchange to fail. A member of the audience asked what "fail" means in this context. Mr. Faught explained
that "fail" means that a transportation system doesn't carry traffic safely or efficiently. Phase II of the Interchange project will construct a new bridge at Mill Creek and extend four lanes to just past Mill Creek. The reason for the IAMP is to protect the access to the interchange by limiting the access points on Cascade Highway.

## e. Proponents' Testimony: None

## f. Opponent's Testimony: None

g. Governmental Agencies: Dan Fricke, Senior Transportation Planner with the Oregon Department of Transportation Region 2 Office. 455 Airport Rd SE, Salem. Mr. Fricke began by thanking staff and Mayor Aboud for all the work they have done on the project. Mr. Fricke stated that he was present to support the project and answer any questions.
h. General Testimony: None
i. Questions from the Public: None
j. Questions from Commission: Commission Snider asked a question about the realignment of the Golf Club Lane intersection, how would it be designed. Mr. Faught explained that the specific design of that realignment had not been determined and that specific element would not be completed with the main part of the Interchange project but at such time as all the warrants were met for realignment of the intersection. Commissioner Snider then asked about the signal at Whitney and Cascade Hwy. Mr. Faught explained that three legs of that signal are scheduled to be installed this fall as a City project, because the warrants have been met.

Commissioner Snider then asked about the signal at the eastbound entrance ramp. Mr. Faught explained that the state traffic engineers determined that the signal was not warranted at this time, but would be installed in the future when the signal meets warrants. The City of Sublimity is looking at that signal, but it will have to meet engineering warrants before it can be installed. Commissioner Odenthal asked if those two signals are installed later, who pays the cost? Mr. Fricke stated that it will probably be a shared cost. He also indicated that the issues of the signals are not finalized until the final warrant analysis comes in. Commissioner Odenthal asked how much a signal costs. Mr. Faught stated they were $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 300,000$. Mr. Faught stated he would be recommending, when the project entered the design phase, that as a minimum the conduit for these signals be laid.

Commissioner Brandt asked if the existing bike and pedestrian trails will be preserved. Mr. Faught indicated that issue will be pursued when the project enters design phase. Mr. Fricke indicated that it is intended to accommodate five lanes (on Cascade Hwy) and bike and pedestrian facilities. Commissioner Snider asked if the drainage issues, in the area under the bridge will be addressed. Mr. Faught stated that some of the issues had already been addressed by the county but that additional measures would be incorporated in the design. A member of the audience asked if any provisions would be made to have casings for a water extension (or other utilities) to Sublimity. Mr. Faught indicated that was a conversation that would happen jointly with Sublimity sometime in the future.
k. Staff Summary: Mr. Goeckritz stated that staff recommend the Planning Commission recommend the City Council adopt the IAMP, with amendments of the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation System Plan

## I. Close the Hearing:

m. Commission Deliberation: Commissioner Odenthal stated that a new interchange was needed and this was the first step to achieving that. Commissioner Wonderling stated there were no real problems with the issues. Commissioner Brandt stated that for him it was primarily a safety issue at that intersection, and this was a good thing.
n. Commission Decision: Commissioner Odenthal moved to approve the order and Commissioner Snider seconded.

Motion Passed: Unanimously.

## 3. PUBLIC HEARING, Mill Creek Dental, Site Plan Review

a. Public Hearing: Land Use File \#03-02/06
b. Declaration of Ex Parte Contact: None
c. Declaration of Conflict of Interest: None
d. Staff Report: Mr. Goeckritz began by entering Land Use File \#03-02/06 into the record in its entirety. Mr. Goeckritz then gave a brief summary of the staff report. Mr. Goeckritz explained the need for a lot line dissolvement, the shared parking agreement and realignment of the driveway accesses with the Library, the architectural design elements, and the relocation of the main sign. Mr. Goeckritz then explained how the right-of-way dedication condition of approval mistakenly was left out of the staff report.

Mr. Faught then provided additional explanation of the right-of-way (ROW) dedication requirement. Public Works is requiring a 15 ft ROW dedication. Most of the streets in the City are 60 ft ROW. This dedication of 15 ft is to meet the minimum $60 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{ROW} \mathrm{on} 1^{\text {st }}$ Ave. Any new development is required to make this type of dedication if additional ROW is needed. In addition, there is a special 50 ft setback off the centeriine of $1^{\text {st }}$ Avenue. The additional ROW does shift the centerline of $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave that impacts the setbacks on the property.

Mr. Faught also spoke about the realignment of the driveways. The adopted TSP requires restricted access on major roads. He is pleased with the realignment because it both eliminates driveways, and realigns that driveway to match Marion Street which will improve the pedestrian crossing. This is a good first step in reducing accesses on $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave.
e. Applicant's Testimony: Dr. Tim Burns, $5211^{\text {st }}$ Ave, Stayton. Dr. Burns stated he has a letter from the City of Salem stating the construction easement (that would go underneath the building) will be abandoned. Regarding the parking, the City has estimated 15 parking spaces based on floor area and six employees. He only has four employees and they had to count floor area only used as storage. Dr. Burns spoke about having to give up 15 ft for ROW.

Commissioner Brandt asked if Dr. Burns anticipated part of his parking would be provided by the library. Dr. Burns indicated that it was part of the shared parking agreement.

Mr. John Seaders (applicant's engineer) MSS Inc. Salem, OR. Mr. Seaders addressed the ROW issue and the need to move the building. They are able to move the building and meet the 50 ft setback, by adding a one-hour firewall on the back wall. Mr. Seaders also spoke about the requirement for 15 parking spaces. Mr. Seaders also noted that Dr. Burns is relinquishing three entrances on $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave to comply with the TSP. Consolidating the two lots will not be a problem, and moving the sign is not a problem.
f. Proponents' Testimony: None
g. Opponent's Testimony: None
h. Governmental Agencies: None
i. General Testimony: None
j. Questions from the Public: (Sublimity)Where is the new sewer line for the Library going to go? Mr. Faught indicated that this subject had been addressed in discussions about the Library expansion.
k. Questions from Commission: Commissioner Snider asked to clarify that the three additional conditions of approval will be added as Public Works conditions $1 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$, and h . Mr. Goeckritz indicated that they were.

Commissioner Brandt asked why that ROW requirement was there. Mr. Faught responded that the ROW dedication occurs whenever there is a land use action because the City has found, historically, the full 60 ft ROW wasn't dedicated at the previous land use action. Any time there is building permit or a land use action a dedication to reach 60 ft ROW is required. City streets all have a minimum of 60 ft ROW. Commissioner Brandt clarified that this requirement is not anything that any other applicant would be required to give. Mr . Faught concurred. Commissioner Odenthal asked if the ROW dedication would change the look of the building. Mr. Faught stated it was. Commissioner Odenthal asked the applicant if he was satisfied with the ROW condition, or is a continuance of the hearing needed? The applicant stated that he can meet that requirement.

Commissioner Brandt asked if the County was going to approve the pedestrian crossing [at the Library and Marion]. Mr. Faught indicated that the Library and Dr. Burns worked this out between them. This is an unsafe intersection for both pedestrians and cars and the realignment of the driveway will improve that significantly. There won't be a crosswalk.

Commissioner Brandt then asked if this project would increase the number of parking spaces for the Library. Mr. Faught explained that the joint parking agreement would allow either party to use the parking on both properties. Mr. Goeckritz added that on the issue of the number of parking spaces, that staff was both limited on how they can calculate the parking and because staff prefers to err on the side of caution.

Commissioner Lewis asked if the Christmas tree would be impacted. Mr. Faught indicated that the tree would be able to stay but some of the roses would have to be taken out.

1. Applicant Summary: None.
m. Staff Summary: Mr. Goeckritz stated that staff was recommending approval with the three additional conditions of approval.
n. Close the Hearing:
2. Commission Deliberation: Commissioner Odenthal stated that it was a nice design Commissioner Wonderling said it was a good design for both pedestrians and drivers. Commissioner Lewis said it was a nice addition to $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave.
p. Commission Decision: Commissioner Snider moved to approve the order with the three additional conditions of approval. Commissioner Brandt seconded.

Motion Passed: Unanimously.

## ADJOURN

Carol Tower, Planning Commission Chairperson
Date

## ATTEST

## Steve Goeckritz, City Planner

Date
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# City of Stayton 

Administration - Finance<br>362 N. Third Avenue • Stayton, OR 97383<br>Phone: (503) 769-3425 • Fax: (503) 769-1456

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
before the
STAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION and the

## STAYTON CITY COUNCIL

HEARING: Public hearings will be heid before the Stayton Planning Commission, Monday, March 27, 2006, 2006 at 7:00 pm. A second public hearing will be heid before the Stayton City Council, Monday, April 17, 2006 The meeting will be held at the Stayton Community Center, 400 W . Virginia Street, Stayton, for the purpose of hearing testimony and rendering a decision regarding the following issue:

LAND USE FILE \#020-01/06 - Application for Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Transportation Plan Amendment and adoption of the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan for the new Highway 22/Cascade Highway Interchange

APPLICANT: City of Stayton
DECISION CRITERIA: Statewide Planning Goals, 1999 Oregon Highway Plan, City of Stayton Transportation System Plan, Marion County Zoning

The application, evidence, decision criteria, and staff reports will be available for public inspection seven days prior to the hearing at the City of Stayton Planning Department, 311 N. Third Avenue, Stayton. Copies of all relevant documents are available at reasonable cost to any interested citizen.

The public hearing will be conducted in accordance with the city's land use rules of procedure. Anyone wishing to provide testimony may do so in person or by authorized representative at the public hearing. Written comments will be received at the City of Stayton Planning Department until 5:00 p.m. on the day of the public hearing, or they may be filed with Planning Staff at the hearing. If you wish to have your comments included in the staff report, please submit to Planning Staff by March $17^{\text {th }}$ before the scheduled public hearing.

The city should be notified of testimony prior to the hearing. Failure to raise an issue in person or by letter to the city precludes appeal, and failure to identify the specific criterion to which the comment is directed precludes an appeal based on that criterion.

Questions regarding the above matter should be directed by phone or letter to Steve Goeckritz, City Planner, 362 N. Third Avenue, Stayton, Oregon 97383, (503) 769-2998.

The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter for the hearing impaired or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities should be made at least 48 hours prior to the meeting. If you require special accommodations, please contact planning staff at (503) 769-2998.

## Police

386 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383
Phone: (503) 769-3423
Fax: (503) $769-7497$

## Planning

311 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383
Phone: (503) 769-2998 Fax: (503) 767-2134

Public Works
311 N. Third Avenue Stayton, OR 97383 Phone: (503) 769-2919 Fax: (503) 767-2134

Wastewater Facilities
950 Jetters Way Stayton, OR 97383 Phone: (503) 769-2810 Fax: (503) 764-7413

Public Library
515 N. First Avenue Stayton, OR 97383 Phoire: (503) 769-3313

Fax: (503) 769-3218


On Monday, March 27, 2006 at 7:00 pm the Stayton Planning Commission will be holding a public hearing. On Monday, April 17 at 7:00 pm the Stayton City Council will be holding a subsequent public hearing. The meetings will both be held at the Stayton Community Center, 400 W Virginia St for the purpose of hearing testimony and rendering a decision regarding the following issue:

LAND USE FILE \#02-01-06: Adoption of the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan

## ISSUE:

The City of Stayton and the City of Sublimity have been pursuing State of Oregon Transportation funds to reconstruct the Highway 22/Cascade Highway Interchange (Sublimity Interchange) for several years. Many other communities competed for the State of Oregon's limited transportation funds so funding for the Sublimity Interchange required support from several local agencies. As a result, the State of Oregon, to date, has allocated $\$ 12.5$ million to the first phase of the project (see attached map). Construction of the first phase is anticipated to begin in 2007.

The Sublimity Interchange Project will construct a new bridge on Highway 22 at Cascade Highway and Mill Creek, new westbound on- and off- ramps that align with Sublimity Blvd., a new eastbound on-ramp, and may include an new eastbound off-ramp if sufficient funds are available. The second phase of the project (approximately $\$ 4$ million) will construct two new travel lanes on Highway 22 from Golf Club Road east to Mill Creek.

In order to proceed with this project the City of Stayton, the City of Sublimity, and Marion County must adopt the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan. The purpose of this plan is to protect the new interchange from development related improvements that would otherwise shorten the useful 20 -year design life of the new intersection. As such, the Sublimity Interchange Plan limits access onto Cascade Highway as outlined in the attached map.

The impacts to the City of Stayton side of the Sublimity Interchange Project are minimal, as most of the required access points onto Cascade Highway are already identified in the new Transportation System Plan adopted adopted in 2004. Those changes include the construction of a new traffic signal at Whitney Street and Cascade Highway (which is currently scheduled to be constructed by September 2006), and the realignment of Golf Lane Road to intersect with Whitney Street at Cascade Highway.

The adoption of the Sublimity Interchange Area Management Plan requires changes to Stayton's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation Master Plan as part of the process for developing the interchange. The proposed amendments and the Management Plan will be available for the public review seven days prior to the public hearing at the Stayton Planning Department.

## FURTHER INFORMATION:

Questions regarding the above matter should be directed by phone or letter to Steve Goeckritz, City Planner, 362 N. Third Avenue, Stayton, Oregon 97383, (503) 769-2998.


## City of Stayton

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS

before the
LAYTON PLANNING COMMISSION and the
dAYTON CITY COUNCIL
'ublic bearings will be held before the Stayton mission, Monday, March 27, 2006, 2006 at 7:00 pm. Hic hearing will be held before the Stayton City day, April 17,2006 The meeting win be held at the unity Center, 400 W. Virginia Street, Stanton, for of hearing testimony and rendering a decision following issue:

LE \#020-01/06 - Application for Comprehensive Plan ransportation Plan Amendment and adoption of the change Area Management Plan for the new Highway ,away Interchange

City of Stayton
RITERIA: Statewide Planning Coals, 1999 Oregon City of Stayton Transportation System Plan, Marion
, evidence, decision criteria, and staff reports will be blic inspection seven days prior to the hearing at the Planning Department, 311 N Third Avenue, Stayton, levant documents are available at reasonable cost to dizen.
ing will be conducted in accordance with the city's if apure. Anyone wishing to provide testimony sol. dy authorized representative at the public bearmoments will be received at the City of Stayton mont until $5: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on the day of the public bearing, iled with Planning Staff at the hearing. If you wish to rents included in the staff report, please submit to y March 17 before the scheduled public hearing.
be notified of testimony prior to the hearing. Failure n person or by letter to the city precludes appeal, and fy the specific criterion to which the comment is is an appeal based on that criterion.
ing the above matter should be directed by phone or eckritz, City Planner, 362 N. Third Avenue, Stayton, 503)769-2998.
ation is accessible to persons with disabilities, A ureter for the hearing impaired or for other accomarsons with disabilities should be made at least 48 e meeting. If you require special accommodations, inning staff at (503) 769-2998.

## Affiuaurit of 拫ublitatation

\author{
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { STATE OF OREGON, } \\ \text { County of Marion },\end{array}\right\}$ ss.

}

## I ...Leah Thibeau <br> $\qquad$ . being

First duly sworn, dispose and say that I am the principal clerk of the East Valley Newspapers, publisher of The Stayton Mail, a newspaper of general circulation as defined by ORS 192.010 and 193.0210; printed and Published at Stayton in the aforesaid county and state; that the

RE: PUBLIC NOTICE - CITY OF STAYTON - Planning Land Use File - 020-01/06 - Comprehensive Plan Amendment et al

Allison Thayer
Ad \#-11272330
a printed copy of which is hereby annexed, was published in the entire issue of said newspaper for. $\qquad$ .ONE. ...Successive and consecutive times in the following issues - March 15, 2006


Day of
 2006


Notary Public for Oregon

My Commission expires


## FORM 1

# D L C D NOTICE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT <br> This form must be received by DLCD at least 45 days prior to the first evidentiary hearing per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18 <br> and Senate Bill 543 and effective on June 30, 1999. <br> (See reverse side for submittal requirements) 

Jurisdiction: $\qquad$ City of Stayton $\qquad$ Local File No.: $\frac{02-01 / 06}{\text { (If no number, Use none) }}$

Date of First Evidentiary Hearing: _March 27, 2006 Date of Final Hearing: _April 17, 2006
Date this proposal was sent or mailed: _February 8, 2006 $\qquad$
(Date mailed or sent to DLCD)
Has this proposal previously been submitted to DLCD? Yes: ___ No: _x_ Date: $\qquad$
_x_Comprehensive plan Text Amendment
$\qquad$ Land Use regulation Amendment
$\qquad$ Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment
$\qquad$ Zoning Map Amendment
$\qquad$ New Land Use regulation
$\qquad$ Other: $\qquad$
Briefly Summarize the proposal. Do not use technical terms. Do not write "See Attached."
_The Interchange Area Management Plan establishes operational and physical improvments and access management techniques that will maximize the operation of the Highway 22 interchange. Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan address this topic.

Plan Map Changed from: $\qquad$ to

Zone Map Changed from: $\qquad$ to $\qquad$
Location: Sublimity Interchange/Junction of State Hwy 22 and Cascade Hwy Acres Involved: An area within the 1,320 foot interchange.

Specified Change in Density: Current: $\qquad$ n/a $\qquad$ Proposed: $\qquad$ n/a $\qquad$
Applicable Statewide Planning Goals: __ 2,11,12,14 Is an Exception Proposed? Yes: $\qquad$ No: _x_

Affected State or Federal Agencies, Local Governments or Special Districts: _ODOT, Marion County, City of Stayton, City of Sublimity.

Local Contact: _-Steve Goeckritz/Mike Faught
Area Code + Phone Number: _503.769.2998
Address: 362 N Third Ave $\qquad$ City: Stayton $\qquad$
Zip Code + 4: _97383 Email Address: __planner@stayton.org $\qquad$
DCLD No $\qquad$

I acknowledge that DLCD received the following materials from the City of Stayton on February 8, 2006.

1. Notice of Proposed Amendment
2. Draft Amendments to:
a. Comprehensive Plan
b. Transportation System Plan
c. Interchange Area Management Plan

## DEPT OF




[^0]:    1 Interchange Area Management Plan Guidelines (Final Draft) Prepared by: David Evans and Associates, inc. with Angelo
    Eaton \& Associates. October, 2005.
    2 Joseph Street-Stayton North City Limits Environmental Assessment (prepared by ODOT. March, 1995); Joseph StreetStayton North City Limits Revised Environmental Assessment (prepared by ODOT , May, 1995)

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Both the Joseph Street - Stayton North City Limits Environmental Assessment and Revised Assessment were consulted in this IAMP planning process. Source information: Joseph Street - North Stayton City Limits Environmental Assessment (ODOT and FHWA) March, 1995; Joseph Street - North Stayton City Limits Revised Environmental Assessment (ODOT and FHWA) May, 1995.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Source: City of Stayton Transportation System Plan (Final Draft 2004); Table 8-1 Capital Improvement Cost - Street
    Improvements; P. 8-1

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Source: 2004 Oregon Population Report. Portland State University Popuiation Research Center. Population numbers given are year 2004 estimates.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Source: City of Stayton Comprehensive Plan (1995), p. 15

[^5]:    1 Transportation Planning Guidance for Interchange Area Managernent Plans Revised Draft Working Paper \#2 (David Evans and Associates. May. 2005)

[^6]:    1 Interchange Area Management Plan Guidelines (Final Draft) Prepared by: David Evans and Associates, Inc. with Angelo Eaton \& Associates. October, 2005.
    2 Joseph Street-Stayton North City Limits Environmental Assessment (prepared by ODOT, March, 1995): Joseph StreetSlayton North City Limits Revised Environmental Assessment (prepared by ODOT , May, 1995)

[^7]:    3 Both the Joseph Sireet - Stayton North City Limits Environmental Assessment and Revised Assessment were consulted in this IAMP planning process. Source information: Joseph Street - North Stayton City Limits Environmental Assessment (ODOT and FHWA) March, 1995; Joseph Street - North Stayton City Limits Revised Environmental Assessment (ODOT and FHWA) May, 1995.

[^8]:    ${ }^{4}$ Source: City of Stayton Transportation System Plan (Final Draft 2004); Table 8-1 Capital Improvement Cost - Street Improvements; p. 8-1

[^9]:    ${ }^{5}$ Source: 2004 Oregon Population Report. Portland State University Population Research Center. Population numbers given are year 2004 estimates.

