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ABSTRACT

In this report 50 subjects with multiple sclerosis are compared to 50 subjects with multiple personality disorder. The multiple sclerosis patients endorsed an average of 3.0 somatic symptoms on structured interview, the multiple personality subjects an average of 14.5. The somatic symptoms characteristic of neurological illness were trouble walking, paralysis, and muscle weakness. Those characteristic of psychiatric illness were genitourinary and gastrointestinal symptoms.

In a contemporary series of 102 cases of multiple personality disorder (MPD), 60.8% met DSM-III-R criteria for somatization disorder (Ross, Miller, Reagor, Bjorinson, Fraser, & Anderson, 1990). Individuals with MPD can be differentiated from other psychiatric diagnostic groups by the frequency with which they experience somatic symptoms (Ross, Heber, Norton, & Anderson, 1989a; Ross, Heber, Norton, & Anderson, 1989b). In MPD patients, somatic symptoms appear to be related to childhood trauma, and, like Schneiderian symptoms, may be “somatic memories” of particular abuse incidents (Kluft, 1987). The psychosomatic symptoms of MPD patients are a recurrent theme in the dissociative literature (Coons, 1988; Putnam, 1989; Ross, 1989).

There is a concern expressed in the psychiatric and medical literature that psychosomatic symptoms may be difficult to differentiate from those of multiple sclerosis (MS), especially in the early stages of MS (Caplan & Nadelson, 1988; LaRocca, 1984; Tomsyck & Jenkins, 1987). This is partly due to the fact that MS often strikes women aged 20 to 40. It is of note that MPD patients in clinical series also tend to be women in this age group (Putnam, Guroff, Silberman, Barban, & Post, 1986; Ross, Norton, & Wozney, 1989).

This study compares the somatic symptoms experienced by MS patients with those experienced by MPD patients to delineate any differences in somatic symptomatology between MS and MPD. The study was motivated by an additional concern which is admittedly quite speculative: since MS involves patchy demyelination of the central nervous system, it is conceivable that it could cause a failure of normal integrative functions and result in dissociative symptoms. If this were the case, MS might provide a biomedical model of dissociation for further study. Dissociative symptoms were also enquired about to explore this possibility.

METHODS

Subjects

We interviewed 50 MS patients and 50 MPD patients using the Dissociative Disorders Interview Schedule (DDIS) (Ross, 1989; Ross, Heber, Norton, Anderson, & Barchet, 1989) and the Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES) (Bernstein & Putnam, 1986).

The MS subjects were selected from patients attending an MS clinic. To avoid selection bias the first 44 patients over 18 years with clinically definite MS were interviewed. Patients with additional neurological diagnoses, such as stroke and dementia, were excluded from the study. Due to difficulties with recruitment the final six MS subjects were selected randomly by review of clinic files. The first 50 MPD patients assessed at our Dissociative Disorders Clinic were interviewed. After explanation of the procedure, signed informed consent was solicited from each patient before the interview. There were no refusals in either the MS or MPD groups. Ethical approval had been received from the Faculty Committee on the Use of Human Subjects in Research at the University of Manitoba.

Instruments

The Dissociative Disorders Interview Schedule (DDIS) is a 131-item structured interview which takes 30-45 minutes to administer. It has an overall inter-rater reliability of 0.68, a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 100% for the diagnosis of
MPD (Ross, et al., 1989). The inter-rater reliability of the DDIS for the DSM-III-R diagnosis of somatization disorder is 0.69. The Dissociative Experiences Scale is a 28-item self-report instrument with good validity and a test-retest reliability of 0.84 (Bernstein & Putnam, 1986).

Data Analysis
Chi square analysis was used when comparing MS and MPD patients on dichotomous variables, and the Mann-Whitney U test when comparing them on continuous variables.

In comparing the MS and MPD groups on the 35 DSM-III-R symptoms of somatization disorder, the Bonferroni procedure for multiple comparisons was used to avoid Type 1 errors (Grove & Andreasen, 1982). After application of the Bonferroni procedure the significance level for these items was p < .002. Symptoms experienced by MS patients that can be attributed to their disease are normally scored negative by DSM-III-R criteria. However, for the purpose of differentiating between types of symptoms experienced by MS and MPD patients we included symptoms attributed to MS as positive.

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics of Subjects
Of the 50 MS subjects, 19 were male and 31 were female, with a mean age of 44.9 (S.D. 9.8) years (range: 32-71). Twenty-nine subjects were married, 13 single, 12 separated or divorced, and 3 widowed. Only 7 subjects were employed.

Six of the MPD patients were male and 44 female which is significantly different from MS patients (X^2(1) = 7.68, p < .006). MPD patients had a mean age of 30.2 (S.D. 9.2) years, which is significantly different from the MS patients (U(98) = 2194.0, p < .00001). Nineteen MPD patients were employed, 13 married, 23 single, 13 separated or divorced, and one widowed.

Neurological Status of Multiple Sclerosis Patients
In the MS patients, the mean age at onset of MS was 32.7 (S.D. 9.4) years. The mean duration of illness was 12.3 (S.D. 7.7) years. Five of the MS patients did not have a progressive illness at the time of the study. Of the remaining subjects, 24 had a relapsing-progressive pattern and 21 a chronic progressive pattern. In thirty of these subjects the duration of the progressive phase of their illness was over two years in duration.

According to clinical assessment by a neurologist, 38 subjects had involvement of the brain stem, 48 the spinal cord, 24 the cerebellum, 5 the cerebrum, and 22 the optic nerve. Six of the subjects had involvement of only one area, 20 of two areas, 14 of three areas, 9 of four areas, and one of five. The mean number of areas involved was 2.6 (S.D. 1.6).

No MPD subjects had a diagnosis of MS.

Abuse Histories
Five MS subjects suffered sexual abuse during childhood with a mean duration of 0.8 (S.D. 1.8) years. Two of these also experienced physical abuse along with two additional subjects. The mean duration of physical abuse experienced by the four subjects was 7.0 (S.D. 5.5) years. For MPD subjects, 84% were sexually abused with a mean duration of 10.0 (S.D. 8.6) years and 78% were physically abused with a mean duration of 13.0 (S.D. 6.9) years. The two groups differed on the percentage of subjects experiencing physical, (X^2(1) = 52.03, p < .0001) and sexual (X^2(1) = 47.16, p < .00001) abuse. The duration of physical abuse did not differ between the two groups, while the duration of sexual abuse did (U(40) = 20.5, p < .006).

Somatic Symptoms
Only one MS subject had a diagnosis of somatization disorder compared with 13 MPD subjects (X^2(1) = 10.1, p < .002). Using DSM-III-R criteria, MS patients scored significantly lower than MPD patients (U(98) = 202.5, p < .00001) on average number of somatic symptoms reported. The MS subjects reported an average of 3.0 (S.D. 3.8) somatic symptoms, while the MPD subjects reported an average of 14.5 (S.D. 7.5).

In comparing each somatic symptom, using our analysis in which symptoms attributed to MS are positive, there is a significant difference in certain groups of symptoms between MS and MPD patients (see Table 1). After using the Bonferroni procedure, MS patients experience trouble walking and paralysis or muscle weakness significantly more often. Symptoms experienced more often by MPD patients are abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, bloating, intolerance of foods, pain in the genitilia, pain during intercourse, palpitations, chest pain, and amnesia. The remaining 23 symptoms do not differentiate the two groups significantly.

Dissociation and Related Symptoms
Previous research has shown that Schneiderian symptoms, ESP experiences, borderline personality disorder criteria, somatic symptoms, and secondary features of MPD are part of a large cluster of symptoms common in patients with abuse histories and dissociative disorders (Ross, 1989; Ross, et al., 1990). MS subjects scored significantly lower on all these categories compared with MPD subjects.

The MPD subjects reported an average of 6.5 (S.D. 2.9) Schneiderian symptoms and the MS patients an average of 1.0 (S.D. 2.1), (U(98) = 162.0, p < .00001). The MPD subjects reported an average of 5.4 (S.D. 3.7) supernatural/extrasensory experiences and the MS subjects an average of 1.0 (S.D. 1.6), (U(98) = 281.0, p < .00001). The MPD subjects reported an average of 5.7 (S.D. 2.2) positive borderline personality disorder criteria and the MS subjects an average of 0.9 (S.D. 1.5), (U(98) = 139.5, p < .00001). The MPD subjects reported an average of 9.1 (S.D. 3.6) secondary features of MPD and the MS subjects an average of 0.8 (S.D. 1.4), (U(98) = 41.0, p < .0001).

The MS subjects scored an average of 6.4 (S.D. 10.3) on the DES, which is in the normal range, compared with 36.9 (S.D. 19.7) for MPD subjects (U(98) = 174.0, p < .00001).

DISCUSSION
In comparing MPD and MS patients, our study clearly indicates that MS patients as a group are not dissociative. They score in the normal range on the DES and do not endorse the symptom clusters characteristic of MPD on the DDIS. Demyelination of the central nervous system does not provide a biomedical model of dissociation, although individual MS patients may experience dissociative symptoms. The fact that the MPD subjects were younger and more predominantly female does
### TABLE 1

Somatic Symptoms in Multiple Sclerosis and Multiple Personality Disorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Multiple Personality Disorder (N=50)</th>
<th>Multiple Sclerosis (N=50)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amnesia</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual indifference</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intolerance of foods</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloating</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortness of breath</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular periods</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful menstruation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint pain</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blurred vision</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive menstrual bleeding</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain during intercourse</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in extremities</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralysis or muscle weakness</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double vision</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other pain</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain during urination</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty swallowing</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fainting</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in genitals</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trouble walking</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizures/convulsions</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting during pregnancy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of voice</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deafness</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blindness</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impotence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N.S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* After application of the Bonferroni procedure the significance level for these items is p < .002

* The difference between groups on painful menstruation did not reach significance because of missing data for that item.
not call this conclusion into question: if MS provided a biomed­
cial model of dissociation, dissociative symptoms would be­
come more apparent as the disease progressed with age.

MS is the second disorder ruled out as a biomed­
cial model of dissociation. Temporal lobe epilepsy has also failed to
provide a model organic dissociative syndrome (Devinsky,
Putnam, Grafman, Bromfield, & Theodore, 1989; Loewenstein
& Putnam, 1988; Putnam, 1986; Putnam, 1989; Ross, 1989;

The somatic symptomatology of MS patients, although
historically often confused with somatization disorder, has a
notably different cluster when compared with somatoform
findings in MPD patients. Nearly all of the MS patients had at
one time experienced trouble walking (94%) and paralysis or
muscle weakness (86%). The cluster of symptoms that was
elevated significantly in MPD patients consists mainly of gastroi­
testinal and genitourinary somatic symptomatology.

Morrison (1989) found that 55% of 60 patients with
primary diagnoses of somatization disorder had childhood
sexual abuse histories, and three had MPD. MPD patients are
also abuse survivors and have many somatic symptoms. We
suspect that assessment of Morrison’s subjects with the DES and
DDIS might have yielded more dissociative diagnoses and
symptomatology. A recent review of current theories of soma­
tization disorder (Kellner, 1990) did not mention childhood
abuse, however. The relationship between somatization and
sexual abuse seems not to have been accepted by many clini­
cians.

A limitation of the current study is that MPD patients may
not be representative of most individuals with numerous psy­
chosomatic symptoms. It would be of interest to determine the
differences in symptom patterns between women with primary
diagnoses of somatization disorder who have been sexually
abused as children and those who have not, using the DES and
DDIS. Such a study might further support the relationship
between childhood sexual abuse and somatic complaints in the
genitourinary and gastrointestinal systems.

As Ruegg (1990) has pointed out, the relationship between
somatic symptoms and childhood sexual abuse raises questions
about the transmission of somatization disorder from one
generation to the next. In some families females tend to have
somatization disorder and males antisocial personality disorder.
Perhaps what is really “transmitted” in these families is child
abuse. Abused males develop antisocial personality and assert­
ively mate with abused females, who have developed somatiza­
tion disorder, and vice versa. The children of these unions are
at risk for child abuse, thus perpetuating the cycle. Such a
pattern of transmission would apply to certain somatic symp­
toms but not to those characteristic of MS, which are caused by
demyelination of the nervous system.
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