



Department of Land Conservation and Development 635 Capitol Street, Suite 150 Salem, OR 97301-2540 (503) 373-0050 Fax (503) 378-5518 www.lcd.state.or.us

#### NOTICE OF ADOPTED AMENDMENT

04/10/2009

TO: Subscribers to Notice of Adopted Plan or Land Use Regulation Amendments
FROM: Larry French, Plan Amendment Program Specialist
SUBJECT: City of Beaverton Plan Amendment DLCD File Number 014-08

The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received the attached notice of adoption. Due to the size of amended material submitted, a complete copy has not been attached. A Copy of the adopted plan amendment is available for review at the DLCD office in Salem and the local government office.

Appeal Procedures\*

DLCD ACKNOWLEDGMENT or DEADLINE TO APPEAL: Friday, April 24, 2009

This amendment was submitted to DLCD for review prior to adoption with less than the required 45-day notice. Pursuant to ORS 197.830(2)(b) only persons who participated in the local government proceedings leading to adoption of the amendment are eligible to appeal this decision to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).

If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of intent to appeal with the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) no later than 21 days from the date the decision was mailed to you by the local government. If you have questions, check with the local government to determine the appeal deadline. Copies of the notice of intent to appeal must be served upon the local government and others who received written notice of the final decision from the local government. The notice of intent to appeal must be served and filed in the form and manner prescribed by LUBA, (OAR Chapter 661, Division 10). Please call LUBA at 503-373-1265, if you have questions about appeal procedures.

- \*<u>NOTE:</u> THE APPEAL DEADLINE IS BASED UPON THE DATE THE DECISION WAS MAILED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT. A DECISION MAY HAVE BEEN MAILED TO YOU ON A DIFFERENT DATE THAT IT WAS MAILED TO DLCD. AS A RESULT, YOUR APPEAL DEADLINE MAY BE EARLIER THAN THE ABOVE DATE SPECIFIED.
- Cc: Leigh Crabtree, City of Beaverton Gloria Gardiner, DLCD Urban Planning Specialist Meg Fernekees, DLCD Regional Representative Amanda Punton, DLCD Regional Representative

E 2 DLCD DLCD Notice of Adop THIS FORM MUST BE MAILED TO DLCD WITHIN 5 WORKING DAYS AFTER THE FINAL DEC PER ORS 197.610, OAR CHAPTER 660 - DIVISION	LAND CONSERVATION APR 0.6 2009 LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT M For DLCD Use Only
Jurisdiction: City of Beaverton	Local file number: CPA2008-0008
Date of Adoption: 3/31/2009	Date Mailed: <b>4/2/2009</b>
Was a Notice of Proposed Amendment (Form 1)	mailed to DLCD? YesDate: 10/17/2008
Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment	Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment
Land Use Regulation Amendment	Zoning Map Amendment
New Land Use Regulation	Other: Tree Map Update
Inventory of Significant Trees Map (adopted 1991) an Since 1984 (adopted 1999). The newly approved "Tree the boundaries of the resources to reflect development adopted. The new "Tree Inventory Map" Does the Adoption differ from proposal? No, no e Plan Map Changed from: Zone Map Changed from:	to: to:
Location: City-wide	Acres Involved:
Specify Density: Previous: Applicable statewide planning goals: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 X X X X X 2 0 0 0 0 Was an Exception Adopted? YES X NO Did DLCD receive a Notice of Proposed Amendm 45-days prior to first evidentiary hearing? If no, do the statewide planning goals apply? If no, did Emergency Circumstances require imme	New:         11       12       13       14       15       16       17       18       19         Image: Ima
DLCD file No Please list all affected State or Federal Agencies,	, Local Governments or Special Districts:

Division of State Lands, Metro, Washington County, Clean Water Services, Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

Local Contact: Leigh M. Crabtree Address: 4755 SW Griffith Dr/PO Box 4755 City: Beaverton, OR Zip: 97076-4755

DLCD FILE No. 014-08 (17205)

Phone: (503) 526-2458 Extension: Fax Number: 503-526-3720 E-mail Address: lcrabtree@ci.beaverton.or.us

#### ADOPTION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

This form <u>must be mailed</u> to DLCD <u>within 5 working days after the final decision</u> per ORS 197.610, OAR Chapter 660 - Division 18.

#### 1. Send this Form and TWO Complete Copies (documents and maps) of the Adopted Amendment to:

#### ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT 635 CAPITOL STREET NE, SUITE 150 SALEM, OREGON 97301-2540

- 2. Electronic Submittals: At least one hard copy must be sent by mail or in person, but you may also submit an electronic copy, by either email or FTP. You may connect to this address to FTP proposals and adoptions: webserver.lcd.state.or.us. To obtain our Username and password for FTP, call Mara Ulloa at 503-373-0050 extension 238, or by emailing mara.ulloa@state.or.us.
- 3. <u>Please Note</u>: Adopted materials must be sent to DLCD not later than **FIVE (5) working days** following the date of the final decision on the amendment.
- 4. Submittal of this Notice of Adoption must include the text of the amendment plus adopted findings and supplementary information.
- 5. The deadline to appeal will not be extended if you submit this notice of adoption within five working days of the final decision. Appeals to LUBA may be filed within **TWENTY-ONE** (21) days of the date, the Notice of Adoption is sent to DLCD.
- 6. In addition to sending the Notice of Adoption to DLCD, you must notify persons who participated in the local hearing and requested notice of the final decision.

4

7. Need More Copies? You can now access these forms online at http://www.lcd.state.or.us/. Please print on <u>8-1/2x11 green paper only</u>. You may also call the DLCD Office at (503) 373-0050; or Fax your request to: (503) 378-5518; or Email your request to mara.ulloa@state.or.us - ATTENTION: PLAN AMENDMENT SPECIALIST.

http://www.lcd.state.or.us/LCD/forms.shtml

Updated November 27, 2006

#### ORDINANCE NO. 4504

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORD. 4187 VOLUME III STATEWIDE PLANNING GOAL 5 RESOURCE INVENTORY DOCUMENTS RELATED TO CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE, CITY OF BEAVERTON APPLICANT

- WHEREAS, a proposal to amend the Comprehensive Plan for the City of Beaverton is scheduled for City Council consideration on March 30, 2009; and
- WHEREAS, the City of Beaverton adopted the Natural Resource Map in 1984; and
- WHEREAS, the City of Beaverton adopted the Significant Trees Map in 1991; and
- WHEREAS, the City of Beaverton adopted the Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984 in 1999; and
- WHEREAS, in recent years, the City of Beaverton has attempted to update or replace the Natural Resource Map, Significant Trees Map and Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984; and
- WHEREAS, the proposed "Tree Inventory Map" represents the Natural Resource Map, Significant Trees Map and Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984, clarified and combined into a single map; and
- WHEREAS, pursuant to Ordinance 4187 Section 1.4.1.B.6, the Beaverton Planning Division, on January 14, 2009 published a written staff report with findings and recommendations related to the proposed action a minimum seven (7) calendar days in advance of the scheduled Planning Commission hearing on January 21, 2009; and,
- WHEREAS, the City Council adopts as to criteria, facts and findings described in Community Development Department staff report on CPA2008-0008 by Associate Planner Leigh M. Crabtree, dated January 14, 2009, and attached hereto as Ordinance Attachment "A"; now, therefore,

#### THE CITY OF BEAVERTON ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1. Ordinance No. 4187, the Comprehensive Plan, is hereby amended removing the "Natural Resource Map" and the "Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984" from Volume III Statewide Goal 5 Inventory Resource Documents.
- Section 2. Ordinance No. 4187, the Comprehensive Plan, is hereby amended to adopt the "Tree Inventory Map" into Volume III Statewide Goal 5 Inventory Resource Documents, as shown as Ordinance Attachment "B".
- **Section 3.** Ordinance No. 4187, the Comprehensive Plan, is hereby amended to adopt the "Resource Score Sheets" into Volume III Statewide Goal 5 Inventory Resource Documents, as shown as Ordinance Attachment "C".

Ordinance No. 4504 - Page 1

Agenda Bill: 09044

This ordinance shall become effective thirty (30) days after its adoption by the Section 5. council and approval by the mayor.

First reading this <u>23rd</u> day of <u>March</u> \_\_\_\_\_, 2009. Passed by the Council this <u>30th</u> day of <u>March</u>, 2009. Approved by the Mayor this  $3l^{st}$  day of Mmd, 2009.

ATTEST: SUE MELSON, Citv

APPROVED:

DENNIS DOYLE, Mayor



CITY of BEAVERTON

4755 S.W. Griffith Drive, P.O. Box 4755, Beaverton, OR 97076 General Information (503) 526-2222 V/TDD

#### STAFF REPORT

TO: Planning Commission

AGENDA DATE:

FROM:

January 21, 2009

**REPORT DATE:** January 14, 2009

Leigh M. Crabtree, Associate Planner

**APPLICATION:** CPA2008-0008 (Tree Map Update)

All

LOCATION: City-wide

#### **NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION:**

**REQUEST:** 

Amend the Comprehensive Plan adopting an updated Tree Inventory Map. Regulation of trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas, trees within Significant Groves and Significant Individual Trees currently relies upon three maps, the Natural Resources Map (adopted 1984), the Inventory of Significant Trees Map (adopted 1991) and the Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984 (adopted 1999). The goal of the new "Tree Inventory Map" is to combine the three maps and revise the boundaries of the resources to reflect development changes since each map was adopted.

**APPLICANT:** City of Beaverton Community Development Director

APPROVAL CRITERIA:

Comprehensive Plan Section 1.5.1

**RECOMMENDATION:** Adopt a final order recommending that City Council adopt an ordinance to approve the proposed Tree Inventory Map as part of the Comprehensive Plan and remove the Natural Resources Map (adopted 1984), the Inventory of Significant Trees Map (adopted 1991) and the Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984 (adopted 1999) from the Comprehensive Plan.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

	<u>Page No.</u>
Background	2-3
Existing Conditions	3-4
Mapping	4-5
Process	5-6
Criteria for Approval - Comprehensive Plan Amendment Criteria	7-24
1.5.1.A The proposed amendment is consistent and compatible with relevant Statewide Planning Goals and related Oregon Administrative Rules;	7-14
1.5.1.B The proposed amendment is consistent and compatible with the applicable Titles of the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and the Regional Transportation Plan;	14-17
1.5.1.C The proposed amendment is consistent and compatible with the Comprehensive Plan and other applicable local plans; and	18-24
1.5.1.D If the proposed amendment is to the Land Use Map, there is a demonstrated public need, which cannot be satisfied by other properties that now have the same designation as proposed by the amendment	25
Conclusion	25
Recommendation	25
Attachments	
A.1 Proposed Tree Inventory Map by quadrant of the City	available
A.2 Section Maps & Information Sheets organized by Assessor Map sections	for
A.3 Tree Forum Citizen Survey – Summary	review
A.4 Public Testimony	
Exhibits	
E.1 Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources map, 1984	available for
E.2 Inventory of Significant Trees Map, 1991	review
E.3 Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984 map, 1999	

CPA2008-0008, Report Date: January 14, 2009

#### BACKGROUND

Section 7.3 of the Comprehensive Plan for the City of Beaverton addresses Natural Resources. Both the Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources Map and the Inventory of Significant Trees Map are addressed in Section 7.3. Regarding Significant Natural Resource Areas, Section 7.3.1.1 states, "Goal: Conserve, protect, enhance or restore the functions and values of inventoried Significant Natural Resources." In relation to Scenic Views and Sites, forested areas and specimen trees are discussed in Section 7.4 with the following goal statement, "Conserve Significant Scenic Views and Sites, and the value they add to the community."

In 1984 the City adopted the *Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources Map.* Comprehensive Plan Section 7.3 states, "Areas shown on the map as Significant Natural Resources are generally wetlands or riparianstream corridors that were considered important principally for their wildlife habitat values. Areas shown on the map as Important Natural Resources contained major stands of trees, drainage swales, and other natural vegetation that were determined to be primarily important for their aesthetic value, although many also provide wildlife habitat of some, although relatively less, importance." This map was adopted as a Statewide Planning Goal 5 ESEE (Economic, Social, Environment and Energy) inventory through the State of Oregon's process to determine the consequences of protecting the natural resource or allowing conflicting uses. Resources defined as "Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas" are regulated through the Development Code.

In 1991 the City's Board of Design Review adopted the *Inventory of Significant Trees Map.* This map was not adopted as a Goal 5 ESEE inventory, nor was it adopted by the City Council. However, this map is the map for trees defined as "Trees within a Significant Groves" and "Significant Individual Trees".

In 1999 the City adopted the *Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984*. This map was the result of a proposed tree inventory update that started in 1998. In lieu of adopting the proposed full map update, Planning Commission recommended adoption of only the significant groves in annexed areas and directed staff to endeavor a new city-wide tree inventory. City Council adopted Planning Commission's recommendation. Regulation of these trees is within the definition of "Trees within a Significant Grove." This map was reviewed for Goal 5 ESEE adoption.

In 2000 the City moved forward with an all new city-wide tree inventory project titled the *Scenic Tree Project*. The City chose not to move forward with adoption of the *Scenic Tree Project* inventory in 2004 due to passage of Ballot Measure 37.

In 2005 the City adopted new tree regulations with City Council request that staff return with a report identifying the opportunities and constraints of the new Development Code regulations. In 2007 staff returned to City Council with a report. As a result of the 2007

report, City Council requested that staff address issues with the current Development Code regulations. While researching and drafting new tree regulations, staff could not come to agreement on how to regulate trees in the City of Beaverton. Staff concern was based upon the need for staff to understand the extent of the effect that new Development Code language will have on protection of the originally mapped resources and development potential, as the true amount of remaining resources was unknown. Therefore, staff was directed to combine and update the existing three maps.

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The City of Beaverton has seen an explosion of development since the early 1990's. This development included removal of some of the natural resource areas, groves and trees that were mapped in the 1980's and 1990's. Since 1994, the submittal rate for Tree Preservation Plan and Tree Plan applications has shifted away from primarily "Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas," "Trees within Significant Groves" and "Significant Individual Trees" to equal and above rates for "Community Trees", see table below.

		TREE PRESERVATIO	N PL	AN & TR	EE	PLAN A	PPLIC	CATION	S			
			Cor	nmunity	ŀ	listoric	Lan	dscape	S	treet	(	Other
IEAR	#	and a start of the		*	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1994	7			0%		0%		0%		0%	1	14%
1995	15	2 Alternational Alternation		0%		0%		0%		0%	2	13%
1996	21			0%		0%		0%		0%	4	19%
1997	13			- 0%	aite Bairt	0%		0%		0%		0%
1998	3			0%_		0%		0%		0%		0%
1999*	10			0%		0%		0%		0%		0%
2000	3			0%		0%		0%		0%		0%
2001	8	and a second second Second second		0%	1	13%		0%		0%		0%
2002	7	an a		0%		0%	1	14%		0%		0%
2003	36	an a	14	39%		0%	9	25%	2	6%		0%
2004	34		13	38%		0%	13	38%	1	3%		0%
2005**	24		8	33%		0%	6	25%	1	4%		0%
2006***	25	and a second	對3	52%		0%	1	4%		0%		0%
2007	24		16	67%		0%	1	4%		0%		0%
2008	6	n de la préférie d'année de la préférie de la préferie de la préférie de la préferie de la préférie de la préferie de la préfe	2	33%	1.25	0%		0%		0%		0%
2009				and the second								
TOTAL	236		66	28%	1	0%	31	13%	4	2%	7	3%

This spreadsheet contains numbers for all valid applications submitted. It does not remove records due to the application having been withdrawn, void, denied or duplicate.

\* For the year 1999 the records show 11 applications numbers. However, TPP99-00007 was apparently entered into the system in error and there are no associated application materials. Therefore, there are 10 valid TPP applications for the year 1999.

\*\* For the year 2005 the records show 25 applications numbers. However, TP2005-0004 was apparently entered into the system in error and there are no associated application materials. Therefore, there are 24 valid TPP applications for the year 2005.

\*\*\* For the year 2006 the records show 26 applications numbers. However, TP2006-0013 was apparently entered into the system in error and there are no associated application materials. Therefore, there are 25 valid TPP applications for the year 2006.

"Community Trees" were introduced into the Development Code in 2002. "Community Trees" are defined as "A healthy tree of at least ten inches (10") DBH located on developed, partially developed, or undeveloped land. Community Trees are not those trees identified as Significant, Historic, Landscape or Mitigation Trees, trees within a Grove or a Significant Natural Resource Area, or trees that bear edible fruits or nuts grown for human consumption." Tree Plan applications proposing removal of "Community Trees" have been received at a rate of 50% or more of the Tree Plan applications since 2006.

The importance of identifying a shift from applications for removal of mapped resources to defined resources is the inference that very few developable areas of the City have remaining "Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas," "Trees within Significant Groves" and "Significant Individual Trees" available for development. Therefore, understanding what remains of the mapped resources will help the City define policies for both mapped and defined resources in the future.

#### MAPPING

Planning staff have been working with Geographic Information Systems, GIS, staff to map and trim the three inventories to their current status. This effort has included mapping the results of Tree Preservation Plan and Tree Plan applications, creating a new online map viewer, and creating the draft Tree Inventory Map and Inventory Pages.

Two-hundred thirty-six, 236, Tree Preservation Plan or Tree Plan applications have been submitted to the City for review since the inception of this type of application in 1994. Of the applications, 54% included "Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas," "Trees within Significant Groves" and "Significant Individual Trees". Many of the associated approvals included tracts, easements or other preservation methods for trees within proposed developments. Part of the draft map includes depicting these approved preservations as the remnant portions of the original inventories. In some cases the approved preservation area does not overlay with the original inventory; however, it is staff's opinion that these preservation areas should be depicted on the approved map so that these areas continue to be preserved in the future.

The new online map viewer, Tree Maps OnLine (TreeMOL), started as a tool for staff to see how different tree resources on the existing three inventories, the approved Tree Preservation Plan and Tree Plan applications and the Scenic Tree Project overlay each other. TreeMOL also includes information the ability to provide a parcel profile titled a "Beaverton Tree Fact Sheet" that includes information on the different tree resources depicted for an individual property. It is presumed that TreeMOL will be a tool for City staff to use in fulfilling work tasks and providing services to property owners within the City. With approval of a new Tree Inventory Map, GIS staff will be able to add this new layer into the TreeMOL viewer for electronic access to tree inventory information.

Within the materials for the January 21, 2009 Planning Commission hearing are the draft Tree Map Inventory and Inventory Pages. These materials depict the result of compiling the three existing inventories and informing the draft map with the results of

Tree Preservation Plan and Tree Plan applications as well as adjusting the map to depict the intentions of the existing inventories. As described above, the Tree Preservation Plan and Tree Plan applications provide information informing a number of the adjustments to the maps. Other adjustments that have been made to the maps were done in order to clear up inconsistencies in the original mapping and realities of geography that are clearly seen through the analysis of aerial photography that was not as readily available when the original inventories were completed.

There are errors in the draft Tree Inventory Map. Staff will bring any known errors to the attention of the Planning Commission and citizens at the public hearing on January 21, 2009. Staff expects that citizens will testify as to the accuracy of the proposed map, as well. In order to move toward approval, staff also expects direction from the Planning Commission at the January 21, 2009 hearing so that staff may further revise the map and return to the Planning Commission via a continuation to February 25, 2009 with a possible approvable map. Staff formally request Planning Commission continue the hearing to February 25, 2009.

#### PROCESS

#### THRESHOLD

The proposal is a City-initiated amendment to three natural resource related maps that effect properties city-wide. Amendments that apply to a large number of individuals or properties are legislative amendments, per Section 1.3 Amendment Procedural Categories of the *Comprehensive Plan*.

#### **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PROCESS**

The proposal will follow Legislative Amendment processes within Chapter 1 of the *Comprehensive Plan*, including Sections 1.4.1, 1.5.1, 1.6, 1.7 and diagram 1-1.

#### PUBLIC NOTICE

Section 1.4.1 of the *Comprehensive Plan* prescribes the notice requirements for Comprehensive Plan Legislative Amendment applications. Notice must be mailed to the State Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD), Metro, Washington County, the Chair of the applicable Neighborhood Association Committee (NAC) or Citizen Participation Organization, and the Chair of the Beaverton Committee for Citizen Involvement (CCI) at least 45 days prior to the initial hearing. At least 20 and not greater than 40 days from the hearing, notice must be mailed to the affected property owners and surrounding property owners within 500 feet, posted at the Beaverton City Library and Beaverton City Hall, published in a newspaper of general circulation, and posted on the City's web site.

Notice required by Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS 227.186) shall be mailed to property owners whose property is rezoned by a local government. This latter type of notice shall be mailed to all property owners whose properties are shown as having a tree resource on the proposed Tree Inventory Map.

#### In response to these requirements:

- 1. On October 17, 2008 notice was mailed to DLCD, Division of State Lands, Metro, Washington County Land Use and Transportation, Clean Water Services, and Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District.
- 2. On January 6, 2008 the DLCD notice was mailed to all NAC chairs and the chair of CCI. The delay in notice was due to a noticing error. The result is a determination to move forward with opening the hearing on January 21, 2009 and continue the hearing to February 25, 2009, leaving the record open for public testimony in order to satisfy the forty-five (45) day notice requirement.
- 3. On December 23, 2008 notice was mailed to the owners of the affected properties with the notation required by ORS 227.186.
- 4. On December 30, 2008 notice was mailed to owners of surrounding properties within 500 feet of the affected properties, posted at the Beaverton City Library and Beaverton City Hall, and posted on the City's web site.
- 5. On December 25, 2008 legal notice was published in the Beaverton Valley Times.

The notice requirements for this CPA/ZMA have been met.

#### CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

#### COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CRITERIA

Section 1.5.1 of the Comprehensive Plan outlines the minimum criteria for legislative and quasi-judicial amendment decisions, as follows:

#### 1.5.1.A. The proposed amendment is consistent and compatible with relevant Statewide Planning Goals and related Oregon Administrative Rules;

Of the 19 Statewide Planning Goals, One, Two, Four, Five, Six, Thirteen and Fourteen are applicable to the proposed map amendment.

#### GOAL\_ONE: PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

To develop a citizen involvement program that insures the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process.

This proposed amendment is subject to the public notice requirements of the Comprehensive Plan, as described in the previous section of this report on process.

At the hearing, the Planning Commission considers written comments and oral testimony before they make a decision. The amendment procedures outlined in *Comprehensive Plan* Section 1.4 allow for proper notice and public comment opportunities on the proposed *Comprehensive Plan* amendments as required by this Statewide Planning Goal. As noted above, with a continuance to February 25, 2009 leaving the public hearing open, these procedures have been followed.

Finding: Staff finds that the City, through its Comprehensive Plan and adherence to State statutes, has created proper procedures to insure citizens an opportunity to provide their input regarding proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments and that the City has complied with those procedures.

#### GOAL TWO: LAND USE PLANNING

To establish a land use planning process and policy framework as a basis for all decisions and actions related to use of land and to assure an adequate factual base for such decisions and actions.

The City of Beaverton adopted a *Comprehensive Plan*, which includes text and maps in a three-part report (Ordinance 1800) along with implementation measures. The City adopted a new *Comprehensive Plan* (Ordinance 4187) in January of 2002 that was prepared pursuant to a periodic review work program approved by the State Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD). The proposed Plan, including a new Land Use Map, was the subject of numerous public hearings and considerable analysis before adoption. Included in the adopted *Comprehensive Plan* are the existing Natural Resources Map (adopted 1984), the Inventory of Significant Trees Map (adopted 1991) and the Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984 (adopted 1999).

The adopted Plan and findings supporting adoption were deemed acknowledged pursuant to a series of Approval Orders from the Department of Land Conservation and Development, the last of which was issued on December 31, 2003. The land use planning processes and policy framework described in the *Comprehensive Plan* form the basis for decisions and actions, such as the subject amendment.

#### Finding: Staff finds that in applying the state acknowledged *Comprehensive Plan* provisions to this proposal, the requirements of Goal 2 have been met.

#### GOAL FOUR: FOREST LANDS

To conserve forest lands by maintaining the forest land base and to protect the state's forest economy by making possible economically efficient forest practices that assure the continuous growing and harvesting of forest tree species as the leading use on forest land consistent with sound management of soil, air, water, and fish and wildlife resources and to provide for recreational opportunities and agriculture.

Within the boundary of the City are three (3) tax lots acknowledged for Commercial Timber Harvest via Development Code Section 40.90.15.4.A.1. The proposed map does not include these three (3) tax lots or any other properties that are currently or are planned to be used for commercially growing and harvesting trees. Given the urban nature of the City's development, there are few properties that could be considered appropriate for continuous growing and harvesting of forest tree species.

#### Finding: Staff finds that the proposed map does not impact land defined as "Forest Lands" by the state. Therefore, the requirements of Goal 4 do not apply.

#### <u>GOAL FIVE: NATURAL RESOURCES, SCENIC AND HISTORIC AREAS, AND</u> OPEN SPACES

To protect natural resources and conserve scenic and historic areas and open spaces.

The City currently maintains or is party to maintaining the following Goal 5 inventories and programs:

- Metro's Title 3 program for riparian corridors as implemented by Clean Water Services. (OAR 660-023-0090)
- The City's Local Wetland Inventory map. (OAR 660-023-0100)
- The City's Habitat Benefit Areas map as part of the Tualatin Basin Partners for Natural Places program "Protecting Fish and Wildlife Habitat in Washington County's Tualatin Basin: Partners for Natural Places" in compliance with Metro's Title 13. (OAR 660-023-0110)
- The City's inventories for *Historic Landmarks and Trees*. (OAR 660-023-0200)

- The 1984 Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources map. (OAR 660-016-000)
- The 1999 Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984. (OAR 660-023-0110)

As related to the current proposal:

The 1984 Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources map was enacted to comply with OAR 660-016 adopted May 1, 1981 as part of periodic review. The inventory included fish and wildlife habitats, scenic views and sites and floodplain management. Scoring and rating of the inventory was primarily accomplished through the use of a "Wildlife Habitat Assessment" form. In some instances a "Scenic Quality Rating" form was also used.

OAR 660-016 was replaced by OAR 660-023 September 1, 1996. "Fish and wildlife areas and habitats" remained a required inventory under OAR 660-023-0090 Riparian Corridors and OAR 660-023-0110 Wildlife Habitat. However, "Outstanding scenic views and sites" were removed from the list of required Goal Five inventories and places under OAR 660-023-0230 Scenic Views and Sites.

The 1999 Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984 was enacted under OAR 660-023-0110 Wildlife Habitat. Within the staff report dated February 12, 1999 for the "Tree Inventory update of annexed areas since original 1984 Inventory (CPA99-00007/CPA99-00008)" the response to the Goal Five states that the proposed inventory, "helps implement statewide Planning Goal 5 with respect to wildlife habitat and natural resources." The report does not tie the 1999 inventory or the 1984 inventory to Scenic Views and Sites.

The 1991 Inventory of Significant Trees Map is not a Goal 5 inventory.

The mapped resources depicted on the 1984 *Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources* map, the 1999 *Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984* and the 1991 *Inventory of Significant Trees Map* are all tied to the same set of Development Code regulations. Development Code section 40.90.05 describes the purpose of the Tree Plan applications and section 60.60.05 describes the purpose of Trees and Vegetation regulations; both purpose statements include the following statement:

Tree resources protection focuses on the aesthetic benefits of the resource.

OAR 660-023-0230 Scenic Views and Sites (1) states that, "scenic views and sites" are lands that are valued for their aesthetic appearance." OAR 660-023-0230(2) states that, "local governments are not required to amend acknowledged comprehensive plans in order to identify scenic views and sites. If local governments decide to amend acknowledged plans in order to provide or amend inventories of scenic resources, the requirements of OAR 660-023-0030 through 660-023-0050 shall apply."

Since the primary focus of the 1984 and 1999 inventories was not aesthetic value and because the 1991 inventory was not a Goal Five inventory, the proposed map is not required to be a Goal Five inventory.

It is important to note that the resource areas depicted on the 1984 Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources map include many of the areas depicted as riparian corridors, on the Local Wetland Inventory map, and on the Habitat Benefit Areas map. For this reason, the Goal Five inventory portions of the 1984 Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources map inventory relating to fish and wildlife habitats and floodplain management have been replaced with more current inventories. Additionally, even without the Goal Five inventories, many of the Significant Natural Resource Areas are subject to review by Federal, State, Regional, Local and/or City jurisdictions due to their association with at least one of the following: floodways, floodplains, riparian areas, stream corridors and wetlands.

#### <u>Finding:</u> Staff finds that the proposed Tree Inventory Map is not subject to the Goal Five process.

#### GOAL SIX: AIR, LAND, AND WATER QUALITY

To maintain and improve the quality of air, water and land resources of the state.

Tree groves maintain and improve air, water and land resources in many ways.

- Trees exchange carbon dioxide and oxygen in varying quantities.
- Particulate matter and water in the air is captured on leaves, limbs and trucks.
- Trees provide shade, which reduces both the ambient air temperature and water temperature of adjacent streams, ponds, rivers and wetlands.
- The canopies also help detain rainfall from reaching the ground surface, once water does reach the surface the roots help to filter the water as it settles through the soil.
- Water that is taken up through the roots is eventually released through the canopy cooling the environment.
- In addition, trees stabilize the soil and help to minimize erosion. This is especially true of areas with well established understory.

The City of Beaverton has an Urban Forestry Master Plan that is maintained by the Urban Forestry Division of the Public Works Department. The Urban Forestry Master Plan is not intended to cover the tree resources that are depicted on the proposed map. It was last updated in 1999/2000. The program goals are:

- Involve more people from the community through education, participation and contribution.
- Plant more trees to enhance the urban forest and its benefits to the community.
- Protect the trees we have through stewardship and preservation measures and programs.

The Development Code currently pursues tree protection through varying levels of review and mitigation depending upon the level of tree removal. This proposal does not propose changes to the City's Urban Forestry Master Plan or the City's Development Code.

#### Finding: Staff finds that the proposed amendment complies with this goal.

#### <u>GOAL SEVEN: AREAS SUBJECT TO NATURAL DISASTERS AND HAZARDS</u> To protect people and property from natural disasters and hazards.

Preservation of trees, specifically their root systems, reduces instances of landslide and erosion thereby protecting people and property from natural disasters and hazards. However, the map alone does not preserve the trees. The Development Code regulates the amount of removal that occurs with development; the City is not proposing changes to the Development Code with this proposal.

Finding: Staff finds that the proposed amendment does not change the ability to implement this goal, thus compliance with this goal is unaffected by the proposal.

#### GOAL EIGHT: RECREATION NEEDS

To satisfy the recreational needs of the citizens of the state and visitors and, where appropriate, to provide for the siting of necessary recreational facilities including destination resorts.

A number of the parcels affected by the proposed map are owned by Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD). THPRD provides most of the recreational needs of the citizens of Beaverton, including the largest parcel affected by the proposal, the Nature Park, which carries both SNRA and Significant Grove designations. The proposed amendment will not alter THPRD's ability to provide for the recreational needs of citizens or visitors or to maintain the significant resources on their recreational fecilities.

Finding: Staff finds that the proposed amendment does not change the ability to implement this goal, thus compliance with this goal is unaffected by the proposal.

#### <u>GOAL 13: ENERGY CONSERVATION</u> To conserve energy.

Trees help in the conservation of energy by shading structures in the summer, thereby reducing the amount of energy expended on cooling. Trees also provide wind breaks to defer heat loss in winter months. Conserving energy with trees can be accomplished if buildings are sited and trees are planted in such a way that provides shading and wind breaks, but also allow for solar access. The proposal does not include changes that will affect how the City currently implements land use for energy savings.

Finding: Staff finds that the proposed amendment does not change the ability to implement this goal, thus compliance with this goal is unaffected by the proposal.

#### GOAL 14: URBANIZATION

To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities.

The proposed map amendment does not change the amount of buildable or unbuildable land available within the limits of the City of Beaverton. The proposal documents lands that have been urbanized and acknowledge the resulting loss of resource land. Therefore, the proposal does not change the amount of land available for development or redevelopment to urban densities within the Urban Growth Boundary.

#### Finding: Staff finds that the proposed amendment does not change the ability to implement this goal, thus compliance with this goal is unaffected by the proposal.

#### REMAINING GOALS

#### GOAL3: AGRICULTURAL LANDS

The proposed amendment does not affect agricultural lands.

#### GOAL 9: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

GOAL10: HOUSING

The proposed amendment does not affect the City's ability to provide for economic development, industrial facilities, or employment centers or to comply with the Metropolitan Housing Rule. The goals are, therefore, not applicable to the proposed amendment.

#### GOAL 11: PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES

The proposed amendment does not affect the City's ability to provide public facilities and services.

#### GOAL 12: TRANSPORTATION

The proposed amendment does not affect the City's ability to provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system.

#### GOAL 15: WILLAMETTE GREENWAY

This goal applies to lands along the Willamette River. The Willamette River is not within, or adjacent to, the City of Beaverton, thus, this goal is not applicable to the proposal.

#### GOAL 16: ESTUARINE RESOURCES, GOAL 17: COASTAL SHORELANDS, GOAL 18: BEACHES AND DUNES, GOAL 19: OCEAN RESOURCES

Goals 16 through 19 apply to oceanic or coastal resources. The City of Beaverton is over 80 miles from coastal resources; therefore, these goals do not apply in the City of Beaverton.

Finding: Staff finds that Goals Three, Nine, Ten, Eleven, Twelve and Fifteen through Nineteen are not applicable to this application.

#### Summary Finding:

Staff finds that the proposed amendment is consistent and compatible with Goals One, Two, Four, Five, Six, Seven, Eight, Thirteen, and Fourteen, as required in Criterion 1.5.1.A.

### **1.5.1.B.** The proposed amendment is consistent and compatible with the applicable Titles of the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and Regional Transportation Plan;

#### Title 1: Requirements of Housing and Employment Accommodation

#### 3.07.110 Purpose and Intent

One goal of the Framework Plan is the efficient use of land. Title 1 intends to use land within the UGB efficiently by increasing its capacity to accommodate housing and employment. Title 1 directs each city and county in the region to consider actions to increase its capacity and to take action if necessary to accommodate its share of regional growth as specified in this title.

The City's capacity for housing and employment opportunities is not affected by the proposed map amendment. Updating the tree inventory does not change the amount of land considered buildable or re-developable within the City's boundaries. The amendment does not reduce or increase the City's ability to satisfy the purpose and intent of Title 1.

#### Title 2: Regional Parking Policy

3.07.210 Intent

... more compact development as a means to encourage more efficient use of land, promote non-auto trips and protect air quality....

The proposed map amendment does not change the City's policies regarding parking; therefore it does not change the City's ability to implement Title 2.

#### Title 3: Water Quality and Flood Management Conservation

3.07.310 Intent

To protect the beneficial water uses and functions and values of resources within the Water Quality and Flood Management Areas by limiting or mitigating the impact on these areas from development activities and protecting life and property from dangers associated with flooding.

In concert with other local governments in Washington County, the City partnered with Clean Water Services to enact legislation acknowledged to comply with Title 3. This proposal does not affect the City's ability to continue compliance with Title 3.

#### Title 4: Industrial and Other Employment Areas

3.07.410 Purpose and Intent

... Title 4 seeks to provide and protect a supply of sites for employment by limiting the types and scale of non-industrial uses in Regionally Significant Industrial Areas (RSIAs), Industrial and Employment Areas....

The proposal will not affect the City's ability to provide for industrial or other employment areas.

#### Title 5: Neighbor Cities and Rural Reserves

3.07.510 Intent

The intent of this title is to clearly define Metro policy with regard to areas outside the Metro Urban Growth Boundary. ...

The proposal does not affect properties outside of the Urban Growth Boundary; therefore, this Title does not apply.

#### <u>Title 6: Central City, Regional Centers, Town Centers and Station Communities</u> 3.07.610 Purpose and Intent

The success of the 2040 Growth Concept depends upon the maintenance and enhancement of the Central City, Regional and Town Centers and Station Communities as the principal centers of urban life in the region....

The existing and proposed tree mapping meanders throughout the City including Regional Centers, Town Centers and Station Communities. The regulations in Development Code associated with the proposed map do not preclude the City's implementation of Title 6.

#### Title 7: Housing Choice

3.07.710 Intent

... establishment of voluntary affordable housing production goals to be adopted by local governments and assistance from local governments on reports on progress towards increasing the supply of affordable housing. ...

The City adopted Comprehensive Plan Chapter Four to comply with Metro Title 7. The proposed map update does not include changes to Chapter Four of the Comprehensive Plan.

#### Title 8: Compliance Procedures

3.07.810 Compliance With the Functional Plan

A. The purpose of this section is to establish a process for determining whether city or county comprehensive plans and land use regulations comply with requirements of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan. ...

Information about the proposal was sent to the Chief Operating Officer on October 17, 2008, more than 45 days prior to the first evidentiary hearing as required by Metro Code Section 3.07.820. No responses from Metro have been received to date.

#### Title 9: Performance Measures

3.07.910 Intent

... Metro shall measure and report on progress toward achievement and expected outcomes resulting from the implementation of the functional plan.

Title 9 directs Metro to measure the progress of the region in implementing the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan.

Title 10: Functional Plan Definitions

Title 10 provides definitions for use in the UGMFP and is, therefore, irrelevant to the compliance of this proposal to the UGMFP.

#### Title 11: Planning for New Urban Areas

3.07.1105 Purpose and Intent

It is the purpose of Title 11 to require and guide planning for conversion from rural to urban use of areas brought into the UGB. ...

This proposal is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Title 11 does not apply to the amendment.

-----

.

#### Title 12: Protection of Residential Neighborhoods

3.07.1210 Purpose and Intent

... to protect existing residential neighborhoods from air and water pollution, noise and crime and to provide adequate levels of public services.

The proposed amendment is one component to protect air and water quality throughout the City, including residential neighborhoods.

#### Title 13: Nature in Neighborhoods

#### 3.07.1310 Intent

The purposes of this program are to (1) conserve, protect, and restore a continuous ecologically viable streamside corridor system, from the streams' headwaters to their confluence with other streams and rivers, and with their floodplains in a manner that is integrated with upland wildlife habitat and with the surrounding urban landscape; and (2) to control and prevent water pollution for the protection of the public health and safety, and to maintain and improve water quality throughout the region. ...

The City has implemented Title 13 through the Comprehensive Plan and Development Code as a participant in the Tualatin Basin program. The Tualatin Basin program was accepted by Metro as complying with Title 13. Modifying this map by acknowledging trees removed through development does not affect the City's commitments in complying with Title 13.

#### Regional Transportation Plan

#### 6.4.1 Local Consistency with the RTP

The comprehensive plans adopted by the cities and counties within the Metro region are the mechanisms by which local jurisdictions plan for transportation facilities. These local plans identify future development patterns that must be served by the transportation system. Local comprehensive plans also define the shape of the future transportation system and identify needed investments. All local plans must demonstrate consistency with the RTP as part of their normal process of completing their plan or during the next periodic review. Metro will continue to work in partnership with local jurisdictions to ensure plan consistency.

The City has implemented the *Regional Transportation Plan* through the Comprehensive Plan, Development Code and Engineering Design Manual. The proposal does not affect local implementation of the Regional Transportation Plan.

#### Finding: The proposed map does not affect the City's compliance with the UGMFP Titles and the RTP. Criterion 1.5.1.B is satisfied.

#### 1.5.1.C. The proposed amendment is consistent and compatible with the Comprehensive Plan and other applicable local plans;

#### <u>Chapter One: Procedures</u> <u>Chapter Two: Public Involvement Elements</u>

As noted under the Process section of this report, the proposal complies with the Comprehensive Plan Amendment Procedures of Chapter One. In complying with the procedures, the amendment provides the opportunity for public involvement as noted in Chapter Two.

In addition to the noticing requirements of Statewide Planning Goal One and Chapters One and Two of the Comprehensive Plan, the Planning Division hosted a public open house titled the "Tree Forum." A postcard was mailed city-wide inviting citizens to attend three open houses scheduled for, September 23, 2008 from 7:00p.m. to 9:00p.m., September 24, 2009 and September 25, 2009 from 3:00p.m. to 7:00p.m.. The purpose of the open houses was to introduce citizens to existing City efforts and policies regarding trees, to let citizens know that an update of the existing inventory was in process, and to receive feedback from citizen via their participation in a survey.

The "Tree Forum Citizen Survey" was provided to citizens in either printed or electronic form from September 23, 2008 through the close of the business day October 16, 2008. Citizens were asked to rate their level of agreement with statements regarding the value of trees, the benefits of trees, tree policy, and tree regulation and policy. The Planning Division received 76 responses to the survey. The results of the survey are provided as Attachment A.3 to this document.

Notices for the Planning Commission hearing were mailed on December 23, 2008 and December 30, 2008. Since those dates, staff have fielded approximately 63 voice mails, 20 email inquiries and 10 visits from interested parties. Official testimony to date is included as Attachment A.4 to this document.

#### Chapter Three – Land Use Element

3.4.1 Goal: Provide a policy framework for a community designed to establish a positive identity while enhancing livability.

#### Policies:

e) The City shall preserve significant natural resources identified on the City's Statewide Planning Goal 5 Inventories, Volume III of this Plan, through application of regulations requiring the careful siting of development.

Development Code regulations are in place relating to the removal and replacement of Trees and Vegetation. The four Actions listed within Section 3.4.1.e), specifically relate to Habitat Benefit Areas. The proposed map is not part of the City's Habitat Benefit Area and Habitat Friendly Development Practices program.

g) Scenic views and sites shall be identified on the City's Statewide Planning Goal 5 Inventories, Volume III of this Plan, and protected to the extent practicable.

The 1984 and 1999 maps were adopted through the Statewide Planning Goal 5 process with an ESEE consequences analysis. However, the 1991 map did not follow the Goal 5 process. Therefore, the proposed update of the three maps combine cannot be accomplished through the Goal 5 process. Additionally, although Goal 5 recommends that Scenic Views and Sites be inventoried the state does not require local governments to follow the Goal 5 process for Scenic Views and Sites in order to be in compliance with the goal. Therefore, given the history of the 1991 inventory and the fact that the resources identified through all three of the inventories are regulated in a similar manner through the Development Code, the City proposes to combine the map products into one map and proposes adoption of the update map without Goal 5 recognition.

#### Chapter Four - Housing

4.2.1.1 Goal: Maximize use of buildable residential land in the City.

The proposed map represents the resources that remain after development since the existing maps were adopted. Changes to the map do not alter the amount of buildable or re-developable land within the City limits.

#### <u>Chapter Five – Public Facilities and Services Element</u> 5.2 Public Facilities Plan

As proposed, many of the mapped resources overlay public facilities. The largest amount of overlay exists on lands owned and maintained by Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD). THPRD manages the resources on their properties as prescribed by their 20-Year Comprehensive Master Plan and Trails Master Plan, as well as specific plans for each park.

#### 5.4 Storm Water and Drainage

5.4.1 Goal: Ensure long-term provision of adequate storm water management within existing city limits and areas to be annexed in the future.

Policies: b) On-site detention will be used as a storm water management tool to mitigate the impacts of increased storm water run-off associated with new land development.

Tree canopy possesses storm water detention qualities. The proposed map depicts Significant Natural Resources, Significant Groves and Significant Individual Trees that have either remained in tact from the time of their original mapping or have been altered to some extent. In either case, the regulations associated with these mapped resources encourage retention of existing mature canopy, thereby maintaining a certain amount of storm water detention.

#### 5.7 Schools

#### 5.7.1 Goal: Cooperate with the Beaverton School District in its efforts to provide the best possible educational facilities and services to Beaverton residents.

School District properties, similar to THPRD properties, tend to have tree resources depicted on the proposed map. This has tended to be a result of the School District's need to acquire larger parcels for development; these larger parcels tend to be encumbered, to some extent, with natural resources. The School District has been successful in developing their properties and preserving portions of many of their sites for the natural resources through existing Development Code regulations.

#### 5.8 Parks and Recreation

5.8.1 Goal: Cooperate with THPRD in implementation of its 2-Year Comprehensive Master Plan and Trails Master Plan in order to ensure adequate parks and recreation facilities and programs for current and future City residents.

As discussed above, many of the resources depicted on the proposed map overlay lands owned and maintained by Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD). The Terpenning facility, Willow Creek corridor, Nature Park, AM Kennedy Park, Fanno Creek corridor, Camille Park, Johnson Creek corridor, and Highland Park are some of the more noteworthy THPRD facilities that contain Significant Natural Resources and Significant Groves within the City. Preservation of trees in areas like the ones previously listed provide for a variety of recreational and program opportunities for City residents.

#### Chapter Six – Transportation Element

The proposal does not affect the City's current or planned transportation facilities. The Development Code allows an exception to the preservation standards where required transportation facility connections depicted on the City's Connectivity Plan are provided.

#### <u>Chapter Seven – Natural, Cultural, Historic, Scenic, Energy, and Groundwater</u> <u>Resources Element</u> 7.1.1 Goal: Balance development rights with natural resource protection

7.1.1 Goal: Balance development rights with natural resource protection.

The proposed map depicts areas of the City where the trees, groves and natural resources areas were determined to be significant; in many cases the proposed map depicts only what remains of the tree resource after development. The fact that the proposed map depicts so many remnant portions of previously mapped resources itself demonstrates the extent to which the protections associated with the mapped tree resources are balanced with development rights.

#### 7.3 Natural Resources

7.3.1.1 Goal: Conserve, protect, enhance or restore the functions and values of inventoried Significant Natural Resources.

Significant Natural Resources are defined as, "Areas identified on the City's Statewide Planning Goal 5 Inventories, Volume III of the Comprehensive Plan." This definition includes areas identified on the Local Wetland Inventory map and the Significant Wetlands and Riparian Corridors map and the 1984 *Significant and Important Natural Resources and Other Important Natural Resources* map. The resources identified through these three inventories generally occur in the same locations throughout the City.

Disturbances in and around Significant Wetlands and Significant Riparian Corridors are not only reviewed by the City but are also reviewed by regional, state and federal agencies for compliance with a range of regulations. The Public Works Department, THPRD, Clean Water Services and Metro carry out additional programs that help the City maintain and enhance Significant Wetlands and Riparian Corridors.

Proposals related to enhancement, protection, tree removal and tree mitigation within and of Trees within Significant Natural Resources Areas are reviewed against the regulations of the City's Development Code. All of the related regulations are in place to ensure conservation, protection, enhancement or restoration of the functions and values of inventoried Significant Natural Resources.

#### 7.4 Scenic Views and Sites

7.4.1 Goal: Conserve Significant Scenic Views and Sites, and the value they add to the community.

Forested areas and specimen trees are two of four components identified in the Comprehensive Plan regarding Scenic Sites. According to the Comprehensive Plan any of the components of Scenic Views and Sites may occur either on public or private lands. Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas and Trees within Significant Groves have been considered to be two resources within the definition of forested areas and Significant Individual Trees have been considered to be a resource within the definition of specimen trees. Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas are all included in the proposed map update.

Policy a) discusses identification and protection of significant scenic sites with Action 1: Following the Goal 5 process discussing how a survey of forested areas and specimen trees is to be evaluated using the criteria in Policy b). Policy b) states, "All significant scenic sites must be visible from an existing or planned viewpoint that is safe and accessible to the general public." Of the three inventories that are proposed for updating through this proposal, not one of the three inventories identifies an existing or planned viewpoint related to the surveyed resources. Therefore, the existing inventories do not meet the Comprehensive Plan's requirements of significant scenic sites. Further, by not satisfying the requirements of significant scenic sites these inventories are not required to be reviewed through the Goal 5 process by the Comprehensive Plan.

#### 7.5 Energy

7.5.1 Goal: Development projects and patterns in the City that result in reduced energy consumption.

Projects that include preservation and planting of trees in appropriate locations will observe a reduction in energy consumption due to summer shading and wind protection.

#### <u>Chapter Eight – Environmental Quality and Safety Element</u>

8.2 Water Quality

8.2.1 Goal: Maintain and improve water quality, and protect the beneficial uses, functions and values of water resources.

Protection, maintenance, enhancement and planting of trees and tree canopy are part of satisfying 8.2.1. Tree canopy helps detain storm water then releases water vapor through evapo-transpiration, tree root systems help protect soil from erosion, the uptake of water through the structure of the tree and eventual evaporation and transpiration provides added water detention. Additionally, movement of surface water through soil with roots improves the quality of groundwater. Depicting existing tree resources on the proposed map will not affect the City's ability to implement this goal.

8.3 Air Quality

8.3.1 Goal: Maintain and improve Beaverton's air quality to increase livability and quality of life.

The Comprehensive Plan relates air pollution directly toward industry and transportation and includes policies and an action to reduce the impacts to air quality from industry and transportation. No direct tie between air quality and trees and tree canopy are addressed in the Comprehensive Plan. However, trees and tree canopy do improve air quality by trapping particulate matter on leaves and exchanging various levels of carbon dioxide and oxygen. Therefore, sustaining a healthy tree canopy, through preservation or mitigation, maintains and improves Beaverton's livability and quality of life.

#### 8.7 Flood Hazards

8.7.1 Goal: Maintain the functions and values of floodplains, to allow for the storage and conveyance of stream flow and to minimize the loss of life and property.

Part of maintaining, "the functions and values of floodplains," is the enhancement and maintenance of appropriate plant materials within the floodplain to reduce the amount of erosion that occurs with the movement of water over the land. Trees, specifically their root systems, are part the plant materials that help maintain the banks of floodplains and their associated streams. Trees depicted on the Local Wetland Inventory map are

protected through Clean Water Services regulations restricting removal of vegetation in the floodplain.

#### Chapter Nine – Economy Element

9.2 Goals and Policies

9.2.3.1 Goal: To support a high quality of life for all of Beaverton's citizens. Policies:

a) To require a high quality of new development within the City to create an attractive environment.

Part of creating an attractive environment is the inclusion of quality landscaping in the design of developments. The attractiveness of a development is often increased when mature trees can be preserved as part of the development plan.

#### Downtown Beaverton Regional Center

The Goals and Policies of the Downtown Beaverton Regional Center do not refer to protecting preserving or integrating Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas, Trees within Significant Groves or Significant Individual Trees.

#### Beaverton Creek Station Community

Community Plan Goal 1: Develop the Beaverton Creek Station Community to support light rail ridership, foster a sense of community, and respect the natural features adjacent to an within the Station Community

Policies *b*) through *e*) collectively describe Significant Groves and Trees and Significant Natural Resources within the Beaverton Creek Station Community with clear language to protect clusters of trees, specimen trees, wetlands and their related hydrologic and wildlife relationships.

#### Merlo Station Community

Community Plan Goal 2: Respect the natural features adjacent to the Merlo Station Area by protecting the Tualatin Hills Nature Park (Nature Park) from negative impacts associated with the adjacent industrial and commercial development. Policies:

a) Regulate new development in the Merlo Station Area to minimize the impacts of lighting, noise, and storm water run-off on the Nature Park.

The Nature Park contains the largest Significant Grove, G-38, in the City of Beaverton and also includes most of one Significant Natural Resource Area, SNRA-01. Development north of the Nature Park, within the Merlo Station Community, is encouraged and in some ways required to fulfill the actions within Policy *a*) of *Community Plan Goal 2*.

Community Plan Goal 5: Retain and enhance the aesthetic qualities of the Merlo Station Area.

Actions 2 and 3 within Policy a) discuss mitigation of tree loss and preserving a 0.4-acre stand of trees, "in its natural state."

#### South Tektronix Station Community

Community Plan Goal 1: Develop the South Tektronix Station Community to support light rail ridership, foster a sense of community, and respect the natural features adjacent to and within the Station Community. Policies:

- g) Encourage development strategies that will add green spaces and public spaces.
- h) Encourage day-lighting of the south fork of Beaverton Creek provided it is found feasible by City engineering standards and a certified wetland specialist determines that the adjoining significant wetland west of Murray Boulevard will not be negatively impacted.

The South Tektronix Station Community includes one Significant Grove, G-45, and one Significant Individual Tree, T-16. Although no Significant Natural Resources Areas are designated within the boundaries of this station community, Erickson Creek flows within the southwest corner of the station community and Beaverton Creek flow north of the light rail tracks.

#### Murray Scholls Town Center

Community Plan Goal 1: Promote development of the Murray Scholls Town Center in a manner incorporating the unique characteristics of its location, topography, and natural features, and reinforcing the its relationship to its natural and man-made surroundings.

Policies:

e) Restore Summer Creek to its natural hydrologic condition, prior to any artificial hydrologic controls.

The Murray Scholls Town Center includes one Significant Grove, NX-04, in the northwest corner of the town center. No Significant Natural Resources Areas are designated within the boundaries of this town center.

#### Finding: This amendment is consistent with the policies of Chapters 1 through 8 of the Comprehensive Plan and the Community Plans; therefore, Criterion 1.5.1.C is met.

## 1.5.1.D If the proposed amendment is to the Land Use Map, there is a demonstrated public need, which cannot be satisfied by other properties that now have the same designation as proposed by the amendment.

The proposal does not include changes to the Land Use Map; therefore, approval criteria 1.5.1.D is not applicable.

Finding: Approval criteria 1.5.1.D is not applicable.

#### CONCLUSION

Based on the facts and findings presented, staff concludes that the proposed amendment to the Comprehensive Plan is consistent with all the Legislative Comprehensive Plan amendment approval criteria of Section 1.5.1.A through D.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Planning Commission open the hearing, consider testimony, continue the hearing to February 25, 2009 and **APPROVE** CPA 2008-0008. Thereby adopting a final order that recommends that City Council adopt an ordinance to approve the proposed Tree Inventory Map as part of the Comprehensive Plan and remove the Natural Resources Map (adopted 1984), the Inventory of Significant Trees Map (adopted 1991) and the Beaverton Tree Inventory Map of Areas Annexed Since 1984 (adopted 1999) from the Comprehensive Plan.





-----

NIC QUALITY FAT ASSES

## ATTACHMENT C

e

		1984
		· • •
COVER DENSITY		SCEN
FORM CONTRAST		IC QU
TATION DIVERSITY		ALIT
KS OF MAN		¥
JRE .		
ER - QUANTITY & SEASON		
rer - Quality		
TER - PROXIMITY TO COVER		
TER - DIVERSITY		
DD - VARIETY		
DD - QUANTITY & SEASON		WI
DD - PROXIMITY TO COVER		LDLIF
VER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSIT	2	EΗA
VER - VARIETY		BITA
ver - nesting		T ASS
VER - ESCAPE		SESSN
VER - SEASONAUTY		IENT
TURBANCE - PHYSICAL		
TURBANCE - HUMAN		
ERSPERSION		
IQUE FEATURES 0-4		
ORE		

1991		GRO	/E RA	TINC	;			TRE	ERA	ring			
	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	Score	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-021A	3	3	2	1	9							Ø	DF
G-021B	3	3	1	1	8							Ö	DF, OWO
G-023	4	4	3	1	12							Ø	DF, OWO, ASH, ALD,
G-025A	4	3	3	4	14							Ó	DF, OAK
G-025B	4	3	2	2	11							0	0w0
G-024	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF
G-026A	3	3	2	3	11							Õ	owo
G-026B	3	3	2	4	12							Ô	DF
T-009A			_		0			3	1	2	2	8	OAK
T-009B					0			3	1	1	2	7	OAK
T-013A					0		2	1	3	3	3	12	OREGON OAK
T-013B					0		2	2	3	3		10	OREGON OAK
т-014					Ō			4	2.5	1	2	9.5	BLACK WALNUT
T-019					0			4	4	1	3	12	ΟΑΚ
T-018					Ø	3		2	2	1	1	9	BLACK WALNUT

, N

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

.

NX-18	RESOURCEIENUMBER	6661		120-1
v	DEVELOPMENT			
ω	SIZE			
•	CONNECTIVITY			
6	WETLAND / RIPARIAN	Scori		
ω	STAND COMPOSITION	ng fo		¢
2	UNDERSTORY	r Sig		
2	STAND DENSITY	nifica	•	
٥		int Tr		4
	tree size	ig aa,		•
	species composition	roves		٢
	sustainability/stand health			•
21	SCORE			.4
24	SIGNIFICANCE RATING			
OWO, OA, DF	SPECIES			

T-021	T-010B	T-010A	800-T	G-036B	G-036A	G-035	G-018	G-019	RESOURCE DINUMBER
				ε	2	4	3	3	MATURE & EVENLY AGED
				3	2	3	ω	3	PURITY / UNUSUAL
				3	2	2	2	2.5	HEALTHY GROWING COND.
				з	2	4	4	1	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.
0	0	ö	0	12	ø	13	12	56	SCORE
			2						SIZE
									SHAPE
4	ы	3	2						LOCATION
2	μ	2	ω						EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY
4	2	2							RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.
2	2	2.5	з						CONDITION
ې.	8	9.5	10	0	0	0	0	0	ŚCORE
PONDEROSA PINE	OAK	OAK	OAK	DF, OWO, ASH	DF, ASH, OWO	OMO	DF, OWO, PP, BLM	AS, OAK, ALD	SPECIES

.

.

	RESOURCE ID MUMBER
	LANDMARKS
	WATER
	TREE COVER DENSITY
F	LANDFORM CONTRAST
f	VEGETATION DIVERSITY
Γ	WORKS OF MAN
	SCORE
	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON
ſ	WATER - QUALITY
	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER
	WATER - DIVERSITY
-	FOOD - VARIETY
	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON
	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER
	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY
	COVER - VARIETY
	COVER - NESTING
	COVER - ESCAPE
Ī	COVER - SEASONALITY
Į	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL
Ì	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN
	INTERSPERSION
	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4
	SCORE

RESOURCE SCORE SHEET: 1N132

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

30



ð 1



CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE



T-0158	T-015A	G-030B	3-030A	RESCHREED NUMBER	ועפו
		3	ы	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	
		ω	Э	PURITY / UNUSUAL	
		2	2	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	1 2
		3	3	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	
0	0	1	11	SCORE	
				SIZE	
				SHAPE	
ω	з			LOCATION	1112
2	2			EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	5 112
2	2			RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	
1.5	2			CONDITION	
80. 17	يە:	Q.	, o	SCORE	
OREGON OAK	OREGON OAK	ASH, OAK, COT	PP, OAK, ASH	SPECIES	

NRA-93	SNRA-02	INRA-03	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
			LANDMARKS
			WATER
			TREE COVER DENSITY
			LANDFORM CONTRAST
			VEGETATION DIVERSITY
			WORKS OF MAN
0	0	0	SCORE
4	8	4	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON
	4		WATER - QUALITY
8	8	~	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER
2	4		WATER - DIVERSITY
80	4	8	FOOD - VARIETY
S.	5	8	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON
80	∞	œ	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER
6	S	8	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY
<u>09</u>	.6	~	COVER - VARIETY
4	ω	4	COVER - NESTING
4	4	4	COVER - ESCAPE
ω	2	4	COVER - SEASONALITY
2	4	2	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL
4	4	4	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN
6	ω	6	INTERSPERSION
=	4	4	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4
83	32	80	SCORE

.



.



RESOURCE IDINUMBER	T-067B	T-067A	G-027	G-034	G-033	G-032	3-031	G-029	G-028	RESOURCEIDINUMBER
DEVELOPMENT			ω	ω	3	3	ω	ω	ŵ	MATURE & EVENLY AGED
SIZE			ω	з	3	ω	3	3	2	PURITY / UNUSUAL
CONNECTIVITY			ε	2	٤	2	٤	٤	3	HEALTHY GROWING COND.
WETLAND / RIPARIAN			4	7	3	з		4	2	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.
STAND COMPOSITION		•	13	ف	12	11	9	13	OI.	SCORE
UNDERSTORY										SIZE
STAND DENSITY										SHAPE
STAND STRUCTURE	4	4								LOCATION
tree size	3	ω								EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY
species composition	1	-								RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.
sustainability/stand health	^ 	1.5								CONDITION
SCORE	10	9.5	0	0	0	o	0	a	0	SCORE
SIGNIFICANCE RATING	OR	ORE	DF, OW		D	A		ASH	OAK, ASH	
SPECIES	EGON OAK	GON OAK	10, ASH, MA	Pp	F, OWO	SH, OAK	F, OWO	I, OAK, DF	I, DF, ALD, M	SPECIES

.

RESOURCE SCORE SHEET: 1S106

.....

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

VEGETATION DIVERSITY WORKS OF MAN SCORE WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON WATER - QUALITY WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER WATER - DIVERSITY FOOD - VARIETY FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY COVER - VARIETY COVER - NESTING COVER - ESCAPE COVER - SEASONALITY DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL DISTURBANCE - HUMAN INTERSPERSION UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4

SCORE

.

LANDMARKS WATER

TREE COVER DENSITY LANDFORM CONTRAST





G-046	G-038	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
ω	4	MATURE & EVENLY AGED
2	4	PURITY / UNUSUAL
ω	Ę	HEALTHY GROWING COND.
<u>در</u>	4.5	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.
ø	16	SCORE
		SIZE
		SHAPE
		LOCATION
		EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY
		RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.
		CONDITION
0	õ	SCORE
D	DF, OWO, GF, ASH, COT, AL	SPECIES

GROVE RATING

FREE RATING

SNRA-01	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
8	LANDMARKS
4	WATER
4	TREE COVER DENSITY
2	LANDFORM CONTRAST
4	VEGETATION DIVERSITY
9	WORKS OF MAN
82	SCORE
8	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON
9	WATER - QUALITY
8	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER
8	WATER - DIVERSITY
8	FOOD - VARIETY
8	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON
8	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER
<b>00</b>	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY
80	COVER - VARIETY
4	COVER - NESTING
4	COVER - ESCAPE
4	COVER - SEASONALITY
ω	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL
æ	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN
a	INTERSPERSION
12	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4
107	SCORE



### ------

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

~ ~

RESOURCE SCORE SHEET: 1S107



----

1984		. 5	SCEN	cqu	IALIT	Y							WI	LDLif	E HA	BITA	T AS	SESSA	AENT					
TESOURGEID NUMBER	ANDMARKS	VATER	REE COVER DENSITY	ANDFORM CONTRAST	/EGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	score	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-03							0	4		8		8	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	2	4	6	4	80
INRA-06							0	0	0	0	0	7	8	8	8	6	2	4	4	3	4	6	6	66
INRA-09							0	8	6	4	8	4	4	6	2	4	3	3	2	0	4	2	2	62
NRA-07							0.	8	3	8	4	8	4	8	8	8	2	4	2	4	4	0	2	77
SNRA-01	8	4	4	2	4	6	28	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	3	4	6	12	107
SNRA-02							0	8	4	8	4	4	5	8	5	6	3	4	2	4	4	3	4	76
SNRA-04							0	8	6	5	4	4	3	4	3	4	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	58
SNRA-16							0	8	6	4	4	6	8	8	8	4	3	4	4	2	4	0	6	79
SNRA-91							0	8	6	6	6	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	2	3	4	3	7	73
NRA-92							0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	0	0	2	2	2	0	3	0		17

1991		GRO	/E R/	TINC	- I			TRE	ERAT	rin g			
	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	มู่หเTY / บทบรบAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-042	4	4	3	4.5	16							0	DF, GF, OWO, ASH, CED
G-039	3	3	3	3	12							Ò	COT, OAK, PP, ALD
G-040	2	4	3	3	12	,						0	QA
G-041	3	3	3	3	12							Q	PP, OWO, DF, COT
T-011					0			3	2	2	3	10	OREGON OAK
T-012A					0		4	4	5	4	3	20	OREGON OAK
T-012B					0		2	3	2	4	1	12-	OREGON OAK

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE



မှ	
)45	RESEDURCE (DINUMBER
3	MATURE & EVENLY AGED
ω	PURITY / UNUSUAL
ш.	HEALTHY GROWING COND.
ч	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.
10	SCORE
	SIZE
	SHAPE
	LOCATION
	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY
	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.
	CONDITION
0	SCORE
OWO, PP	SPECIES
	3-045 3 3 3 1 10 0 0WO, PP

\*

a. . a

and the second secon

1661

**GROVE RATING** 

REE RATINC

•

	NRA-07	INRA-11	INRA-10	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
				LANDMARKS
				TREE COVER DENSITY
ĺ				LANDFORM CONTRAST
ľ				VEGETATION DIVERSITY
				WORKS OF MAN
	0	0	0	SCORE
	<u>ça</u>	8	8	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON
	3	6	6	WATER - QUALITY °
	8	2	4	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER
	4	2	2	WATER - DIVERSITY
	~ ~~	2	NJ	FOOD - VARIETY
	4	4	2	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON
	8	2	2	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER
	·00	-	0	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY
	8	-	2	COVER - VARIETY
	2	-		COVER - NESTING
	4	2	2	COVER - ESCAPE
	2	2	.2	COVER - SEASONALITY
	4	1	2	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL
	4	2	2	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN
	0	ч	0	INTERSPERSION
	2			UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4
	77	37	37	SCORE

ار در الم<sup>ر</sup>افق در از معلوم<del>استان میرونان میسیند. با این از انتقاع و پیدر</del>د، او یر محمد مدین در مرد و در در ادم معود

.ESOURCE SCORE SHEET: 1S109 CP/

. ---

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

ຍ ຍາ







37

DISTURBANCE - HUMAN INTERSPERSION UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4 SCORE

والموافقات الحيارية الدارا محمولة للبران المراري الرازية ومحمولا بنتكار الرواق والاراد والمحمولة والمراجعة والمتعاطية والمتعا



and a second second

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

.

NX-14	NX-03	NX-15	RESOURCE ID NUMBER 1	6661
2	s	2	DEVELOPMENT	
4	s	3	SIZE	
4	4	0	CONNECTIVITY	
з	6	0	WETLAND / RIPARIAN	SCOT
1	ω	ω	STAND COMPOSITION	0. 9.
	2	2	UNDERSTORY	910
3	1	ω	STAND DENSITY	
G	0	44	STAND STRUCTURE	11.1
			tree size	
			species composition	0955
			sustainability/stand health	
17	26	14	SCØRE	6
19	32	15	SIGNIFICANCE RATING	
WRC, DF, GS	OA, WIL, HAW, BLM, DF, OA	OA, DF, OWO	SPECIES	

.

T-003D	T-003C	T-003B	I-003A	G-004A	G-002	RESOURCEND NUMBER	661
		1	_	2	2	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	-
				3	1	PURITY / UNUSUAL	GRO
				3	з	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	VE RA
				1	4	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	TING
0	02	Ó	Ö	9	IO	SCORE	
						SIZE	
						SHAPE	
ш	з	ω	ω			LOCATION	TRE
1	1	1	1			EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	E RAT
1	1	L	1			RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	TING
. 2	ω	2	2			CONDITION	
7	8	7	7	9	0	SCORE	
DOUG FIR	DOUG FIR	BIG LEAF MAPLE	PONDEROSA PINE	DF, HEM; SPR, CED	ALD, WIL, CW	SPECIES	

	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
	WATER
	TREE COVER DENSITY
	VEGETATION DIVERSITY
	WORKS OF MAN
	SCORE
	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON
	WATER - QUALITY
1	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER
	WATER - DIVERSITY
	FOOD - VARIETY
	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON
	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER
	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY
	COVER - VARIETY
	COVER - NESTING
	COVER - ESCAPE
ſ	COVER - SEASONALITY
	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL
	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN
	INTERSPERSION
	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4
	SCORE
L	



•



a O

1984	(	5	CEN	ic qi	IALIT	Υ							WI	LDLIF	E HA	BITA	TAS	SESSA	IENT					
RESOURCE DINUMBER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-19							0	8	6	8	4	8	6	8	8	8	3	3	3	3	2	6	8.	92
INRA-21				· · · ·			0	8	6	8	4	6	5	8	6	6	3	3	2	3	1	3	8	. 80
INRA-37							0	4	3	8	2	8	5	8	8	6	3	4	3	4	4	3	8	81
NRA-20							0	8	6	2	2	2	4	4	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	40

1991		GRO	VE RA	TINC				TRE	ERA	ring			a <sup>d</sup> alah di katalan di katalan katalan di katala Katalan di katalan di k
RESCUENCE AND A REPORT OF A	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-001	3	3	3	1	10					1		1	DF, PP, MAP, ALD
G-003	2	3	3	1								0	PP, DF, CED
G-004B	3	3	2	5	13							0	ASH, WIL, POP, OAK, PIN
G-006	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF
G-016	3	3	3	3	12							0	DF
G-017	2	1	2	4	9							0	COT, ALD, ASH
G-005A	1	2	2	2	7							0	ASH, OAK, WIL, ALD, BIR
G-005B	2	3	2	3	10							0	ASH, OAK, WIL, ALD, BIR
G-005C	4	3	3	3	13							0	ASH, OAK, WIL, ALD, BIR
G-014					0							0	POP, PP, ALD
G-007	2	З	3	1	9							0	DF, PP, HEM, OAK
G-008	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF, PP
T-001					0			3	1	1	2	7	DOUG FIR
T-002					0		4	3	Э	1	3	14	PONDEROSA PINE
T-005					0			3	2	1	3	9	COAST REDWOOD
T-005					0			3	3	1	3	10	ATLAS CEDAR

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

**39** ·

1984		۰ <u>ج</u>	SCENI	c qu	IALIT	Y							WI	LDLII	EHA	BITA	T AS	SESSA	AENT	· .				
RESOURCENDINUMBER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-13		-					0	8	6	4	4	3	4	4	z	Z	2	2	Z	1	2	2	Z	50
INRA-22							0	8	s	2	4	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	7	41
NRA-12							0																	0

1991		GRO\	/E RA	TING				TRE	E RAI	ING			
<b>ANALASIA</b> <b>ESSOLACE UPINUMBER</b> .	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
3-0 <b>0</b> 9	4	3	2	1	10							0	<u>owo</u>
G-015	2	1	2	4	9			2	1	4	2	9	WIL, LOC, HAW, ALD
G-048	3	3	2	1	9							0	OWO, DF, PP
T-035A					0			4	2	1	2	9	PONDEROSA PINE
T-033A					0			3	1	1	1	6	OREGON OAK
T-033B					Q		•	3	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
T-038A					0		4		5	1	3	13	ELM
T-038B					0			3	2	1	3	9	EURO BEECH
T-039					0	3		3	2	1	3	12	ORIENTAL PLANE
T-063					0			3	3	1	1	8	OREGON OAK
T-026					0			5	4	1	3	13	OREGON OAK
T-031A					0			3	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
T-031B					0			2	1	1	2	6	COTTONWOOD
T-030					0			s	4	1	3	13	OREGON OAK
T-035B					0			4	2	1	2	9	PONDEROSA PINE
T-036					0			5	4	1	3	13	OREGON OAK
T-037					0			4	2	1	3	10	REDWOOD
T-044			<u> </u>		0			3	3	1	3	10	REDWOOD
T-006					0	3	2	2	1	1	2	11	OREGON WHITE OAK

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

1984		S	CENI	c qu	ALIT	Y							WI	DLIF	E HA	BITA	T ASS	SESSA	IENT					
RESOURCE ID NUMBER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONAUTY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0=4	score
INRA-24							0	2	1	2	2	4	8	8	4	4	2	3	3	2	2	3	8	58
SNRA-23							0	8	6	6	4	5	4	5	6	4	2	3	2	2	2	3	8	70

1991		GRO	/E R/	TINC	i			TRE	ERA	TING			
RESOLACE D'AUMBER	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-056	3	3	2	1	9							0	DF
G-060	3	3	2	1	9							Q	DF
G-061	3	3	2	1	9							<u>e</u>	PP
G-020	3	3	2	1	9							0	DF, OWO
G-051	2	2	2	2	8							0	DF, OWO
G-052	2	2	3	2	9							0	DF
G-053	3	3	3	1	10							0	РР
G-054	3	3	1.5	3	11							0	<u>o</u> wo, oa
G-055	3	3	2	3	11							0	0w0
G-057	2	2	3		7							0	DF
G-058	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF
G-059	3	-3	3	1	10							0	DF
T-024					0			3	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
T-025					0			3	2	1	3	9	BLACK WALNUT
T-027A					0			3	3	1	3	10	OREGON OAK
T-027B					0								WHITE EURO BIRCH
T-028					0			3	2	1	2	8	BIG LEAF MAPLE
T-029					0		3	4	3	2	2	14	CALTALPA
T-032					0			3	2	1	1.5	7.5	OREGON OAK
T-034				-	0			3	3	1	2.5	9.5	OREGON OAK
T-040					0			4	3	1	3	11	BLACK WALNUT
T-041					0				2	1	2	5	BIG LEAF MAPLE

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

.

Continued

1991		GRO	VE R/	ATING	3			TRE	ERA	TING			Na sa katala na sa Na sa katala na sa k
RESOURCE ID NUMBER	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
T-045					0			3	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
T-046A					0			3	1	1	1	6	OREGON OAK
T-046B					0			3	2	1	3	9	DOUG FIR
T-064					0			3	1	1	1	6	LOCUST
T-017					0			3	2.5	1	3	9.5	OREGON OAK
T-047A					0			в	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
Т-047В					0			в	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
T-068					0			3	1	1	2	7	PONDEROSA PINE
T-022					0			3	2	2	3	10	OREGON OAK
T-023					0			3	2	1	2	8	BIG LEAF MAPLE
T-042	54				0			3	3	1	3	10	8IG LEAF MAPLE
T-043								3	2	3	3	11	DOUG FIR, OREGON OAK
T-062					0			3	1	2	3	9	DOUG FIR

1999				Scori	ing fo	or Sig	nific	ant Ti	ree G	rove	5	- <u></u> ,	, x,	
RESOURCELERNUMBER	DEVELOPMENT	SIZE	CONNECTIVITY	WETLÂND / RIPARIAN	STAND COMPOSITION	UNDERSTORY	STAND DENSITY	STAND STRUCTURE	tree size	species composition	sustainability/stand health	SCORE	SIGNIFICANCE RATING	SPECIES
					l	l								

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE





	·		,		•										е <sup>н</sup> н
	,ESOUR	ſ	RESOURCE ID NUMBER	1999	G-077	G-076	G-075B	G-075A	7-074C	G-074B	G-074A	escurceid number	1661	RESOURCE	IDINUMBER
	CE S	F	DEVELOPMENT		w	4	ω	ω	ω	S.	3	MATURE & EVENLY AGED		LANDMARKS	
	COF	F	SIZE		ω	ω	ω	ω	ω	ω	з	PURITY / UNUSUAL	GRO	WATER	
	RE S	-	CONNECTIVITY		w	ω	ŵ	ы		2	2	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	VER	TREE COVER DE	NSITY
	HE		WETLAND / RIPARIAN	Scor	1		щ	ч	-1	4	1	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	ATIN	LANDFORM CON	ITRAST
	<u></u>	-	STAND COMPOSITION	ing fo	10	Ħ	5	6	.00	9	9	SCORE	- G	VEGETATION DI	VERSITY
	S11:		UNDERSTORY	or Się							1	SIZE	1	WORKS OF MA	1
	Φ		STAND DENSITY	mific				_				SHAPE		SCORE	
		-	STAND STRUCTURE	ant T					_			LOCATION	掃	WATER - QUAN	TITY & SEASON
		Γ	tree size	ree C								EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	IE RA	WATER - QUALI	Тү
	, CP	F	species composition	rove								RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	TING	WATER - PROXI	MITY TO COVER
	A20	F	sustainability/stand health	0								CONDITION		WATER - DIVER	SITY
	<u> -80</u>		SCORE	•	Ö	0	0	0	0	٥	0	SEORE		FOOD - VARIET	(
	300(	-	SIGNIFICANCE RATING			1								FOOD - QUANT	ITY & SEASON
	3 TR	Γ												FOOD - PROXIN	ITY TO COVER
	EE 7				F, OV	PF.		0	_	0				COVER - STRUC	TURAL DIVERSITY
	ЛАР				VO, B	NO NO	유	Ø	8	ð	Ξ	SPECIES		COVER - VARIE	 [Y
	UPI		SPECIES		ľ.									COVER - NESTI	
	DAT													COVER - ESCAP	E
	1				L				L -	L .				COVER - SEASO	NALITY
		L					•							DISTURBANCE	- PHYSICAL
														DISTURBANCE	- HUMAN
														INTERSPERSIO	
														UNIQUE FEATL	JRES 0-4
														SCORE	
1															
I	4														



J D

1984			SCEN	ic qi	JALIT	γ.							W	LDLI	₹E HA	BITA	TAS	SESSI	VENT					
RESOURCE ID NUMBER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-89			Ì				0		_															0
NRA-27							0	4	3	4	2	3	4	3	2	3	2	1	2	1.	1	1	3	39
SNRA-47			_				0	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	4	4	4	3	2	6	8	98
SNRA-48							0	8	6	8	4	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	3	3	6	8	98
SNRA-49				_			0	8	6	8	8	8	6	8	5	4	2	2	3	2	3	6	4	83
SNRA-50							0	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	Ă	2	2	3	2	1	3	,	48

1991		GRO	VE RJ	ATIN	G			TRE	E RA	TING			
A Contraction Andreases	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SEORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SEORE	SPECIES
G-047	3	3	2	1	9							0	DF
G-049	4	3	3	4	14							0	DF, OWO, BLM
G-062A	3	3	3	3	12							0	DF
G-062B	3	2	3	• 3	11							0	ASH, OAK
G-063	3	3	2		8							0	DF
G-073	2	3	3	. 1	9							0	DF
G-086	3	3	2	1	9							0	DF, OWO
G-101	3	3	2.5	4	13							0	DF, OWO, OA, ALD
G-106	2	3	3	1	9							0	DF, OWO
G-050					0							0	DF, OWO, ASH
G-084	3	3	2	4	12							0	DF, OWO, ASH
G-085A	2	2	2	4	10						_	0	ASH, OAK, FIR
G-085B	3	3	3	4	13			,				0	DF
T-051					0			3	3			6	DOUG FIR
T-052A					0	_ [		3	3	1	2	9	DOUG FIR
Т-052В					0			3	2	1	2	8	DOUG FIR

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

Continued

1999				Scor	ing fo	or Sig	nific	ant T	ree G	rove	5			
RESOURCE DUNUMBER	DEVELOPMENT	SIZE	CONNECTIVITY	WETLAND / RIPARIAN	STAND COMPOSITION	UNDERSTORY	STAND DENSITY	STAND STRUCTURE	tree size	species composition	sustainability/stand health	SCORE	SIGNIFICANCE RATING	SPECIES
NX-05	5	4	6	0	1	2	1	1				20	26	DF, BLM
NX-09	5	4	6	0	1	3	1	0				20	26	DF, MAD

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

RESOURC	RESQURCE ID NUMBER	1999
E SC	DEVELOPMENT	
OR	SIZE	
ESF	CONNECTIVITY	
ÉEI	WETLAND / RIPARIAN	Scori
1:10	STAND COMPOSITION	ing fo
121	UNDERSTORY	or Sig
•	STAND DENSITY	nific
	STAND STRUCTURE	ant T
	tree size	ree G
СРА	species composition	rove
200	sustainability/stand health	
8-0	SCORE	
800	SIGNIFICANCE RATING	
TREE MAP UPDATE	SPECIES	

T-048	T-058	T-059	<b>G-06</b> 5	G-069	G-068	G-067	G-066	RESOURCE DI NUMBER	1991
			ω	ω	~	ω	ω	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	
			2	ω	ω	ω	ω	PURITY / UNUSUAL	GRO
			2	з	ω	2	ω	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	VE RJ
			-	1	ч	ч	1	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	TINC
0	0	0	œ	10	<u>ب</u> ور.	9	10	SCORE	
		ω						SIZE	
L		2						SHAPE	1
4	ω	ω						LOCATION	TRE
ω	2	ω						EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	ERA
		н						RELATIONSHIP to a NAT, RES.	TING
<u>س</u>		ω						CONDITION	Ĩ
11	σ	15	0	0	0	0	0	SCORE	
PONDEROSA PINE	OREGON OAK	PONDEROSA PINE	DF	DF	DF, OWO	DF	Dŀ	SPECIES	

.

Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system	SNRA-45	NRA-28	INRA-31	INRA-30	INRA-29	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system						
Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system       Image: Second system         Image: Second system       Image: Second system <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>WATER</td>						WATER
Image: Second						TREE COVER DENSITY
Image:						LANDFORM CONTRAST
Image: Second						VEGETATION DIVERSITY
OOOOSCORE4000WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON4000WATER - QUALITY4000WATER - QUALITY4000WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER40400WATER - DIVERSITY4040FOOD - VARIETY4140FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON4140FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER4140FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER4140FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER4140FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER41100COVER - SEASONALITY5111NCOVER - NESTING1111NCOVER - SEASONALITY11N1NCOVER - SEASONALITY11N1NCOVER - SEASONALITY11N1NDISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL1111NN1111NN1111NN1111NN11111N11111N11111N1111111						WORKS OF MAN
	0	0	0	0	0	SCORE
	4	0	4	0	0	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON
	3	0	ω	•	0	WATER - QUALITY
•       •       •       •       •       •       WATER - DIVERSITY         •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •         •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •       •         •<	4	0	8	0	ð	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER
*       ~       *       *       *       FOOD - VARIETY         *       +       *       *       FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON         ''       >       *       *       *       FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON         ''       >       *       *       *       FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER         *       >       *       *       *       COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSIT         *       >       *       *       COVER - VARIETY         *       *       *       *       COVER - SEASONALITY         *       *       *       *       *       COVER - SEASONALITY         *       *       *       *       *       DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL         *       *       *       *       *       INTERSPERSION         *       *       *       *       * <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>Û</td> <td>WATER - DIVERSITY</td>	4	0	4	0	Û	WATER - DIVERSITY
*       +       *	4	~	4	4	A	FOOD - VARIETY
	4	-	4	4	80	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON
	ы	2	8	4	8	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER
*       N       V       *       *       COVER - VARIETY         N       H       N       COVER - NESTING         "       H       N       COVER - NESTING         "       H       N       4       w       COVER - NESTING         "       H       N       4       w       COVER - ESCAPE         "       H       N       A       b       COVER - SEASONALITY         N       N       N       4       w       DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL         N       N       N       C       DISTURBANCE - HUMAN         "       H       w       w       INTERSPERSION         "       H       w       w       W       INTERSPERSION         "       H       N       M       W       UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4         10       11       12       12       12       5       SCORE	4	2	4	4	2	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY
N     H     N     H     N     COVER - NESTING       W     H     N     4     W     COVER - ESCAPE       W     H     N     A     W     COVER - SEASONALITY       N     H     N     A     W     DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL       N     N     N     H     W     DISTURBANCE - HUMAN       V     H     W     W     INTERSPERSION       M     H     W     W     INTERSPERSION       M     H     N     N     M       M     H     N     M     INTERSPERSION       M     N     N     M     UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4       D     N     N     M     SCORE	4	~	σ	4	A	COVER - VARIETY
u       II       N       4       w       COVER - ESCAPE         u       II       N       N       4       COVER - SEASONALITY         N       N       II       w       DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL         N       N       N       II       w       DISTURBANCE - HUMAN         V       II       w       u       INTERSPERSION         v       II       w       w       UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4         11       12       12       15       12         12       12       15       12       12	2	1	2	-	2	COVER - NESTING
	ω,	1	2	4	ω	COVER - ESCAPE
N     N     N     III     IV     DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL       N     O     N     N     O     DISTURBANCE - HUMAN       III     IV     IV     INTERSPERSION       IIII     IV     IV     INTERSPERSION       IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	ω	-	2	2	4	COVER - SEASONALITY
No     No     No     No     DISTURBANCE - HUMAN       UNITERSPERSION     UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4       No     No     No     UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4       No     No     SCORE	2	~	2	-	ω	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL
INTERSPERSION	2	0	2	2	•	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN
∞         ₀         ⋅         ∞         ∪NIQUE FEATURES 0-4           61         11         52         33         45         SCORE	5	1	ω	ω	ω	INTERSPERSION
61 21 62 33 49 SCORE	8	6	ч	2	∞	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4
	61	21	62	35	49	SCORE

.



1984			SCEN	IC QI	JALIT	Y							W	LDLI	FE HA	BITA	IT AS	SESSI	AENT					
RESOURCE DOWNNER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER • QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONAUTY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	score
INRA-36				-			0					2	2		1		1			2			5	13
INRA-43							0	8	6	8	4	6	5	8	4	4	2	2	3	2	2	5	4	73
NRA-42							0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	1	2	28
NRA-44							0	0	0	0	0	7	6	6	4	4	2	4	2	2	2	3	2	44
NRA-56							0	6	3	8	4	8	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	4	4	3	8	72
SNRA-38							0	8	6	8	4	7	8	8	5	5	2	4	3	2	2	4	4	80
SNRA-40							0	8	6	6	4	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	3	54
SNRA-41							0	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	3	.4	4	2	3	6	8	98

1991		GRO	VE RA	ATING	Ĵ			TRE	E RA	ting			
	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-064	4	4	3	1	12							Ó	DF, PP, OWO
G-090	3	3	3	2	11							0	DF
G-102	3	3	2.5	5	14		_					0	OWO, OA, COT, DF, CED
G-104	3.5	3	3	4	14					_		0	owo
T-060					0			3	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
T-061					0			3	2	1	2	8	OREGON OAK
T-066					0			3	2	1	2	8	REDWOOD

: .

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

RESOURCE ID NUMBER	566) SIG61
DEVELOPMENT	<u></u>
SIZE	
CONNECTIVITY	
WETLAND / RIPARIAN	Scar
STAND COMPOSITION	ing to
UNDERSTORY	or Sig
STAND DENSITY	milic
STAND STRUCTURE	ant f
tree size	ree G
species composition	roves
sustainability/stand health	
SCORE	
SIGNIFICANCE RATING	
SPECIES	

T-007	T-004	G-103	G-010	G-013	G-012	3-011	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
		~	4	4	ω	4	MATURE & EVENLY AGED
		ω	4	ω	ω	4	PURITY / UNUSUAL
		ι.	ω	2	ω	3	HEALTHY GROWING COND.
		1	5	ý	4	л	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.
0	0	9	16	14	13	16	SCORE
4							SIZE
сu							SHAPE
ы	2						LOCATION
ω	2						EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY
	2						RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.
ω	2						CONDITION
18	8	0	•	•	•	.0	SCORE
DOUG FIR	OREGON WHITE OAK		OAK, ASH, DF, PP	PP, OAK	PP, DF, OA, OWO, COT	ASH, FIR, PIN, OAK, COT, CED	SPECIES

.

SNRA-39	SNRA-38	NRA-56	RESOURCE ID NUMBER
			LANDMARKS
			WATER
			TREE COVER DENSITY
			LANDFORM CONTRAST
			VEGETATION DIVERSITY
·			WORKS OF MAN
0	0	0	SCORE
8	8	ch.	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON
6	6	З	WATER - QUALITY
S	∞	8	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER
4	4	4	WATER - DIVERSITY
4	7	8	FOOD - VARIETY
б	8	4	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON
ъ	8	4	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER
.4	л	4	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY
ω	5	4	COVER - VARIETY
2	2	N	COVER - NESTING
2	4	4	COVER - ESCAPE
. ω	ω	2	COVER - SEASONALITY
2	2	4	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL
2	2	4	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN
ω	4	ω	INTERSPERSION
4	4	8	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4
62	80	72	SCORE

TREE RATING

**RESOURCE SCORE SHEET: 1S123** 

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

49

.



-



1984		5	CEN	c qu	IALIT	Y							WI	DLIF	EHA	BITA	T ASS	ESSN	IENT					
RESQUECENDINUMBER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-55							0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	1	1	3		35
NRA-58							0	8	6	2	4	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	0	6	43
NRA-59							0	8	. 6	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	27
SNRA-41							0	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	3	4	4	2	3	6	8	98
SNRA-54							0	8	6	8	8	8	Ś	8	5	6	4	4	4	2	2	6	4	88
SNRA-57							0	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	3	4	4	2	2	6	8	95

1991		GRO	/E R/	TINC	5			TRE	e rat	ring			
A Construction of the second se	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT, RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-092	3	3	1	4	11							0	0w0
G-094	3	3	2	4	12							0	owo
G-095	2	3	2	3	10							0	owo
G-096	3	3	3	4	13							0	PP, DF, OA, OWO, CHE, ALD, BLM
G-097	3	3	2	4	12							0	OWO
G-099	3	3	2	3	11							0	owo
G-093	2	2	2	3	9							0	TOH, COT, ALD
G-098	3	4	2	•4	13							0	OWO, DF, QA, BLM
T-056					0			3	2	3	2	10	OREGON OAK
T-054					0			3	2	2	2	9	OREGON OAK
T-055					0	4	3	.3	4	2	1	17	OREGON OAK

÷

· / .

.

1984	·	s s	CEN	ic qu	ALIT	Y							WI	LDLIF	E HA	BITA	T ASS	SESSIN	IENT					
LESOURCE IB NUMBER	ANDMARKS	VATER	REE COVER DENSITY	ANDFORM CONTRAST	EGETATION DIVERSITY	VORKS OF MAN	SCORE	VATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	VATER - QUALITY	NATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	NATER - DIVERSITY	:00D - VARIETY	-OOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-52			<u> </u>				0	0	0	0	0	4	5	8	6	6	3	4	4	3	z	6	8	59
INRA-61							0					8	8		8	8	4	4	4	2	4		8	58
INRA-66							0	0	0	0	0	z	z	2	0	0	0	0.	0	1	2	1	5	15
INRA-67						,	0	8	6	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	24
INRA-68							0	8	6	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	24
INRA-73				-			0	4	2	8	2	4	4	8	6	4	2	3	3	3	4	б	4	67
INRA-74		_					0	4	2	8	2	4	4	8	6	4	2	3	3	2	1	6	4	63
NRA-53							0	0	0	0	0	4	4	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	z	1	2	28
NRA-59				_			0	8	6	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	27
SNRA-45							0	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	2	3	3	2	2	5	8	61
SNRA-46							0	8	4	8	8	8	8	8	5	5	4	4	4	2	2	6	8	92
SNRA-65							0	6	5	8	4	8	8	8	5	8	4	4	4	2	4	3	6	87

1991	(	GRØ\	/E RA	TING				TRE	ERAT	ING			
nesouscerb numeras	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	ЗНАРЕ	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-070	2	3	3	1	9							0	AC, RWD, SPR, CED
G-088	2	3	2	4	11							0	OA, OWO, DF, ALD
G-100	3	3	3	2	11							0	MULTIPLE SPECIES
G-087	2	3	2	1	8							0	DF, OWO, ALD
G-089	3	3			6							0	BLM, OWO, DF, ASH, ALD
G-091	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF
T-065			-	-	0			3	2	1	3	9	PONDEROSA PINE
T-053					0			3	3	1	3	10	DOUG FIR

1

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

1984			SCEN	IC QI	JALIT	γ							WI	LDLII	FE HA	BITA	TAS	SESSA	AENT					
RESOURCE ID: NUMBER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-81							0	0	0	0	0	6	6	•6	6	6	4	4	4	1	2	1		46
NRA-63							0	5	3	4	4	2	2	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	0	4	44
NRA-82 (8	1}						0				_			-										46
SNRA-50						•	0	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	3	2	1	3		48
SNRA-51							0	8	6	8	4	4	2	8	4	3	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	65
SNRA-80							0	8	6	8	4	8	6	8	6	6	4	4	2	2	4	з	4	83
SNRA-83							0	8	6	8	4	5	5	8	8	8	3	3	4	3	4	4	8	89

1001		GRO	VER	ATING	5			TRE	E RA	TING			
	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	size	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT. RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-105	3.5	3	3	2	12					_		0	OWO, BLM, DF
G-072	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF, OWO, BLM
G-079	3	3	3	1	10		_					0	DF
G-081	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF, OWO, BLM
G-078	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF, OWO, BLM
G-080	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF
G-082	3	3	3	1	10							0	DF
G-083	2	3	3	1	9							0	DF
T-050A					0	3		4	3	1	З	14	DOUG FIR
T-050B					0	3		4	3	1	3	14	DOUG FIR

÷ • • •

;

!

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

Continued

NX-01	RESOURCE ID NUMA
Ś	DEVELOPMENT
Ś	SIZE
2	
6	WETLAND / RIPARIAN
з	STAND COMPOSITION
ω	UNDERSTORY
2	STAND DENSITY
2	STAND STRUCTURE
	tree size
	species composition
	sustainability/stand health
28	SCORE
39	SIGNIFICANCE RATING
DF, BLM, WRC	SPECIES

.

. . .

57 ∰

**RESOURCE SCORE SHEET: 1S129** 

CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE



**১** :

1984		. <b>.</b>	SCEN	ic qu	JALIT	γ							WI	LDLI	₽E HA	BITA	TAS	SESSA	/IENT					
RESOURCE ID NUMBER	LANDMARKS	WATER	TREE COVER DENSITY	LANDFORM CONTRAST	VEGETATION DIVERSITY	WORKS OF MAN	SCORE	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	WATER - QUALITY	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	WATER - DIVERSITY	FOOD - VARIETY	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	COVER - VARIETY	COVER - NESTING	COVER - ESCAPE	COVER - SEASONALITY	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	DISTURBANCÊ - HUMAN	INTERSPERSION	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	SCORE
INRA-78							0	8	6	4	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	3	45
INRA-79							0	8	6	8	2	2	2	8	2	- 2	1	2	2	4	4	0	2	55
INRA-84							0	6	3	8	2	8	8	8	6	6	3	3	3	3	1	3	4	75
INRA-86							0	4	2	8	Z	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	4	4	3	8	87
INRA-87							0																	0
NRA-88 (8	8	3	2	2	4	3	22									_								98
SNRA-85							0	8	6	8	4	8	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	2	4	6	8	98

1991 GROVE RATING									TREE RATING				
A Distance of the second s	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	PURITY / UNUSUAL	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	SCORE	SIZE	SHAPE	LOCATION	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT, RES.	CONDITION	SCORE	SPECIES
G-071A	3	3	3	3	12							0	DF, BLM, OWO
G-071B	З	3	3	2	11							0	DF, BLM, OWO
G-071C	3	3	3	3	12							0	DF, BLM, OWO
G-071D	3	3	3	3	12							0	OWO, BLM, DF
G-071E	4	3	3	3	13					_		0	OWO, BLM, DF
G-071F		3	3	3	9							0	DF, OAK, MAP
G-071G	3	3	3	3	12							0	OWO, BLM
G-071H	3	3	3	2	11							0	OWO, BLM
G-071I	3	3	3	4	13							0	DF, ALD

•1

#### CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

Continued



999 And Ang ang Kabang Malaké (1996) i dar da sita a ran bar i sina manahaman nanih nanarang sina kabanaké ana P

.

# CPA2008-0008 TREE MAP UPDATE

RESQURCE ID NUMBER
DEVELOPMENT
SIZE
CONNECTIVITY
WETLAND / RIPARIAN
STAND COMPOSITION
UNDERSTORY
STAND DENSITY
STAND STRUCTURE
tree size
species composition
sustainability/stand health
SCORE
SIGNIFICANCE RATING
SPECIES

T-057	RESOURCE IDINUMBER	
	MATURE & EVENLY AGED	
	PURITY / UNUSUAL	
	HEALTHY GROWING COND.	
	CRUCIAL func. &/or aesthetic rel.	
0	SCORE	
4	SIZE	
4	SHAPE	
3	LOCATION	
4	EXCEPTIONAL BEAUTY	
۲	RELATIONSHIP to a NAT, RES.	
ω	CONDITION	
19	SCORE	
DOUG FIR	SPECIES	

.

NRA-71	SNRA-77	SNRA-72	NRA-75	INRA-76	INRA-74	INRA-69	INRA-68	RESOURCE ID NUMBE	
								LANDMARKS	
								WATER	
								TREE COVER DENSITY	
								LANDFORM CONTRAST	
								VEGETATION DIVERSITY	
								WORKS OF MAN	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	SCORE	
4	8	∞	∞	8	4	8	8	WATER - QUANTITY & SEASON	
ω	6	6	5	6	2	6	6	WATER - QUALITY	
4	8	~	<b>00</b>	8	8	0	0	WATER - PROXIMITY TO COVER	
2	4	4	4	2	. 2	2	2	WATER - DIVERSITY	
۳	2	∞	4	4	4	0	0	FOOD - VARIETY	
•	2	œ	4	4	4	2	2	FOOD - QUANTITY & SEASON	
4	∞	∞	8	4	8	0	0	FOOD - PROXIMITY TO COVER	
2	N	∞	4	4	6	0	0	COVER - STRUCTURAL DIVERSITY	
0	~	80	4	4	4	0	0	COVER - VARIETY	
-	2	4	2	~	~	•	0	COVER - NESTING	
2	2	4	N	2	ω	•	0	COVER - ESCAPE	
2	2	ω	2	2	μ	0	0	COVER - SEASONALITY	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	DISTURBANCE - PHYSICAL	
2	4	2	2	2	4	0	0	DISTURBANCE - HUMAN	
~	ω	6	2	2	6	0	0	INTERSPERSION	
2		4			4	4	4	UNIQUE FEATURES 0-4	
<b>3</b> 9	57	91	62	56	63	24	24	SCORE	

#### 57

....









.







POSTAG				
	THI T	ZACE	owes owes	1 1 1
73521 FROM	AP ZIP C	O/ PR 0 ODE	32 37 37	0 0
,				
			,	-
		ŗ		
	¢ <sup>5</sup>		•.	•
	1	-	-	· ·