NOTICE OF ADOPTED CHANGE TO A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OR LAND USE REGULATION

Date: February 18, 2015
Jurisdiction: City of Eugene
Local file no.: Z 14-8 Olson
DLCD file no.: 009-14

The Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) received the attached notice of adopted amendment to a comprehensive plan or land use regulation on 02/10/2015. A copy of the adopted amendment is available for review at the DLCD office in Salem and the local government office. This amendment was submitted without a signed ordinance.

Notice of the proposed amendment was submitted to DLCD 41 days prior to the first evidentiary hearing.

Appeal Procedures

Eligibility to appeal this amendment is governed by ORS 197.612, ORS 197.620, and ORS 197.830. Under ORS 197.830(9), a notice of intent to appeal a land use decision to LUBA must be filed no later than 21 days after the date the decision sought to be reviewed became final. If you have questions about the date the decision became final, please contact the jurisdiction that adopted the amendment.

A notice of intent to appeal must be served upon the local government and others who received written notice of the final decision from the local government. The notice of intent to appeal must be served and filed in the form and manner prescribed by LUBA, (OAR chapter 661, division 10).

If the amendment is not appealed, it will be deemed acknowledged as set forth in ORS 197.625(1)(a). Please call LUBA at 503-373-1265, if you have questions about appeal procedures.

DLCD Contact

If you have questions about this notice, please contact DLCD’s Plan Amendment Specialist at 503-934-0017 or plan.amendments@state.or.us
NOTICE OF ADOPTED CHANGE TO A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OR LAND USE REGULATION

Local governments are required to send notice of an adopted change to a comprehensive plan or land use regulation **no more than 20 days after the adoption**. (See [OAR 660-018-0040](http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/Pages/forms.aspx)). The rules require that the notice include a completed copy of this form. **This notice form is not for submittal of a completed periodic review task or a plan amendment reviewed in the manner of periodic review.** Use [Form 4](http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/Pages/forms.aspx) for an adopted urban growth boundary including over 50 acres by a city with a population greater than 2,500 within the UGB or an urban growth boundary amendment over 100 acres adopted by a metropolitan service district. Use [Form 5](http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/Pages/forms.aspx) for an adopted urban reserve designation, or amendment to add over 50 acres, by a city with a population greater than 2,500 within the UGB. Use [Form 6](http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/Pages/forms.aspx) with submittal of an adopted periodic review task.

Jurisdiction: City of Eugene

Local file no.: **Z 14-8**

Date of adoption: 2/10/15          Date sent: 2/10/2015

Was Notice of a Proposed Change (Form 1) submitted to DLCD?
     Yes: Date (use the date of last revision if a revised Form 1 was submitted): 11/5/14
     No

Is the adopted change different from what was described in the Notice of Proposed Change? Yes No

If yes, describe how the adoption differs from the proposal:

---

Local contact (name and title): Gabe Flock, Senior Planner

Phone: 541-682-5697          E-mail: gabriel.flock@ci.eugene.or.us

Street address: 99 West 10th Avenue          City: Eugene          Zip: 97401-

---

**PLEASE COMPLETE ALL OF THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS THAT APPLY**

**For a change to comprehensive plan text:**

Identify the sections of the plan that were added or amended and which statewide planning goals those sections implement, if any:

---

**For a change to a comprehensive plan map:**

Identify the former and new map designations and the area affected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change from</th>
<th>to</th>
<th>acres.</th>
<th>A goal exception was required for this change.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change from</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>acres.</td>
<td>A goal exception was required for this change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>acres.</td>
<td>A goal exception was required for this change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location of affected property (T, R, Sec., TL and address):

The subject property is entirely within an urban growth boundary

The subject property is partially within an urban growth boundary

---

[http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/Pages/forms.aspx](http://www.oregon.gov/LCD/Pages/forms.aspx)
If the comprehensive plan map change is a UGB amendment including less than 50 acres and/or by a city with a population less than 2,500 in the urban area, indicate the number of acres of the former rural plan designation, by type, included in the boundary.

Exclusive Farm Use – Acres: Non-resource – Acres:
Forest – Acres: Marginal Lands – Acres:
Rural Residential – Acres: Natural Resource/Coastal/Open Space – Acres:
Rural Commercial or Industrial – Acres: Other: – Acres:

If the comprehensive plan map change is an urban reserve amendment including less than 50 acres, or establishment or amendment of an urban reserve by a city with a population less than 2,500 in the urban area, indicate the number of acres, by plan designation, included in the boundary.

Exclusive Farm Use – Acres: Non-resource – Acres:
Forest – Acres: Marginal Lands – Acres:
Rural Residential – Acres: Natural Resource/Coastal/Open Space – Acres:
Rural Commercial or Industrial – Acres: Other: – Acres:

For a change to the text of an ordinance or code:
Identify the sections of the ordinance or code that were added or amended by title and number:

For a change to a zoning map:
Identify the former and new base zone designations and the area affected:

Change from AG to R-1 Acres: .64
Change from to Acres:
Change from to Acres:
Change from to Acres:

Identify additions to or removal from an overlay zone designation and the area affected:

Overlay zone designation: Acres added: Acres removed:

Location of affected property (T, R, Sec., TL and address): 17-04-11-11/08500; 348 River Loop 1

List affected state or federal agencies, local governments and special districts: City of Eugene

Identify supplemental information that is included because it may be useful to inform DLCD or members of the public of the effect of the actual change that has been submitted with this Notice of Adopted Change, if any. If the submittal, including supplementary materials, exceeds 100 pages, include a summary of the amendment briefly describing its purpose and requirements.
DECISION OF THE HEARINGS OFFICIAL
FOR THE CITY OF EUGENE, OREGON

ZONE CHANGE REQUEST

INTRODUCTION

Application File Name (Number):
William and Jana Olson (Z 14-8)

Applicant’s Request:
Zone change from AG Agricultural to R-1 Single-Family Residential.

Subject Property/Location:
Located at 348 River Loop 1, on the east side of River Loop 1, north of Grizzly Avenue;
Tax Lot 8500 of Assessor’s Map 17-04-11-11.

Relevant Dates:
Zone change application submitted on October 14, 2014; application deemed complete on
November 5, 2014; public hearing held on January 14, 2015.

Applicant’s Representative:
William Randall from Arbor South Architecture.

Lead City Staff:
Gabe Flock, Senior Planner, Eugene Planning Division.

Summary of the Public Hearing
The Hearings Official held a public hearing on this application on January 14, 2015. The
Hearings Official stated he had no conflicts of interests, was not biased, and had no ex parte
communications to disclose. No person objected to the Hearings Official conducting the
hearing. Gabe Flock (Flock), Senior Planner, and Steve Nystrom, Principal Planner, were present
for the hearings. Flock presented the staff report at the public hearing, recommending approval
of the zone change request. William Randall testified that he agreed with the staff report. At the
conclusion of the public hearing, the Hearings Official closed the record.

FACTS
The subject property is approximately .64 acres and is located on the east side of River
Loop 1. The property was recently annexed into the City. The property is currently developed
with a single-family dwelling. The property is currently zoned AG Agricultural due to it being
recently annexed into the City. The surrounding properties are primarily developed with single-
family dwellings that were built while the properties were in the county prior to being within the City’s urban growth boundary (UGB). The present request is to rezone the property R-1 Single-Family Residential, which is consistent with the Low Density Residential comprehensive plan designation for the property.

**DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED BY THE HEARINGS OFFICIAL**

I have considered all of the documents in the planning file for the proposed zone change (Z 14-8) as well as the testimony and documents provided at the public hearing.

**ANALYSIS**

Eugene Code (EC) 9.8865 provides the criteria for approval of a zone change:

“Zone Change Approval Criteria. Approval of a zone change application, including the designation of an overlay zone, shall not be approved unless it meets all of the following criteria:

“(1) The proposed change is consistent with applicable provisions of the Metro Plan. The written text of the Metro Plan shall take precedence over the Metro Plan diagram where apparent conflicts or inconsistencies exist.

“(2) The proposed zone change is consistent with applicable adopted refinement plans. In the event of inconsistencies between these plans and the Metro Plan, the Metro Plan controls.

“(3) The uses and density that will be allowed by the proposed zoning in the location of the proposed change can be served through the orderly extension of key urban facilities and services.

“(4) The proposed zone change is consistent with the applicable siting requirements set out for the specific zone * * *.

“(5) In cases where the NR zone is applied based on EC 9.2510(3), the property owner shall enter into a contractual arrangement with the city to ensure the area is maintained as a natural resource area for a minimum of 50 years.”

**EC 9.8865(1)**

EC 9.8865(1) requires that the proposed zone change be consistent with applicable provisions of the Metro Plan. The most obviously applicable provision of the Metro Plan is the plan designation for the property. The plan designation for the property is Low Density Residential. R-1 Single-Family Residential implements the Low Density Residential plan
designation. Although there do not appear to be any mandatory approval criteria in the Metro Plan, Policy A.2 provides that residentially zoned land within the UGB should be zoned consistent with the Metro Plan. The requested zone change fulfills this policy. Therefore, I find that the proposed zone change is consistent with the provisions of the Metro Plan and that EC 9.8865(1) is satisfied.

**EC 9.8865(2)**

EC 9.8865(2) requires that the proposed zone change be consistent with the applicable adopted refinement plans. In the present case, the applicable refinement plan is the River Road/Santa Clara Urban Facilities Plan (RR/SC UFP). The RR/SC UFP designates the subject property as Low Density Residential, which is consistent with the applicant’s requested zoning. The property is not within any specific subarea of the RR/SC UFP. Although there do not appear to be any mandatory approval criteria, general residential land use policy 1.0 provides “[r]ecognize and maintain the predominantly low-density residential character of the area * * *.” The requested zone change fulfills this policy.

EC 9.8865(2) is satisfied.

**EC 9.8865(3)**

EC 9.8865(3) requires that the uses and densities allowed by the proposed zoning can be served through the orderly extension of key urban facilities and services. Key urban facilities and services are defined in the Metro Plan as: wastewater service, stormwater service, transportation, water service, fire and emergency medical services, police protection, city-wide parks and recreation programs, electric service, land use controls, communication facilities, and public schools.

When the property was annexed into the City, a requirement was a demonstration that minimum levels of key urban facilities and services could be provided in an orderly, efficient, and timely manner. The annexation decision found that such services could be provided. The staff report also explains that the referral comments from the relevant city agencies agree that all key urban facilities and services can be provided. There was some suggestion that additional traffic would burden an already busy area. Any traffic comments are purely anecdotal, and the staff report and referral comments establish that the transportation system is more than adequate to service the uses and densities of R-1 Low-Density Residential development. Therefore, I find that EC 9.8865(3) is satisfied.
EC 9.8865(4)

EC 9.8865(4) requires that the proposed zone change be consistent with certain applicable siting requirements, in this case EC 9.2735, which provides:

“Residential Zone Siting Requirements. In addition to the approval criteria of EC 9.8865 Zone Change Approval Criteria, a property proposed for the R-1.5 zone shall not exceed the area needed to accommodate up to 8 rowhouse lots and shall be located at least 500 feet, as measured along existing street public right-of-way, from any other property zoned R-1.5”

The proposed zone change is from AG Agricultural to R-1 Low-Density Residential and not R-1.5. Thus, EC 9.2735 is not applicable to the current application. Therefore, I find that EC 9.8865(4) is satisfied.

EC 9.8865(5)

EC 9.8865(5) requires that certain arrangements must be made with the City when an NR Natural Resource zone is applied based on EC 9.2510(3). The proposed zone change does not include any NR Natural Resource zoning, so this criterion does not affect the application. Therefore, I find that EC 9.8865(5) is satisfied.

Transportation Planning Rule

Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), OAR 660-012-0060(1) provides:

“(1) If an amendment to a functional plan, an acknowledged comprehensive plan, or a land use regulation (including a zoning map) would significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility, then the local government must put in place measures as provided in section (2) of this rule, unless the amendment is allowed under section (3), (9) or (10) of this rule. A plan or land use regulation amendment significantly affects a transportation facility if it would:

“(a) Change the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility (exclusive of correction of map errors in an adopted plan);

“(b) Change standards implementing a functional classification system; or

“(c) Result in any of the effects listed in paragraphs (A) through (C) of this subsection based on projected conditions measured at the end of the planning period identified in the adopted TSP. As part of evaluating projected conditions, the amount of traffic projected to be generated
within the area of the amendment may be reduced if the amendment includes an enforceable, ongoing requirement that would demonstrably limit traffic generation, including, but not limited to, transportation demand management. This reduction may diminish or completely eliminate the significant effect of the amendment.

“(A) Types or levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility;

“(B) Degrade the performance of an existing or planned transportation facility such that it would not meet the performance standards identified in the TSP or comprehensive plan; or

“(C) Degrade the performance of an existing or planned transportation facility that is otherwise projected to not meet the performance standards identified in the TSP or comprehensive plan.”

If a proposed zone change would “significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility” then certain measures must be put in place to approve the zone change. An applicant for a zone change may avoid the analysis of OAR 660-012-0060(1) if it qualifies for an exception under OAR 660-012-0060(9), which provides:

“Notwithstanding section (1) of this rule, a local government may find that an amendment to a zoning map does not significantly affect an existing or planned transportation facility if all of the following requirements are met.

“(a) The proposed zoning is consistent with the existing comprehensive plan map designation and the amendment does not change the comprehensive plan map;

“(b) The local government has an acknowledged TSP and the proposed zoning is consistent with the TSP; and

“(c) The area subject to the zoning map amendment was not exempted from this rule at the time of an urban growth boundary amendment as permitted in OAR 660-024-0020(1)(d), or the area was exempted from this rule but the
local government has a subsequently acknowledged TSP amendment that accounted for urbanization of the area.”

As discussed above, the proposed R-1 Low-Density Residential zoning for the property is consistent with the existing comprehensive plan Low Density Residential designation, and the amendment does not change the comprehensive plan map. Thus, OAR 660-012-0060(9)(a) is satisfied.

The City’s acknowledged Transportation System Plan (TSP) is TransPlan. When TransPlan was adopted in 2001, the subject property was designated Low Density Residential and the designation has remained unchanged. Thus, the proposed zoning is consistent with the TSP, and OAR 660-012-0060(9)(b) is satisfied.

The subject property was not exempted from the TPR at the time of an urban growth boundary agreement. Thus, OAR 660-012-0060(9)(c) is satisfied. Therefore, the proposed zone change does not significantly affect a transportation facility for purposes of the TPR and therefore complies with the TPR.

DECISION

Based upon the available evidence and preceding findings, the Hearings Official APPROVES the applicant’s request for a zone change from AG Agricultural to R-1 Low-Density Residential zoning.

Dated this 27th day of January, 2015. Mailed this _____ day of ______________, 2015.

Fred Wilson
Hearings Official

SEE NOTICE OF HEARINGS OFFICIAL DECISION FOR STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS
Caution: This map is based on imprecise source data, subject to change, and for general reference only.

December 03, 2014