NOTICE OF ADOPTED AMENDMENT

06/05/2012

TO: Subscribers to Notice of Adopted Plan or Land Use Regulation Amendments

FROM: Plan Amendment Program Specialist

SUBJECT: City of Jefferson Plan Amendment
DLCD File Number 002-11

The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received the attached notice of adoption. A Copy of the adopted plan amendment is available for review at the DLCD office in Salem and the local government office.

Appeal Procedures*

DLCD ACKNOWLEDGMENT or DEADLINE TO APPEAL: Friday, June 22, 2012

This amendment was submitted to DLCD for review prior to adoption pursuant to ORS 197.830(2)(b) only persons who participated in the local government proceedings leading to adoption of the amendment are eligible to appeal this decision to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of intent to appeal with the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) no later than 21 days from the date the decision was mailed to you by the local government. If you have questions, check with the local government to determine the appeal deadline. Copies of the notice of intent to appeal must be served upon the local government and others who received written notice of the final decision from the local government. The notice of intent to appeal must be served and filed in the form and manner prescribed by LUBA, (OAR Chapter 661, Division 10). Please call LUBA at 503-373-1265, if you have questions about appeal procedures.

*NOTE: The Acknowledgment or Appeal Deadline is based upon the date the decision was mailed by local government. A decision may have been mailed to you on a different date than it was mailed to DLCD. As a result, your appeal deadline may be earlier than the above date specified. NO LUBA Notification to the jurisdiction of an appeal by the deadline, this Plan Amendment is acknowledged.

Cc: Jim Jacks, City of Jefferson Gordon Howard, DLCD Urban Planning Specialist

<paa> YA
DLCD
Notice of Adoption

Jurisdiction: City of Jefferson  Local file number: LA 2011-01
Date of Adoption: 5/24/2012  Date Mailed: 6/1/2012

Was a Notice of Proposed Amendment (Form 1) mailed to DLCD? ☒ Yes  ☐ No  Date: 12/10/2011
☒ Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment  ☒ Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment
☒ Land Use Regulation Amendment  ☒ Zoning Map Amendment
☐ New Land Use Regulation  ☐ Other:

Summarize the adopted amendment. Do not use technical terms. Do not write “See Attached”.

Ordinance 675 amends (1) the comp plan map to add 29.45 acres of city owned land (sewer & water treatment plants, water reservoir and city cemetery) to the UGB and applies the "Public" designation to the properties; (2) adopts an updated population projection for 2032 of 5,370 in coordination with Marion County; (3) the Development Code to add a Public Facility District which will be used once the properties are annexed to the city.

Does the Adoption differ from proposal? Please select one

Yes, the proposed acreage to be added was 27.17 acres, but during the coordination with Marion County the north half of Cemetery Hill Road from the existing city limits to the subject cemetery property was added and then the county's GIS system calculated the total area to be added as 29.45 acres.

Plan Map Changed from: Marion County Primary Ag to: Jefferson Public
Zone Map Changed from: NA to: NA
Location: W. of N. Main at E. North St., & E. Ceme. Hill Rd  Acres Involved: 29
Specify Density: Previous: NA  New: NA

Applicable statewide planning goals:

Was an Exception Adopted? ☐ YES ☒ NO
Did DLCD receive a Notice of Proposed Amendment...
35-days prior to first evidentiary hearing? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

DLCD File No. 002-11 (19104) [17064]
If no, do the statewide planning goals apply? [ ] Yes [ ] No
If no, did Emergency Circumstances require immediate adoption? [ ] Yes [ ] No

DLCD file No.
Please list all affected State or Federal Agencies, Local Governments or Special Districts:
NA

Local Contact: Jim Jacks, Mid-Will. Valley COG Phone: (503) 540-1619
Address: 105 High St. SE Fax Number: 503-588-6094
City: Salem, OR Zip: 97301- E-mail Address: jjacks@mwvcog.org

ADOPTION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS
This Form 2 must be received by DLCD no later than 5 working days after the ordinance has been signed by the public official designated by the jurisdiction to sign the approved ordinance(s) per ORS 197.615 and OAR Chapter 660, Division 18

1. This Form 2 must be submitted by local jurisdictions only (not by applicant).
2. When submitting the adopted amendment, please print a completed copy of Form 2 on light green paper if available.
3. Send this Form 2 and one complete paper copy (documents and maps) of the adopted amendment to the address below.
4. Submittal of this Notice of Adoption must include the final signed ordinance(s), all supporting finding(s), exhibit(s) and any other supplementary information (ORS 197.615).
5. Deadline to appeals to LUBA is calculated twenty-one (21) days from the receipt (postmark date) by DLCD of the adoption (ORS 197.830 to 197.845).
6. In addition to sending the Form 2 - Notice of Adoption to DLCD, please also remember to notify persons who participated in the local hearing and requested notice of the final decision (ORS 197.615).
7. Submit one complete paper copy via United States Postal Service, Common Carrier or Hand Carried to the DLCD Salem Office and stamped with the incoming date stamp.
8. Please mail the adopted amendment packet to:
ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST
DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
635 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 150
SALEM, OREGON 97301-2540

9. Need More Copies? Please print forms on 8½ -1/2x11 green paper only if available. If you have any questions or would like assistance, please contact your DLCD regional representative or contact the DLCD Salem Office at (503) 373-0050 x238 or e-mail plan.amendments@state.or.us.
Memorandum

TO: JEFFERSON CITY COUNCIL
FROM: JIM JACKS, CITY PLANNING CONSULTANT
SUBJ: 3rd READING OF ORDINANCE 675 AND REVISING THE ORDINANCE TO INDICATE THE ADDITION OF 29.45 ACRES TO THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY, RATHER THAN 27.22 ACRES
DATE: MAY 24, 2012

BACKGROUND

Ordinance 675 was read by title only and passed for the first and second times on February 23, 2010. At that point the city’s adoption process for the urban growth boundary (UGB) expansion was tabled so the proposed UGB expansion could be reviewed and approved by Marion County.

City Ordinance 675 amends:

1. The Jefferson Comprehensive Plan to:
   a. Update the Public Facilities Policy 1 of the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan to include an updated 20-year population projection of 5,370 for the period 2012 to 2032 which replaces the coordinated Marion County population projection of 5,121 adopted in 2010 for the period 2010 to 2030.
   b. Add about 27.22 acres of city owned land, and public right-of-way, to the Jefferson urban growth boundary (UGB) (29.45 acres based on the recommended change in this memo). The properties are currently used for sewer system treatment facilities, water system treatment facilities, two water system concrete tank reservoirs and a city owned and operated cemetery.
   c. Amend the Comprehensive Plan Map to apply the Public designation to the land proposed to be added to the UGB.

2. The Jefferson Development Code to add a new Public Facility District that would be applied to the land added to the UGB after it is annexed to the city.

COUNTY REVIEW AND ADOPTION PROCESS

Oregon Revised Statute 197 requires a city and county to work together and agree on the proper location of the UGB. Thus, the county must also approve UGB changes. At the time of the county’s approval the county must (1) approve the city’s proposed 20-year population projection of 5,370 thereby extending the 2010 to 2030 population projection by two years to be a 2012 to 2032 projection, (2) remove the county’s comprehensive plan designation of Primary Agriculture because
the city will place the city’s comprehensive plan designation of Public on the property, and (3) change the zone from the county’s Exclusive Farm Use to the county’s Public Zone (once the land is annexed the city will apply the city’s Public Facility Zone to the property).

The Marion County Board of Commissioners held a duly noticed public hearing on May 9, 2012 and passed an ordinance on May 16, 2012 approving the population projection and the comprehensive plan and zoning changes noted in the above paragraph. The ordinance included an emergency clause and, therefore, was effective on May 17, 2012. No parties submitted written or oral comments in opposition during the Board of Commissioners hearing process.

REVISION TO ACREAGE FIGURE

During the county’s review and hearing process, county staff noted the county’s geographic information system map showed the current UGB along Cemetery Hill Road from the east city limits to the cemetery (about 1,200 feet+) to be the centerline of the public right-of-way and requested the UGB be moved to the north right-of-way line so that the entire right-of-way would be in the UGB. See the upper right corner of the attached Marion County map showing a black line along the north right-of-way line of Cemetery Hill Road.

The city map used for this UGB expansion showed the current UGB along the north right-of-way line, but the original map at the time the comprehensive plan was first acknowledged by the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission on January 12, 1979 could not be produced. The county and city staffs agree the UGB should be on the north right-of-way line so that all of the Cemetery Hill Road right-of-way will be in the UGB. Such inclusion will allow the city to annex all of the right-of-way in the future when abutting properties are annexed. If all of the right-of-way is in the UGB and then is annexed in the future, it will expedite review and approval of development applications and the construction of improvements to the road when development occurs.

The memo dated February 23, 2012 that is Exhibit “A” to the city’s Ordinance 675 includes a UGB expansion figure of 27.22 acres. Including the north half of the Cemetery Hill Road right-of-way will increase the figure to 29.45 acres, an increase of 2.23 acres. Some of the increase is likely due to differences between the city’s figure based on acreages from the Assessor’s Map and the county’s figure based on their computerized geographic information system mapping.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the 3rd reading of Ordinance 675 include a revision to the acreage figure to account for the current UGB at the centerline of Cemetery Hill Road to be moved to the north right-of-way line of the Cemetery Hill Road right-of-way line.

A suggested city council motion follows:

I MOVE THIRD READING OF ORDINANCE 675 BY TITLE ONLY AND THAT SECTION 1 BE AMENDED TO CHANGE THE 27.22 ACRE FIGURE TO 29.45 ACRES.

Attachment:
1. Marion County Map

LA 2011-01, Staff Report to CC Revising the Acreage Figure
LA 12-001 Jefferson UGB Expansion

Legend
- Railroad
- Creeks
- City Limits
- Parcels
- UGB
- Area of Expansion

16.02 acres
Existing:
County Comprehensive Plan: Primary Agriculture
County Zone: EFU

Proposed:
City Comprehensive Plan: Public
County Zone: Public

13.43 acres
(11.15 acres developable)
(2.28 acres adjacent right-of-way)

Existing:
County Comprehensive Plan: Primary Agriculture
County Zone: EFU

Proposed:
City Comprehensive Plan: Public
County Zone: Public
ORDINANCE #675

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE JEFFERSON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO EXPAND THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY; APPLY THE PUBLIC DESIGNATION TO THE LAND ADDED TO THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY; ADOPT AN UPDATED POPULATION PROJECTION TO 2032; AMEND THE PUBLIC FACILITIES POLICY 1; AND ADOPT A PUBLIC FACILITY DISTRICT IN THE DEVELOPMENT CODE

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2012, the Planning Commission conducted a public hearing regarding Planning File No. LA 2011-01, an application by the City of Jefferson to amend the Comprehensive Plan and adopt a Public Facility District in the Development Code, at which time the public was given a full opportunity to be present and heard on the matter; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2012, the Planning Commission considered the information provided by City staff and the public, and upon deliberation, voted to recommend the City Council approve the proposed amendments; and

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2012, the City Council conducted a public hearing regarding Planning File No. LA 2011-01, an application by the City of Jefferson to amend the Comprehensive Plan and adopt a Public Facility District in the Development Code, at which time the public was given a full opportunity to be present and heard on the matter; and

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2012, the City Council considered the information provided by City staff and the public, received the recommendation of the Planning Commission, and upon deliberation, voted to approve the proposed amendments.

NOW THEREFORE, THE CITY OF JEFFERSON, OREGON, ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council of the City of Jefferson does hereby amend the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan Map to add to the urban growth boundary the 29.45 acres comprising the properties listed in Table 1, “Summary of Tax Lots and Their Sizes To Be Added To The UGB,” in Exhibit “A” and by this reference made a part hereof, and shown in Attachments 2 and 3 in Exhibit “A” and by this reference made a part hereof.

Section 2. The City Council of the City of Jefferson does hereby amend the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan Map to apply the Public Designation to the properties added to the urban growth boundary in Section 1, above.

Section 3. The City Council of the City of Jefferson does hereby adopt the 2010 Marion County coordinated population projection for Marion County and the incorporated cities in Marion County and adopt an updated City of Jefferson population projection of 5,370 population in the year 2032 for public facilities purposes, and amend the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan’s Public Facility Policy 1 on pages 26 and 44 to read as follows:

Policy 1: The city will provide water and sewerage facilities with a capacity to meet the needs of 5,370 people in 2032 while maintaining local, state and federal health standards.
Section 4. The City Council of the City of Jefferson does hereby amend the Jefferson Development Code to add a new Public Facility District as Chapter 12.31, Public Facility (PF) District, as shown in Section V, Proposed Public Facility District, pages 21-23 in Exhibit “A” and by this reference made a part hereof.

Section 5. The City Council of the City of Jefferson does hereby adopt those certain findings of fact, conclusionary findings and supporting documentation shown in Section III, Findings of Fact and Conclusions, pages 3-20, in Exhibit “A” and by this reference made a part hereof.

Enacted by City Council: May 31, 2012
Effective: June 22, 2012

Michael D. Myers, Mayor

ATTEST:

Sarah Cook, City Recorder
EXHIBIT “A”

Memorandum

MID-WILLAMETTE VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
105 HIGH STREET S. E., SALEM, OREGON 97301-3667
TELEPHONE: (503) 588-6177  FAX: (503) 588-6094

TO: JEFFERSON CITY COUNCIL
FROM: JIM JACKS, CITY PLANNING CONSULTANT
SUBJ: LEGISLATIVE AMENDMENT 2011-01 TO THE JEFFERSON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO (1) AMEND THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY TO ADD THE CITY’S PUBLIC FACILITY SITES AND THE CITY CEMETERY; (2) TO ADOPT AN UPDATED POPULATION PROJECTION OUT TO 2032 AND INCORPORATE IT INTO THE PUBLIC FACILITIES POLICIES; AND (3) TO ADOPT A NEW PUBLIC FACILITIES DISTRICT INTO THE DEVELOPMENT CODE
DATE: FEBRUARY 23, 2012

I. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

The City of Jefferson proposes:

1. To amend the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan to:
   a. Update the Public Facilities Policy 1 of the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan to include the coordinated Marion County population projection adopted in 2010 for the period 2010 to 2030 and extend it to 2032.
   b. Add about 27.22 acres of city-owned land and public right-of-way, to the Jefferson urban growth boundary (UGB). The properties are currently used for sewer system treatment facilities, water system treatment facilities, two water system concrete tank reservoirs and a city-owned and operated cemetery.
   c. Amend the Comprehensive Plan Map to apply the Public designation to the land proposed to be added to the UGB.

2. Amend the Jefferson Development Code to add a new Public Facility District that would be applied to the land added to the UGB after it is annexed to the city.

The reason for the proposal is, the city desires the city-owned land and the city-maintained and operated facilities be in the UGB and city limits thereby allowing the city to control the land uses on the properties.

In the past, land use applications for the placement of facilities on the west side property and on the east side property were submitted to Marion County and were subject to Marion County zoning requirements because the properties were outside the UGB and the city limits. After the properties
are added to the UGB and annexed, a Site Development Review (SDR) application for development of public projects would be submitted to the city and will be subject to the Jefferson Development Code.

The proposed new Public Facility District would allow public facilities outright and they would be subject to the Site Development Review (SDR) process. Public facility projects in the 100-year floodplain would obtain a Floodplain Development Permit (FDP) from the city, not Marion County. The city would save funds by not submitting an application fee for land use applications to Marion County. Staff would save a small amount of time because preparation of the SDR and FDP applications would be coordinated with local city staff, not Marion County staff in Salem.

II. BACKGROUND

The city has owned the property containing the sewer and water treatment facilities on the west side of the city since 1958 or before (see Vicinity Map at Attachment 1). The city has owned and operated the property containing the cemetery on the east side of the city since 1935. The city has owned the property containing the two concrete water reservoir tanks abutting the east boundary of the cemetery for about 70 years (see Vicinity Map at Attachment 1). When the 1.75 million gallon tank was constructed the smaller tank was decommissioned and it is no longer used. It will be demolished when funds become available.

When the city’s first Comprehensive Plan and Plan Map were adopted per Ordinance 412 on September 27, 1977 (acknowledged by LCDC January 12, 1979), the Plan Map, included only about 5.35 acres of the 20.68 acre west side property in the UGB which left the remaining approximately 15.33 acres outside the urban growth boundary (UGB). The 5.35 acre area was in the city limits at that time. Similarly, the Plan Map did not include any of the approximately 10.98 acre east side property in the UGB. The 10.98 acre area was not in the city limits at that time and is not in the city limits today. It is separated from the current city limits by land that is in the UGB, but has not yet been annexed and developed. It is not known why the west side and east side properties were not included in the original UGB.

In 2011 the Public Works Director, Steve Human, the City Planner, Gregg Gorthy, and Jim Jacks, the planning consultant with the Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments (MWVCOG) met and discussed adding the west side and east side properties to the UGB. The issue was presented to the Planning Commission on November 3, 2011, and consistent with the Jefferson Development Code, Section 12.104.020, B, 1 (old Code, 12.08.080, A) the Commission unanimously passed a motion initiating an amendment to the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan to add the properties to the UGB. The reason for adding the land to the UGB is to bring the properties under the control of the city comprehensive plan’s Public Facility Plan Map designation, and once annexed, to apply the proposed new Public Facility District.

The east side site does not abut the current city limits and it is not expected to be annexed within the next 5 years. The area between the east side site and the current city limits is in the current UGB and is designated Residential on the comprehensive plan map. Once it is annexed, then the east side site will abut the city limits and could be annexed.

The western approximately 15.33 acres of the 20.68 acre west side site is outside the city limits and UGB, but it abuts the current city limits and the city expects to annex it (voter approved annexation) as soon as it is added to the UGB and it is designated with the Public comprehensive plan map designation. At the time of annexation the city’s proposed new Public Facility District would be applied to the property. The 5.35 acre portion of the west side property that is currently in the city limits is designated Commercial in the Comprehensive Plan Map and is zoned Commercial.
III. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS

A. Facts.

1. Water System. The April 1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan by Westech Engineering, Salem, OR, sets forth the water system for the city. The approximately 100-page document includes the following:

   Executive Summary.
   Introduction.
   Population and Community Development.
   Present and Future Water Demands.
   Existing Water System.
   Long Term Water System Plans.
   Recommended Capital Improvement Priorities.
   Water System Financing.
   Appendices

   The plan has been used to guide the city's improvements to the water system and water treatment plant. The Master Plan calls for additional water treatment plant improvements at the west side site, reservoir capacity increases at the east side site and other system upgrades to accommodate future population and jobs growth. The original 5 ground wells that supplied the city with water are no longer used as the primary supply. Three of the wells are used as secondary back-up water sources. A water intake on the Santiam River approximately at the SW corner of the west side site (just upstream from the sewer treatment plant outfall into the river) is now the primary water supply. The water is pumped to the water treatment plant on the west side site and then is pumped to the service area and to the reservoir on the east side site.

   The city's water treatment plant was constructed on the west side site in 1989. It was designed to be expanded with additional conventional filtration equipment. Such incremental expansions may not be possible in the future because the federal regulatory agencies may require microfiltration facilities. The Public Works Director has indicated the west side site has adequate area to accommodate a new microfiltration plant. The city is in the process of taking the necessary steps to be able to construct a new water treatment plant in about 5 years on the west side site. In the past as development occurred the west side site was "shadow planned" so that there is room for the new water plant.

   The city's water reservoir site on the east side site contains two reservoirs. The smaller reservoir is empty and decommissioned. It will be demolished when funds are available. The larger reservoir was built in 1999 and has capacity for 1.75 million gallons. Its capacity exceeds the approximately 700,000 gallon per day peak usage periods during the summer. An additional reservoir is not anticipated for many years. The Public Works Director has indicated the east side site has sufficient area to accommodate another large reservoir when it is needed. At this time it is anticipated that no new land will be needed for a new reservoir.

2. Sewer System. The September 2000 Waste Water System Facility Plan by Westech Engineering, Salem, OR, sets forth the waste water system for the city. The approximately 100-page document includes the following:

   Executive Summary.
   Introduction.
   Study Area and Planning Coordination.
   Regulatory Requirements.
   Description of Existing System.
Wastewater Flows and Loads.
Collection System Evaluation and Recommendations.
Treatment System Evaluation and Recommendations.
Recommended Capital Improvement Priorities and Implementation Plan.

Appendices.

The plan has been used to guide the city’s improvements to the sewer system and the sewer treatment plant. A new sewer treatment plant constructed with Federal funds was opened in 2010. It is on the 15.33 acre area outside the UGB and city limits. Placement of the new plant in Marion County’s Exclusive Farm Use Zone was reviewed and approved by Marion County and a floodplain development permit was issued. The Master Plan calls for additional sewer facilities at the west side site to accommodate future population and jobs growth.

The 2010 sewer treatment plant can serve a population of 4,500 to 4,700. The July 1, 2010 population estimate for the city was 3,115 (Oregon Population Research Center). The plant is capable of accommodating an approximately 50% increase in the 2010 population before expansion is needed. The layout of the west side site has been designed to accommodate future expansions of the sewer treatment facility. At this time it is anticipated that no new land will be needed to expand the existing facility.

3. Population. In 2009 Marion County and the cities in Marion County, including the City of Jefferson, participated in the adoption of a coordinated population projection. The following table shows the city’s population projection in 5-year increments. The Marion County Population Projection adopted in 2010 is used in this UGB expansion proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>Ave %/Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2,515</td>
<td>3,162</td>
<td>3,598</td>
<td>4,085</td>
<td>4,583</td>
<td>5,121</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The Oregon Population Research Center estimated Jefferson’s population at 3,115 as of July 1, 2010.

The previous population projections forecasted steady growth. The April 1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan (Table 2-2, p. 2.5) used a figure of 3,077 in 2010 and 3,500 in 2016 which are very close to the above coordinated population projections. The Marion County coordinated countywide population allocation adopted on October 21, 1998 (Ordinance 1091) showed a projected population for Jefferson of 2,895 in 2020 which was very small compared to the actual population of 3,115 in 2010. The September 2000 Wastewater System Facilities Plan (Table 2-4, p. 2-8) used the Marion County population allocation of 2,895 in 2020.

The Marion County 20 year population projection adopted in 2010 covering the period 2010 to 2030 is revised in this proposal to be a 20 year period from 2012 to 2032. The 5,121 projected for 2030 is increased by 2.4 percent per year for two years to give a population projection of 5,244 in 2031 (5,121 x 1.024) and 5,370 in 2032 (5,244 x 1.024).

4. Acreages. The west side site is Tax Lot 2300 on Assessor’s Map T10S, R3W, Section 2. About 5.35 acres are currently in the UGB and city limits, but the remaining 15.33 acres of the 20.68 acre site are outside the UGB and city limits. The 15.33 acre area is proposed to be added to the UGB and the city will apply the comprehensive plan’s Public designation to the property. The city intends to annex the 15.33 acre area to the city limits once it is added to the UGB. As part of the annexation, the city will apply the proposed new Public Facility District.

LA 2011-01, UGB, Staff Report to CC
The east side site is in five tax lots (T10S, R3W, Section 1). They comprise about 10.98 acres which are all outside the UGB and city limits. The 10.98 acre area and the right-of-way for Cemetery Hill Road (40 x 993 = 39,720 sq. ft. or 0.91 ac) is proposed to be added to the UGB.

The following table shows the tax lots for the west side and east side sites and their acreages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessor Map</th>
<th>Tax Lot</th>
<th>Tax ID No.</th>
<th>Acres In</th>
<th>Acres Out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WEST AREA</td>
<td>10 3W 02</td>
<td>02300</td>
<td>R37209</td>
<td>15.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 3W 02</td>
<td>02300A</td>
<td>R37210</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST AREA</td>
<td>10 3W 01</td>
<td>00701</td>
<td>R37293</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 3W 01</td>
<td>00702</td>
<td>R37294</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir</td>
<td>10 3W 01</td>
<td>00702L1</td>
<td>R44707</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T Tower</td>
<td>10 3W 01</td>
<td>00703</td>
<td>R334022</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Reser.</td>
<td>10 3W 01</td>
<td>00800</td>
<td>R36964</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>10 3W 01</td>
<td>00900</td>
<td>R36958</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional PLA*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ceme Total</td>
<td>8.56 ac]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceme Hill Rd:</td>
<td>Right of way</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| GRAND TOT:   | 27.22 |

* See No. 5 immediately following.

5. **Donation to Cemetery.** Several months before this UGB expansion request was initiated by the Planning Commission on November 3, 2011, the owner of the EFU zoned property abutting the cemetery to the north contacted the city with a proposal to donate to the city sufficient land to “square-up” the northwest corner of the cemetery. Marion County approved Property Line Adjustment Case No. 11-030 on December 15, 2011, increasing Tax Lot 800 from 1.27 acres to 2.16 acres, an increase of 0.89 acres.

The cemetery is composed of Tax Lots 800 (2.16 ac.) and 900 (6.40 ac.) and is about 8.56 total acres, including the recently added 0.89 acres.

6. **Ownership Dates.** The city acquired the properties in the following years. In some cases the city has owned the property for so long it is not clear when ownership was established.

West side site:

The site was acquired on or before 1958. The two lagoons were constructed in about 1958. In 1968 the basic collection system was installed. In 1978 the activated sludge portion of the waste water treatment plant was constructed and it has had four upgrades. In 2010 the new waste water treatment plant was opened and the two lagoons became “polishing ponds.”
East side site:

Cemetery: Donated to the city in 1935 with additional land acquired in 1968 and in 2011.

Water Reservoirs: The original two reservoirs on the site were constructed in the 1950's and 1964. The reservoir constructed in the 1950's was removed many years ago. The 410,000 gallon reservoir constructed in 1964 was taken off line in 1998 and will be demolished when funds are available. The current reservoir is a 1.75 million gallon concrete tank and was constructed in 1999.

7. Comprehensive Plan Designations and Zoning. The comprehensive plan designations and zoning districts are:

West side site:
The approximately 5.35 acres inside the current UGB and city limits are designated "Commercial" in the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan Map and are zoned "Commercial" in the Jefferson Zone Map.

The approximately 15.33 acres outside the current UGB and city limits are designated Primary Agriculture in the Marion County Comprehensive Plan and are zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) in the Marion County Zone Map.

East side site:
The approximately 11.89 acres (10.98 ac. private property and 0.91 ac. of public right-of-way) outside the current UGB and city limits are designated Primary Agriculture in the Marion County Comprehensive Plan and are zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) in the Marion County Zone Map.

8. Current Uses. The subject properties are used for the following uses:

West side site:
The approximately 5.35 acres inside the current UGB and city limits is not developed.

The approximately 15.33 acres outside the current UGB and city limits are developed with sewer treatment facilities including two “finishing ponds” at the west end, buildings for offices and labs to the east of the ponds, and a structure for sewage treatment to the east of the offices and labs. Also included on this site is a water treatment building east of the ponds. A paved driveway with parking for the buildings serves the site and provides access to N. Main Street at its intersection with E. North Street.

East side sites:
The approximately 0.91 acres of Cemetery Hill Road right-of-way which provides access to the cemetery at its western end and runs along the south side of the cemetery is improved with gravel. The road also runs along the south side of the water tank reservoir properties and provides access to the reservoirs.

The approximately 10.98 acres outside the current UGB and city limits are developed with an approximately 8.56 acre cemetery on Tax Lots 800 and 900 (including the 0.91 area added). The cemetery was originally donated to the city in 1935 and an additional area was acquired in 1968. The cemetery property is owned by the city and the operation and maintenance of the cemetery is performed by city employees. For
example, if an internment is desired, a person must contact the City of Jefferson and pay the city for the site. The city prepares the site and closes the site. City staff maintain the records of the burials at the cemetery.

The smaller decommissioned concrete water reservoir tank (410,000 gallons) is on Tax Lot 701. The larger (1.75 million gallon) concrete water reservoir tank is on Tax Lot 702. The two lots are approximately 1.62 acres and are owned by the city. A 10 inch water main in Cemetery Hill Road connects the reservoirs to the service areas in the city limits.

The AT&T communications facility is on Tax Lot 702 (1.12 acres). It is in the NE corner of the parcel and is about 50 feet from the 410,000 gallon water tank.

Tax Lot 703 (0.80 acres) is vacant and available for an additional water reservoir.

The cemetery, reservoirs and communications facility are accessed by Cemetery Hill Road (County Road 925) which is a dead-end road. It is an eastern extension of E. North Street. It also serves three farm houses less than one-quarter mile to the east of the cemetery/reservoir site. The Cemetery Hill Road right-of-way is 66 feet wide from the current city limits to the west boundary of the cemetery and is 40 feet wide along the south side of the cemetery extending to the farm houses.

9. Abutting Properties. The comprehensive plan map designations, zones and uses on the abutting properties are:

West side site:
East: East of the site the abutting properties are in the UGB and in the city limits. They are designated on the comprehensive plan map "commercial" and are zoned "commercial." The uses are generally commercial (auto shop, building supply retailer, mini-storage and a church. Three lots with single family dwellings front on N. 2nd Street, but they do not abut the city's public facility property.

North: North of the portion of the site that is outside the UGB and city limits, the abutting property is outside the UGB and city limits and is designated Primary Agriculture in the Marion County comprehensive plan and is zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). The land is used for farming.

West: West of the site is the Santiam River and west of the river is a sand and gravel operation in Linn County.

South: South of the portion of the site that is outside the UGB and city limits, the abutting property is outside the UGB and city limits and is designated Primary Agriculture in the Marion County comprehensive plan and is zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). The land is used for farming.

East side site:
East: East of the site the abutting property is outside the UGB and city limits. It is an approximately 90 acre parcel designated Primary Agriculture in the Marion County comprehensive plan and is zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). The land is used for farming. This owner also owns the land to the south of the site (see below).

North: North and northwest of the site the abutting property is outside the UGB and city limits. It is an approximately 112 acre parcel designated Primary Agriculture in the Marion County comprehensive plan and is zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). The land is used for farming.
West: West and south of Cemetery Hill Road the property is inside the UGB and outside the city limits. There are about 6 parcels ranging in area from 1 to 7 acres. They are designated Low Density Residential in the Jefferson comprehensive plan and are zoned Urban Transition – 3 (UT-3) by Marion County. The land is used for farming and rural residences.

South: South of the site across Cemetery Hill Road the property is outside the UGB and city limits. It is an approximately 90 acre parcel designated Primary Agriculture in the Marion County comprehensive plan and is zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). The land is used for farming. This owner also owns the land to the east of the site (see above).

B. Criteria for Amending the Comprehensive Plan and Development Code.

1. Section 12.104.020 of the Jefferson Development Code (effective 7/1/11 per Ordinance 672 passed 5/26/11) requires legislative changes to the comprehensive plan and development code to be initiated by city staff, the planning commission or the city council. On November 3, 2011, the Planning Commission unanimously passed a motion directing staff to prepare the necessary amendments to bring the city owned properties containing the sewer, water and cemetery facilities into the UGB.

2. Section 12.104.050, A – G, of the Jefferson Development Code (effective 7/1/11 per Ordinance 672 passed 5/26/11) sets forth the criteria for a legislative, Type D, application. They are:

“A. The applicable Comprehensive Plan Policies and Objectives;”

The objectives and policies of the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan were reviewed and carefully considered. The objectives and policies determined to be applicable follow.

“Agricultural Lands:” (p. 23)

“Objective: To retain the agricultural use of land in those areas where it is deemed the highest and best use, given the soil conditions of the area, and the suitability of competing uses.”

“Policy 1: Urban services will not be extended to land designated for open space of [or] exclusive farm use.”

Finding: The properties proposed to be added to the UGB have been used for public facilities extending back to as long ago as 1935 for the cemetery and 1964 for the water reservoirs on the east side site, and 1958 for the west side site. They have been used for public facilities since before the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan was adopted on September 27, 1977. Marion County designated the west side site and the east side site Primary Agriculture in the Marion County Comprehensive Plan and zoned them Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) in the Marion County Zone Map.

The EFU Zone allows public facilities. Over the years Marion County has approved public facilities on the west side and east side sites. An AT&T wireless communications facility was approved for the property with the 1.75 million gallon water reservoir on the east side site. Over the years the City of Jefferson’s development applications and Marion County’s approvals of development on the west side and east side sites for public facilities has resulted in the lands being used for non-agricultural uses. The EFU Zone allows public facilities and, therefore, one could contend the existing facilities are rural uses, but another contention could be the uses are urban because they are substantial buildings whose only purpose is to serve and support urban levels of development in the city limits (the lagoons, sewer treatment building, water treatment facilities, etc.).
building, office for the laboratory functions and the Public Works Department, and water reservoir). The cemetery does not include substantial buildings, but the majority of the internments are for the residents of the city, thus one could contend it is an urban cemetery.

It would be more appropriate for the lands to be in the UGB and city limits because they are developed with urban levels of public facilities. It is not appropriate for the lands to continue to be designated Primary Agriculture or to be zoned EFU because no agricultural activities occur on the properties. There is insufficient area on the sites for agricultural activities, however, there is room on the sites for public facility expansions. Over the years the city proposed and Marion County approved non-agricultural development on the properties because they were owned by the city and they already contained structures and buildings related to the city’s public facility systems at the time the Marion County comprehensive plan and zoning were applied to the properties. The actions of the city and county have deemed public facilities that support urban development in the city limits to be the highest and best use, not agriculture.

The Agricultural Lands Objective and Policy 1 are met because agricultural use of the properties was not retained and non-agricultural uses were deemed to be the highest and best use.

"Economy of City:" (p. 25)

"Objective: To improve the economic vitality of the Jefferson area."

Finding: The city's public facilities for sewer, water and cemetery services must be maintained and continue to be improved and enlarged to support the economic vitality of the Jefferson area. The west side site and the east side site are already developed with significant structures and building serving and supporting urban development in the city limits. The west side site abuts the Santiam River and has been the site of two treatment ponds since 1958. The west side site is on low ground near the Santiam River which allows the sewer system to operate on gravity flows whenever the topography allows it. The east side site is on the only hill near the city which allows the water system to provide adequate water pressure at the faucet for development in the city. The water and sewer systems are adequate, with periodic upgrades and expansions, to provide adequate services to the existing and future land uses in the city.

The economy objective is met because the economic vitality of the city is supported by the city's public facilities on the west side site and the east side site.

"Public Facilities and Services:" (p. 26)

"Objective: To provide public services in a timely, cost efficient manner."

Finding: The city's sewer, water and cemetery services are provided in a timely and cost efficient manner. Development in the city limits must be provided with sewer and water facilities at the time of development. The gravity flow capability of the sewer system with flows running to the low point in the city at the west side site next to the Santiam River provide an efficient sewer system. The water reservoirs are on the only high ground (358 feet above sea level) in the area and such height allows the construction of at-grade water reservoir tanks which creates water pressure for the city's water system. The at-grade tanks allow for efficient maintenance and operation compared to reservoirs that are at lower topographic levels, but are elevated on tall supports.

The Objective to provide public services in a timely and cost efficient manner is met.

"Policy 1: The city will provide water and sewerage facilities with a capacity to meet the needs of 3500 people while maintaining local, state and federal health standards."
Finding: As part of this post-acknowledgement plan amendment, the population projection is proposed to be extended to the year 2032 with a population of 5,370. The proposed revised Policy 1 would read, "The city will provide water and sewerage facilities with a capacity to meet the needs of 5,370 people in 2032 while maintaining local, state and federal health standards."

In 2009 Marion County and the cities in Marion County, including the City of Jefferson, participated in the adoption of a coordinated population projection. The following table shows the city's population projection in 5-year increments. The Marion County Population Projection adopted in 2010 is used in this UGB expansion proposal.

POPULATION PROJECTION FROM 2005 TO 2030

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005 Actual</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>Ave %/Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,515</td>
<td>3,162</td>
<td>3,598</td>
<td>4,085</td>
<td>4,583</td>
<td>5,121</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The Oregon Population Research Center (PRC) estimated Jefferson's population at 3,115 as of July 1, 2010.

The previous population projections forecasted steady growth. The April 1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan (Table 2-2, p. 2.5) used a figure of 3,077 in 2010 and 3,500 in 2016. The 2010 figure is very close to the PRC's July 1, 2010 estimate of 3,115.

Marion County's October 21, 1998 (Ordinance 1091) coordinated countywide population allocation showed a projected population for Jefferson of 2,895 in 2020, which in retrospect was too low because the city included 3,115 in 2010.

The September 2000 Wastewater System Facilities Plan (Table 2-4, p. 2-8) used Marion County's 1998 population allocation of 2,895 in 2020 and 3,127 in 2024.

The Marion County 20 year population projection adopted in 2010 for the period 2010 to 2030 with a 2.4% per year growth rate for the city is revised in this proposal to be a 20 year period from 2012 to 2032. The 5,121 projected for 2030 is increased by 2.4 percent per year for two years to give a population projection of 5,244 in 2031 (5,121 x 1.024) and 5,370 in 2032 (5,244 x 1.024).

Policy 1 is met because the water and sewer facilities have the capacity to meet the needs of the city's new projected population of 5,370 in 2032. The sewer and water systems are capable of accommodating an approximately 50% increase in population up to about 4,500 to 4,700. The water and sewer systems will be improved and expanded in the future to meet the needs of the city's projected population of 5,370 in 2032 in accordance with the 1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan and the 2000 Wastewater System Facility Plan, or revised plans that may be prepared in the future. The west side site contains sufficient area to accommodate expansions of the sewer treatment facility and the water treatment facility. The east side site contains sufficient area to accommodate an additional large water reservoir. The city's cemetery contains sufficient area to accommodate additional internments. Its capacity may last longer than anticipated because the national rate of cremations is increasing significantly thereby resulting in fewer internments.

"Policy 2: No development will take place in urbanizable lands until water and sewerage services are provided."

Finding: The city's Municipal Code requires development to be served with public water and
sewerage services provided by the city. Policy 2 is met.

“Policy 3: All storm water run-off will be channeled into an effective drainage system.”

Finding: Policy 3 is not applicable because the west side site and the east side site do not contain storm water drainage facilities.

“Urbanization” (p. 27)

“Objective: To provide for the urbanization of enough land to meet the future needs of the residents of Jefferson.”

Finding: The proposed addition to the UGB will include the sewer and water treatment buildings, the sewer system’s “polishing ponds,” the water reservoirs, and the cemetery to support the future needs of residents of the city. The proposed new Public Facility District in the Jefferson Development Code will provide a zone that specifically allows sewer, water and cemetery facilities. The Urbanization Objective is met.

“Policy 1: The nature and role of the city will remain as a farm service center and bedroom community.”

Finding: Not applicable because the proposed UGB expansion and new Public Facility District does not affect the city in terms of its nature and role as a farm service center and bedroom community.

“Policy 2: Urban services will not be extended outside the Urban Services Boundary.”

Finding: Not applicable because the proposed UGB expansion and new Public Facility District does not address the extension of urban services outside the urban services boundary (UGB).

“Policy 3: An urban boundary will be created along the Santiam River and by a ring of residential development.”

Finding: The policy’s intent is not clear and does not appear to be intended to apply to public facility lands and uses, thus it is not applicable.

“Policy 4: Urban services will only be provided to lands within the city’s corporate limits.”

Finding: The city intends to annex the west side site in 2012 as soon as the site is added to the UGB. The west side site abuts the current city limits, thus it would meet the ORS 222 requirement that lands added to the city limits abut the current city limits. As part of the annexation, the city would apply the proposed new Public Facility District to the west side site and any development on the site in the future would be in accordance with the city’s regulations, not the current EFU Zone applied to the property by Marion County. The city does not intend to annex the east side site soon because it does not abut the current city limits. The land between the east side site and the current city limits is in the UGB and once it is annexed, then the east side site will abut the city limits and the city can annex it into the city limits.

“Policy 5: Once urban services have been extended to a parcel of land within the urban growth boundary that parcel shall be considered available for urban development.”

Finding: Not applicable because the proposed UGB expansion and new Public Facility District do not address the extension of urban services to a parcel of land.
“B. The applicable Statewide Planning Goals; and”

Goal 1, Citizen Involvement, is met because the city’s review and decision making regarding this comprehensive plan and development code amendment is following the process set forth in the Jefferson Development Code for the review and decision on legislative proposals. The Planning Commission and City Council each hold a duly noticed public hearing. The Planning Commission makes a recommendation to the City Council and the City Council makes a written decision in the form of an adopted ordinance. An appeal is provided by ORS 197 to the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals or the Land Conservation and Development Commission as applicable based on the issue appealed.

Goal 2, Land Use Planning, is met because the city’s review and decision making regarding this comprehensive plan and development code amendment includes an adequate factual base and follows the process set forth in ORS 197 for post-acknowledgement plan amendments.

Goal 3, Agricultural Lands, is not applicable because Oregon Administrative Rule 660-024-0020, (1), (b), Urban Growth Boundaries, states Goal 3 is not applicable. See (1)(b) below.

“(1) All statewide goals and related administrative rules are applicable when establishing or amending a UGB, except as follows:

(a) The exceptions process in Goal 2 and OAR chapter 660, division 4, is not applicable unless a local government chooses to take an exception to a particular goal requirement, for example, as provided in OAR 660-004-0010(1);

(b) Goals 3 and 4 are not applicable;”

Note: Subsections (1)(c) through (1)(g) are not shown as they relate to Goal 5, the transportation planning rule, Goal 15, Goals 16 to 18, and Goal 19, respectively, and thus do not apply to compliance with Goal 3.

Goal 4, Forest Lands, is not applicable because Oregon Administrative Rule 660-024-0020, (1), (b), Urban Growth Boundaries, states Goal 4 is not applicable. See (1)(b) below.

“(1) All statewide goals and related administrative rules are applicable when establishing or amending a UGB, except as follows:

(a) The exceptions process in Goal 2 and OAR chapter 660, division 4, is not applicable unless a local government chooses to take an exception to a particular goal requirement, for example, as provided in OAR 660-004-0010(1);

(b) Goals 3 and 4 are not applicable;”

Note: Subsections (1)(c) through (1)(g) are not shown as they relate to Goal 5, the transportation planning rule, Goal 15, Goals 16 to 18, and Goal 19, respectively, and thus do not apply to compliance with Goal 4.

Goal 5, Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, Open Spaces, is not applicable because the proposal does not involve natural resources, scenic areas, historic areas or open spaces.

Goal 6, Air, Water and Land Resources Quality, is not applicable because the proposal is to add land with existing public facilities to the UGB.

Goal 7, Areas Subject to Natural Hazards, is applicable because the proposal includes adding the west side site to the UGB which is partially in the 100-year flood plain. Development on the west side site must comply with the Marion County floodplain regulations and after annexation to the city limits must comply with the city’s floodplain regulations. The existing substantial development on the west side site has been constructed in accordance with the Marion County...
floodplain regulations. The proposed addition of the west side site to the UGB is consistent with
Goal 7.

Goal 8, Recreation Needs, is not applicable because the proposal does not involve parks or
recreation.

Goal 9, Economic Development, is not applicable because the proposal does not involve the
addition to the UGB of land for economic development. The proposal involves the addition of
land with substantial public facilities already built.

Goal 10, Housing, is not applicable because the proposal does not involve the addition of land to
the UGB for housing.

Goal 11, Public Facilities and Services, is applicable because the existing Public Facilities and
Services Policy 1 (Jefferson Comprehensive Plan, p. 26) is proposed to be changed to replace
the reference to a population projection of 3,500 (no year specified) to a population of 5,370 in
2032 based on the 2010 Marion County coordinated population projection.

“To plan and develop a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and
services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development.”

Finding: Over the years the city has planned and developed a timely, orderly and efficient
arrangement of sewer and water facilities to serve urban development. In 1980, just three
years after the city adopted its first comprehensive plan, the city’s population was 1,702
(Comp Plan, p. 10) and as late as the 1990 U.S. census it was only 1,805 (Oregon
Population Research Center). The 2000 U.S. census count was 2,487, a notable increase of
38% in 10 years. The 2010 U.S. census count was 3,098, another high increase of 25% in
10 years.

Oregon Revised Statute 197.712, (2), (e), does not require cities of less than 2,500
population to develop and adopt a public facility plan. Thus, the city was not required to
adopt a public facility plan until it reached a population of 2,515 as of July 1, 2005 (Oregon
Population Research Center annual population estimate). ORS 197.712, (2), (e), states:

“(e) A city or county shall develop and adopt a public facility plan for areas within an
urban growth boundary containing a population greater than 2,500 persons. The public
facility plan shall include rough cost estimates for public projects needed to provide
sewer, water and transportation for the land uses contemplated in the comprehensive
plan and land use regulations. Project timing and financing provisions of public
facility plans shall not be considered land use decisions.”

The city’s population exceeded 2,500 in 2005 and the city is now required by State Statute to
prepare and adopt a public facilities plan, however, until funding is identified to prepare a
public facilities plan, the water and sewer master plans will be used.

It is not the purpose of this UGB expansion to add land specifically for future water and
sewer facilities. Rather, its purpose is to add to the UGB public facility lands that the city has
owned for decades, and coincidentally, the Public Works Director indicates the west side
and east side sites have sufficient area to accommodate expansions of the water and sewer
systems to accommodate population increases for several years.

Despite not being required to prepare and adopt a public facility plan, in 1996 the city
adopted the “Water System Evaluation and Master Plan,” a 100-plus page document
prepared by Westech Engineering, Inc. The city has been using it to guide water system
decisions and investment. Also, in 2000 the city adopted the “Wastewater System Facilities
Plan," another 100-plus page document prepared by Westech Engineering. It is being used to guide sewer system decisions and investment. For example, a new waste water treatment plant was constructed and opened in 2010 based on the 2000 document and also on more recent analyses. It can accommodate an approximately 50% increase in population over the 2010 population (3,115) up to about 4,500 to 4,700 people and the 1.75 million gallon water reservoir can accommodate approximately a 50% increase in population.

Goal 12, Transportation, is not applicable because the proposal does not involve the transportation system.

Goal 13, Energy Conservation, is not applicable because the proposal does not involve energy conservation.

Goal 14, Urbanization, is applicable because the proposal involves amending the UGB to add lands used for public facilities. Goal 14 states, in part:

“To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities.”

Finding: The addition to the UGB of the west side and east side sites will provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use and will ensure the efficient use of land and provide for livable communities. The land proposed for inclusion in the UGB has been owned by the city for decades and is already developed with substantial sewer, water and cemetery facilities. The west side site is about 20.68 acres and about 5.35 of those acres are already in the UGB and city limits. Adding the remaining 15.33 acres which contain the "polishing ponds," water treatment building, sewer treatment building and laboratory/office building will ensure an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use.

The west side and east side sites are in Marion County’s EFU Zone. Over the years Marion County has approved land use applications by the city for the construction of new sewer and water facilities in the EFU Zone. Given the significant size and number of structures on the properties and that their only purpose is to serve urban development in the city limits, adding the west side and east side sites to the UGB would be an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use.

Goal 14 includes an associated Oregon Administrative Rule 660-024 which provides direction for complying with Goal 14. The rule requires two basic steps, (1) to identify a need for adding land to the UGB and (2) to analyze possible locations of the land to be added. The following addresses the need and the location requirements.

Land Need:

The basic requirement for land need is stated in OAR 660-024-0040(1):

“(1) The UGB must be based on the adopted 20-year population forecast for the urban area described in OAR 660-024-0030, and must provide for needed housing, employment and other urban uses such as public facilities, streets and roads, schools, parks and open space over the 20-year planning period consistent with the land need requirements of Goal 14 and this rule. The 20-year need determinations are estimates which, although based on the best available information and methodologies, should not be held to an unreasonably high level of precision.” (emphasis added)

Finding: The proposal includes an updated 20 year coordinated population forecast based on the 2010 Marion County 20 year forecast out to 2030. The proposal includes extending the 2030
forecast by 2 years to 2032. The requirement to base the UGB on an adopted 20 year population forecast is met.

Except for the addition of about 0.89 acres to the cemetery in 2011, the city has owned the 27.22 acres in the west side and east side sites for decades. In 1980 the population was 1,702 and the ratio of public facility land owned by the city to the population was about 1 acre per 62 people (1,702/27.22). In 1990 the population was 1,805 and the ratio of public facility land owned by the city to the population was about 1 acre per 66 people. In 2000 the population was 2,487 and the ratio of public facility land owned by the city to the population was about 1 acre per 91 people. In PRC July 1, 2010 population estimate was 3,115 and the ratio of public facility land owned by the city to the population was about 1 acre per 114 people.

Clearly, the city has been adding sewer and water facility capacity over the years, but has not been adding sewer and water facility land to the UGB as the population increased. The city owned land was large enough to accommodate the construction of new public facilities and expand existing public facilities without adding land to the UGB. The west side and east side sites could have been included in the original UGB, but for unknown reasons they were not. Now, 30 years after the initial UGB was established, they are proposed to be added. Their addition is not a function of population growth.

The reason for proposing to add public facilities land to the UGB is it is city owned land with city operated facilities and the city believes it is appropriate for such land to be in the UGB and eventually annexed to the city limits.

Based on the Public Works Director indicating the west side site has been "shadow planned" and it shows there is sufficient area to expand the sewer treatment plant and the water treatment plant on the site, the proposed UGB expansion does not include more than the current 15.33 west side site acres to accommodate future sewer and water facility expansion out to the year 2032.

Based on the Public Works Director indicating the reservoir portion of the east side site has been "shadow planned" and it shows there is sufficient area to construct a second million gallon reservoir on the site, the proposed UGB expansion does not include more than the current 2.42 reservoir acres to accommodate future reservoirs out to the year 2032.

Based on the 8.56 acres in the cemetery in 2012 and that it has sufficient area for many more grave sites, the proposed UGB expansion does not include more than the current 8.56 acres to accommodate future cemetery demands out to the year 2032. Many former Jefferson residents are buried in the cemetery. The city assumes more Jefferson residents will be buried in other cemeteries. Finally, it is not known how many residents of the rural area around Jefferson will be buried in the cemetery.

Regardless of the 20 year population forecast, the 27.22 acres proposed to be added to the UGB are currently developed with significant structures or are committed to public facilities. The west side site contains two "polishing ponds," a sewer treatment building, a water treatment building, an office/laboratory building, parking, perimeter fencing with security gate and an access driveway. The east side site contains two concrete tank water reservoirs (the smaller tank is decommissioned and will be demolished) and an AT&T wireless communications facility. It also includes a cemetery with graves dating to the 1850's where many former Jefferson residents are buried.

Adding the land to the UGB is justified because it is currently built with significant levels of development. The significant levels of development on the sites show the land is needed to serve and support the growing population of the city. Because the sites contain significant levels of development, and that development serves and supports the population of the city, they...
should be in the UGB, designated with the city's existing "Public" comprehensive plan designation, and once annexed into the city limits, zoned with the proposed new Public Facility District.

The west side site has sufficient area for a new water treatment building east of the "polishing ponds." In 5 – 10 years a new water treatment building may be needed due to more rigorous federal water quality standards. The east side site has sufficient area for a new water reservoir once the decommissioned 410,000 gallon tank is demolished. Additional land to the north of the 1.75 million gallon tank is vacant and available for a future reservoir. The cemetery has land available for future grave sites.

The segment of Cemetery Hill Road west of the cemetery is now in the UGB. It provides access to the cemetery and contains the water lines to the reservoir east of the cemetery. The current Jefferson Comprehensive Plan Map includes the Cemetery Hill Road right-of-way west of the cemetery in the current UGB (the UGB boundary is along the north right-of-way line of the road).

The segment of Cemetery Hill Road along the south side of the site is an existing public road. The public right-of-way is 40 feet wide based on Marion County Assessor Map T10S, R3W, Section 1, and a field visit confirmed it is improved with gravel. It is not in the UGB, but it should be in the UGB because it provides access to the water reservoirs and the AT&T wireless communications facility.

Based on the above, the "land need" is met for the addition of 27.22 acres to the UGB.

Location Analysis:

The basic requirement for the location analysis is stated in OAR 660-024-0060 and it is to inventory, review and analyze each property that abuts, or is near, the current UGB, and then select which property best meets the identified need.

For this proposal the analysis of which land to add to the UGB is controlled by which land already contains the public facilities. The west side site includes sewer and water facilities and related buildings and structures. The east side site includes one decommissioned and one active water reservoir, a cemetery and an AT&T wireless communications facility.

Because this proposal is controlled by the location of the existing facilities, the location alternatives analysis is addressed consicely.

Finding:

West Side Site:

The west side site is at the topographic low side of the city and it abuts the Santiam River. The site was chosen in the 1950's for the city's initial sewer system's sewage lagoons because it was at the topographic low area, it abutted the Santiam River which receives the treated sewage and was conducive to a gravity flow system with only minimal reliance on sewer pump stations. Over the years the west side site has served the city well and now contains a modern sewer treatment building and an office/laboratory building which were opened in 2010. The old sewage lagoons have been changed to be "polishing ponds."

The cost to decommission and construct a new sewer treatment building, office/laboratory building, water treatment building, office/laboratory and "polishing ponds" has not been specifically estimated. However, the cost would be millions of dollars at least. Because the current sewer treatment building was just opened in 2010, it is not likely any funding source (local, State, Federal) could be identified that would fund another new sewer treatment facility at
a different location. Because the current office/laboratory building was just opened in 2010, it is not likely any funding source (local, State, Federal) could be identified that would fund another new office/laboratory building at a different location. Because the current water treatment building is performing well and is not over capacity it is not likely any funding source (local, State, Federal) could be identified that would fund a new water treatment facility at a different location. Finally, it is not likely any funding source (local, State, Federal) could be identified that would fund decommissioning and construction new sewer main lines and water main lines to serve a new sewer treatment site or a new water treatment site.

The west side site is also the location of the city’s water treatment plant. The city’s original water source was 5 wells on the south side of 99E and the Union Pacific RR main track on the east bank of the Santiam River. The water was pumped from the wells about three-quarters of a mile north to the west side site where it was treated in the water treatment plant that was constructed in 1978 and has been upgraded four times. The original 5 ground wells that supplied the city with water are no longer used as the primary supply. Three of the wells are used as back-up water sources. A water intake on the Santiam River approximately at the SW corner of the west side site (just upstream from the sewer treatment plant outfall into the river) is now the primary water supply. The water is pumped about 100 yards to the water treatment plant on the west side site and is then pumped to the service area and to the reservoirs on the east side. A different site for the water treatment building would need to be integrated with the old wells that continue to act as a back-up water source for the city. No other suitable sites are as close to the back-up wells and are connected with water main lines as the current west side site.

In terms of an alternative site to the west side site, other sites around the city were briefly reviewed for this proposed UGB expansion and found to not be suitable because they were not topographically as low as the current site, or were not abutting the Santiam River, or would have committed the city to millions of dollars of unnecessary expense to construct new facilities at a new site.

East Side Site:

The east side site is the highest ground in the Jefferson area. It is the western portion of a low ridge that extends westerly from some high ground to the east of the city. The United States Geological Survey’s Albany Quadrangle indicates the elevation is 368 feet above sea level. The downtown area is about 220 feet above sea level.

The east side site is the location of the city owned and operated cemetery which includes a view of the city. It has existed at its current location for about 150 years. The city became the owner in 1935 and the ownership was augmented in 1968 and 2011. The east side site is also the site of the city’s two concrete tank water reservoirs east of the cemetery. The smaller 410,000 gallon tank was decommissioned in 1999 when the larger tank was constructed and would have been demolished, but the cost was too high. The larger 1.75 million gallon concrete tank was constructed in 1999. This location was selected by the city decades ago because it is the highest ground in the Jefferson area, is near the city (about 200 yards away) and provides water pressure for the city’s water service area. There is no other high ground in the Jefferson area.

In terms of an alternative site to the east side site, other sites around the city were briefly reviewed for this proposed UGB expansion and found to not be suitable because they were not topographically as high as the current site, or would have committed the city to millions of dollars of unnecessary expense to construct new facilities at a new site.

Based on the above considerations of location alternatives analysis, the west side and east side sites are the most suitable areas to add to the UGB for sewer, water and cemetery public facilities.
Goal 15. Willamette River Greenway, is not applicable because the proposal does not involve the Willamette River.

Goals 16 through 19, the Coastal Goals, are not applicable because the subject property is not at the Oregon coast.

"C. The applicable Oregon Administrative Rules."

The applicable Oregon Administrative Rule regarding Goal 14 is addressed above.

The applicable Oregon Administrative Rule (660-011, Public Facilities Planning) regarding Goal 11, Public Facilities, is addressed, partially, by the proposed change to the city's Public Facilities and Services Policy 1 (Jefferson Comprehensive Plan, p. 26). The reference to a population of 3,500 is replaced with a reference to a projected population of 5,370 in 2032. The Marion County 2010 adopted and coordinated population projection is the basis of the 2032 population projection of 5,370.

1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan:

The April 1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan by Westech Engineering, Salem, OR, sets forth the water system for the city. It has been used to guide the city’s improvements to the water system and water treatment plant. The Master Plan calls for additional water treatment plant improvements at the west side site, reservoir capacity increases at the east side site and other system upgrades to accommodate future population and jobs growth. Consistent with the Plan the original 5 ground wells that supplied the city with water are no longer used as the primary supply. Three of the wells are used as secondary back-up water sources. Again, consistent with the Plan a water intake on the Santiam River was developed at the SW corner of the west side site (just upstream from the sewer treatment plant outfall into the river) and is now the city’s primary water source. The water is pumped to the water treatment plant on the west side site and is then pumped to the service area and to the reservoirs on the east side site.

The April 1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan is based on a population projection of 3,500 at the year 2016 (p. 2-2 and Figure 1, Table 2-2, p. 2-5). The average annual rate of growth assumed was 2.79 percent. The 3,500 figure was “...developed in conjunction with the 1992 Comprehensive Plan Update...” (p. 2-2) “These projections were used to project future water demands over the planning period. Anticipated future water requirements are based on the population and service connection projections through the year 2015.” (p. 2-2)

The Oregon Population Research Center estimated Jefferson’s population was 3,115 as of July 1, 2010. The water plan’s projection of 3,500 for 2016 is close to the actual of 3,115 on July 1, 2010. Given the current recession, the actual July 1, 2016 population may approximate the Plan’s projection of 3,500 for 2016.

It is not the purpose of this UGB proposal to prepare for the first time a Public Facilities Element to the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan because the creation of a new element is a significant project on its own. The city should consult with the City Engineer and Public Works Director regarding the need for a new water plan or an update to the 1996 water plan. In the meantime, the new population projection included in this proposal of 5,370 in 2032 (using an average annual rate of growth of 2.4 percent compared to the current water plan’s 2.79 percent) is used to address the UGB expansion for public facility needs. When a new water plan is adopted, it might show a need for additional land for the sewer and water facilities, and if that is the case, then the new plan would be used to justify a UGB expansion at that time. The city anticipates that an upgraded or new water treatment plant may be needed in 5 to 10 years due to more rigorous federal water quality standards. The current water treatment plant can treat 1 million gallons per day and summer time maximum use is only about 0.7 million gallons per day.
2000 Wastewater System Facilities Plan:

The September 2000 Wastewater System Facility Plan sets forth the wastewater system for the city. It has been used to guide the city's improvements to the sewer system and sewer treatment plant. A new sewer treatment plant constructed with Federal funds was opened in 2010. It has capacity to serve a population of 4,500 to 4,700 which is less than the Marion County coordinated 2010 population projection of 5,121 in 2030. The 2010 sewer treatment facility is on the 15.33 acre area outside the UGB and city limits. Placement of the new plant in the EFU Zone was reviewed and approved by Marion County and a floodplain development permit was issued. The Master Plan calls for additional sewer facilities at the west side site to accommodate future population and jobs growth.

The September 2000 Wastewater System Facility Plan is not clear regarding a population projection. It states, "For purposes of this facility plan, the projected population of 3500 at year 2016 that was adopted by the City as part of the 1996 Water Master Plan is used, and projected out to 2024." (p. 2-7) But page 2-8 states, "The projected populations used for the purposes of this report are shown in Table 2-4." And Table 2-4 shows a 2024 population of 3,127 (includes 480 public school students coming in from the surrounding area which places demands on the waste water system). The 3,127 figure is about 390 less than the 2016 projected population of 3,500 in 2016 that was used in the 1996 Water System Evaluation and Master Plan. It is not clear what population the 2000 waste water plan used. Regardless, using the 2000 Wastewater System Facilities Plan the city obtained federal funding and a new waste water treatment plant and associated facilities was opened in 2010 with a capacity for a population of 4,500 to 4,700 (projected in 2030).

Based on the above, the applicable Oregon Administrative Rules are met.

"D. Short- and long-term impacts;"

The sewer and water plans noted above considered the short and long term impacts that were identified at the time of the plans. No impacts are identified as part of this UGB expansion that would affect the proposed addition of 27.22 acres to the UGB. The west side and east side sites have land available for the future expansion of the sewer, water and cemetery facilities.

"E. Public benefit;"

The public would benefit from the proposed UGB expansion because any future public facility development on the subject sites would be proposed, reviewed and decided by the City of Jefferson. The city would not have to prepare an application or pay an application fee to Marion County for land use applications to allow urban facilities to be constructed on land zoned EFU.

"F. Reasonable alternative proposals;"

Reasonable alternative proposals were discussed above in the section addressing the Statewide Goals. No reasonable alternative proposals were identified because the west side and east side sites are the best sites for the sewer and water facilities and moving the current facilities to a different location would be prohibitively expensive.

"G. Any other factors deemed relevant by Planning Commission or City Council."

The Planning Commission reviewed the proposal at their public hearing on February 2, 2012. The Planning Commission did not deem any other factors relevant. If the City Council deem any
other factors relevant at their February 23, 2012 public hearing, they will be addressed as part of the public hearing process.

C. Conclusion

The City Council concludes the applicable comprehensive plan policies and the applicable Statewide Planning Goals and their implementing Oregon Administrative Rules were reviewed and found to be met.

IV. PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN’S POLICIES

1. Public Facility Policies. The Jefferson Comprehensive Plan’s Public Facilities and Services policies (p. 26) must be changed to recognize the 2010 Marion County coordinated population projection that the city was a party to and agreed with. That projection showed a population of 5,121 in 2030 with an average annual growth rate of 2.4 percent. This proposal extends the 2030 projection two more years to 2032 at a rate of 2.4 percent for a 20 year projection from 2012 to 2032 of 5,370.

Language proposed to be added is **bolded and italicized**. Language proposed to be deleted is shown in *strikeout*.

Policy 1: The city will provide water and sewerage facilities with a capacity to meet the needs of 5,370 people in 2032 while maintaining local, state and federal health standards.

Policy 1 is repeated on p. 44 with a slight change in the wording. It appears the phrase “...water and...” was inadvertently deleted. It is proposed to be added back in.

Policy 1: The city will provide **water and sewerage** facilities with a capacity to meet the needs of 5,370 people in 2032 while maintaining local, state and federal health standards.

No changes are proposed to policies 2 and 3.

Policy 2: No development will take place in urbanizable lands until water and sewerage services are provided.

Policy 3: All storm water run-off will be channeled into an effective drainage system.

2. Housing Policies. It is noted the Housing Objective (Comprehensive Plan, p. 25) includes the 3,500 population figure in 2000, but it is not proposed to be changed in this proposal because this proposal does not address housing. If the 3,500 population figure were changed to 5,370 in 2032, there would be no housing needs or location analysis to compliment it.

V. PROPOSED PUBLIC FACILITY DISTRICT

The Jefferson Comprehensive Plan Map includes a designation of “Public.” The “Public” designation is proposed to be placed on the lands added to the UGB.

LA 2011-01, UGB, Staff Report to CC
Neither the Jefferson Development Code or Zone Map include a Public Facility District. A Public Facility District is proposed below and it is intended that it be applied to the public facility lands when they are annexed to the city.

The initial application of the Public Facility District would be to the west side site with the expectation of the annexation going to the voters in the November 6, 2012 election. Additionally, it would be available to be applied to other publicly owned property annexed into the city in the future. It is not anticipated that it will be applied to any existing city, school district or fire district properties in the near future.

The proposed new Public Facility District follows. It is shown in bold italics because it is all new.

**Chapter 12.31 -- Public Facility (PF) District**

**Sections:**
- 12.31.010 Purpose.
- 12.31.020 Permitted uses.
- 16.31.030 Conditional uses.
- 16.31.040 Dimensional standards.
- 16.31.050 Development standards.

16.31.010 Purpose.
The purpose of the PF District is to recognize existing public facility uses and to provide for the development of future public facility uses.

16.31.020 Permitted uses.
The following uses are permitted in the PF District when developed under the applicable development standards of this Code and subject to Site Development Review:

A. Public uses including, but not limited to city hall, administrative and office buildings, community centers, libraries, schools, museums, fire stations and police stations;

B. Public uses including, but not limited to pump stations, public works and emergency responder communication antennas, water reservoirs, water treatment plant and facilities, sewage treatment plant and facilities, and related offices and laboratories;

C. Public use sewer lagoons and polishing ponds;

D. Public uses including, but not limited to storage yards for machinery, equipment and other materials related to public facilities;

E. Private electrical substations;

F. Public indoor and outdoor recreation uses including, but not limited to parks, swimming pools, activity structures and golf courses;

G. Public open space and uses including, but not limited to nature preserves and scenic areas;

H. Public and private cemetery, mausoleum and columbarium;

I. Public parking lot;

J. Public schools, kindergarten through high school; and
K. Park and ride lot, provided each space is occupied by a vehicle whose driver is riding public transit or participates in a public administered transit system or public sponsored car pool program.

16.31.030 Conditional uses. The following uses may be permitted in the PF District subject to obtaining Site Development Review approval and a conditional use permit.

A. Wireless communication facility.

16.31.040 Dimensional standards. The following minimum dimensional standards shall be provided in the PF District, except as otherwise provided in this Code.

A. Lot Dimensions.
   1. Minimum lot area shall be sufficient to meet the minimum standards of the PF District.
   2. Minimum lot width: 30 feet, except for public facility uses, including but not limited to sewer pump stations, where a 30 foot width is inappropriate.

B. Minimum yard setbacks.
   1. Lot or parcel abutting, or across an alley from, a residential district:
      a. Front yard: 20 feet.
      b. Side yard: 15 feet.
      c. Rear yard: 15 feet.
   2. Lot or parcel not abutting, or across an alley from, a residential district:
      a. Front yard: 20 feet.
      b. Side yard: 0 feet.
      c. Rear yard abutting a street: 20 feet.
      d. Rear yard: 0 feet.
      e. Rear yard abutting a street: 20 feet.
   3. Subsections B, 1, and B, 2, do not apply to public facility uses, including but not limited to sewer and water pump stations, where the required setbacks would not be needed.

C. Maximum building and structure height: 80 feet.

D. Maximum structure height for wireless communication facilities: As determined by the conditional use permit decision authority based on coverage and capacity supported by information in the application materials, but not greater than 100 feet.

16.31.040 Development standards. Development in the PF District shall comply with the applicable provisions of this code. In addition, the following standards shall apply:

A. Off-Street Parking. Off-street parking and loading shall comply with Section 12.44, Parking and Loading Requirements.

B. Signs. Signs shall comply with Section 12.68, Signs.

C. Site Plan Review. Development in the PF District shall comply with Section 12.88, Site Plan Review.
E. Landscaping and Screening. A minimum of 10 percent of the gross site area shall be landscaped and screening shall be provided consistent with the Site Plan Review and Conditional Use Permit decisions.

F. Storage. Outside storage areas shall be screened by a 100 percent sight-obscuring fence or wall at least 6 feet in height. The fence or wall may be screened by an evergreen hedge at least 3 feet in height and capable of attaining a height of 6 feet.

End of the proposed new language for the Public Facilities District.

VI. PROCEDURAL STEPS

Statewide Planning Goal 14 and its Oregon Administrative Rule 660-024 address UGB issues and guide the process. The city will coordinate closely with Marion County because the UGB and any changes to it must be coordinated between the two jurisdictions and must be approved by both the city and the county.

The Marion County Planning Division staff is aware of the city initiating this UGB amendment and will present it to the Board of County Commissioners for approval. The process set forth in the County/City Intergovernmental Agreement is for the Jefferson City Council to complete the first and second readings of the Ordinance approving the proposal, then forward it to Marion County for the Board of Commissioners to approve it, and then return it to the city for the third reading wherein it would be adopted and become final.

After adding the properties to the UGB, the city’s intention is to annex the west side site because it abuts the existing city limits. The city does not intend annexing the east side site immediately following its addition to the UGB because it does not abut the existing city limits.

VII. PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

On February 2, 2012 the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing wherein there was no testimony from the audience. The Commission unanimously passed a motion directing staff to prepare a Planning Commission Order for the Chairman to sign recommending the City Council and the Marion County Board of Commissioners approve:

1. The proposed UGB expansion;
2. The application of the Public designation to the properties on the Comprehensive Plan Map;
3. The updated population projection;
4. The amendments to Public Facilities Policy 1 in the Jefferson Comprehensive Plan;

VIII. CITY COUNCIL ACTION

Upon closing of the February 23, 2012 City Council public hearing on Legislative Amendment 2011-01, the Council’s task is to deliberate to a decision whether to adopt the proposed amendments.

The city intends to annex the west side site with the issue being presented to the voters at the November 6, 2012 general election. To ensure there is sufficient time to prepare the annexation application and ballot materials, an Ordinance is provided to the City Council this evening, February 23, 2012 for first and second readings.
The City Council's options and sample motions to support each option follow:

1a. Motion for first reading of the ordinance by title only thereby approving Legislative Amendment 2011-01.

   I MOVE FIRST READING OF THE ORDINANCE BY TITLE ONLY.

1b. And then a second motion would be needed for the second reading by title only:

   I MOVE SECOND READING OF THE ORDINANCE BY TITLE ONLY.

-OR-

2a. Motion for first reading of the ordinance by title only with modifications thereby approving Legislative Amendment 2011-01.

   I MOVE FIRST READING OF THE ORDINANCE BY TITLE ONLY WITH THE FOLLOWING MODIFICATIONS (the motion must include the modifications).

2b. And then a second motion would be needed for the second reading by title only:

   I MOVE SECOND READING OF THE ORDINANCE BY TITLE ONLY.

-OR-

3. Motion to deny.

   I MOVE THE CITY COUNCIL DENY THE PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE AMENDMENT 2011-01 (the motion should include a summary of the reasons why from the deliberations).

Attachments:

1. Vicinity map of the west and east side sites.
2. Map of the west side site.
3. Map of the east side site.
UGB:
CITY LIMITS:
EAST SIDE SITE:
DONATION AREA:

4.34 AC. TO BE ADDED TO UGB:

CHANGE EAST SIDE SITE FROM MARION COUNTY
PRIMARY AG DESIGNATION TO JEFFERSON
PUBLIC DESIGNATION. ONCE ANNEXED
CHANGE FROM EAU TO PUBLIC FACILITY.
Dear Jim,

Thanks for the heads up. This looks like a carefully thought through proposal that will benefit the city and its residents, while simplifying planning for the county. Please put this email in the record, as an indication of 1000 Friends’ support.

Mia

Mia Nelson
Willamette Valley Advocate
1000 Friends of Oregon
220 East 11th Avenue, Suite 5
Eugene, OR 97401
(541) 530-8703 office
(541) 530-3783 cell
(503) 779-2416 fax
Jim –

I took a spin through the proposal you submitted for the city. Here are a couple of brief comments.

1. Population Projection. Extending the number out to 2032 using the 2.4% average annual growth rate is satisfactory. To retain a fully coordinated number, Marion County ought to adopt the revision as well.

2. Implementing Zone. I recommend that the city strike public buildings and schools from the list of allowable uses in the new public facilities zone. While highly unlikely that administrative or school buildings would site at either the west or east parcel, there doesn’t seem to be much point in having the option available for what would be poor locations.
In my humble opinion, excellent report. I think the arguments are laid out very clearly and convincingly. The proposal even includes the right-of-way along Cemetery Hill adjacent to the UGB. Good luck at Planning Commission on Thursday. Please let me know if any issues arise there or at city council. Otherwise, be seeing you when we get to the county process.

Thanks again for sending the report so early and for working with me on it.

Brandon
AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

I, Denise VanDyke, DEPOSE AND STATE AS FOLLOWS:

That I am the Secretary to the City Planner for the City of Jefferson, Marion County, Oregon.

That the attached Exhibit “A” (letter re: proposal to add city owned properties to the UGB) was mailed by myself to the owners of property abutting or near said properties, said owners listed in Exhibit “B”, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

That the aforementioned copies were mailed by myself on January 18, 2012, through the Salem Post Office in Salem, Oregon.

ATTESTED BY:

Jim Jolls, City Planner
City of Jefferson
Dear Property Owner:

This letter is sent to you because you own property abutting or near the city’s sewer, water or cemetery sites. The purpose of this letter is to inform you of a proposal by the City of Jefferson to add to its urban growth boundary (UGB) the city owned properties on the west side and east side of the city where the sewer and water treatment plants are located and where the cemetery and water reservoir are located. Please see the two attached maps showing these areas.

The reason for the proposal is, the city desires the city owned land and the city maintained and operated facilities be in the UGB and city limits thereby allowing the city to make land use decisions regarding development of public projects on the properties. In the past land use applications for the placement of public facilities on the properties were submitted to Marion County because the properties were outside the UGB and the city limits. After the properties are added to the UGB and annexed, development of public projects would be subject to the Jefferson Development Code. The city would save funds by not using time preparing an application to place a public facility in the County’s EFU Zone and by not submitting an application fee to Marion County. The Site Development Review application submitted to the city would be closely coordinated between the City Engineer, Public Works Director and city planner.

Once the properties are added to the UGB, the city intends to annex the west side site later this year because it abuts the current city limits. The east side site cannot be annexed to the city because it does not abut the current city limits, thus it will be annexed later when the city grows out to the cemetery.

The Jefferson Planning Commission is scheduled to hold a legislative public hearing on the UGB expansion on Thursday, February 2, 2012 at 6:30 p.m. at the Conser House at 128 N. Main Street. The City Council will hold a legislative hearing on February 23, 2012 at 6:30 p.m. at the same location.

If you have questions, please contact Jim Jacks in Salem at the Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments at 503 540-1619, or Gregg Gorthy at the City of Jefferson at 541 327-2768 extension 113.
Linn County
15.33 acres to be added to UGB
5.25 acres already in UGB

AND CITY LIMITS
CHANGE THE WEST SIDE SITE FROM MARION COUNTY PRIMARY AS DESIGNATION TO OREGON PUBLIC DESIGNATION. ONCE ANNEXED CHANGE ZONE FROM RS-U TO PUBLIC Facility.
WATER RESERVOIR AREA
Cemetery Area

URB:
City Limits:
East Side Site:
Donation Area:

1.24 Ac. to be added to URB:

CHANGE EAST SIDE SITE FROM MARION COUNTY
Primary AD designation to Jefferson
Public designation. Once annexed, change from ESU to public facility.