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BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON

An Ordinance repealing Ordinance 2010-008, and Declaring an Emergency.  

*  

ORDINANCE NO. 2012-011  

WHEREAS, in October of 2009, the City of La Pine ("La Pine") initiated the land use process to establish a La Pine Urban Growth Boundary ("UGB") and, the Board of County Commissioners ("Board") adopted Ordinance 2010-008 to add the adopted UGB into the County's comprehensive plan; and

WHEREAS, the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission remanded the ordinances for additional findings and such remand requires the repeal of Ordinance 2010-008 in order to adopt a new ordinance with the new findings; and

WHEREAS, the Deschutes County Planning Commission reviewed this ordinance on August 9, 2012 and, on that same date, forwarded to the Board a recommendation of approval of the repeal; and

WHEREAS, the Board considered this matter after a duly noticed public hearing on August 20, 2012, and on that same date, concluded that the public will benefit from the repeal of Ordinance No. 2010-008; now, therefore,

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON, ORDAINS as follows:

Section I. REPEALED. Ordinance 2010-008 is hereby repealed in its entirety.

///
Section 2. EMERGENCY. This Ordinance being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this Ordinance takes effect on its passage.

Dated this 26th of August 2012

Board of County Commissioners
of Deschutes County, Oregon

Anthony DeBone, Chair

Alan Unger, Vice Chair

Attest:

Recording Secretary

Tammy Baney, Commissioner

Date of 1st Reading: 26th day of August, 2012.

Date of 2nd Reading: 26th day of August, 2012.

Record of Adoption Vote

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Abstained</th>
<th>Excused</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony DeBone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Unger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Baney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective date: 26th day of August, 2012.
BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON

An Ordinance Amending Title 23 of the
Deschutes County Code To Establish the City of
La Pine Urban Growth Boundary, and Declaring
an Emergency.

* Vandersea

WHEREAS, in October of 2009, the City of La Pine ("La Pine") initiated the land use process to establish a La Pine Urban Growth Boundary ("UGB"); and

WHEREAS, the establishment of the La Pine UGB requires amendments to the Deschutes County Code ("DCC") Chapter 23.48, the Comprehensive Plan goals and policies for Urbanization and, the Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan Map; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments would create a new goal and new urban growth boundary policy for the City of La Pine; and

WHEREAS, the Deschutes County Planning Commission held a public hearing on February 25 and forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners ("Board") a recommendation of approval the Comprehensive Plan map and text amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Board considered this matter after a public hearing on April 5, 2010 and concluded that the public will benefit from changes to the Comprehensive Plan; now therefore,

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON, ORDAINS as follows:

Section 1. AMENDMENT. DCC Chapter 23.48, Urbanization, is amended to read as described in Exhibit "A," attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, with new language underlined and language to be deleted in strikethrough.

Section 2. AMENDMENT. DCC Title 23, Bend Comprehensive Plan map is amended, designating UGB boundaries as shown in Exhibit "B," attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein.

Section 3. FINDINGS. The Board adopts as its findings Exhibit "C," attached and incorporated by reference herein.

Section 4. AMENDMENT. DCC 23.48.030(2)(d), legal description for the new La Pine Urban Growth Boundary attached herein as Exhibit "D".

///
Section 5. EMERGENCY. This Ordinance being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this Ordinance takes effect on its passage.

Dated this _______ of __________, 2010

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON

DENNIS R. LUKE, Chair

ALAN UNGER, Vice Chair

ATTEST:

Recording Secretary

TAMMY BANEY, Commissioner

Date of 1st Reading: 5th day of April, 2010.

Date of 2nd Reading: 7th day of April, 2010.

Record of Adoption Vote:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Abstained</th>
<th>Excused</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis R. Luke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Unger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Baney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective date: 5th day of April, 2010.
23.48.010. Urbanization.

A major emphasis in Oregon's land use planning is locating the majority of new development in urban areas. The rural areas are primarily to be protected for natural resource utilization. Between the urban areas (incorporated cities) and the rural areas lies what is referred to as the urbanizing area. Usually under the jurisdiction of the County, this is the area where the future population will be located and where the city's services must be extended.

In Deschutes County the incorporated cities of Bend, Redmond and Sisters have been given the authority, by the County, to prepare plans for their respective urban areas. These plans are coordinated with the County's planning effort and will eventually be adopted as part of the County's comprehensive plan. In addition to a plan each city also prepares an urban area zoning ordinance and a cooperative agreement for mutually administering the urbanizing area.

All three incorporated cities were growing at rapid rates by the time the Deschutes County Year 2000 Comprehensive Plan was adopted in 1979. At that time, the County estimated Bend's urban area contained a population of 33,000 people, Redmond's was approximately 7,500, and Sisters' approximately 900. All of the cities were expected to continue their growth to the year 2000. The 2000 Census results for Bend, Redmond, and Sisters were 52,029, 13,481, and 959, respectively. In 2000, 58 percent of the County's population lived in urban areas.

The fourth city in Deschutes County is the City of La Pine. Incorporated on November 7, 2006, the City of La Pine's 2006 population estimate of 1,590 was certified by Portland State University, Population and Research Center on December 5, 2007. As of January 1, 2009, the City of La Pine is coordinating with the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development to develop its first comprehensive plan, which, when acknowledged and approved, will officially recognized an urban growth boundary in April 2010.

The Board officially recognized the city's urban growth boundary in April 2010.

The Deschutes County Coordinated Population Forecast, adopted in August 2004 estimated that by the year 2025, the County's population will reach 240,811 people. The 2004 forecast estimated 109,389 people in Bend, 45,724 people in Redmond, 3,747 people in Sisters, and 81,951 in non-urban, unincorporated areas. If population growth occurs as forecasted in 2004, 66 percent of the County's population will reside in urban areas by 2025.
As a result of the La Pine incorporation, Deschutes County updated its Coordinated Population Forecast with Ordinance 2009-006. The purpose of this modification was to adopt a conservative twenty-year population forecast for the City of La Pine that can be used by city officials and the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development to estimate future land need and an urban growth boundary. Deschutes County's 2004 Coordinated Population Forecast applied a conservative 2.2% annual average growth rate to estimate the county's unincorporated population from 2000 to 2025. This method applied the growth rate as a compounding rate throughout the entire forecast. Recognizing that incorporation occurred on November 7, 2006, it is reasonable to apply a 2.2% annual average growth rate to La Pine's estimated population, starting in July 1, 2007, the first time Portland State University's Population Research Center officially certified the City of La Pine in an Annual Population Report. By extending the growth rate to the Year 2025, La Pine's population will be 2,352. The non-urban unincorporated population decreases by 2,352 from its original projection of 81,951 to 79,599. Extending the growth rate to the Year 2029 results in a twenty year population estimate of 2,566 for La Pine.

Such growth will undoubtedly create severe problems for the provision of public services and adequate amounts of residential, commercial and industrial lands. Other problems are the protection of important aesthetic values, needed improvements in appearance and function of existing developments, safety and aesthetic problems, as well as energy and service costs, created by strip development; and problems with coordination and cooperation between the various agencies serving the public in urban areas, a problem which already exists.
EXHIBIT “A”

Some opportunities also exist. Cities in Deschutes County are located in one of the most beautiful and livable environments in the State. All of the communities have within their authority the power to guide their community’s growth for the public’s benefit. Cooperation and mutual effort between the cities, special districts and the County could mean urban environments that not only function efficiently but are attractive and desirable places to live.

The purposes of DCC 23.48 are to provide the link between the urban and rural areas, and to provide some basic parameters within which the urban areas of Deschutes County shall develop, although the specific urban area plan for each community shall be the prevailing document for guiding growth in its respective area. These policies will permit the County to review each urban area plan against common criteria and assure consistency County-wide.

(Ord. 2009-006 §3, 2009; Ord. 2004-012 §4, 2004; Ord. 2002-005 §1, 2002; Ord. 2000-017 §1, 2000; Ord. 92-051, 1992; PL-20, 1979)


1. To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban lands.
2. To assure that planning and implementation of plans in the urban areas are consistent with the best interest of both urban and urbanizing area residents.
3. To retain and enhance the character and quality of the urban areas as growth occurs. To recognize and respect the unusual natural beauty and character of the area.
4. To provide a sound basis for urbanization by establishing proper relationships between residential, commercial, industrial and open land uses; fostering intergovernmental cooperation; and providing an efficient transportation system.
5. To retain and enhance desirable existing areas and to revitalize, rehabilitate and redevelop less desirable existing areas; to encourage and promote innovations in development techniques in order to obtain maximum livability and excellence in planning and design for development.
6. To recognize the City of Redmond Comprehensive Plan as the policy document that provides the basis for implementing land use plans and ordinances in Redmond’s Urban Growth Boundary. The general purpose is to provide for one principal means of implementing the Redmond Comprehensive Plan.
7. To recognize the City of La Pine Comprehensive Plan as the policy document that provides the basis for implementing land use plans and ordinances in La Pine’s Urban Growth Boundary. The general purpose is to provide for one principal means of implementing the La Pine Comprehensive Plan.

(Ord. 2010-008 §1, 2010; Ord. 2006-018 §1, 2006; Ord. 2002-005 §1, 2002; Ord. 2000-017 §1, 2000; Ord. 92-051, 1992; PL-20, 1979)


1. Urbanization. Urbanization policies refer to an unincorporated urban growth areas within an urban growth boundary but outside the boundaries of a city, and are intended to assist in the decision making about the conversion of rural to urban uses, and to help in the development of consistent urban area plan. More detailed policies for the urban areas of Bend, Redmond and Sisters are specified in the urban area plans and they shall be the primary documents for coordination and land use decisions in their respective areas.
   a. Urban growth boundaries identify and separate urbanizable land from rural land. Conversion of urbanizable land to urban uses shall be based on consideration of:
      1. Orderly and economic provision for public facilities and services;
      2. Availability of sufficient land for the various uses to insure choices in the marketplace; and
      3. Encouragement of development within urban areas before conversion of urbanizable areas.
   b. Urban growth boundaries shall be established or expanded based upon the following:
EXHIBIT “A”

1. Demonstrated need to accommodate long-range urban population growth requirements consistent with LCDC goals;
2. Need for housing, employment opportunities and livability;
3. Orderly and economic provision for public facilities and services;
4. Maximum efficiency of land uses within and on the fringe of the existing urban area;
5. Environmental, energy, economic and social consequences;
6. Retention of agricultural land as defined, with Class I being the highest priority for retention and Class VI the lowest priority; and,
7. Compatibility of the proposed urban uses with nearby agricultural activities.

2. Coordination.
   a. Within an urban growth boundary City and County land use regulations and standards shall be mutually supportive, jointly proposed and adopted, administered and enforced, and plans to integrate the type, timing and location of development of public facilities and services in a manner to accommodate demand as urbanizable lands become more urbanized, and to guide the community's growth.
   b. Urban development shall be permitted in areas where services are available or can be provided in a manner which will minimize costs related to necessary urban services such as schools, parks, highways, police, garbage disposal, fire protection, libraries and other facilities and services.
   c. Deschutes County adopts by reference the goals, policies, programs, elements, and statements of intent of the Redmond Comprehensive Plan, the officially adopted comprehensive plan for the City of Redmond and its surrounding Urban Growth Boundary.
   d. Deschutes County adopts by reference the goals, policies, programs, elements, and statements of intent of the La Pine Comprehensive Plan, the officially adopted comprehensive plan for the City of La Pine and its surrounding Urban Growth Boundary.

3. Residential development.
   a. Residential developments should be located so that they are convenient to places of employment and shopping facilities, and they should be developed in ways which are consistent with the character of the topography and soils on the site. Residential areas should offer a wide variety of housing densities in locations best suited to each.
   b. Residential densities indicated on general plans should be respected and reflected in City and County codes, ordinances and development policies.
   c. In residential areas, development should be encouraged which have side yards or rear yards along arterial streets as a means of reducing congestion through turning movements in and out of driveways.
   d. Higher density residential areas should be concentrated near commercial services and public open space.

   a. Commercial facilities should be allocated in a reasonable amount and in a planned relationship to the people they will serve. Any future expansion of commercial uses should be developed as centers rather than strips and very carefully considered so that they do not cause unnecessary traffic congestion and do not detract from the appearance of the community.
   b. Neighborhood commercial shopping areas, parks, school and public uses may be located within residential districts and should have development standards which recognize the residential area. Development standards should be established for those commercial uses which will provide off-street parking, landscaping, access control, sign regulations and design review.
   c. Strip commercial developments along highways should not be extended. Commercial uses along major streets and highways shall be subject to special development standards relating to landscaping, setbacks, signs and median strips. No further commercial development outside urban growth boundaries, rural service centers, planned developments, or destination resorts shall be permitted.
d. All commercial shopping centers shall be subject to special development standards relating to setbacks, landscaping, physical buffers, screening, access, signs, building heights and design review. Care shall be taken to control the size of any new commercial developments that may be required as growth occurs. Sites shall not be oversized to a point where additional uses which would generate traffic from outside the intended service area are necessary to make the development an economic success.

5. Industrial.
   a. Community efforts should be directed toward preserving prime industrial lands for industrial purposes. Industrial areas shall be protected from incompatible commercial and residential uses.
   b. Industrial areas of the community shall be located where necessary services can be provided and with good access to transportation facilities.
   c. Community efforts should be directed toward improving the general appearance of commercial and industrial areas so that they make a positive contribution to the environment of the community.
   d. Industrial areas shall provide for new industry in a park-like setting.
   e. All industrial centers shall be subject to special development standards relating to setbacks, landscaping physical buffers, screening, access, signs, building heights and design review.

   a. Because of slow natural growth and their effective use as a visual and noise buffer, and their relationship to air quality, trees or stands of trees shall be protected whenever feasible in industrial, commercial, residential and other urban developments.
   b. Community appearance shall continue to be a major concern. Landscaping, sign regulations and building design review shall contribute to an improved environment. Major natural features such as rock outcrops, stream banks, canyons, or stands of trees should be preserved as a community asset as the area develops.
   c. Attempts by each community to identify those characteristics which give the community its individual identity and to preserve and expand those characteristics as growth occurs shall be encouraged by the County.
   d. Sign regulations shall be adopted which limit the size, location and number of signs in commercial and industrial areas and have amortization provisions to remove existing signs which do not conform with the regulations within a reasonable period of time.

7. Urban transportation.
   a. Expressways and arterial streets should have landscaped median strips wherever possible together with left-turn refuge lanes. Public transportation routes should be encouraged throughout the area and, if necessary, special provisions made in street design to accommodate ways.
   b. Streets and highways should be located and constructed in a manner which will accommodate both current and future traffic needs. Implementation of arterial and collector road systems should be joint County and City effort with strict time schedules and priorities.
   c. Interurban transportation facilities should be located in or near the central business district or main highway. Special consideration will be needed to evaluate public transportation needs and possibilities within the urban area.
   d. Except for major arterial and collector streets, street patterns in residential areas should be designed to provide convenient access to each living unit but not encourage through-traffic. Major and collector streets should be secured and developed under a strict time frame so that a reasonable circulation pattern will result.
   e. Provisions should be considered which will permit mass transit vehicles on arterial and collector streets within residential areas in the future.

8. Facilities and services.
EXHIBIT "A"

a. Efforts should be made over a sustained period of time to place utility lines underground in existing and new residential areas.

b. Parks should be located within walking distance of every dwelling unit in the community. Parks should be centrally located and easily accessible to the areas they are intended to serve (see Recreation).

c. Certain private recreational uses such as golf courses or riding stables can be successfully integrated into residential areas provided the location, design and operation are compatible with surrounding residential developments.

d. Fire protection in the planning area should be considered as a common problem by the City, County, water district and the fire protection district, and equipment should reflect the character of land uses in the community.

e. Efforts should be made to encourage Federal and State agencies to locate in urban areas.

f. Efforts should be made to group public offices in a more or less common location as a convenience to the public.

9. Other.

a. In many cases, home occupations are a legitimate use within residential areas and should be permitted provided that the use displays no outward manifestations of business other than a small business sign attached to the wall of the house.

b. Recreation vehicle storage should be permitted in planned residential areas and these facilities shall be landscaped and otherwise screened from adjacent residential uses.

c. Consistent with policies in the Historic and Cultural chapter rehabilitation and/or redevelopment of older residential areas shall be encouraged.

d. All development in Deschutes County shall comply with all applicable state and federal rules, regulations and standards.

(Ord. 2010-008 §1, 2010; Ord. 2006-018 §1, 2006; Ord. 2005-023 §1, 2005; Ord. 2002-005 §1, 2002; Ord. 2000-017 §1, 2000; Ord. 92-051, 1992; PL-20, 1979)


1. Redmond Urban Reserve Area. The following policies apply to the division and development of land in the area designated Redmond Urban Reserve on the County Comprehensive Plan map.

a. The Redmond Urban Reserve Area (RURA) shall be designated with an urban reserve boundary located on the County's Comprehensive Plan Map.

b. The County shall implement the Urban Reserve Area designation through the application of a RURA Combining Zone. The text of this combining zone shall be added and maintained in Title 18, County Zoning, of the Deschutes County Code.

c. Until included in the Redmond Urban Growth Boundary, lands zoned Multiple Use Agricultural, Surface Mining, Rural Residential, or EFU in the RURA shall continue to be planned and zoned for rural uses, but in a manner that ensures a range of opportunities for the orderly, economic and efficient provision of urban services when these lands are included in the urban growth boundary.

d. The County, by designating a RURA, shall adopt and implement land use regulations that ensure development and division of land in the Multiple Use Agricultural, Surface Mining or Rural Residential zoning districts, will not hinder the efficient transition to urban land uses and the orderly and efficient provision of urban services.

These land use regulations shall include:

1. Prohibition on the creation of new parcels less than ten acres;

2. Regulations that prohibit zone changes or plan amendments allowing more intensive uses, including higher residential density, than permitted by the acknowledged zoning in effect as of the date of establishment of the urban reserve area. Such regulations shall remain in effect until such time as the land is included in the Redmond Urban Growth...
EXHIBIT “A”

Boundary.

e. Partitions of land zoned Exclusive Farm Use shall be allowed according to state law and the County Zoning Ordinance.

f. The City of Redmond and Deschutes County shall adopt a RURA Agreement consistent with their respective comprehensive plans and the requirements of OAR 660-021-0050.

g. New arterial and collector right-of-way established in the RURA shall meet the right-of-way standards of Deschutes County or the City of Redmond, whichever is greater.

h. The siting of new development shall be regulated along existing and future arterial and collector right-of-way, designated on the County’s Transportation System Plan, for the purpose of ensuring the opportunity for future urban development and public facilities.

i. The siting of a single family dwelling on a legal parcel is permissible if the single family dwelling would otherwise have been allowed under law, existing prior to the designation of the parcel as part of the Redmond Urban Reserve Area.

j. City of Redmond shall collaborate with Deschutes County to assure that the County owned 1800 acres in the RURA is master planned before it is incorporated into Redmond’s urban growth boundary.

(Ord. 2002-005 §1, 2002; Ord. 2000-017 §1, 2000; Ord. 92-051, 1992; PL-20, 1979; Ord. 2005-023 §1, 2005)
La Pine Comprehensive Plan and Urban Growth Boundary
Proposed Findings

Overview
The City of La Pine, incorporated in 2006, is Oregon's newest City. In an effort to fulfill all obligations of incorporation, La Pine is now on its way to fulfilling the land use planning requirements adopted by the State and administered by the Department of Land Conservation and Development. First in this effort is the creation of La Pine's first Comprehensive Land Use Plan and the establishment of an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). Over the last half of 2008 and throughout 2009 the City has worked with a consultant team, local and regional agencies, and, most importantly, the citizens of La Pine to develop a direction for land uses in the community for the next 20 years. Because this is the first Comprehensive Plan and UGB for La Pine as an incorporated City (previous land use planning was done under the jurisdiction of Deschutes County and the State Unincorporated Community Rules), the citizen involvement portion of the process was emphasized - self-direction was an overriding theme expressed by citizens throughout the planning process.

Since this is the first Comprehensive Plan and UGB for La Pine, the planning process was targeted at meeting the basic requirements of the applicable Oregon Statutes and Administrative Rules, and implementing the State Land Use Planning Goals at the local level. The key components on which the Plan and UGB were based include the Adopted and Acknowledged 2009-2029 Deschutes County Coordinated Population Forecast, which included the City of La Pine, a Buildable Lands Inventory for the area within the City limits and an Economic Opportunities Analysis. Additionally, because many of the public facilities and services within La Pine are provided by Special Districts and not the City, coordination with the master plans of those groups was essential. Additionally, transition from County jurisdiction was taken into consideration - it assumed that a variety of services will still be provided by Deschutes County for the near future until the City has the capability to take over those roles.

The following findings are offered in support of the Comprehensive Plan and the establishment of an Urban Growth Boundary for the City of La Pine. They serve as a summary of the technical data included in the Plan and describe the correlation between such data and the development of the goals and policies.

Basic Findings of Fact
1. In 2009 Deschutes County amended its coordinated population forecast to include the City of La Pine. The population projection for La Pine for the year 2029 is 2,566 persons. This is an increase in the population of approximately 1,000 persons over the 20-year planning period or 50 persons per year on average. The increase is based on a conservative average annual growth rate of 2.2% as agreed upon in the adopted and acknowledged 2009-2029 Deschutes County Coordinated Population Forecast. Although the current economic crisis has severely dampened residential growth in La Pine, it is still important to look out over the
20-year planning period to forecast potential land use needs to accommodate planned growth.

2. A Buildable Lands Inventory was completed for La Pine to determine the amount of land available and necessary for housing. Additionally, this inventory aided in determining the amount of land available for economic use. The inventory examined vacant lands as well as lands that were only partially developed or that were potentially redevelopable. The basis for determining what was redevelopable depended on the age and condition of the structures on the site as qualified by the Deschutes County Tax Assessor. The inventory also took into account lands that were otherwise encumbered by physical factors such as floodplain or lack of public services. It was determined that over 2,700 acres of land were available for residential development inside the La Pine City limits.

3. Based upon available Census/Claritas data and as combined with local trend data, the assumption of 3.0 persons per household was used in determining residential land need for the planning period. Additionally, it was projected that over the planning period an average residential density of 3.0 units per net acre could be achieved. This goal is begins with recognizing the current low density of approximately 1,061.3 people per the 1,566 gross acres of currently zoned residential land (1,061.3 x 1,566 acres = current population of 1,662). Increasing density by nearly 3 times the current rate may be aggressive, but it must be understood that the current range of housing choices is 97% single family residential. Thus, the proposed goals, policies, programs, and proposed land use designations contained in the Plan are designed to help improve the type of housing choices and mixed uses as a way to increase density. It was also projected that over the planning period that housing choices could be split according to a 60% single family residential to 40% multiple family residential housing ratio (although La Pine is far from this ratio currently). In combination, these factors indicate that 945.67 acres of residential land will be needed to accommodate the projected population growth over the 20-year planning period—all of this is available within the proposed UGB. The ultimate goal is to blend the desires of the community to have more choices in housing types while retaining elements that reflect community values. To achieve this goal, the future zoning ordinances that implement the Plan goals and policies will also include livability standards and density allocations per zone.

4. The primary economic lands in La Pine are the industrial lands located in the southeast portion of the City and the commercial lands, which generally follow the Highway 97 corridor in the southernmost and northernmost portions of the City. Much of the industrial land is vacant and available for new development, but there are inadequate amounts of large individual acreages. There is a need and desire for acreages of over 100 acres in size. The City of La Pine has identified other industrial and employment lands areas within the City/UGB that can be converted over time from resource uses to employment lands. The majority of the commercial lands have existing development that serves both the citizens and traveling public. Overall, La Pine has 482.80 gross acres of economic lands, with 449.00 net acres being available for development. It is projected that the city limits currently provides for all acreage needed during the
planning period except for several “shovel-ready” large industrial development parcels of over 100 acres in size and potential recreational lands.

5. Overall, the UGB is proposed to be the same line as the boundary of the City limits. It is understood that the UGB will likely last longer than 20 years for most residential and commercial development. While the citizens of La Pine will want economic development as soon as possible, the current slow economic recovery will likely keep things from moving as fast as desired. Nonetheless, La Pine is planning for a future that accommodates planned growth. As described in more detail below, it is also understood the intent of having the UGB and City limits be the same line is to - assure the public of consistent jurisdiction for delivery of public services, including consistent land use; cohesion in developing the complete neighborhood concepts; delivery of utility and emergency services; code enforcement; and, allocation of tax dollars. The seamless jurisdiction of local government over the newly incorporated area is a top priority for the citizens of La Pine. The UGB will be filled up and developed with a variety of planned urban uses and holding zones where needed to address phased growth for the term of the Plan. This includes large lot industrial development, which must be available to respond to market desires and trends. It is essential for La Pine to not only have a variety of industrial lands but a ready supply of larger parcels for immediate development. In this way, La Pine can be more sustainable and effectively compete for businesses and industry that have traditionally overlooked La Pine in favor of Bend, Redmond, Prineville, etc.

6. The Comprehensive Planning process was done in accordance with and in order to fulfill the requirements of Oregon’s Statewide Planning Goals. Goal 1, which mandates citizen involvement in the process was a key component in understanding the desires of the community and embodying them into the Plan. A series of public meetings, workshops, and open houses were held throughout the process at which public comment was taken. A Technical Advisory Committee was created which included representatives from various public agencies, private groups and special interest - all of which provide services to the community of La Pine. The work with the Technical Advisory Committee included coordination with Deschutes County officials for assistance in gathering information appurtenant to the project. During the planning process a Planning Commission was appointed – the Planning Commission is now the official public involvement body for the City. Lastly, the La Pine City Council and Deschutes County Board of County Commissioners were regularly updated and involved throughout the planning process. Formal public hearings were held before the City and County Planning Commissions and City Council whereby public testimony was heard.

7. Many of the public facilities and services in La Pine (such as water, sewer, fire, law enforcement, recreation) are provided and administered by separate districts and public agencies. The City of La Pine does not yet have the capability to provide these services, but they plan to. The parties are currently in discussions about the future transfer of water and sewer services from the Special Districts. As the City grows, the local City government will expand and absorb other services. Many of the Goals and Policies contained in the Comprehensive Plan require continued coordination with such agencies and districts, and direct City
endeavors to align with those of the service providers to the greatest degree possible.

8. An important factor for the community is the Highway 97 corridor. Highway 97 bisects the City and serves not only as the primary transportation link to/through the City, but it is also an obstacle that must be overcome for practical land use planning. Continuing work with the Oregon Department of Transportation, including transportation improvement projects, is critical for the economic health of the community.

9. The Comprehensive Plan includes separate chapters which address all applicable Statewide Planning Goals. Included within each chapter is data sufficient to analyze the city's ability to provide the necessary land area and services to accommodate the projected population growth. Goals and policies have been established to give direction to the City Council and to let citizens know how their community will develop over the planning period.

10. Through the Comprehensive Planning process, it was determined that there are adequate lands within the existing City limits to meet housing and commercial land needs for the next 20 years. Thus, for this and other reasons described above, the Urban Growth Boundary will be commensurate with the incorporated area. Urban reserves planning will occur at an undesignated time in the future.

11. A Comprehensive Plan Map which identifies existing and future land uses has been developed to correspond with the text of the Plan. The map includes land use designations for residential uses (single family and multiple family), commercial, and industrial lands. Additionally, special areas were identified where on-going planning projects (Wickiup Junction Improvement Area) will affect the land uses in a yet to be determined fashion. Unlike many communities, La Pine will retain a large expanse of land with a Forest designation until these lands are rezoned for employment land and recreational purposes. The City intends to rezone those resource lands that are within the City limits within the next 24 months. These lands will be urbanized over the planning period and designated for employment and recreation lands as shown on the Comprehensive Plan map. Much of this land lies on the east side of the City where new streets will provide adequate access and services for potential large lot industrial lands and opportunities for large energy production sites. La Pine is fortunate to be able to retain large parcels for economic development and to accommodate transitional uses until such time lands are needed for their ultimate urban development purposes. Such transitional uses include recreation, large lot industrial development, sewer expansion, energy production sites, wildfire management areas, which also include removal of vegetation as necessary to support healthy forest or to potentially provide fuels for biomass plants and other industry.

Conformance with Statewide Planning Goals

Goal 1: Citizen Involvement

To develop a citizen involvement program that insures the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process.

Findings: The public involvement efforts associated with the Comprehensive Planning process included mailed public notice, notices posted in conspicuous places throughout
the community and formal notice printed in local newspapers, the broader community’s “Bulletin” newspaper, and other local venues to advertise for public meetings and hearings. A variety of public information meetings were held at which citizens gave input on the vision for their community and were educated about the Oregon planning requirements. A Technical Advisory Committee that included representatives from service districts, private business organizations and partner agencies met a variety of times to discuss specifically targeted topics related to their expertise. Toward the end of the planning process a Planning Commission was appointed as the formal public involvement body for the City. Work sessions and public hearings were held before the Planning Commission and City Council to receive input on the goals, policies and direction of the Plan. In addition to the meetings and hearings, all materials generated throughout the planning process were posted to the City web site and were available in hard copy at City Hall. City staff and consultants were available to the community and this helped those who could not make the meetings and needed to ask questions in person, via email, or phone. The City also provided Braille copies of the Plan to those who requested it.

Based on this course of action the City has complied with the Citizen Involvement requirements of the Goal and made additional efforts to obtain public input in the planning process.

Goal 2: Land Use Planning
To establish a land use planning process and policy framework as a basis for all decision and actions related to use of land and to assure an adequate factual base for such decisions and actions.

Findings: The City of La Pine Comprehensive Plan and establishment of an Urban Growth Boundary are the initial steps toward implementing the Oregon planning requirements at the local level. As part of this process the City and their consultant utilized a variety of studies and trend data from the US Census, Claritas, Oregon Economic and Employment Departments, DLCD, BLM, OEDD; Deschutes County, etc. The City also prepared a Buildable Land Inventory and Economic Opportunities Analysis to establish a basis for future urban land needs. Additionally, the master plans and comprehensive plans from service districts and partner agencies were utilized to ensure that City goals and policies were aligned with those service providers. The districts and agencies included: the La Pine water and sewer district; the La Pine Park and Recreation District; the La Pine Rural Fire Protection District; Deschutes County; USFS; BLM; ODOT; and, the Bend-La Pine School District, etc. All goals and policies of the Plan and the Urban Growth Boundary were designed to be consistent with the direction of the existing service providers and to meet future needs based on the coordinated population forecast and area employment forecasts.

Based on the fact that this is the initial Comprehensive Plan and designation of an Urban Growth Boundary for La Pine, and both the Plan and UGB were coordinated with service providers, the City of La Pine has complied with Land Use Planning requirements of the Statewide Planning Goals.
Goal 3: Agricultural Lands
To preserve and maintain agricultural lands.
Findings: Small pockets of land designated as Agriculture on the previous Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan exist along the floodplain and riparian areas associated with the Little Deschutes River as it runs through La Pine. Although the City is not required to plan for agricultural lands within the UGB, the Comprehensive Plan contains goals and policies indicating that such lands should be preserved and incorporated into future development as open space or in other mixes of uses as practicable. Additionally, the right to continue agricultural practices on such lands until they further developed is included in the policies of the Plan.

Goal 4: Forest Lands
To preserve and maintain forest lands.
Findings: Large areas of undeveloped land designated as Forest on the previous Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan exist along the west side of the City, generally west of Highway 97. Although the City is not required to plan for forestlands within the UGB, the Comprehensive Plan contains goals and policies indicating that such lands should be preserved and incorporated into future development as open space and wildlife corridors as practicable or as large lots for future industrial and employment land needs. Additionally, the right to continue forest practices on such lands until such time as they further developed is included in the policies of the Plan. Lastly, a wildfire protection overlay designation has been placed on such lands to ensure that such lands do not become a hazard to the community.

Goal 5: Open Space, Scenic and Historic Areas and Natural Resources
To protect natural resources and conserve scenic and historic areas and open space.
Findings: Goal 5 planning for the City and surrounding area was done by Deschutes County as part of their Comprehensive Planning when the community was under their jurisdiction. The acknowledged Goal 5 resources include view shed corridor protection along the Little Deschutes River and the protection of wildlife (deer) migration corridors through the community. Policies that acknowledge these resources are included in the Plan and it is assumed that similar protection will be afforded when the City develops a development code. Additionally, an inventory of historic and cultural resources was completed during the comprehensive planning process – this inventory was adopted by the City Council and will be the basis for developing a Historic Code to implement the policies for protecting such resources as stated in the Plan. Lastly, the continued designations of agriculture and forest for lands within the City (per previous Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan designation), will result in retention of open space until master planning of these areas can be completed.

Based on these factors, the Open Space, Scenic and Historic Areas and Natural Resources in La Pine will be continually protected and planned for as required by the Goal.

Goal 6: Air, Water and Land Resources Quality
To maintain and improve the quality of the air, water and land resources of the state.
**Findings:** There are no specifically identified air or land resources that are areas of concern in the La Pine UGB. However, La Pine is within an area that has been identified as a high groundwater area of concern. A study of the La Pine area (including the surrounding rural area) by Deschutes County found high levels of nitrates in the groundwater. A goal of the City is to eliminate septic systems within the community by working with the La Pine sewer district to expand the sewer system to unserved areas within the UGB. Additionally, it will be a requirement of all new development to connect to the sewer system.

Another area of concern is protection of the Little Deschutes River. The Plan includes policies that will protect the riparian corridor and water quality of this resource. Development and encroachment into the corridor will be limited. Additionally, future urban storm water management regulations will be implemented to protect both the river and groundwater resources from this potential source of contamination.

Based on these factors, the Air, Water and Land Resources in La Pine will be continually protected and planned for as required by the Goal.

**Goal 7: Areas Subject to Natural Disasters and Hazards**
**To protect people and property from natural hazards.**
**Findings:** The two areas of concern are the risk of wildfire on forested lands and flooding along the Little Deschutes River. As part of the planning process, the City consulted with the La Pine Rural Fire Protection District and the Deschutes County Forester. The direction was to adopt policies which implement the Greater La Pine Community Wildfire Protection Plan. In this effort, policies were adopted to require the establishment of a Wildfire Protection Overlay Zone for the forested areas within the UGB. The designation of such areas will require lands to be managed to reduce and prevent the risk of wildfire prior to and in conjunction with development.

The floodplain and floodway associated with the Little Deschutes River has been mapped by FEMA. Policies which limit development in these areas, with specific protections for all development that is allowed, will be implemented in a manner similar to the previous requirements imposed by Deschutes County.

Based on policies associated with fire protection (including a fire protection overlay zone) and limiting development in the floodplain, the people and property of La Pine will be protected from natural hazards.

**Goal 8: Recreational Needs**
**To satisfy the recreational needs of the citizens of the state and visitors and, where appropriate, to provide for the siting of necessary recreational facilities including destination resorts.**
**Findings:** The recreational needs of the citizens and visitors to the community are met in both formal and informal ways. The La Pine Park and Recreation District provides and administers the formal recreational opportunities within the community – these include parks and recreational programs. The District has an adopted Comprehensive Plan which
specifies park and program needs; necessary facilities and improvements; aspirational goals and policies; and, funding methods. This plan gives direction to the formal recreational needs of the community for the next 20 years. The City of La Pine Comprehensive Plan requires coordination with the Park and Recreation District and includes policies that will require all new development to consider the recreational needs of citizens as outlined in the District Comprehensive Plan.

The informal recreational needs of the community are met primarily by the surrounding public lands, including the national forests, national recreation areas and state parks. It is anticipated that the tight controls over such lands will ensure that development in such areas will be limited and that the recreational opportunities will be retained. The City Plan requires continued coordination with the BLM, National Forest and Oregon Department of Parks and Recreation as any changes to such areas are proposed or when more land is needed.

**Goal 9: Economic Development**

To provide adequate opportunities throughout the state for a variety of economic activities vital to the health, welfare, and prosperity of Oregon's citizens

**Findings:** Goal 9 requires that Comprehensive plans and policies shall contribute to a stable and healthy economy in all regions of the state. Such plans shall be based on inventories of areas suitable for increased economic growth and activity after taking into consideration the health of the current economic base; materials and energy availability and cost; labor market factors; educational and technical training programs; availability of key public facilities; necessary support facilities; current market forces; location relative to markets; availability of renewable and non-renewable resources; availability of land; and pollution control requirements. In determining the proper data collection and approach for the Economic Opportunities Analysis data from National, State, and Local resources were utilized. The overall result of such data shows that La Pine has adequate lands for economic development purposes and that the current city limits can serve as the primary urban growth boundary for the 20 year planning period unless new factors arise that show additional land is needed for large lot industrial development and/or land is needed for specific tourism/recreational needs such as the proposed Rodeo expansion.

**Goal 10: Housing**

To provide for the housing needs of citizens of the state.

**Findings:** Goal 10 requires incorporated cities to complete an inventory of buildable residential lands and to encourage the availability of adequate numbers of housing units in price and rent ranges commensurate with the financial capabilities of its households. Goals and policies have been included in the Comprehensive Plan that are aimed at providing a mix of housing types to meet the goal and begin to change the existing pattern of single family dwellings being the primary housing option.

In determining the housing need, the primary basis of information is the buildable lands inventory (BLI) that was done with the creation of the Comprehensive Plan. The BLI
contains the population data, land data and housing mix data necessary to determine the overall housing need for the 20-year planning period.

The Deschutes County coordinated population study indicated that La Pine will grow by approximately 1,000 citizens over the 20-year planning period. The 2029 population projection is 2,566 people within the UGB.

The existing number of housing units and average density within the UGB on residential zoned lands is very low. There are a total of 871 single-family units and 54 multiple family units on approximately 572 acres. This results in an average density of 1.61713 units per acre showing that there is prevalence of single-family dwellings on larger lots.

The BLI found that over 2,700 acres of buildable (including vacant and redevelopable) acres of land available for residential uses inside the existing incorporated boundary. Much of this land is in the central part of the City, west of Highway 97, in a neighborhood master planned by Deschutes County over the past few years.

While evaluating the research data for the Comprehensive Plan, an average household size of 3.0 persons was assumed. Additionally, a future average residential density of 3.0 units per net acre was used as a future goal. It was also assumed, as a goal, that housing would be split according to a 60% single family residential to 40% multiple family residential housing ratio (although La Pine is far from this ratio currently). In combination, these factors indicate that no new acres of residential land area will be needed as residential lands to accommodate the projected population growth over the 20-year planning period. When comparing the amount of acres available for housing of all types within the UGB with the necessary acres and number of housing units, the data shows that there is an adequate acreage available within the UGB to accommodate the projected housing need.

The UGB is commensurate with the existing incorporated boundary. The primary reason for this began during incorporation process. The unincorporated rural communities of La Pine and Wickiup Junction were separated by approximately two miles with a large expanse of vacant land that was ripe for development lying in between. Additionally, the need for services (water and sewer) was necessary in both areas of the community. Thus, for planning purposes and economy in providing services to the developed areas, both areas and the undeveloped area in between were all included in the incorporated boundary. For this reason, and other reasons stated above, the proposed UGB is the logical choice.

In addition to the land area available for housing, the areas designated as residential on the Plan Map also include enough acreage for ancillary uses such as schools, churches, parks, other community spaces, and energy production uses, etc. to serve residents.

The following are the residential land use districts designated by the La Pine Comprehensive Plan Map:
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RS - Single Family Density Residential Zone
RM - Multi-Family Density Residential Zone
MR - Neighborhood Master Plan Residential Zone

These three basic districts will replace the previous designations on the Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan Map, which were specific to a neighborhood master plan design and still reflected the rural residential exception area designation that was in place prior to incorporation.

In addition to the strictly residential zones, the Plan Map also includes some mixed use designations which will allow residential use in combination with a variety of small scale commercial uses.

Overall, the distribution of land designated for housing was coordinated with service commercial areas and employment centers to result in the development of complete neighborhoods. The complete neighborhood concept will allow residents to meet basic daily needs in close proximity to their homes and mesh well with other goals and policies of the Plan that encourage and require multi-modal transportation options, less consumption of energy and the economically viable provision of public facilities and services.

Based on the creation of the BLI as a base document for reference, the designation of lands for a variety of housing types and options, and the existing acreage necessary for housing to meet the population projections, the City will satisfy the intent of this goal to provide for the housing needs for the citizens of the state.

Goal 11: Public Facilities and Services
To plan and develop a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development.

Findings: Under the Oregon planning process, Cities with a population of less than 2,500 people are not required to develop a public facility plan – typically water and sewer master plans, and transportation plans. Since La Pine’s current population is approximately 1,600 people and is only projected to grow to 2,600 people in the 20-year planning period, it will be some time before this is a requirement that must be fulfilled by the City. Notwithstanding this fact, the water and sewer services in the City are provided and administered by the La Pine Water and Sewer Districts.

The La Pine Water and Sewer Districts are agencies that provide water and sewer service within the community. These districts have management and capital facilities master plans which guide future expansion of the facilities in the community. These plans have been adopted by the governing boards of those agencies and have been formally put into action. The goals and policies of the City’s Comprehensive Plan require continued coordination between the City and the districts to determine the carrying capacity of lands within the City, the expansion of the system to serve those areas projected to develop first and eventual development of system development charges to aid in funding capital improvements. The acknowledgement of the districts adopted plans and required
coordination with City land use patterns will ensure that there will be a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve the projected urban development.

**Goal 12: Transportation**

*To provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system.*

**Findings:** The City of LaPine is adopting goals and policies aimed at developing a multimodal transportation system as development occurs within the UGB. Ultimately, the City will develop a Transportation System Plan (TSP) that is consistent with the policies of Statewide Planning Goal 12 - Transportation. A key component for the creation of a TSP and capital improvements is funding and revenue — currently La Pine does not have the necessary funds to complete major street maintenance and improvement projects. However, the City in collaboration with other agencies, is working on a transportation corridor plan that will be the basis for a future TSP. The City is currently working with partner agencies, ODOT, LIGI, and Deschutes County, to complete the necessary planning for major improvements such as the Wickiup Junction interchange and a Highway 97 Corridor Study that will examine the need for improvements at key intersections through the City. Other than these major projects, secondary projects within the community include the paving of some unimproved local streets and the construction of sidewalks, bike lanes and a trail system along arterials to encourage less reliance on vehicular travel. However, identification of specific projects, along with prioritization, will have to wait until the City's TSP is developed and a corresponding Capital Improvement Plan is adopted — again, this is contingent on a steady source of funding.

To this end, the City is working internally and with Deschutes County to develop the methodology for adopting System Development Charges for street improvements. Over the past few years, Deschutes County had been charging Transportation SDC’s for new development in the City area that is now incorporated — those funds will likely be transferred to the City for improvements within the UGB or the City will create their own SDC program. The Comprehensive Plan contains goals and policies aimed at providing a steady source of revenue that also include the possibility of alternative sources over and above SDC’s — these include local improvement districts, grants, levies, taxes, bonds, etc., all of which are dependent upon the funding necessary to complete anticipated projects in the yet to be completed TSP.

Until the TSP is completed and certain funding options are in place, the City will rely on policies that require new development to complete multi-modal transportation improvements as deemed necessary to support the proposed development. This means exactions imposed on new development through the land use planning process will be the primary source of improvements within the community until the TSP is completed and revenue sources are realized, except for any improvements that are done by ODOT along the Highway 97 corridor.

By implementing goals and policies that require multi-modal improvements to be constructed, for permanent funding methods to be adopted, for a TSP to be developed and
for a Capital Improvement Program to be adopted, the City of La Pine will provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system as envisioned by the Goal.

**Goal 13 Energy Conservation**

To conserve energy.

**Findings:** The City of La Pine has an existing development pattern that consists of low density, large lot, and single-family residential development. Many of the residents of the neighborhoods within La Pine must travel by automobile for basic everyday needs. Bicycle and walking are not viable options for many residents due to the distances between housing and service areas and the lack of facilities such as bike lanes and sidewalks. Additionally, much of the employment base for residents of La Pine is in Bend, 30 miles to the north. Again, vehicular travel is necessary on Highway 97 to reach jobs. All of these factors contribute to an inefficient use of energy for residents of the community.

Goals and policies within various chapters of the Plan will all work together to reduce energy consumption within the community. The Comprehensive Plan Map shows that housing, commercial and employment lands have been oriented to create Complete Neighborhoods with centers that will be characterized by denser development and a mix of uses, including commercial services. Other goals and policies aimed at increasing sidewalks and bike lanes with new development will allow an interaction between areas that does not rely on vehicular travel. Complete Neighborhoods will begin to alleviate the need to drive to Highway 97 for access to all parts of the City and to reach all services.

The City of La Pine is also planning to provide for transitional uses of lands that may result in a variety of energy production options. For example, the large acres of BLM land, within the City that lie next to city sewage treatment plant will likely be transferred to the City or County. While these lands may not be needed for sewer purposes for many years, they do provide lands upon which to develop solar arrays and other energy production facilities given their close proximity to the BPA energy lines. This type of energy product will help La Pine with utilization of vacant lands until they are needed for their ultimate urban purpose.

**Goal 14: Urbanization**

To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities.

**Findings:** The Comprehensive Planning Process included an analysis of buildable land within the City limits as established with the 2006 incorporation. Along with the buildable lands determination, such lands were examined for their ability to be provided with necessary public facilities and services — this was coordinated with the master plans and comprehensive plans of the service providers and special districts. Based on this analysis, it was determined that the area within the existing City boundary contains
adequate lands needed to meet the projected housing and economic land needs for the projected population growth over the 20-year planning period. The Urban Growth Boundary is commensurate with the established incorporated boundary. Further, an expansion of the UGB and/or City boundary is not anticipated for residential uses. Future urban reserves planning will occur at an yet to be determined time.

**Urban Growth Boundary**

**VI. CONFORMANCE WITH STATE STATUTES**

ORS 197.296 Factors to establish sufficiency of buildable lands within urban growth boundary; analysis and determination of residential housing patterns.

(a) Inventory the supply of buildable lands within the urban growth boundary and determine the housing capacity of the buildable lands; and  
**Findings:** In determining the housing need, the primary basis of information is the buildable lands inventory (BLI) that was done with the creation of the Comprehensive Plan. The BLI contains the population data, land data and housing mix data necessary to determine the overall housing need for the 20-year planning period. Much of the data included in the BLI is contained in the text of the Comprehensive Plan with the BLI serving as an exhibit.

(b) Conduct an analysis of housing need by type and density range, in accordance with ORS 197.303 and statewide planning goals and rules relating to housing, to determine the number of units and amount of land needed for each needed housing type for the next 20 years.  
**Findings:** In determining the housing need, the primary basis of information is the buildable lands inventory (BLI) that was done with the creation of the Comprehensive Plan. The BLI contains the population data, land data and housing mix data necessary to determine the overall housing need for the 20-year planning period.

The Deschutes County coordinated population study indicated that La Pine will grow by approximately 1,000 citizens over the 20-year planning period. The 2029 population projection is 2,566 people within the UGB.

The existing number of housing units and average density within the city limits is 871 single-family units and 54 multiple family units, on 572 acres for a density of 1.61713 units per acre. This in combination with the density of units over all residential lands reveals that the overall density within the city limits is relatively low due to the prevalence of single-family dwelling on larger lots.

The BLI found approximately 945.67 buildable (including vacant and redevelopable) acres of land was needed for residential uses inside the existing incorporated boundary. Much of this land is in the central part of the City, west of Highway 97, in a neighborhood master planned by Deschutes County over the past few years.

While preparing the data for the Comprehensive Plan, an average household size of 3.0 persons was assumed. Additionally, an average future residential density of 3.0 units per acre.
acre was used. It was also assumed that housing would be split according to a 60% single family residential to 40% multiple family residential housing ratio (although La Pine is far from this ratio currently). In combination, these factors indicate that there are adequate acres of land area to accommodate future housing units to meet the projected population growth over the 20-year planning period. When comparing the amount of acres available for housing of all types within the city limits with the necessary acres and number of housing units, the data shows that there is adequate acreage available within the current city limits to accommodate the projected housing need. Thus, the city limits can serve as the proposed UGB and this is commensurate with the existing incorporated boundary.
LA PINE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY

A PARCEL OF LAND LYING IN SECTIONS 35 AND 36 OF TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 10 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON; SECTIONS 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 AND 15 OF TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 10 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON; AND SECTION 7 OF TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 11 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), ALSO BEING COMMON TO SECTIONS TWENTY-SIX (26), TWENTY-FIVE (25), AND SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), SOUTH 89° 08' 05" EAST, 2563.61 FEET, TO THE NORTH ONE-QUARTER (1/4) CORNER BETWEEN SAID SECTIONS TWENTY-FIVE (25) AND SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTH LINE NORTH 89° 38' EAST, 2614.24 FEET, TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY SIX (36); THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), SOUTH 00° 50' 58" EAST, 2638.14 FEET, TO THE EAST ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID EAST LINE SOUTH 00° 51' 21" EAST, 2636.61 FEET, TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), SAID SOUTHEAST CORNER ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SECTION ONE (1), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO, (22) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION ONE (1) 5280 FEET MORE OR LESS, TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION ONE (1), SAID SOUTHEAST CORNER ALSO BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SECTIONS SEVEN (7), TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO (22), SOUTH, RANGE ELEVEN (11) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), NORTH 89° 31' 58" EAST, 2426.70 FEET TO THE NORTH ONE-QUARTER (1/4) CORNER OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), SOUTH 00° 00' 12" EAST, 3,980.90 FEET TO THE CENTER SOUTH ONE-EIGHTH (1/8) CORNER; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SW1/4 SE 1/4) OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), SOUTH 89° 51' 05" EAST, 1331.78 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7); THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER QUARTER SOUTH 00° 24' 44" WEST, 1324.02 FEET TO THE EAST ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTIONS SEVEN (7) AND SECTION EIGHTEEN (18), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22) SOUTH, RANGE ELEVEN (11) EAST, OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), NORTHERLY 3960 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE WEST ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTIONS TWELVE (12) AND SECTION THIRTEEN (13); THENCE LEAVING SAID SOUTH LINE AND ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), SOUTH 00° 15' 37"
EAST, 2,628.83 FEET TO THE CENTER WEST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), SOUTH 00° 15' 08" EAST, 2,636.76 FEET, TO THE WEST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13) AND SECTION TWENTY-FOUR (24), TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), SOUTH 89° 32' 39" WEST, 1,295.01 FEET TO THE SECTION CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), AND SECTIONS FOURTEEN (14), TWENTY-THREE (23) AND TWENTY-FOUR (24) OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION FOURTEEN (14), SOUTH 89° 47' WEST, 2,611.62 FEET, TO THE SOUTH ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION FOURTEEN; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF SECTION FOURTEEN (14), NORTH 89° 41' WEST, 2,597.76 FEET TO THE SECTION CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION FOURTEEN (14), FIFTEEN AND SECTIONS (15), TWENTY-TWO (22) AND TWENTY-THREE (23) OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15), NORTH 89° 37' 36" WEST 1308.26 FEET TO THE EAST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER BETWEEN SAID SECTIONS FIFTEEN (15) AND TWENTY TWO (22); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15), NORTH 00° 29' 31" EAST 1,334.85 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE, NORTH 00° 13' 14" EAST 1255.93 FEET TO THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SIXTH STREET AS DESCRIBED IN THAT DEED RECORDED IN VOLUME 290 PAGE 150, DESCHUTES COUNTY DEED RECORDS; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE AND ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE 235.80 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF 142.50 FOOT RADIUS NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE LEFT, THE LONG CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 70° 47' 17" WEST 235.52 FEET TO THE EAST-WEST CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN; THENCE LEAVING SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE AND ALONG SAID THE EAST-WEST CENTERLINE OF SECTION FIFTEEN, SOUTH 89° 41' 16" WEST, 1085.68 FEET TO THE CENTER ONE-QUARTER (1/4), CORNER OF SAID SECTION Fifteen (15); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION Fifteen (15), NORTH 00° 14' 38" EAST 1316.31 FEET TO THE CENTER NORTH ONE-SIXTEEN (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION Fifteen (15); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE, NORTH 00° 14' 30" EAST, 1,316.28 FEET TO THE QUARTER CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION Fifteen (15) AND SECTION Ten (10) TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE Ten (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PLAT OF "FIRST ADDITION TO LA PINE" NORTH 01° 20' 30" WEST, 1334.85 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID "FIRST ADDITION TO LA PINE"; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID "FIRST ADDITION TO LA PINE" SOUTH 88° 57' 20" EAST, 1309 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE SOUTH EAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION Ten; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTH LINE AND ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SOUTH EAST ONE-QUARTER, NORTH 00° 29' 33" WEST, 984 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF GOVERNMENT LOT 2 OF SAID SECTION Ten AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT TITLED "DEPENDENT RESURVEY, SUBDIVISION OF SECTIONS 10 AND 11, AND METES-AND-BOUNDS SURVEYS", ACCEPTED BY THE DIRECTOR, USDI, BLM, ON MAY 28, 2004 AND FILED AT THE DESCHUTES COUNTY SURVEYOR'S OFFICE AS SURVEY CS16296; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE, NORTH 00° 36' 11" WEST, 329.67 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 2; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID...
GOVERNMENT LOT 2, NORTH 89° 57' 59" EAST, 662.97 FEET, TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF GOVERNMENT LOT 1 AS SHOWN ON SAID 2004 BLM SURVEY; THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1, NORTH 01° 29' 03" WEST, 322.51 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1 SOUTH 89° 58' 25" EAST, 661.98 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1 ON THE WEST LINE OF SECTION ELEVEN (11), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22), SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE LINE COMMON TO SAID SECTION TEN (10) AND SECTION ELEVEN (11), NORTH 01° 39' 46" WEST, 965.53 FEET TO THE NORTH ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16) CORNER OF SAID SECTION TEN (10) AND SECTION ELEVEN (11); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, NORTHWEST QUARTER, (SW1/4, NW1/4), OF SAID SECTION ELEVEN (11), NORTH 89° 53' 12" EAST, 1329.65 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION ELEVEN (11); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, NORTHWEST QUARTER, (SE1/4, NW1/4), OF SAID SECTION ELEVEN (11), NORTH 89° 54' 21" EAST, 50.60 FEET TO THE WESTERNLY RIGHT OF WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD, SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE BEING 30.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE CENTERLINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SURVEYED IN THE 2001 "PLAT OF SURVEY" FILED AS SURVEY CS14655 IN THE DESCHUTES COUNTY SURVEYOR'S OFFICE; THENCE ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD NORTH 36° 26' 35" EAST, 572.89 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 564.32 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 1,402.39 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 24° 54' 55" EAST, 560.52 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 13° 23' 15" EAST, 3,010.46 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 50.49 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 788.51 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 14° 33' 12" EAST, 59.49' WEST), TO THE CENTERLINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SURVEYED IN THE 1977 DESCHUTES COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS SURVEY MAP ENTITLED "PENGR-HUNTINGTON E-2" ON FILE AT THE DESCHUTES COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT, 261.76 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 778.51 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE LONG CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 00° 30' 52" EAST, 260.53 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 09° 07' 05" WEST, 699.14 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 359.44 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 2,261.38 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 04° 33' 52" WEST, 359.06 FEET), TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, (SW1/4 NE1/4), OF SECTION TWO (2), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22), SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD, SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE BEING 30.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE CENTERLINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SURVEYED IN THE 1977 DESCHUTES COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS SURVEY MAP ENTITLED "PENGR-HUNTINGTON E-2" ON FILE AT THE DESCHUTES COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT, 261.76 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 778.51 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE LONG CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 00° 30' 52" EAST, 260.53 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 09° 07' 05" WEST, 699.14 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 359.44 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 2,261.38 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 04° 33' 52" WEST, 359.06 FEET), TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, (SW1/4 NE1/4), OF SECTION TWO (2), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22), SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE LEAVING SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD AND ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, (SW1/4 NE1/4), NORTH 89° 11' WEST, 250.14 FEET TO THE CENTER NORTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16) CORNER, OF SAID SECTION TWO (2), THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, (NE1/4 NW1/4), OF SAID SECTION TWO (2), NORTH 89° 11' 12'36.15' FEET TO THE NORTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION TWO (2) AND SECTION THREE (3), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE
ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST
ONE-QUARTER, (NW 1/4 NW1/4), NORTH 2° 16' 58" WEST, 1332.13 FEET TO THE
NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION TWO (2) AND THE CORNER COMMON SAID
SECTION THREE (3) AND SECTION THIRTY-FOUR (34) AND SECTION THIRTY FIVE (35) OF
TOWNSHIP TWENTY-ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN;
THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY FIVE (35), NORTH 2° 22' 13" 
EAST, 51 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF BURGESS ROAD;
THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY 3451 FEET MORE OR 
LESS TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION
THIRTY FIVE (35); THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY AND ALONG
THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35), NORTH 01° 40' 22"
EAST, 1,246.58 FEET TO THE CENTER SOUTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID
SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST
ONE-QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER (SW 1/4 SE 1/4), OF SAID SECTION
THIRTY-FIVE, SOUTH 89° 21' 30" EAST, 814.69 FEET TO A POINT OF NON-TANGENCY ON
THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD, SAID RIGHT OF WAY
LINE BEING 30.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE CENTERLINE OF
HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SURVEYED IN THE NOVEMBER 1971 DESCHUTES COUNTY
PUBLIC WORKS MAP ENTITLED "PORTION OF PENGRA-HUNTINGTON" ON FILE AT THE
DESCUTES COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT; THENCE ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF
WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD 656.84 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 3,849.72 FOOT RADIUS
NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 23° 41' 53"
EAST, 656.05 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 28°35'10" EAST , 156.68
FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 305.89 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 5,699.58
FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 27° 02' 55"
EAST, 305.85 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 25° 30' 40" EAST, 69.30
FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE 249.57 FEET MORE OR LESS ALONG THE ARC
OF A 34,400.50 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS
NORTH 25° 43' 08" EAST, 249.57 FEET MORE OR LESS), TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE
OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER (SE 1/4 NE 1/4)
OF SAID SECTION 35; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF
HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL PLAT OF "LAZY RIVER SOUTH",
NORTH 27° 10' 27" EAST, 500.80 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 403.52 FEET
ALONG THE ARC OF A 2,911.21 FOOT RADII CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE CHORD
OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 31° 08'42" EAST 403.19 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE
NORTH 35° 06' 57" EAST, 108.10 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 496.85 FEET
ALONG THE ARC OF A 5,585.85 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD
OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 31° 25' 58" EAST, 496.05 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY;
THENCE NORTH 30° 24' 17" EAST, 289.70 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE
240.73 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 704.77 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE
CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 20° 37' 10" EAST, 239.56 FEET), TO A POINT OF
TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 10° 50' 02" EAST, 594.05 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE;
THENCE 258.17 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 1,779.13 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE
RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 14° 59' 28" EAST, 257.95 FEET), TO A
POINT OF NON-TANGENCY AT THE INTERSECTION OF SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY
AND THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY FIVE (35), OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY-
ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE LEAVING
SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY AND ALONG SAID NORTH LINE SOUTH 89° 11' 14"
EAST, 31.63 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35),
SAID CORNER ALSO BEING COMMON TO SECTIONS TWENTY-SIX (26), TWENTY-FIVE
(25), AND SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY-ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE
TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THIS DESCRIPTION.

NOTE: THIS DESCRIPTION IS BASED UPON RECORDED BOUNDARY SURVEYS AND AVAILABLE MAPS ON RECORD AT THE DESCHUTES COUNTY SURVEYOR’S OFFICE AND THE DESCHUTES COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT.

Approved

[Signature]
Gregory Bates
Chief Cartographer
Deschutes County, Oregon
BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON

An Ordinance Amending Title 23 of the Deschutes County Code To Establish the City of La Pine Urban Growth Boundary, and Declaring an Emergency. * *

ORDINANCE NO. 2012-012

WHEREAS, in October of 2009, the City of La Pine ("La Pine") initiated the land use process to establish a La Pine Urban Growth Boundary ("UGB") and, the Board of County Commissioners ("Board") adopted Ordinance 2010-008 to add the adopted UGB into the County's comprehensive plan; and

WHEREAS, the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission remanded the ordinances for additional findings and such remand requires the repeal of Ordinance 2010-008 in order to adopt a new ordinance with the new findings; and

WHEREAS, the Deschutes County Planning Commission Planning Commission reviewed this ordinance on August 9, 2012 and, on that same date, forwarded to the Board a recommendation of approval the Comprehensive Plan map and text amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Board considered this matter after a duly noticed public hearing on August 20, 2012, and on that same date, concluded that the public will benefit from the adoption of this ordinance; now therefore,

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON, ORDAINS as follows:

Section 1. AMENDMENT. DCC Title 23, Chapter 4, Urban Growth Management, is amended to read as described in Exhibit "A," attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein, with new language underlined and language to be deleted in strikethrough.

Section 2. AMENDMENT. DCC Title 23, the Comprehensive Plan map is amended, designating La Pine UGB boundaries as shown in Exhibit "B," attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein.

Section 3. FINDINGS. The Board adopts as its findings Exhibit "C," attached and incorporated by reference herein.

Section 4. The legal description for the new La Pine Urban Growth Boundary shall be as described in Exhibit "D," attached and incorporated by reference herein.

///
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Section 5. EMERGENCY. This Ordinance being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this Ordinance takes effect on its passage.

Dated this 20th of August, 2012

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON

ANTHONY DeBONE, Chair

ALAN UNGER, Vice Chair

ATTEST:

ANNE Baker
Recording Secretary

TAMMY BANEY, Commissioner

Date of 1st Reading: 20th day of August, 2012.

Date of 2nd Reading: 20th day of August, 2012.

Record of Adoption Vote

Commissioner

Anthony DeBone

Yes
No
Abstained
Excused

Alan Unger


Tammy Baney


Effective date: 20th day of August, 2012.
Chapter 4

Urban Growth

Management
Section 4.1 Introduction

Background

A major emphasis of Oregon’s land use planning program is directing new development into urban areas. Statewide Planning Goal 14, Urbanization, requires cities, in cooperation with counties, to create Urban Growth Boundaries (UGBs). The UGBs are legal lines that contain lands that are anticipated to urbanize over a 20-year period. UGBs allow cities to adequately plan for future urban facilities and services. State laws require that UGBs be adopted by both the city and the county.

Besides the UGBs which define the land needed for city expansion over 20 years, some cities adopt Urban Reserve Areas (URAs), which define land needed beyond a 20 year horizon, typically representing an additional 10 to 30 year land supply. By adopting an URA a city can better plan for expansion and growth. Like UGBs, URAs are done in a partnership between a county and the city.

Deschutes County has four incorporated cities. Bend, Redmond and Sisters were incorporated before 1979. The City of La Pine incorporated on November 7, 2006. Bend, Redmond and Sisters’ Comprehensive Plans are coordinated with the County. Certain elements are adopted into the County’s. In addition, the cities and the County maintain urban growth area zoning ordinances and cooperative agreements for mutually administering the unincorporated urbanizing areas. These areas are located outside city limits but within UGBs. As of 2010, La Pine has adopted a Comprehensive Plan and UGB in 2012. Until La Pine adopts its own land use regulations, County land use regulations will continue to be applied inside the City limits through a joint management agreement.

In addition to cities and the associated UGBs and URAs, there are rural locations around the County that contain urban level development. These areas generally existed before the Oregon land use system was enacted in the early 1970s. In 1994 the Land Conservation and Development Commission wrote a new Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR), 660-22, to classify and regulate these unincorporated communities. The OAR created four categories of unincorporated communities and required the County to evaluate existing rural developments under the new Rule.

Purpose

The Urban Growth Management chapter, in concert with the other chapters of this Plan, specifies how Deschutes County will work with cities and unincorporated communities to accommodate growth while preserving rural character and resource lands.

The following issues are covered in this chapter:
- Urbanization (Section 4.2)
- Unincorporated Communities Overview (Section 4.3)
- Sunriver Urban Unincorporated Community (Section 4.4)
- Terrebonne Rural Community Plan (Section 4.5)
Goal 14 recognizes the following:

**Statewide Planning Goal 14 Urbanization**

"To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities."

**Excerpt from Goal 14 Planning Guidelines**

"Plans should designate sufficient amounts of urbanizable land to accommodate the need for further urban expansion, taking into account (1) the growth policy of the area; (2) the needs of the forecast population; (3) the carrying capacity of the planning area; and (4) open space and recreational needs."
Section 4.2 Urbanization

Background

This section describes the coordination between the County and the cities of Bend, La Pine, Redmond and Sisters on Urban Growth Boundaries (UGBs) and Urban Reserve Areas (URAs). Statewide Planning Goal 2 recognizes the importance of coordinating land use plans.

“City, county, state and federal agency and special district plans and actions related to land use shall be consistent with the comprehensive plans of cities and counties and regional plans adopted under ORS Chapter 268.”

Oregon Revised Statute 197.015(5) goes further to define comprehensive plan coordination.

“A plan is “coordinated” when the needs of all levels of governments, semipublic and private agencies and the citizens of Oregon have been considered and accommodated as much as possible.”

Population

An important basis for coordinating with cities is adopted population projections. Having an estimate of anticipated population is the first step to planning for future growth and conservation. ORS 195.025(1) requires counties to coordinate local plans and population forecasts. The County oversees the preparation of a population forecast in close collaboration with cities. This is important because the population of the County has increased significantly in recent decades and a coordinated approach allows cities to ensure managed growth over time.

Table 4.2.1 – Population Growth in Deschutes County 1980 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>1980</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population Research Center July 1 estimates</td>
<td>62,500</td>
<td>75,600</td>
<td>116,600</td>
<td>172,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Census Bureau April 1 counts</td>
<td>62,142</td>
<td>74,958</td>
<td>115,367</td>
<td>157,733</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: As noted above

In 1996 Bend, Redmond, Sisters and the County reviewed recent population forecasts from the Portland State University Center Population and Research Center (PRC) and U.S. Census Bureau, Department of Transportation, Woods and Poole, Bonneville Power Administration and Department of Administrative Services Office of Economic Analysis. After reviewing these projections, all local governments adopted a coordinated population forecast. It was adopted by Deschutes County in 1998 by Ordinance 98-084.

The results of the 2000 decennial census and subsequent population estimates prepared by the PRC revealed that the respective populations of the County and its incorporated cities were growing faster than anticipated under the 1998 coordinated forecast. The cities and the County re-engaged in a coordination process between 2002 and 2004 that culminated with the County adopting a revised population forecast that projected population to the year 2025. It was adopted by Ordinance 2004-012 and upheld by the Land Use Board of Appeals on March 28, 2005.

The following table displays the 2004 coordinated population forecast for Deschutes County and the UGBs of the cities of Bend, Redmond, and Sisters.
Table 4.2.2 – Coordinated Population Forecast 2000 to 2025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Bend UGB</th>
<th>Redmond UGB</th>
<th>Sisters UGB</th>
<th>Unincorporated County</th>
<th>Total County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>52,800</td>
<td>15,505</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>47,320</td>
<td>116,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>69,004</td>
<td>19,249</td>
<td>1,768</td>
<td>53,032</td>
<td>143,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>81,242</td>
<td>23,897</td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>59,127</td>
<td>166,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>91,158</td>
<td>29,667</td>
<td>2,694</td>
<td>65,924</td>
<td>189,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>100,646</td>
<td>36,831</td>
<td>3,166</td>
<td>73,502</td>
<td>214,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>109,389</td>
<td>45,724</td>
<td>3,747</td>
<td>81,951</td>
<td>240,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2004 Coordinated Population Forecast for Deschutes County

The process through which the County and the cities coordinated to develop the 2000-2025 coordinated forecast is outlined in the report titled "Deschutes County Coordinated Population Forecast 2000-2025: Findings in Support of Forecast."

The fourth city in Deschutes County is the City of La Pine. Incorporated on November 7, 2006, the City of La Pine's 2006 population estimate of 1,590 was certified by PRC on December 15, 2007. As a result of La Pine’s incorporation, Deschutes County updated its Coordinated Population Forecast with Ordinance 2009-006.

The purpose of this modification was to adopt a conservative 20 year population forecast for the City of La Pine that could be used by city officials and the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development to estimate its future land need and a UGB.

The following table displays the coordinated population forecast for Deschutes County, the UGBs of the cities of Bend, Redmond, and Sisters, and La Pine from 2000 to 2025. By extending the growth rate to the year 2025, La Pine’s population will be 2,352. The non-urban unincorporated population decreases by 2,352 from its original projection of 81,951, to 79,599.

Table 4.2.3 – Coordinated Population Forecast 2000 to 2025, Including La Pine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Bend UGB</th>
<th>Redmond UGB</th>
<th>Sisters UGB</th>
<th>La Pine UGB</th>
<th>Unincorporated County</th>
<th>Total County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>52,800</td>
<td>15,505</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>47,320</td>
<td>116,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>69,004</td>
<td>19,249</td>
<td>1,768</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53,032</td>
<td>143,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>81,242</td>
<td>23,897</td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>1,697</td>
<td>59,127</td>
<td>166,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>91,158</td>
<td>29,667</td>
<td>2,694</td>
<td>1,892</td>
<td>65,924</td>
<td>189,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>100,646</td>
<td>36,831</td>
<td>3,166</td>
<td>2,110</td>
<td>73,502</td>
<td>214,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>109,389</td>
<td>45,724</td>
<td>3,747</td>
<td>2,352</td>
<td>81,951</td>
<td>240,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2030 Population Estimate

This Comprehensive Plan is intended to manage growth and conservation in the unincorporated areas of the County until 2030. Because the official population forecast extends only to 2025, County staff used conservative average annual growth rates from the adopted population forecast to estimate population out to 2030. The following table estimates Deschutes County population by extending the adopted numbers out an additional five years.
Table 4.2.4 – Deschutes County 2030 Population Forecast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Bend UGB</th>
<th>Redmond UGB</th>
<th>Sisters UGB</th>
<th>La Pine UGB</th>
<th>Unincorporated County</th>
<th>Total County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>119,009</td>
<td>51,733</td>
<td>4,426</td>
<td>2,632</td>
<td>88,748</td>
<td>266,538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: County estimates based on the 2004 Coordinated Population Forecast as shown below

Bend’s average annual growth rate from 2025 to 2030 is 1.70%
Redmond’s average annual growth rate from 2025 to 2030 is 2.50%
Sisters’ based their population on forecasted rates of building growth, residential housing units, and persons per dwelling unit
La Pine’s average annual growth rate from 2025 to 2030 is 2.20%
Deschutes County’s unincorporated area average annual growth rate from 2025 to 2030 is 2.20%

As the pie chart below indicates, if population occurs as forecasted, 67% of the County’s population will reside in urban areas by 2030.

In 2030

![Figure 4.1 Deschutes County 2030 Estimated Population]

Such growth will undoubtedly require strategically managing the provision of public services and maintaining adequate amounts of residential, commercial and industrial lands. Growth pressures will also require programmatic approaches to maintain open spaces, natural resources, and functional ecosystems that help define the qualities of Deschutes County.

Urban Growth Boundary Amendments

Bend
The City of Bend legislatively amended its UGB as part of a periodic review acknowledgment in December 2004. The Bend City Council and the Board of County Commissioners adopted concurrent ordinances that expanded the Bend UGB by 500 acres and satisfied a 20 year demand for industrial land.

In July 2007, the Bend-La Pine School District received approvals to expand the City of Bend UGB to include two properties for the location of two elementary schools, one at the Pine Nursery, the other on Skyliner Road.

Sisters
The City of Sisters legislatively amended its UGB in September 2005 when its City Council and the Board of County Commissioners adopted respective ordinances. The Sisters UGB expansion covered 53 acres and satisfied a 20 year demand for residential, commercial, light industrial, and public facility land. In March 2009, Sisters amended their UGB to facilitate the establishment of a 4-acre fire training facility for the Sisters/Camp Sherman Fire District.
The City of Redmond legislatively amended its UGB in August 2006 when its City Council and the Board of County Commissioners adopted respective ordinances. The Redmond UGB expansion covered 2,299 acres and satisfied a 20 year demand for residential and neighborhood commercial land.

**La Pine**

In 2010 La Pine adopted its first Comprehensive Plan. La Pine established a UGB that matches the city limits, because the City contains sufficient undeveloped land for future housing, commercial and industrial needs over a 20-year period. The Plan map includes land use designations intended to provide an arrangement of uses to ensure adequate and efficient provision of public infrastructure for all portions of the City and UGB.

**Urban Reserve Area**

**Redmond**

In December 2005, Redmond City Council and the Board of County Commissioners adopted a 5,661 acre URA for the City. It is the first URA in Central Oregon because most cities find planning farther into the future than the 20-year UGB timeframe, challenging.

**Coordination**

As noted above, Statewide Goal 2 and ORS promote land use planning coordination. The purposes of the urbanization goals and policies in this section are to provide the link between urban and rural areas, and to provide some basic parameters within which the urban areas of Deschutes County can develop, although the specific comprehensive plan for each community remains the prevailing document for guiding growth in its respective area. These policies permit the County to review each city’s comprehensive plan to ensure effective coordination.

The Redmond and Deschutes County Community Development Departments received the Oregon Chapter of American Planning Association’s (OAPA) Professional Achievement in Planning Award in 2006 for the “Redmond Urban Reserve Area / Urban Growth Boundary Expansion Project.”

The following quote taken from the Oregon Chapter of the American Planning Association’s 2006 Awards Program shows why the Redmond Community Development Department was chosen for this award.

“An outstanding effort to address Redmond’s rapid population growth, including the successful designation of an Urban Reserve and the imminent designation of an Urban Growth Boundary, a “Framework Plan” with a requirement for master planning, and the establishment of “Great Neighborhood Principles.”
Section 4.2 Urbanization Policies

Goals and Policies

Goal 1  Coordinate with cities, special districts and stakeholders to support urban growth boundaries and urban reserve areas that provide an orderly and efficient transition between urban and rural lands.

Policy 4.2.1  Participate in the processes initiated by cities in Deschutes County to create and/or amend their urban growth boundaries.

Policy 4.2.2  Promote and coordinate the use of urban reserve areas.

Policy 4.2.3  Review the idea of using rural reserves.

Goal 2  Coordinate with cities, special districts and stakeholders on urban growth area zoning for lands inside urban growth boundaries but outside city boundaries.

Policy 4.2.4  Use urban growth area zoning to coordinate land use decisions inside urban growth boundaries but outside the incorporated cities.

Policy 4.2.5  Negotiate intergovernmental agreements to coordinate with cities on land use inside urban growth boundaries and outside the incorporated cities.

Policy 4.2.6  Develop urban growth area zoning with consideration of the type, timing and location of public facilities and services provision consistent with city plans.

Policy 4.2.7  Adopt by reference the comprehensive plans of Bend, La Pine, Redmond and Sisters, as the policy basis for implementing land use plans and ordinances in each city’s urban growth boundary.

Goal 3  Coordinate with cities, special districts and stakeholders on policies and zoning for lands outside urban growth boundaries but inside urban reserve areas.

Policy 4.2.8  Designate the Redmond Urban Reserve Area on the County Comprehensive Plan Map and regulate it through a Redmond Urban Reserve Area (RURA) Combining Zone in Deschutes County Code, Title 18.

Policy 4.2.9  In cooperation with the City of Redmond adopt a RURA Agreement consistent with their respective comprehensive plans and the requirements of Oregon Administrative Rule 660-021-0050 or its successor.

Policy 4.2.10  The following land use policies guide zoning in the RURA.
   a. Plan and zone RURA lands for rural uses, in a manner that ensures the orderly, economic and efficient provision of urban services as these lands are brought into the urban growth boundary.
   b. New parcels shall be a minimum of ten acres.
   c. Until lands in the RURA are brought into the urban growth boundary, zone changes or plan amendments shall not allow more intensive uses or uses that
generate more traffic, than were allowed prior to the establishment of the RURA.
d. For Exclusive Farm Use zones, partitions shall be allowed based on state law and the County Zoning Ordinance.
e. New arterial and collector rights-of-way in the RURA shall meet the right-of-way standards of Deschutes County or the City of Redmond, whichever is greater, but be physically constructed to Deschutes County standards.
f. Protect from development existing and future arterial and collector rights-of-way, as designated on the County’s Transportation System Plan.
g. A single family dwelling on a legal parcel is permitted if that use was permitted before the RURA designation.

Policy 4.2.11 Collaborate with the City of Redmond to assure that the County-owned 1,800 acres in the RURA is master planned before it is incorporated into Redmond’s urban growth boundary.
Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan
Exhibit "B" to Ordinance 2012-012
DATE SUBMITTED: July 2, 2012

TO: Deschutes County

FROM: City of La Pine - Deborah McMahon

SUBJECT: Remand item update for Comprehensive Plan

AGENDA DATE: July 2012

TYPE OF ACTION REQUESTED (Check one):

[ ] Resolution [X] Ordinance
[ ] No Action – Report Only [X] Public Hearing
[X] Formal Motion [ ] Other/Direction:

Background:

The County is asked to consider the City of La Pine’s Goal 14 exception request, which is a requirement to support and justify the location of the proposed La Pine Urban Growth Boundary.

This Goal 14 exception is necessary given the recent Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) Compliance Schedule and Continuation Order 11- Cont-Comply-001804 for La Pine’s remanded acknowledgement tasks. La Pine previously received approval and acknowledgement of Goals 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, and 13. Additional work was required for Goals 2, 5, 7, 10, 12 and 14. Goal 14 requires Deschutes County review and approval because the La Pine UGB location could affect the County’s Comprehensive Plan. Thus, additional work was completed to further justify the location of the UGB, which is proposed to be the same line as the current City Limits.

The Goal 14 exception explains and justifies why the provisions of Statewide Planning Goal 14 that requires residential lands needs for urban growth planning to be based on a 20-year population projection need not apply to the City of La Pine.

The City of La Pine has identified land needs for the full range of planning and zoning categories required by its citizens (Housing, Employment, Public Facilities, and Recreation). The City must also determine what areas to include in the urban growth boundary to satisfy the land needs identified in the Comprehensive Plan. In conducting this analysis, only lands within the City’s corporate City limits have been considered.
The City has carefully selected lands to meet the identified land needs. Most all of the lands proposed for inclusion in the City’s original urban growth boundary have been designated exception areas under the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. Other areas are not subject to Oregon’s Statewide Planning Goals. No high-value farmland is proposed to be included and only the minimum amount of forestland necessary to accommodate the need has been proposed.

The City has compared its approach and proposed land area choices with the applicable provisions of Statewide Planning Goal 14, as well as, the statutory hierarchy presented at ORS 197.298. The City finds that the lands proposed for inclusion in its original urban growth boundary are consistent with the priority scheme expressed in state law. Therefore, the City’s legal responsibilities with regard to urban growth boundary location are satisfied.

DLCD staff representatives were instrumental in helping La Pine prepare the exception request and will be at the upcoming Deschutes County meetings to help answer any questions the Commissioners may have.

**Request:**

La Pine respectfully recommends the County hold public meetings and a hearing before the Commission to discuss the Goal 14 exception and proceed to adopt the Goal 14 exception request.
Analysis of Urban Growth Boundary Locational Requirements

I. Introduction

The city of La Pine has identified land needs for the full range of planning and zoning categories required by its citizens (Housing, Employment, Public Facilities and Recreation). The city must also determine what areas to include in the urban growth boundary to satisfy the land needs identified in the Comprehensive Plan. In conducting this analysis only lands within the city’s corporate city limits have been considered.

The city has carefully selected lands to need the identified land needs. Most all of the lands proposed for inclusion in the city’s original urban growth boundary have been designated exception areas under the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. Other areas are not subject to Oregon’s Statewide Planning Goals. No high-value farmland is proposed to be included and only the minimum amount of forestland necessary to accommodate the need has been proposed.

The city has compared its approach and proposed land area choices with the applicable provisions of Statewide Planning Goal 14, as well as, the statutory hierarchy presented at ORS 197.298. The city finds that the lands proposed for inclusion in its original urban growth boundary are consistent with the priority scheme expressed in state law. Therefore, the city’s legal responsibilities with regard to urban growth boundary location are satisfied.

The city’s response to Statewide Planning Goal 14 and ORS 197.298 is as follows:

II. Criteria and Responses.

A. Statewide Planning Goal 14 - Urbanization

Land Need

Establishment and change of urban growth boundaries shall be based on the following:

(1) Demonstrated need to accommodate long range urban population, consistent with a 20-year population forecast coordinated with affected local governments; and

Response:

The city has a 20 year population forecast that has been coordinated with Deschutes County and acknowledged by the State of Oregon. The city’s population forecast predicts that La Pine will grow from 1,697 in 2009 to 2,566 in 2029, which would be an increase of 869 citizens. Based on an assumed 1.98 persons per home across all housing types it will take 439 housing units to accommodate the forecasted population growth. Some of the needed housing will be accommodated through occupancy of units that are currently vacant while the majority will need to be constructed. If an expected 15% residential vacancy rate is applied the total number of new housing units needed is increased to 548.
The city’s Buildable Lands Inventory and the Goal 10 element of its comprehensive plan show that the existing city limits and proposed urban growth boundary contain about 1,284 acres of vacant or re-developable land to respond to a calculation of about 182 acres of need.

After a 30% dedication factor is applied to account for public infrastructure and other services that would need to be provided a net amount of about 922 acres, including about 23 acres included in a Commercial Mixed Use designation, remains to respond to about 127 net acres of need.

The figures above indicate that the city’s existing supply of residentially designated land results in surplus of about 1,135 gross acres once the Commercial Mixed Use lands have been deducted from the needs category.

The city has approved an exception to this provision of Goal 14. Therefore, the differences between residential land need and residential land supply have been reconciled.

(2) Demonstrated need for housing, employment opportunities, livability or uses such as public facilities, streets and roads, schools, parks or open space, or any combination of the need categories in this subsection (2).

Response:

Goal 14 calls for urban populations and urban employment to be accommodated inside of urban growth boundaries. Accordingly, the city’s comprehensive plan identifies a need for all categories of land uses. The proposed urban growth boundary offers a suitable amount of land for housing, employment, public facilities and recreation.

In determining need, local government may specify characteristics, such as parcel size, topography or proximity, necessary for land to be suitable for an identified need.

Response:

The city has identified and considered several important characteristics in determining what lands are suitable for a particular need. Only lands within the existing city limits were analyzed, including but not limited to, the boundaries of the La Pine Urban Unincorporated Community identified in the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. After thorough review the city was able to conclude that lands proposed for inclusion in the urban growth boundary are sufficient to meet the needs of La Pine’s citizens.

Prior to expanding an urban growth boundary, local governments shall demonstrate that needs cannot reasonably be accommodated on land already inside the urban growth boundary.
Response:

This is the city’s first attempt to establish an urban growth boundary. Because no urban growth boundary currently exists there is no land already inside the urban growth boundary that could possibly accommodate any identified use or land need.

Boundary Location

The location of the urban growth boundary and changes to the boundary shall be determined by evaluating alternative boundary locations consistent with ORS 197.298 and with consideration of the following factors:

(1) Efficient accommodation of identified land needs;

Response:

The city has efficiently accommodated the identified land needs in three ways. First, the city has utilized the boundary of the La Pine Unincorporated Community Boundary. This territory has been largely developed to a variety of land uses and was acknowledged as eligible for full levels of urban development and urban services. Other lands the city has targeted include already developed areas committed to urban levels of residential development and include a high percentage of the city’s population base. Finally, additional lands need for public facilities have been designated near the existing sewer treatment plant and serve to compliment land originally designated by Deschutes County for this purpose.

(2) Orderly and economic provision of public facilities and services;

Response:

The proposed urban growth boundary is based on the acknowledged La Pine Urban Unincorporated Community Boundary. The city’s public facilities strategy builds on plans already in place and continues to promote an efficient arrangement of facilities and services.

(3) Comparative environmental, energy, economic and social consequences; and

Response:

The city has considered only areas within the existing city limits for inclusion within its first urban growth boundary. The environmental, energy, economic and social consequences of the selected lands are not greater than possible comparative locations. The city believes the selected lands offer advantages in each of the four categories identified by this provision. In fact, several core features of the urban growth boundary location benefit multiple values.

The selected lands have environmental benefits over alternative sites because they include a minimum amount of wetlands and riparian corridors. Furthermore, the lands selected for inclusion in the urban growth boundary are not designated for big game wildlife habitat or winter
range. Selecting alternative locations would have affected more environmentally sensitive areas and made more undeveloped landscape available for urban development. By focusing on existing impacted areas and lands planned and zoned for development the city has avoided producing negative impacts on the environment to the maximum extent possible.

The selected lands have energy benefits over alternative sites because focusing on existing impacted areas and lands planned and zoned for development will help reduce the need for additional vehicle trips. Alternative areas could increase vehicle trips causing an increase in the use of fossil fuels. The selected lands also take advantage of existing public facilities and services, which will reduce the need for new for building new infrastructure. Building new infrastructure requires significant investment of materials and consumption of energy resources. Lands identified for public uses on the east side of Hwy 97, as well as, lands located in the La Pine Industrial Park also hold potential for renewable energy development. Encouraging renewable sources of energy will assist the community and the region to reduce their dependency on fossil fuels.

The selected lands have economic benefits over alternative sites because job creating activities will be directed into areas currently planned, zoned and marketed for employment uses. The selected lands also take advantage of existing public facilities and services, which will reduce the need for new for building new infrastructure. Building new infrastructure requires significant investment of financial capital from public and private sources. Siting job creating industry and commercial opportunities on lands already devoted to such uses will also help build prosperity in the community by providing a greater variety of employment options and reducing commuting costs.

The selected lands have social benefits over alternative sites because focusing on existing impacted areas and lands planned and zoned for development supports the existing community. Selecting sites outside of the existing city limits for future urban development would unnecessarily expand the city footprint and detract from the tight knit community values enjoyed by La Pine’s citizens. Failing to include lands in the existing city boundary would be poor public policy because it would be confusing for citizens and challenging for city administrators. Failing to include the proposed lands in the city’s original urban growth boundary would have the undesirable effect of excluding more than 30% of the city’s population. Excluding a substantial portion of the city’s citizens from the urban growth boundary could have negative social consequences by creating confusion and a sense of isolation for those lands that are inside the corporate city limits but not located inside the city’s urban growth boundary.

(4) Compatibility of the proposed urban uses with nearby agricultural and forest activities occurring on farm and forest land outside the UGB.

Response:

Rural residential settlement and public forests are the predominate land uses occurring outside of the proposed urban growth boundary. Very little commercial farm or forestry activities are conducted near La Pine. The area’s high elevation and short growing season makes raising crops
difficult. The dry high desert climate and timber stands dominated by Lodgepole Pine challenge the production of merchantable timber.

Some Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) zoning is present to the west and southwest of the city limits. EFU lands in this area are generally meadow pasture used for seasonal livestock grazing. The Little Deschutes River separates the city from a majority of agricultural land to the west. EFU lands to the southwest are arranged to following the location of Long Prairie, a narrow meadow corridor running north-south.

Although the dry forests east of the Cascade Mountains are not ideal for timber production, the forest products industry has played a key role in the development of all Central Oregon communities. Large tracts of privately held industrial forestland are present well to the south of the city. Many of these lands were originally owned and managed by the Gilchrist Lumber Company. More recently the areas have been managed by Crown Pacific and a block of over 20,000 acres has been designated as Oregon’s newest State Forest. However, Forest zoning closest to the city is almost entirely located on federal land managed by the USDA Bureau of Land Management or the United States Forest Service. The multiple use missions of the two managing federal agencies does not place commercial forest practices above other resource opportunities offered by the Deschutes National Forest and other public lands.

Based on the above, the proposed urban uses will be compatible agriculture and forest activities occurring outside the urban growth boundary for several reasons. First of all, because such uses, to the extent they exist, are low intensity seasonal livestock grazing and irregular forest activities on federal land there are limited possibilities for conflict. These uses have co-existed with the La Pine community for decades and are part of the community’s identity. Lands zoned for EFU located west of the city are separated from urban uses by the Little Deschutes River. The river corridor serves as a buffer between the city and agricultural lands. Commercial forest practices are not regular activities on adjacent federal timber lands. Private forest lands are located a sufficient distance from the city limits to put them beyond the potential for conflict with urban uses.

B. ORS 197.298 Priority of land to be included within urban growth boundary.

(1) In addition to any requirements established by rule addressing urbanization, land may not be included within an urban growth boundary except under the following priorities:

(a) First priority is land that is designated urban reserve land under ORS 195.145, rule or metropolitan service district action plan.

Response:

The city of La Pine and Deschutes County have not designated urban reserve land under ORS 195.145, OAR Chapter 660, Division 21 or a metropolitan service district plan. Therefore, no urban reserve land is available and it is not possible for the city to accommodate any of the identified land need on lands designated the highest priority for inclusion within an urban growth
(b) If land under paragraph (a) of this subsection is inadequate to accommodate the amount of land needed, second priority is land adjacent to an urban growth boundary that is identified in an acknowledged comprehensive plan as an exception area or nonresource land. Second priority may include resource land that is completely surrounded by exception areas unless such resource land is high-value farmland as described in ORS 215.710.

Response:

Land under paragraph (a) of this subsection is inadequate to accommodate the amount of land needed because no urban reserve land is available. Due to the lack of urban reserve land the city must consider the second highest priority land type.

This exercise involves establishing the city’s first urban growth boundary. It is apparent to the city that the language in (b) of this subsection contemplates the expansion of an urban growth boundary because it speaks to “land adjacent to an urban growth boundary that is identified in an acknowledged comprehensive plan as an exception area or nonresource land.”

In this case, there is no existing urban growth boundary. Therefore, there is no land of any type adjacent to an urban growth boundary and it is not possible for the city to accommodate any of the identified land need on lands designated the second highest priority for inclusion within an urban growth boundary.

(c) If land under paragraphs (a) and (b) of this subsection is inadequate to accommodate the amount of land needed, third priority is land designated as marginal land pursuant to ORS 197.247 (1991 Edition).

Response:

Land under paragraphs (a) and (b) of this subsection is inadequate to accommodate the amount of land needed because no urban reserve land is available and there is no land adjacent to an urban growth boundary that is identified in an acknowledged comprehensive plan as an exception area or nonresource land. Due to the lack of lands under paragraphs (a) and (b) of this subsection, the city must consider the third highest priority land type.

Deschutes County is not one of only two counties in the state of Oregon to have designated marginal land pursuant to ORS 197.247 (1991 Edition). Therefore, no marginal land is available and it is not possible for the city to accommodate any of the identified land need on lands designated the third highest priority for inclusion within an urban growth boundary.
(d) If land under paragraphs (a) to (c) of this subsection is inadequate to accommodate the amount of land needed, fourth priority is land designated in an acknowledged comprehensive plan for agriculture or forestry, or both.

Response:

Land under paragraphs (a) to (c) of this subsection is inadequate to accommodate the amount of land needed because no urban reserve land is available, there is no land adjacent to an urban growth boundary that is identified in an acknowledged comprehensive plan as an exception area or nonresource land and no marginal land has been designated. Due to the lack of lands under paragraphs (a) to (c) of this subsection, the city must consider the fourth highest priority land type.

Although an absence of higher priority lands frees the city to exclusively consider areas designated agriculture and forestry under statewide planning goals 3 and 4, the city has satisfied nearly all of its land needs on with exception areas and lands not subject to the Oregon statewide Planning Goals.

Residential Lands

The city has designated residential lands in its southeast quadrant and along its western boundary. Residential areas have been selected from lands that are, or have been: a) Included in the Urban Unincorporated Community of La Pine designated in the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan; b) Included in a rural residential exception area designated in the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan; or c) Included in the original La Pine Townsite area with a residential settlement pattern resembling that found in the rural residential exception areas. In addition, the city has approved a Goal 14 exception to justify why its residential lands may be included in the urban growth boundary.

Employment Lands

The city’s employment lands are primarily made up of areas identified for industrial activities. Other employment lands are all non-industrial employment activities including the widest range of retail, wholesale, service, non-profit, business headquarters, administrative and governmental employment activities that are accommodated in retail, office and flexible building types. Other employment uses also include employment activities of an entity or organization that serves the medical, educational, social service, recreation and security needs of the community typically in large buildings or multi-building campuses.

The city has designated employment lands at different locations throughout the city. The city’s primary industrial land base is located at its south east city limits. This area includes a site “certified” by the state of Oregon as being project ready.

Nearly all of the city’s employment lands have been selected from areas that have been included in the Urban Unincorporated Community of La Pine Designated in the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. One small area of about 20-acres has been designated for “Mixed-
Use Commercial” along Burgess Road on lands protected for agriculture in the Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. The agricultural lands are blocked by a residential neighborhood to the south and federal lands to the east. The city limits boundary is to the west.

**Public Facilities & Recreation Lands**

Land needs for Public Facilities and Recreation activities have been generally satisfied within the footprint of the La Pine Urban Unincorporated Community or lands in Federal ownership that are not subject to the statewide planning goals.

As small portion of lands needed for Recreation activities have been designated for agricultural use in the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. This area is a portion of a 40-acre tax lot owned by the La Pine Park & Recreation District.

Lands in Federal Ownership needed for Public Facilities and Recreation activities are principally located on the city’s east side, north of Reed Road.

(2) Higher priority shall be given to land of lower capability as measured by the capability classification system or by cubic foot site class, whichever is appropriate for the current use.

*Response:*

Only small amounts of agricultural lands are required to meet the city’s identified land needs. These areas are made up of about 20 acres needed for employment lands and a portion of a 40 acre tax lot needed for Recreational activities. In both instances the areas are comprised of Shanahan Loamy Coarse Sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes and Sunriver Sandy Loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes. Both soil types have an agricultural capability class VI, which represents some of the poorest possible agricultural lands. No lands of a lower agricultural capability class are available to choose from. Therefore, the identified areas are the highest priority agricultural lands for inclusion in the urban growth boundary.

Soil information for Federal lands needed for Public Facilities and Recreational activities is not available from NRCS. These lands are lightly forested and include a quarry. The lands do not differ significantly from other nearby areas designated for forest use.

(3) Land of lower priority under subsection (1) of this section may be included in an urban growth boundary if land of higher priority is found to be inadequate to accommodate the amount of land estimated in subsection (1) of this section for one or more of the following reasons:

(a) Specific types of identified land needs cannot be reasonably accommodated on higher priority lands;

*Response:*
The city of La Pine is not proposing to include land of lower priority under subsection (1) of this section over land of higher priority under subsection (1). Therefore, this criteria is not applicable.

(b) Future urban services could not reasonably be provided to the higher priority lands due to topographical or other physical constraints; or

Response:

The city of La Pine is not proposing to include land of lower priority under subsection (1) of this section over land of higher priority under subsection (1). Therefore, this criteria is not applicable.

(c) Maximum efficiency of land uses within a proposed urban growth boundary requires inclusion of lower priority lands in order to include or to provide services to higher priority lands. [1995 c.547 §5; 1999 c.59 §56]

Response:

The city of La Pine is not proposing to include land of lower priority under subsection (1) of this section over land of higher priority under subsection (1). Therefore, this criteria is not applicable.

III. Final Conclusion.

The city has satisfied the factors of Goal 14 and legal requirements of ORS 197.298.

The factors of Goal 14 are satisfied because the city has demonstrated compliance with the coordinated 20-year population forecast through taking an exception to Goal 14. The city has demonstrated that the selected lands are capable of accommodating all of its land needs and that such needs can not be net inside of the existing urban growth boundary because there is no existing urban growth boundary. The lands selected build mostly on the acknowledged boundary of the La Pine Urban Unincorporated Community and existing developed lands. Focusing on developed areas and existing community infrastructure affords the city efficiencies, financial and otherwise, not available from areas outside of the city limits. The city has also shown the selected lands to have environmental, economic, energy and social benefits over areas outside the city limits and that the selected lands will be compatible with farm and forest activities.

ORS 197.298 is satisfied because no lands described in subsections ORS 197.298 (1)(a) to (c) and subsection ORS 197.298(1)(d) allows consideration of other lands. Furthermore, most of the city’s land needs have been satisfied with areas designated as Urban Unincorporated Community and residential exception area by the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan and Federal lands that are not subject to Oregon’s Statewide Planning Goals. The limited amount of agricultural land needed to meet the city’s land needs is the lowest possible agricultural
capability, which makes it the highest priority of agricultural land under ORS 197.298(2) for inclusion in the urban growth boundary. The lightly timbered Federal land is no different than nearby areas protected for forest uses and no forest land of a lower priority under ORS 197.298(2) is available for inclusion in the urban growth boundary.
An Exception to Statewide Planning Goal 14

Residential Lands – La Pine, Oregon

Community Document
5/23/2012

This document justifies why the provisions of Statewide Planning Goal 14 that requires residential lands needs for urban growth planning to be based on a 20-year population projection need not apply to the city of La Pine.
Table of Contents

Background .................................................................................................................. 1

Oregon Statewide Planning Goals ............................................................................. 4

Oregon Administrative Rules .................................................................................... 4

Review Criteria .......................................................................................................... 5

Final Conclusion ........................................................................................................ 26

List of Attachments .................................................................................................. 27
I. Background.

a. Narrative

The city of La Pine (city) was incorporated in November of 2006. The city’s corporate territory is predominantly comprised of lands designated as an Urban Unincorporated Community in the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. An Urban Unincorporated Community, is a land use category defined and described in OAR Chapter 660, Division 22, often referred to as the “Rule Community Rule.” Urban Unincorporated Communities, or UUCs, are those areas that most closely resemble cities. UUCs have over 150 permanent residences, a mixture of land uses and public facilities and services. Lands included in a UUC designation are eligible for a full range of urban residential development and a full range of urban services such as community sewer and water. UUCs appear and function much like cities. In most cases the only true difference is that a UUC is governed by a county and a city is an incorporated municipality and is self-governing.

Since incorporation, the city has been diligently working to establish a system of local governance with staff to provide service to its citizens. Among other things, the city has been working to create an acknowledged comprehensive land use plan (plan) and implementing ordinances as required by state law. Once acknowledged, the plan will guide future development and will act as the governing document for city land use decisions. A key role of the plan is to designate an urban growth boundary separating urban and urbanizable lands from rural lands.

Urban growth boundaries are ordinarily designated based on a projection of land needs for a variety of categories (residential, commercial, employment, public, etc.) over a 20-year planning horizon. However, this ordinary principle of urban growth boundary designation need not apply to the city’s residential lands inventory for at least three reasons. First, the city is establishing an urban growth boundary for the very first time as opposed to expanding an existing urban growth boundary. In this situation the city has an established city limits but no urban growth boundary. The city believes it would be poor public policy to have an urban growth boundary within the city limits because it would be confusing for the citizens, challenging for city administration and, for based on the materials included in this document, ultimately unnecessary. Second, most all of La Pine was planned and zoned for urban levels of residential development and urban facilities and services when it was under county jurisdiction prior to incorporation. Third, the city has a fairly small population and a fairly large land base relative to its size. Existing residential neighborhoods are disbursed throughout the city boundary instead of focused at a central location. Failure to include all of the city’s residential lands into the urban growth boundary would result in a significant portion of the city’s population living on “rural” lands within the city’s boundaries, frustrating the city’s ability to furnish public facilities and services to its citizens.

Statewide Planning Goal 14 and its implementing administrative rule direct cities to rely on a 20-year population forecast to establish residential lands needs. Instead, for reasons to be explained in greater detail below, La Pine may rely on its corporate city limits as the natural and reasonable
location for its urban growth boundary. In other words, La Pine proposes that its city limits and urban growth boundary be co-terminus.

This document explains why strict adherence to the 20-year population forecast is not necessary to establish an amount of residential lands within the city’s first urban growth boundary and justifies an exception to that provision of Goal 14.

b. Residential Lands Needs

The city has a 20-year population forecast that has been coordinated with Deschutes County and acknowledged by the State of Oregon. The city’s population forecast predicts that La Pine will grow from 1,697 in 2009 to 2,566 in 2029, which would be an increase of 869 citizens. Based on an assumed 1.98 persons per home across all housing types it will take 439 housing units to accommodate the forecasted population growth. Some of the needed housing will be accommodated through occupancy of units that are currently vacant while the majority will need to be constructed. If an expected 15% residential vacancy rate is applied the total number of new housing units needed is increased to 548.

The city’s residential lands need is calculated by dividing the number of additional housing units needed by the expected average units per acre. The residential lands needs are then further refined by applying a dedication factor to project the portion of each acre that will be not available for residential development due to the presence of infrastructure and other community services. The resulting figure is known as “net” acres.

The city’s historic settlement pattern combined with more recent development activity, the presence of city services and an assumed increase in attached housing indicate that a reasonable expected development pattern is 3 units per gross acre or 4.3 units per net acre. This figure reflects new construction and redevelopment on larger, pre-existing lots and parcels generally of 1-2.5 acres in size for an average density of one dwelling per acre, future subdivision activity 5-units per net acre and the projection of 25% of the city’s housing stock being multifamily at an estimated 12 units per acre. If 548 new housing units are needed, it will take a total of 182 gross acres or 126 net acres. Since the mixed use commercial designation is expected to absorb about 23 net acres (about 32 gross acres) of housing opportunity the city’s total residential lands need is approximately 149 gross acres (about 104 net acres) of undeveloped or re-developable land.

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Type</th>
<th>Estimated Percentage of New Housing Stock</th>
<th>Estimated Residential Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Homes on &amp; Re-Development of Existing Large Lots</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1 units/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Subdivision Activity</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>5 units/acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Multi-Family Development</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12 units/acre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. Residential Lands Supply

The city’s Buildable Lands Inventory and the Goal 10 element of its comprehensive plan show that the existing city limits and proposed urban growth boundary contain about 1,284 acres of vacant or re-developable land to respond to a calculation of about 182 acres of need.

After a 30% dedication factor is applied to account for public infrastructure and other services that would need to be provided a net amount of about 922 acres, including about 23 acres included in a Commercial Mixed Use designation, remains to respond to about 127 net acres of need.

The figures above indicate that the city’s existing supply of residentially designated land results in surplus of about 1,135 gross acres once the Commercial Mixed Use lands have been deducted from the needs category.

II. Oregon Statewide Planning Goals.

a. Goal 14 (Urbanization)

To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities.

III. Oregon Administrative Rules.

a. Chapter 660, Division 4

This administrative rule contains the generally applicable exception provisions. The rule interprets and implements ORS 197.732 and portions of Statewide Planning Goal 2. OAR 660-004-0010(1) identifies OAR 660-014-0030 or -0040 as the review criteria for a Goal 14 exception. Section OAR 660-004-0040 provides guidance for appropriate residential densities in rural exception areas.

b. Chapter 660, Division 14

This administrative rule is titled “Application of the Statewide Planning Goals to Newly Incorporated Cities, Annexation, and Urban Development on Rural Lands.” Section OAR 660-014-0030 includes the review criteria for a proposal to demonstrate that rural lands are “irrevocably committed” to urban levels of development. Section OAR 660-014-0040 includes the review criteria for a proposal to demonstrate that there are “reasons” why urban development may be appropriate of rural lands.
c. Chapter 660, Division 22

This administrative rule includes the State’s provisions for Unincorporated Community Planning. Section OAR 660-020-0040 speaks to Urban Unincorporated Communities, which are defined as having features of a city such as permanent housing, a mix of land uses and public facilities and services.

d. Chapter 660, Division 24

This administrative rule provides guidance on the adoption or amendment of an urban growth boundary. Section OAR 660-024-0020(1) identifies that a local government may choose to take an exception to a particular Goal requirement.

IV. Review Criteria & Responses.

The City of La Pine chooses to take an exception to a particular Goal requirement as allowed for in OAR 660-024-0020(1). Specifically, the city seeks relief from the following provision of Statewide Planning Goal 14:

**Land Need**

Establishment and change of urban growth boundaries shall be based on the following:

(1) Demonstrated need to accommodate long range urban population, consistent with a 20-year population forecast coordinated with affected local governments;

The city strongly believes that either a “reasons” exception or an “irrevocably committed” would be successful. Since only one exception opportunity must be satisfied the city has elected to demonstrate that its residential lands are Irrevocably Committed to Urban Levels of Development. Therefore, the provisions of OAR 660-014-0030 constitute the applicable review criteria.

OAR 660-014-0030

**Rural Lands Irrevocably Committed to Urban Levels of Development**

(1) A conclusion, supported by reasons and facts, that rural land is irrevocably committed to urban levels of development can satisfy the Goal 2 exceptions standard (e.g., that it is not appropriate to apply Goals 14’s requirement prohibiting the establishment of urban uses on rural lands). If a conclusion that land is irrevocably committed to urban levels of development is supported, the four factors in Goal 2 and OAR 660-004-0020(2) need not be addressed.
Response:

The provisions of Statewide Planning Goal 14 and OAR 660-024-0020(1) require that urban growth boundaries be based on the adopted 20-year population forecast. However, this need not be the case for the city of La Pine because the residentially designated lands inside the existing city limits and proposed for inclusion in the city's first urban growth boundary are irrevocable committed to urban levels of development.

(2) A decision that land has been built upon at urban densities or irrevocably committed to an urban level of development depends on the situation at the specific site. The exact nature and extent of the areas found to be irrevocably committed to urban levels of development shall be clearly set forth in the justification for the exception. The area proposed as land that is built upon at urban densities or irrevocably committed to an urban level of development must be shown on a map or otherwise described and keyed to the appropriate findings of fact.

Response:

Lands included in the city's residential inventory have either been developed at an urban density or are otherwise irrevocably committed to an urban residential density. Please see Attachment B for a map showing the area subject to this Goal 14 exception.

The exact nature and extent of the areas found to be irrevocably committed to urban levels of development is set forth in the response to the provisions of paragraph (3) below.

(3) A decision that land is committed to urban levels of development shall be based on findings of fact, supported by substantial evidence in the record of the local proceeding, that address the following:

(a) Size and extent of commercial and industrial uses;

Response:

Commercial and industrial uses do not exist and are not anticipated on the city lands designated for residential development.

(b) Location, number and density of residential dwellings;

Response:

Residential lands in the City of La Pine may be classified in three basic categories. The first category is located near the city core at its southern edge on the east side of Hwy 97. A majority of the city's multi-family residential land supply is found here and the area has been the location of urban subdivision projects in recent years. The second category is "New Neighborhood," nearly 400 acres located along the city's western edge established through Regional Problem Solving (RPS) and acknowledged by the commission as eligible for urban services and urban levels of
development to serve as a receiving area for the South Deschutes County Transfer of Development Credit (TDC) Program. The third category is two residential neighborhoods along the west side of the city located both north and south of the “New Neighborhood.” These lands were not included in the La Pine Urban Unincorporated Community Boundary.

1. Core Residential Area

This area is most commonly associated with La Pine “proper.” It includes a total of about 260 acres planned and zoned for residential uses. The Core Residential Area has been historically viewed as a critical component of the La Pine Community as was included as part of the Urban Unincorporated Community designated by the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan. Lot and parcel sizes range from a single 40-acre property, many suburban sized lots averaging about 1.5 acres and recently developed subdivisions with lots from as large as 15,000 square feet down to 5,000 square feet in size.

The 40-acre property is adjacent to the Huntington Meadows Subdivision project and is currently being marketed as a development property. It is located along the city’s southern boundary with industrial zoning being present to the east. Aerial photos suggest that the property is visually unremarkable with essentially level terrain and no distinguishable environmental issues. Ordinary native vegetation is present and the property’s timber stand appears to have been thinned consistent with similar work completed on other adjacent lands. Sewer and water service is a short distance away and the property is served by public streets.

Suburban sized lots appear to be the original basis for the La Pine community as we know it today. According to Deschutes County survey records, much of this area and other nearby lands were originally acquired by the Baldwin-Herndon Oregon Trust under the Small Tracts Act administered by the United States Bureau of Land Management. In 1953, the area was platted into Government Lots by Federal Survey entitled “Supplemental Plat of Section 14” April 1953 and a subsequent Federal document entitled “Supplemental Plat” from April 1956. The two Federal plats created well over a hundred “Government Lots”, most ranging in size from 1.0-2.5 acres. The Government Lots were monumented by CS 11788, performed by Raymond E. Oman in 1993. Other surveying efforts, such as the Hinkle Tract, Phase I survey have also been completed. In several cases these lots appear to have been consolidated and subdivided into urban densities as discussed below. Several partitioning exercises have occurred over the years. Generally the remaining lots are close to an acre in size with some as small as 0.25 acres and others as large as 2.5 acres. The La Pine Park and Recreation District owns and operates a park and ball field on 10 acres. Aerial photos and Deschutes County Assessor records indicate that about 66 of the 95 lots are developed with dwelling units.

Between 2003 and 2008, the area received eight subdivision projects, resulting in a total of 327 lots. Huntington Meadows is by far the largest project with 208 lots installed over 10 phases. The subdivision activity is most easily expressed in the following table:
Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subdivision Name</th>
<th>Number of Lots</th>
<th>Lot Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black Bear Meadows</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5000-11000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinkle Park</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6000-7700 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Meadows</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>6000-8000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackpine Meadows</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7700-8500 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peaceful Pines</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6000-11000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; W Estates</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11000-12000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Park</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5000-9000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler Ranch</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8300-15000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These subdivisions are developed with full urban services, including but not limited to sewer water and storm water facilities.

2. The New Neighborhood

These lands are located on the city’s western side and run between the original La Pine community and an area known as Wickiup Junction, which is now also included in the city’s corporate territory. The New Neighborhood area has been divided into quadrants and has been planned and zoned to receive urban levels of residential development complete with urban levels of services. The following language borrowed from the 2002 edition of the Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan provides a description of the evolution and purpose of the New Neighborhood:

23.44.010. Regional Problem Solving for South Deschutes County.

A. Overview.

In the 1960’s and early 1970’s, before statewide planning occurred in Oregon, over 15,000 lots were created in subdivisions platted south of Sunriver. Most of these parcels are less than two acres in size and use on-site septic systems to dispose of sewage. Many of them are located in areas where development is now restricted, such as floodplains, wetlands and areas with a high groundwater table where septic approval is unlikely.

Since 1989, Deschutes County has been the fastest growing county in the state on a percentage basis. The rural character, attractive location on or near the Deschutes and Little Deschutes Rivers, and relatively inexpensive land prices in South Deschutes County have led to a burgeoning population. The current estimated population of up to 16,000 residents (over 10,000 permanent) would make this area the second largest city in Oregon east of the Cascades were it incorporated, exceeded only by the city of Bend. Impacts to groundwater, the source of drinking water in this area, air quality, wetlands and mule deer migration and the risks to human life and property from wildfires have increased significantly over time.

In 1996, Deschutes County and the Department of Land Conservation and Development recognized that significant consequences could occur from the pattern of development
and began a collaborative project known as Regional Problem Solving Project for South Deschutes County. The Regional Problem Solving (RPS) project area encompasses approximately 42 square miles between Sunriver to the north and La Pine to the south, and includes thousands of small-subdivided lots, and some larger parcels, throughout southern Deschutes County. The attached map identifies Study Areas 1, 2 and 3 within the project area.

The RPS project area is a landscape with a geologic history that produced sediments of volcanic origin that were deposited in a basin over past eons. These conditions are the result of lava flows from the west (Cascades) and east (Newberry) that periodically dammed and shifted the course of the Deschutes River, creating the La Pine Basin, where the deposition of sediments has occurred, sometimes burying older forests. Volcanic eruptions such as the one at Mt. Mazama (Crater Lake) approximately 6,800 years ago have contributed significantly to the volume of sediment deposited in the basin. The Mt. Mazama eruption is the source of volcanic material that has formed the predominant soil in the area.

At an elevation of 4200 feet, the climate in the region is one of cool nighttime temperatures with a short frost-free summer that averages less than 100 days annually and a winter period of five or six months where snow can reside on the ground at any time. The rivers receive significant input from cool spring fed waters. The groundwater is mostly derived from snowmelt in the high Cascades to the west, and is also relatively cool.

The development of thousands of small lots in the RPS project area is therefore superimposed upon highly permeable, rapidly draining soils and a high groundwater table with relatively cold-water temperatures. The overwhelming majority of the lots are served by on-site sewage disposal systems (septic systems), including standard drain fields, cap and fill systems, and more recently sand-filter systems. Nitrates, a by-product of septic systems and an indicator of human pathogens, are poorly retained in the fast draining soils and do not easily break down due to the cool groundwater temperature.

As a result, loading of nitrates occurs in the shallow groundwater aquifer that underlies this region. The presence of a high level of nitrates is of great concern because this same aquifer is the source of drinking water for the residents in the area.

A recent US Geological Survey study of groundwater in Central Oregon concludes that groundwater in the area is connected to nearby surface waters, including the Deschutes and Little Deschutes Rivers. Through the sampling of numerous wells in the RPS project area the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) is predicting that nitrate in the groundwater will approach unsafe levels, principally as a result of the cumulative effect of sewage disposal with on-site septic systems, in the near future. Levels of nitrate are elevated in several localized areas within the RPS project area. However the majority of wells show very low nitrate levels at this time and surface water contamination has not been documented.
Due to the existing pattern and density of development DEQ is predicting that nitrate levels will continue to increase over time, even if measures were taken now to alter the development pattern in the RPS project area. If measures are delayed much longer, the consequences could become more serious, possibly resulting in unsafe levels of nitrates in groundwater and drinking water.

More definitive information is expected to be available in the next few years, regarding the timing of nitrate movement in groundwater and the overall impact of nitrate from septic systems to groundwater and possible surface water pollution. The DEQ and Deschutes County will complete additional groundwater investigations and testing of innovative sewage treatment and disposal systems to reduce the impact on groundwater from nitrogen in household sewage, with grants from the US Environmental Protection Agency. The results from these studies will not be known for several years. Studying different approaches to on-site sewage treatment and disposal may lead to affordable technological advances that can be applied to new and possibly existing systems. In the meantime, the region will continue to grow and nitrate loading from on-site systems will continue to increase.

Some measures may need to be implemented in the future to address groundwater pollution and other impacts that could result from the development of the thousands of small size subdivided lots in South Deschutes County. The creation of a new neighborhood between La Pine and Wickup Junction as an alternative to building fewer houses on the remaining vacant small lots appears to hold much promise. A market-driven transferable development credits program could assist in the redirection of growth from the existing subdivisions into this new neighborhood.

A development standard or sewage disposal rule that requires an effective lot area of 1.5 acres for new dwellings served by an on-site septic system may need to be considered. The acreage requirement would need to be based on the long-term balance between nitrate loading from septic systems and dilution from precipitation that infiltrates the land. An effective lot area should include contiguous or non-contiguous vacant land within a specified distance from the proposed building site.

For these reasons, Deschutes County has determined that it is appropriate to adopt comprehensive plan goals and policies to recognize the importance in protecting groundwater and other resources and the need to continue to work on the Regional Problem Solving project for South Deschutes County.

B. Nitrates - Health and groundwater impacts; septic system impacts and studies.

High levels of nitrates in drinking water are a cause of methemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome) in infants and have been linked to cancer and weakening of immune system in the elderly. Recent epidemiologic studies indicate that chronic long-term exposure to low levels (2.5 mg/L) of nitrates can increase the risks for certain types of cancers. Nitrate levels are often used as an indicator for the transmission capabilities of other pathogenic
agents. Surface waters are very sensitive to eutrophication by the addition of nutrients; nitrate is an indicator of nutrient loading.

A natural background level of nitrates would be less than 1 mg/L. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set the safe water drinking standard (Maximum Contaminant Level or MCL) for nitrate at 10 mg/L. The DEQ is required to declare a region a Groundwater Management Area if nitrate concentration reaches 7 mg/L. This would require a plan to protect and restore groundwater quality. Deschutes County Planning and Environmental Health are only slightly ahead by starting and developing their plans proactively.

On-site septic systems are the only significant source of nitrates in the La Pine sub-basin. The La Pine sub-basin has many conditions that allow for little denitrification of wastewater to occur: rapidly draining soil, shallow, well oxygenated groundwater, very short growing season, cold temperature, not much hydraulic gradient. Most of the development has taken place in the very bottom of the sub-basin over shallow groundwater and on small lots served by wells from an unconfined aquifer.

In 1980-81 contamination of the aquifer from septic systems had already occurred in the La Pine core area as of 1980-81 (La Pine Aquifer Management Plan, Century West, 1982). A community sewer system was required to remedy the situation. A 1995 well monitoring study by DEQ showed that after 11 years of sewer, the nitrate levels in the La Pine core area had receded but were still at “unsafe levels.” This is an indication that the recovery time for the aquifer is lengthy.

The 1995 monitoring study also revealed the existence of five areas in the RPS project area, not including the core area of La Pine, where nitrate levels are greater than usual background levels. Nitrate levels are as high as 4.8 to 5.9 mg/L in three of these areas and as high as 3 mg/L in the other two.

The 1995 monitoring study was part of a modeling effort by the DEQ to estimate the impact of septic systems on the groundwater. The initial results of the model indicate that at existing (1994) development the aquifer would reach nitrate levels of 7 mg/L by 2005. Since the collection of samples in 1994 there are approximately 700 additional residences in the RPS project area using on-site septic systems. The model is limited because it is two-dimensional and does not account for flow in or out of its boundaries.

A grant from the US Environmental Protection Agency will allow significant work to begin in 1999 to help with a solution to the problem of high nitrate levels. The primary purpose of the grant is to study new technologies in on-site septic systems. Part of the grant will be used to continue increasing the groundwater monitoring network and complete additional analysis of nitrate movement in the groundwater using a three-dimensional model.

The innovative septic system program was started in 1998 through the RPS project and DEQ grant funding and is expected to increase significantly with the new federal grant.
The purpose is try new technologies that appear to be capable of reducing nitrate levels. Besides nitrate reduction there are many other aspects of new technology that need to be examined before widespread applications for the general public can occur.

Over the past five years the US Geological Survey (USGS) has developed a groundwater flow model of the entire Upper Deschutes Basin. The model will be used as the basis for an analysis of the impacts of nitrates from on-site systems to help answer the following three questions:

1. Where should additional monitoring wells be set up for continuous monitoring of nitrate plumes from residential development?

2. What density does development need to be set at to minimize impact on groundwater quality?

3. What variations of impact due to location are there in the La Pine sub-basin?

The DEQ rules require a minimum of an acre for standard system and a half-acre for pressure or sand filters in rapidly draining soils. This is a statewide rule and the authors were probably looking at rainfall amount from a typical Willamette Valley year to provide dilution.

Mixing wastewater from a typical single-family residence with the recharge provided by yearly precipitation in Southern Deschutes County, it requires 2.5 acres for a standard system and 1.5 acres for a sand filter to maintain a recharge concentration at or below 7 mg/l. This estimate is on the conservative side because it does not account for inflow, outflow, or upflow from other areas.

Areas such as Fall River Estates, Wild River and Ponderosa Pines do not require as much acreage to achieve an adequate amount of mixing and dilution of nitrates because they are located in areas of higher precipitation at the western edge of the aquifer. Also, the aquifer gradient is steeper resulting in more dilution due to higher groundwater flow rates. La Pine and portions of Oregon Water Wonderland and Stage Stop Meadows subdivisions served by sewer systems are also not contributing to the overall nitrate-loading problem in the region.

C. Legislation.

In October 1998, Congress passed legislation to assist Deschutes County in purchasing a 540-acre tract of land from the Bureau of Land Management. This tract is located between La Pine and Wickiup Junction, west of Highway 97 and east of Huntington Road. A sewer line between the communities of La Pine and Wickiup Junction runs through the property.

This property is intended to be the site of a new neighborhood that will be serviced by sewer and water systems, and paved roads. Residential use will predominate, although
community needs such as a senior center, library, assisted living facility and limited neighborhood commercial uses may be developed. A design process known as a “charrette” occurred in November 1998. This design workshop occurred over a three-day period with the participation of over 80 people from the community.

The initial design encompasses a neighborhood primarily residential in character with sewer, water and a road network of paved streets and access roads without curbs. A setback of 300 feet from Highway 97 has been incorporated into the eastern boundary of the design. A senior center and assisted living facilities are included in the southern part of the property adjacent to the community of La Pine. This preliminary design will be evaluated to determine lot sizes and density, development costs, phasing of development and the ability to use transferable development credits as a tool for the overall development of the new neighborhood.

D. Transferable development credits.

A TDC (Transferable Development Credit) Program has been developed to redirect some of the future development of residential dwellings from lots served by on-site sewage disposal (septic) systems to the residentially zoned districts in the Neighborhood Planning Area in the La Pine UUC that will be connected to water and sewer systems.

A TDC is a severable interest in real property that represents the right to construct a single-family dwelling and an on-site sewage disposal system. The TDC program code has been adopted in compliance with the provisions of ORS 94.531.

The essential elements of the TDC program are to be codified in DCC Title 11, County Owned Land and Property, of the County Code. The TDC program is intended to redirect some of the future residential growth from existing subdivisions in South Deschutes County, also identified as the “sending area” where TDC’s are allocated to eligible lots, into the Neighborhood Planning Area, also referred to as the “receiving area” where TDC’s are required to be redeemed based on a net developable acreage formula. If successful the TDC program will reduce the overall impact from development in flood plains, wetlands, deer migration corridors and areas susceptible to groundwater pollution from nitrates. It will also help to maintain open space and preserve the rural character of the area by reducing the overall density of development that would otherwise exist in the future if a dwelling were built on every legal lot. In the sending area the TDC program will operate in a voluntary, market-driven manner. Those property owners who choose to sell their TDC’s will retain ownership of the underlying land on which certain uses, such as camping, wood cutting, vegetation management, agricultural use and construction of a small storage structure will be allowed. A Conservation Easement will be placed on the property that will prohibit the construction of a single-family dwelling and on-site sewage disposal system on the property. Property owners who sell their TDC’s and enter into a Conservation Easement restricting future uses on their property may elect to sell the deed for the underlying property to a willing buyer.
E. Public participation.

The RPS project has involved all aspects of the community, including property owners, interest groups, public agencies and government at the local, state and federal levels. Over 20 stakeholder meetings and 5 public forums were held. Eight newsletters and other mailings have been sent out to an extensive mailing list of property owners and other interested individuals, community organizations and local governments. The local press has covered this topic with a number of articles and news reports on several occasions.

According to written surveys the top three priorities for the residents of South Deschutes County are: (1) to retain open space to maintain the rural character of the area; (2) to not allow septic systems in areas of high groundwater; and, (3) to allow for experimentation with alternative methods of sewage disposal. Among the least favored options was extending sewer throughout the region due to the high cost associated with this expansion. However, several small sewer systems exist in the region and people commented and testified at public meetings and hearings that the option of using sewer systems to dispose of sewage should continue to be explored.

To ensure that public involvement was as great as possible regarding proposed amendments in 1998 to the comprehensive plan and zoning ordinance, an additional newsletter was mailed that contained a notice of public hearings before the Deschutes County Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners. The newsletter also described various aspects of the RPS program, characterized design elements of the new neighborhood as a result of the design charrette and encouraged people to attend a community workshop held in early December to learn more about the amendments. This newsletter was mailed to over 5,000 property owners, including the owners of all lots in the RPS project area which are zoned RR-10 and less than 2 acres in size, and the stakeholders, interest groups, agencies, etc., who had previously participated or expressed an interest in the RPS project.

More detailed information about the RPS project including information on nitrates, experimental on-site technology, alternative solutions, transferable development credits and a bibliography of the studies and other sources of information used to analyze the region’s problems and to formulate solutions was made available at the hearings.

F. LCDC Acknowledgement.

In September 2000 the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) conducted a hearing and approved the County’s request to expand the La Pine UUC to include the area formerly recognized as the Wickiup Junction Rural Service Center and the New Neighborhood area. The Neighborhood area includes a tract of land the County purchased from the Bureau of Land Management and a privately owned parcel.

LCDC also approved the County’s comprehensive plan designation and rezoning of the area added to the La Pine UUC from resource lands zoned exclusive farm use to various
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planning districts that allow for the creation of a residential subdivision served by municipal water and sewer systems and paved roads.”

As of this writing, the Newberry Neighborhood has fulfilled a portion of its potential. Three phases of the Crescent Creek Development have resulted in establishing 108 lots ranging in size from 3,500 to about 9,000 square feet. The majority of the remaining property is retained in county ownership.

3. Residential Neighborhoods

In addition to residential lands near the city’s core and the New Neighborhood, residential lands exist at two other locations. These areas are primarily comprised of developed or partially developed low density subdivisions. Neither area was included in the La Pine Unincorporated Community Boundary designated in the acknowledged Deschutes County plan. One area is located at the city’s northernmost boundary, west of Hwy 97, and for purposes of this document will be referred to as the “Northern Residential Area.” The city’s other residential lands are due west of the core area along the city’s southwest boundary. For purposes of this document these lands are referred to as the “Old Town Residential Area.”

a. Northern Residential Area

The Northern Residential Area includes the Cagle Subdivision, the Pine Place neighborhood, Potters Estates and the Glenwood Acres neighborhood. The Cagle Subdivision was developed over Eight Phases between 1958 and 1967. It is the city’s largest existing subdivision with 275 lots. All of the lots are about an acre in size and nearly all are developed with a residence. Aerial photos indicate that the Cagle subdivision is almost entirely built out. Deschutes County Assessor’s records identify 23 lots that have not been assigned an address. The absence of an address indicates a vacant lot. Some lots have been assigned addresses that include some level of physical improvement rather than a home. Randomly checking the types of development on addressed properties allows the city to project that at least 90% of addressed lots in the Cagle Subdivision are occupied by dwellings. In other words, the city finds that 227 of the 275 lots are occupied by dwellings.

The Pine Place neighborhood and Potter Estates are both located immediately west of the Cagle Subdivision, along the city’s northwestern boundary. Potter Estates is a small subdivision of just four lots platted in 1994. Each lot is just under 10 acres in size and three of the four lots are developed with homes. The Pine Place neighborhood is a portion of the Lazy River South Subdivision, which was platted in 1968. It is located east of Huntington Road, generally south of Cagle Road. The neighborhood currently contains nine lots and parcels with six homes.

The final piece of the Northern Residential area is the Glenwood Springs neighborhood. These lands are not contiguous to the three other components of the Northern Residential Area. Instead, they lay about one quarter mile west of the Cagle Subdivision. They are bisected by Huntington Road and due south of Burgess Road. The westernmost lots in this neighborhood have frontage on the Little Deschutes River. The lands west of Huntington Road where platted as the Glenwood Acres Subdivision in 1963 and the First Edition to Glenwood Acres in 1964 and are
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nearly all less than an acre in size. Those lands to the east of Huntington Road are not part of a recorded subdivision plat and are generally from 1.25 to 2.5 acres in size. All together the Glenwood Acres neighborhood adds up to 81 tax lots. Aerial photos and Deschutes County Assessor records indicate the presence of about 65 single family dwellings.

Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood or Subdivision</th>
<th>Number of Lots</th>
<th>Number of Homes</th>
<th>Size of lots</th>
<th>Estimated Number of Citizens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cagle Subdivision</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1.0 AC</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Place</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.1-5.0 AC</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potter’s Estates</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.74 AC</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenwood Acres</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.7-2.5 AC</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>369</strong></td>
<td><strong>301</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.17 (av)</strong></td>
<td><strong>511</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 demonstrates that: 1) the Northern Residential Area is over 80% developed; 2) several hundred lots exist in the North Residential Area that are about an acre in size. Some lots are a little larger and some are a little smaller but almost all of the 369 lots are about an acre in size. The only significant departure is in Potter’s Estates where all four lots are just under 10 acres in size. Potter’s Estate’s was platted in 1994, thirty or more years after the other subdivisions where created. Unlike the earlier subdivisions, Potter’s Estates was subject to the provisions of the Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan and Development Code, which required a 10 acre minimum lot size. To say it another way, almost all of the 369 existing lots are quite small and old.

OAR 660-004-0040 guides planning and zoning decisions for rural residential areas. This rule was promulgated in 2000 to respond to the Oregon Supreme Court’s holding in the notorious Curry County case. Please see 1000 Friends of Oregon v. Curry County and LCDC, 1986. The heart of OAR 660-004-0040 states that new rural residential areas must have a minimum lot size of 10 acres. The rule also required that any existing rural residential lands with a minimum parcel size of less than 2-acres as of the effective date of the rule must be raised to at least 2-acres. Comparing the average lot size in the North Residential Area and the minimum requirements articulated in the administrative rule shows that the existing density is far, far greater than allowed for rural residential development. Therefore, it is not reasonable to consider these lands “rural” for purposes of Goal 14.

Finally, the current residential vacancy rate and the average household size for single-family attached dwellings identified in the 2010 census and the La Pine Comprehensive Plan are 26% and 2.3, respectively. When these figures are applied to the North Residential Area an estimated population of 511 citizens is the result. This number represents a significant portion of the city’s population. Over 30% of the city’s 2009 population of 1697 is estimated to reside in the North Residential Area.
b. Old Town Residential Area

The Old Town Residential Area is comprised of platted subdivisions. The area is separated from the developed area along Hwy 97 by a wet meadow that has long been identified with the community and is identified on the city's plan map as "Flood Plain". The city's comprehensive plan identifies flood plain and associated wetland areas as being environmentally sensitive and targets them for protection from conflicting uses.

Research into the Old Town Residential Area illustrates the community's frontier origins and helps to explain its pioneer spirit. Available literature identifies that settlers where attracted to the La Pine area in the final third of the 19th century due to Federal policy including the Homestead Law of 1862 and the Carey Land Act. Possibilities in railroad development and public investment in the Central Oregon Military Road both created economic opportunity and increased interest for the area that became south Deschutes County.

Construction of the Central Oregon Military Road brought the Surveyor General of Oregon, Byron Johns Pengra, to the region. Mr. Pengra chose to remain and file a homestead claim. Near the turn of the century a north-south wagon road was surveyed through the La Pine basin. The road was named for Mr. Pengra and J.W. Perit Huntington who served as the Oregon Superintendent of Indian Affairs. The Pengra Huntington Road, usually shortened to "Huntington" Road remains an important route for travel in the region. Pengra Road is located on the city's southwestern boundary, adjacent to the Old Town Residential Area.

The railroad speculation and homesteading efforts made the region a candidate for a large irrigation project. The Morson Project facilitated by the Carey Land Act was anticipated to irrigate 28,000 acres by 1914. Township rights for the Morson Project where obtained by Portland, Oregon business men Alfred Aya, James Gleason and W.R. Riley who joined to form the La Pine Townsite Company.

In 1910, the Plat of La Pine was filed in Crook County by the La Pine Townsite Company as two documents. The original subdivision platting created 23 blocks divided into lots of three sizes. There were 311 lots in the southeast portion of the subdivision, all of which were 25 feet by 100 feet or 2,500 square feet in size, with mid-block alleys. There were 162 lots in the center area of the subdivision that were 50 feet by 100 feet or 5,000 square feet in size, with mid-block alleys. In addition, 45 lots on the subdivision's west side were 50 feet by 175 or 8,750 square feet. The eastern portion of the subdivision is occupied by the wet meadow mentioned above and has remained largely undeveloped.

The eastern most portion of the subdivision, consisting of about 303 lots, nearly all 2,500 square feet in size, was included in the La Pine Unincorporated Community boundary and zoned for commercial uses.

---

1 Historical information for this section has been largely gathered from "A Historical Look At La Pine Oregon" written by Robert Metcalf.

2 Deschutes County was created from Crook County on December 13, 1916. Prior to that date the La Pine community was included in Crook County.
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The La Pine Townsite Company filed the First Addition to La Pine in 1912. The company’s second subdivision was located west and northwest of the original Plat of La Pine. The First Addition to La Pine created 330 subdivision lots measuring 50 feet by 125 feet, or 6,250 square feet with mid-block alleys. About 10 acres immediately south of 1st Street and between Pengra Street and Paulina Street were dedicated as the Union High School Park.

Construction on the Morson Project suffered financial difficulties and stalled, failing to deliver irrigation water to the La Pine Community by 1914. Interest in the project was renewed in 1919 when Frank W. Tomes proposed to take it on. Mr. Tomes was reportedly willing to invest $30,000 in capital improvements and predicted that 10,000 acres of land would be irrigated by 1920. In 1921, presumably in anticipation of the irrigation project’s completion, Mr. Tomes filed “Tomes Edition” to the La Pine Townsite.

By 1921, the La Pine area was part of Deschutes County. The Tomes Addition subdivision project was located in the city’s southwest corner and is bounded by Pengra Street on the west and Sixth Street on the south. This project created 114 lots, nearly all measuring 50 feet by 125 feet, or 6,250 square feet with mid-lot alleys. Blocks 13, 14, 16 and 18 on the project’s eastern edge were not divided into lots. It is not clear from the plat what the intended purpose on these four blocks might have been.

Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subdivision Name</th>
<th>Year Platted</th>
<th>Number of Lots</th>
<th>Size of lots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plat of La Pine</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>303 (518 total)</td>
<td>2,500-8,750 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Pine First Addition</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>6,250 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomes Addition</td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>6,250 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>747</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Ave. 5,000 +/- sq ft</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 above shows that the subdivision activity in the Old Town Residential Area resulted in the creation of 962 residential lots between 1910 and 1921. The promise of an irrigation project never came to pass. The coming of the railroad, development and development of Hwy 97 seemed to shift development pressure slightly to the east. Over time, these lots have been bundled together to create tax lots ranging in size from 0.23-acres to about 2.5-acres. The wet meadow remains as valuable open space for the community and provides a variety of environmental and ecological functions. Much of the other lands have taken on levels of residential development that resemble densities found in the North Residential Area.

(c) Location of urban levels of facilities and services; including at least public water and sewer facilities; and

Response:

Urban levels of facilities and services are available to citizens of the city of La Pine.
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Community Governmental Services
La Pine operates through a City Manager-Council form of government. The City Council hires the City Manager, creates policy and programs, and adopts a city budget supporting various municipal functions. The City Manager is responsible for hiring staff, responds to Council requirements, and manages the day-to-day functions of the local government and services, and plans for the future needs of the community. However, the City does contract with Deschutes County, and outside consultants and service providers for some basic and required community functions—such as planning/zoning, law enforcement, administration and legal counsel. This is due to the newness of the City and the limited staffing/resources currently available.

Emergency Response Services
The City of La Pine contracts for law enforcement with the Deschutes County Sheriffs Department. Fire protection is funded by a separate Fire District budget – the La Pine Fire District. Services are provided to citizens throughout the urban area. The departments are consulted on new land use applications (via Deschutes County Community Development Department), which are examined in the context of services needed to support new development.

Health Services
The City of La Pine is served by a satellite office of the Deschutes County health Department, primarily mental health and children’s and community services, as well as a private clinic. The City and surrounding area do not have a hospital or emergency medical services—the nearest such services are in Bend, approximately 30-miles to the north. Medical uses are permitted in the local commercial zones.

Recreation Facilities and Services
The City of La Pine is served by the La Pine Park and Recreation District. The District provides services to the City of La Pine and surrounding rural residential area. The District has an adopted Comprehensive Plan that anticipates community needs and anticipated growth of the area. The District is funded by a newly voter approved tax base, as well as grants and other sources of private funding.

Public Street Systems
The City of La Pine, Deschutes County and the State of Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) provide and maintain various streets throughout the City and outlying area (as such streets interconnect). However, the City of La Pine currently has limited funds for street improvements and/or maintenance. Deschutes County maintains some streets via intergovernmental agreement with the City and ODOT maintains U.S. Highway 97 that bisects the City. La Pine does not currently have a Transportation System Plans (TSP). The Deschutes County TSP, which includes the area within City limits, currently serves as the City Transportation Plan and will continue to do so until the City adopts a separate TSP in 2012.

Public Water Systems
The La Pine Water District provides water source, disinfection, distribution and maintenance of a water delivery system to approximately 650 customers. The service area includes most, but not all of the area within the City limits. The District does have plans for expansion of the system to
serve all of the urban area, dependent upon adequate funding sources. Their plan identifies existing community needs, how to accommodate anticipated growth, reduction in private well heads, aquifer protection, land acquisition for new municipal well heads, reservoir siting and land needed for treatment and storage. Additional resource information from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality can be found in the appendix. This information shows the City source in relationship to distance from other sources and the relationship of water compared to time travel from the source and/or other influences.

Public Sewer Systems
The La Pine Sewer District provides collection and treatment to more than 650 customers. The service area includes most, but not all of the area within the City limits. The District does have plans for expansion of the system to serve all of the urban area, dependent upon adequate funding sources. Their plan identifies existing community needs, necessary capital improvements, funding and implementation, accommodation of new growth, reduction in septic fields, new connections and future land needs for the community treatment plant.

Public Schools – Bend-La Pine School District
The Bend-La Pine School District currently operates La Pine High School, La Pine Middle School and La Pine Elementary. There are plans for a new elementary school to be built on the south side of Burgess Road in the Newberry Neighborhood as the develops over time (this was anticipated to be built for half enrollment (300 students) in 2010, with completion for a total enrollment of 600 students by 2015. Overall, the enrollment of the La Pine schools has grown, mostly as a result of residential development and growth in the outlying rural area between La Pine and Sunriver to the north. La Pine Elementary serves kindergarten through 4th grade with an enrollment of approximately 475 students. La Pine Middle School serves 5th through 8th grades with an enrollment of approximately 520 students. La Pine High School serves 9th through 12th grades with an enrollment of approximately 540 students. Discussions with the school district superintendent John Rexford reveal that they have no plans within the next 20 years to develop additional schools within the City limits or UGB. The School Facility Plan is incorporated into this document and can be found in the Appendix and restated as part of the chapter discussing Goal 14.

Library
The La Pine Public Library is a relatively new structure, which opened in November, 2000. This is a full service library with on-site book collections ranging from children’s through adult sources. The library also has internet connection with on-site PC’s available to the public. The library is part of the Deschutes Public Library System

Solid Waste Collection and Disposal
La Pine’s citizens have access to waste disposal service via Wilderness Garbage Company or self service at the Deschutes County Transfer Station, north of the city limits.

Storm Water Collection and Distribution
The City of La Pine does not have any municipally maintained storm water facilities. Storm runoff, including significant snowmelt, is accommodated in roadside drainage ditches and al-
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allowed to percolate into the soil. However, new development on private property is required to meet all DEQ standards for storm water retention, treatment, and dispersal. Paved streets in new subdivisions are required to include storm water retention facilities in the form of drywells that also meet DEQ standards.

**Electric Power**

Electric power in La Pine is provided by Mid-State Electric Co-op. The City provides access to right of way and franchise availability to these service providers. Mid-State utilizes a master plan for determining new substation areas and other elements necessary to accommodate anticipated growth.

**Natural Gas**

Natural gas is provided to urban area residents by Cascade Natural Gas. The City provides access to right of way and franchise availability for new extensions. Cascade Natural Gas utilizes a master plan for determining new substation areas and other elements necessary to accommodate anticipated growth. Propane is supplied by multiple private entities that serve Central Oregon.

**Telecommunications, Phone and Internet Services**

Qwest and a variety of private wireless phone and internet providers primarily serve the community. Deregulation of the telephone service, satellite access and other advances in telecommunications allow La Pine residents a wide range of phone and Internet connection choices. Wireless access will also be expanding to serve local citizens.

**Television, Radio, Cable and Fiber Optic Services**

Cable TV service provides access to premium and nationwide broadcasts. Radio stations include a variety of local AM/FM stations that provide news and entertainment. Fiber optic access is expanding throughout the community and of particular importance for public, commercial and industrial users.

(d) **Parcel sizes and ownership patterns.**

As discussed in the response to paragraph (b) above, the city’s residential lands have hosted development since near the end of the nineteenth century. Lot and parcel sizes range from less than 4,000 square feet in the New Neighborhood to a single 40-acre parcel in the Core Area. Most of the city’s residential lands where included in the La Pine Unincorporated County in the acknowledged Deschutes County Comprehensive Plan and have been planned and zoned to receive urban levels of residential development with full urban services since before the city’s incorporation.

Residential lands not originally included in the La Pine Unincorporated Community are generally developed at densities of near one dwelling per acre, or 200% of the development allowed pursuant to OAR 660-004-0040.

While the city’s residential lands where originally controlled by a handful of different owners more than a century of subdivision activity and land sales have dissolved all of the significant ownerships. Tracts of lots and parcels in contiguous ownership rarely exceed three acres.
city’s largest residential land owner is Deschutes County who continues to serve as custodian for the majority of the New Neighborhood property.

(4) A conclusion that rural land is irrevocably committed to urban development shall be based on all of the factors listed in section (3) of this rule. The conclusion shall be supported by a statement of reasons explaining why the facts found support the conclusion that the land in question is committed to urban uses and urban level development rather than a rural level of development.

Response:

The city concludes that the residential lands included in its corporate city limits are irrevocably committed to urban development. The city reaches this conclusion based on all of the factors listed in section (3) of this rule listed above. Therefore, an exception to Goal 14 is justified to relieve the city from exclusively relying on its coordinated population forecast to justify the amount of residential land to be included in the designation of its first urban growth boundary.

The Core Residential Area and the New Neighborhood described as items (3)(b) 1. and 2. above, are irrevocably committed to urban levels of development because they were included in the La Pine Unincorporated Community prior to incorporation. The La Pine Unincorporated Community was an Urban Unincorporated Community designated pursuant to OAR Chapter 660, Division 22. Urban Incorporated Communities are eligible for full levels of urban residential development and full levels of urban facilities and services. Failing to include these two areas inside the city’s urban growth boundary would result in one of two inexplicable situations.

One situation would be that the lands are not included in the urban growth boundary and planned and zoned for rural uses. This would be absolutely nonsensical and would serve to unnecessarily down zone the areas from what had been available prior to incorporation. It would probably be the only time in history that lands had been down zoned due to being made part of a city’s corporate territory. This situation would also threaten to unravel all the work done in the La Pine Regional Problem Solving effort that led to Deschutes County’s purchase of lands that became designated for the New Neighborhood and make the city vulnerable to numerous Measure 49 claims.

The other situation is also nonsensical. This would essentially maintain the existing planning and zoning opportunities to develop the lands at urban residential densities and full urban services, including but not limited to both sewer and water. The result would be to have lands inside the city limits that are planned and zoned for urban residential development that reside outside the city’s urban growth boundary.

The Residential Neighborhoods described as item (3)(b) 3. above, are irrevocably committed to urban levels of development because they are developed at densities that current state policy finds unacceptably high for rural areas, they include a significant portion of the city’s population base and they are cornerstones of the community’s origins.
As identified above, the Northern Residential Area is includes an estimated 301 single-family homes on 369 lots, which represents a build out of 81.5%. Lots in the area average about 1.17-acres in size, nearly twice as small as allowed for in existing rural residential area and about one tenth the size allowed for new rural residential exception areas. Furthermore, an estimated 511 citizens reside in the area making up more than 30% of the city’s population base.

The Old Town Residential Area includes the lands originally platted as the La Pine townsit. Much of the community’s history is ingrained in the area. The town’s early leaders clearly viewed these lands as a pivotal part of the community as they platted nearly 750 lots (over 900 lots if those included in the La Pine Unincorporated Community are counted) in three subdivisions between 1910 and 1921. Had the efforts and investment of individuals like B.J. Pengra, Frank Tomes and the members of the La Pine Townsite Company resulted in an east-west rail line and a 20,000-acre irrigation project as anticipated by the Morson Project the Old Town Residential Area would no doubt be fully developed. In fact, had these projects been completed the city may have incorporated decades ago.

However, the transportation and irrigation projects promoted in the late 1800s and early 1900s were not constructed. Rather than build out, the Old town Residential area received development around its edges. This settlement pattern more than likely saved the wet meadow, which has become part of the community’s identity and an important Goal 5 feature.

Failing to include the Residential Areas in the city’s urban growth boundary would create multiple undesirable conditions. Arranging the urban growth boundary to preclude lands occupied by more than 30% of the city’s population turns the notion of urban planning on its head and would certainly challenge the city in providing services to its citizens. It would also create a situation by which lands already developed at a suburban or nonrural density would be included inside a city limits but outside an urban growth boundary. If these areas are not rural it only makes sense that they are included as urban or urbanizable lands. Environmentally and ecologically sensitive areas like the wet meadow can be best managed by through an active urbanization strategy that considers the area as a whole and is capable of protective safeguards to maintain these important community features.

(5) More detailed findings and reasons must be provided to demonstrate that land is committed to urban development than would be required if the land is currently built upon at urban densities.

Response:

The city’s residential lands are committed to urban development. Most of the city’s residential lands were planned and zoned for urban levels of residential development with urban services prior to its incorporation. Other city residential lands are physically developed at levels well beyond what current land use policy would permit on rural lands and are viewed as important community assets. This document provides a factual basis that clearly justifies why the proposed Goal 14 exception should be approved.
V. Final Conclusion.

Based on the facts and evidence included in this document and the findings and conclusions stated above an exception to Goal 14 is justified. The city of La Pine may include all of the lands located inside the city limits and designated for residential development in its urban growth boundary.

VI. List of Attachments.

A. Statewide Planning Goal 14.

B. Map of La Pine Residential Lands.

C. Old Town Residential Area Subdivision Plats.
GOAL 14: URBANIZATION

OAR 660-015-0000(14)

(Effective April 28, 2006)

To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities.

Urban Growth Boundaries

Urban growth boundaries shall be established and maintained by cities, counties and regional governments to provide land for urban development needs and to identify and separate urban and urbanizable land from rural land. Establishment and change of urban growth boundaries shall be a cooperative process among cities, counties and, where applicable, regional governments. An urban growth boundary and amendments to the boundary shall be adopted by all cities within the boundary and by the county or counties within which the boundary is located, consistent with intergovernmental agreements, except for the Metro regional urban growth boundary established pursuant to ORS chapter 268, which shall be adopted or amended by the Metropolitan Service District.

Boundary Location

The location of the urban growth boundary and changes to the boundary shall be determined by evaluating alternative boundary locations consistent with ORS 197.298 and with consideration of the following factors:

1. Efficient accommodation of identified land needs;
2. Orderly and economic provision of public facilities and services;
3. Comparative environmental, energy, economic and social consequences; and
4. Compatibility of the proposed urban uses with nearby agricultural and forest activities occurring on farm and forest land outside the UGB.

Land Need

Establishment and change of urban growth boundaries shall be based on the following:

1. Demonstrated need to accommodate long range urban population, consistent with a 20-year population forecast coordinated with affected local governments; and
2. Demonstrated need for housing, employment opportunities, livability or uses such as public facilities, streets and roads, schools, parks or open space, or any combination of the need categories in this subsection (2).

In determining need, local government may specify characteristics, such as parcel size, topography or proximity, necessary for land to be suitable for an identified need.

Prior to expanding an urban growth boundary, local governments shall demonstrate that needs cannot reasonably be accommodated on land already inside the urban growth boundary.

Establishment and change of urban growth boundaries shall be based on the following:

1. Demonstrated need to accommodate long range urban population, consistent with a 20-year population forecast coordinated with affected local governments; and
Urbanizable Land
Land within urban growth boundaries shall be considered available for urban development consistent with plans for the provision of urban facilities and services. Comprehensive plans and implementing measures shall manage the use and division of urbanizable land to maintain its potential for planned urban development until appropriate public facilities and services are available or planned.

Unincorporated Communities
In unincorporated communities outside urban growth boundaries counties may approve uses, public facilities and services more intensive than allowed on rural lands by Goal 11 and 14, either by exception to those goals, or as provided by commission rules which ensure such uses do not adversely affect agricultural and forest operations and interfere with the efficient functioning of urban growth boundaries.

Single-Family Dwellings in Exception Areas
Notwithstanding the other provisions of this goal, the commission may by rule provide that this goal does not prohibit the development and use of one single-family dwelling on a lot or parcel that:
(a) Was lawfully created;
(b) Lies outside any acknowledged urban growth boundary or unincorporated community boundary;
(c) Is within an area for which an exception to Statewide Planning Goal 3 or 4 has been acknowledged; and
(d) Is planned and zoned primarily for residential use.

Rural Industrial Development
Notwithstanding other provisions of this goal restricting urban uses on rural land, a county may authorize industrial development, and accessory uses subordinate to the industrial development, in buildings of any size and type, on certain lands outside urban growth boundaries specified in ORS 197.713 and 197.714, consistent with the requirements of those statutes and any applicable administrative rules adopted by the Commission.

GUIDELINES
A. PLANNING
1. Plans should designate sufficient amounts of urbanizable land to accommodate the need for further urban expansion, taking into account (1) the growth policy of the area; (2) the needs of the forecast population; (3) the carrying capacity of the planning area; and (4) open space and recreational needs.
   2. The size of the parcels of urbanizable land that are converted to urban land should be of adequate dimension so as to maximize the utility of the land resource and enable the logical and efficient extension of services to such parcels.
   3. Plans providing for the transition from rural to urban land use should take into consideration as to a major determinant the carrying capacity of the air, land and water resources of the planning area. The land conservation and development actions provided for by such plans should not exceed the carrying capacity of such resources.
   4. Comprehensive plans and implementing measures for land inside urban growth boundaries should encourage the efficient use of land and the development of livable communities.

B. IMPLEMENTATION
1. The type, location and phasing of public facilities and services are factors
which should be utilized to direct urban expansion.

2. The type, design, phasing and location of major public transportation facilities (i.e., all modes: air, marine, rail, mass transit, highways, bicycle and pedestrian) and improvements thereto are factors which should be utilized to support urban expansion into urbanizable areas and restrict it from rural areas.

3. Financial incentives should be provided to assist in maintaining the use and character of lands adjacent to urbanizable areas.

4. Local land use controls and ordinances should be mutually supporting, adopted and enforced to integrate the type, timing and location of public facilities and services in a manner to accommodate increased public demands as urbanizable lands become more urbanized.

5. Additional methods and devices for guiding urban land use should include but not be limited to the following: (1) tax incentives and disincentives; (2) multiple use and joint development practices; (3) fee and less-than-fee acquisition techniques; and (4) capital improvement programming.

6. Plans should provide for a detailed management program to assign respective implementation roles and responsibilities to those governmental bodies operating in the planning area and having interests in carrying out the goal.
BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED 2006 LA PINE CITY LIMITS

A PARCEL OF LAND LYING IN SECTIONS 35 AND 36 OF TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 10 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON; SECTIONS 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 AND 15 OF TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 10 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON; AND SECTION 7 OF TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 11 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), ALSO BEING COMMON TO SECTIONS TWENTY-SIX (26), TWENTY-FIVE (25), AND SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, DESCHUTES COUNTY, OREGON; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), SOUTH 89° 10' 08" EAST, 2563.61 FEET, TO THE NORTH ONE-QUARTER (1/4) CORNER BETWEEN SAID SECTIONS TWENTY-FIVE (25) AND SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTH LINE NORTH 89° 38' EAST, 2614.24 FEET, TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36); THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), SOUTH 00° 50' 58" EAST, 2638.14 FEET, TO THE EAST ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID EAST LINE SOUTH 00° 51' 21" EAST, 2636.61 FEET, TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), SAID SOUTHEAST CORNER ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SECTION ONE (1), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION ONE (1) 3280 FEET MORE OR LESS, TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION ONE (1), SAID SOUTHEAST CORNER ALSO BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SECTION SEVEN (7), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22) SOUTH, RANGE ELEVEN (11) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), NORTH 89° 31' 58" EAST, 2426.70 FEET TO THE NORTH ONE-QUARTER (1/4) CORNER OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), SOUTH 00° 00' 12" EAST, 3,980.90 FEET TO THE CENTER SOUTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER (SW1/4 SE 1/4) OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), SOUTH 89° 51' 05" EAST, 1331.78 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7); THENCE ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER QUARTER SOUTH 00° 24' 44" WEST, 1324.02 FEET TO THE EAST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTIONS SEVEN (7) AND SECTION EIGHTEEN (18), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22) SOUTH, RANGE ELEVEN (11) EAST, OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), NORTH 89° 45' 11" WEST, 3,748.42 FEET, TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION SEVEN (7), BEING THE CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION SEVEN (7) AND SAID SECTION EIGHTEEN (18), AND SECTION CORNERS TWELVE (12), AND THIRTEEN (13), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE LEAVING SAID SOUTH LINE OF SECTION SEVEN (7) AND ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION TWELVE (12), WESTERLY 3960 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE WEST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTIONS TWELVE (12) AND SECTION THIRTEEN (13); THENCE LEAVING SAID SOUTH LINE AND ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), SOUTH 00° 15' 37"
EAST, 2628.83 FEET TO THE CENTER WEST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), SOUTH 00° 15' 08" EAST, 2,636.76 FEET, TO THE WEST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13) AND SECTION TWENTY-FOUR (24), TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), SOUTH 89° 32' 39" WEST, 1,295.01 FEET TO THE SECTION CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION THIRTEEN (13), AND SECTIONS FOURTEEN (14), TWENTY-THREE (23) AND TWENTY-FOUR (24) OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION FOURTEEN (14), SOUTH 89° 47' WEST, 2,611.62 FEET, TO THE SOUTH ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION FOURTEEN; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF SECTION FOURTEEN (14), NORTH 89° 41' WEST, 2,597.76 FEET TO THE SECTION CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION FOURTEEN (14), FIFTEEN AND SECTIONS (15), TWENTY-TWO (22) AND TWENTY-THREE (23) OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15), NORTH 89° 37' 36" WEST, 1308.26 FEET TO THE EAST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER BETWEEN SAID SECTIONS FIFTEEN (15) AND TWENTY TWO (22); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15), NORTH 00° 29' 31" EAST, 1,334.85 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHEAST CENTERLINE, NORTH 00° 13' 14" EAST 1255.93 FEET TO THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SIXTH STREET AS DESCRIBED IN THAT DEED RECORDED IN VOLUME 290 PAGE 150, DESCHUTES COUNTY DEED RECORDS; THENCE LEAVING SAID SOUTHEAST CENTERLINE AND ALONG SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE 235.80 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF 1402.59 FOOT RADIUS NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE LEFT, THE LONG CHORD OF WHICH BURS NORTH 70°47'17" WEST 235.52 FEET TO THE EAST-WEST CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN; THENCE LEAVING SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE AND ALONG SAID THE EAST-WEST CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN, SOUTH 89° 41' 16" WEST, 1085.68 FEET TO THE CENTER ONE-QUARTER (1/4), CORNER OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15), NORTH 00° 14' 38" EAST 1316.31 FEET TO THE CENTER NORTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15); THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE, NORTH 00° 14' 30" EAST, 1,316.28 FEET TO THE QUARTER CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION FIFTEEN (15) AND SECTION TEN (10) TOWNSHIP TWENTY TWO SOUTH (22), RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE PLAT OF "FIRST ADDITION TO LA PINE" NORTH 01° 20' 30" WEST, 1334.85 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID "FIRST ADDITION TO LA PINE; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID "FIRST ADDITION TO LA PINE" SOUTH 88° 57' 20" EAST, 1309 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF THE SOUTH EAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 10; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTH LINE AND ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SOUTH EAST ONE-QUARTER, NORTH 00° 29' 33" WEST, 984 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF GOVERNMENT LOT 2 OF SAID SECTION 10 AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT TITLED "DEPENDENT RESURVEY, SUBDIVISION OF SECTIONS 10 AND 11, AND METES-AND-BOUNDS SURVEYS", ACCEPTED BY THE DIRECTOR, USDI, BLM, ON MAY 28, 2004 AND FILED AT THE DESCHUTES COUNTY SURVEYOR'S OFFICE AS SURVEY CS16296; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE, NORTH 00° 36' 11" WEST, 329.67 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 2; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE AND ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID
GOVERNMENT LOT 2, NORTH 89° 57' 59" EAST, 662.97 FEET, TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF GOVERNMENT LOT 1 AS SHOWN ON SAID 2004 BLM SURVEY; THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1, NORTH 01° 29' 03" WEST, 322.51 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1; THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1 SOUTH 89° 58' 25" EAST, 661.98 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1 ON THE WEST LINE OF SECTION ELEVEN (11), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22), SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE ALONG THE LINE COMMON TO SAID SECTION TEN (10) AND SECTION ELEVEN (11), NORTH 01° 39' 46" WEST, 965.53 FEET TO THE NORTH ONE SIXTEENTH (1/16) CORNER OF SAID SECTION TEN (10) AND SECTION ELEVEN (11); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER, NORTHWEST QUARTER, (SW1/4, NW1/4), OF SAID SECTION ELEVEN (11), NORTH 89° 53' 12" EAST, 1329.65 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID SECTION ELEVEN (11); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER, NORTHWEST QUARTER, (SE1/4, NW1/4), OF SAID SECTION ELEVEN (11), NORTH 89° 54' 21" EAST, 90.60 FEET TO THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD, SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE BEING 30.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE CENTERLINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SURVEYED IN THE 2001 "PLAT OF SURVEY" FILED AS SURVEY CS14655 IN THE DESCHUTES COUNTY SURVEYOR'S OFFICE; THENCE ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD NORTH 36° 26' 35" EAST, 572.89 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 564.32 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 1,402.39 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 24° 54' 55" EAST, 560.52 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 13° 23' 15" EAST, 3,010.46 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 788.51 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 11° 33' 12" EAST, 50.48 FEET), TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION TWO (2), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22), SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD, SAID RIGHT OF WAY LINE BEING 30.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE CENTERLINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SURVEYED IN THE 1977 DESCHUTES COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS SURVEY MAP ENTITLED "PENGRA-HUNTINGTON E-2" ON FILE AT THE DESCHUTES COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT, 261.76 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 778.51 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE LONG CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 00° 30' 52" EAST, 260.53 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 09° 07' 05" WEST, 699.14 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 359.44 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 2,261.38 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 04° 33' 52" WEST, 359.06 FEET), TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, (SW1/4 NE1/4), OF SECTION TWO (2), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22), SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE LEAVING SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD AND ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, (SW1/4 NE1/4), NORTH 89° 11' WEST, 250.14 FEET TO THE CENTER NORTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16) CORNER, OF SAID SECTION TWO (2); THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER, (NE1/4 NW1/4), OF SAID SECTION TWO (2), NORTH 89° 11' WEST, 1236.15' FEET TO THE NORTH WEST ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16) CORNER; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, (NW 1/4 NW1/4), OF SAID SECTION TWO, NORTH 89° 11' WEST, 1236.15' FEET TO THE NORTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER COMMON TO SAID SECTION TWO (2) AND SECTION THREE (3), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-TWO (22) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE
ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST
ONE-QUARTER, (NW 1/4 NW 1/4), NORTH 2° 16' 58" WEST, 1332.13 FEET TO THE
NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION TWO (2) AND THE CORNER COMMON SAID
SECTION THREE (3) AND SECTION THIRTY-FOUR (34) AND SECTION THIRTY FIVE (35) OF
TOWNSHIP TWENTY-ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MEROIDAN;
THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35), NORTH 2° 22' 13"
EAST, 51 FEET MORE OR LESS TO THE NORTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF BURGESS ROAD;
THENCE EASTERN ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY 2431 FEET MORE OR
LESS TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION
THIRTY FIVE (35); THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY AND ALONG
THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTERLINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35), NORTH 01° 40' 22"
EAST, 1,246.58 FEET TO THE CENTER SOUTH ONE-SIXTEENTH (1/16), CORNER OF SAID
SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35); THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST
CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35), OF SAID SECTION
THIRTY-FIVE, SOUTH 89° 21' 30" EAST, 814.69 FEET TO A POINT OF NON-TANGENCY ON
THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HUNTINGTON ROAD, SAID RIGHT OF WAY
LINE BEING 30.00 FEET WESTERLY OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE CENTERLINE OF
HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SURVEYED IN THE NOVEMBER 1971 DESCHUTES COUNTY
PUBLIC WORKS MAP ENTITLED "PORTION OF PENGRA-HUNTINGTON" ON FILE AT THE
DESCHUTES COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT; THENCE ALONG SAID WESOERLY RIGHT OF
WAY OF HUNTINGTON ROAD 656.84 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 3,849.72 FOOT RADIUS
NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 23° 41' 53"
EAST, 656.05 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 28°35'10" EAST, 156.68
FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 305.89 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 5,699.58
FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 27° 02' 55"
EAST, 305.85 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 25° 30' 40" EAST, 69.30
FEET TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE 249.57 FEET MORE OR LESS ALONG THE ARC
OF A 34,400.50 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS
NORTH 25° 43' 08" EAST, 249.57 FEET MORE OR LESS), TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE
OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER (SE 1/4 NE 1/4)
OF SAID SECTION 35; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG THE WESOERLY RIGHT OF WAY OF
HUNTINGTON ROAD AS SHOWN ON THE OFFICIAL PLAT OF "LAZY RIVER SOUTH",
NORTH 27° 10' 27" EAST, 500.80 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 403.52 FEET
ALONG THE ARC OF A 2,911.23 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF
WHICH BEARS NORTH 31° 08'42" EAST 403.19 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY; THENCE
NORTH 35° 06' 57" EAST, 108.10 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE 496.85 FEET
ALONG THE ARC OF A 5,885.85 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE CHORD OF
WHICH BEARS NORTH 31° 25' 58" EAST, 496.05 FEET), TO A POINT OF TANGENCY;
THENCE NORTH 30° 24' 17" EAST, 289.70 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE; THENCE
240.73 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 704.77 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE LEFT, (THE
CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 20° 37' 10" EAST, 239.56 FEET), TO A POINT OF
TANGENCY; THENCE NORTH 10° 50' 02" EAST, 594.05 FEET, TO A POINT OF CURVATURE;
THENCE 258.17 FEET ALONG THE ARC OF A 1,779.13 FOOT RADIUS CURVE TO THE
RIGHT, (THE CHORD OF WHICH BEARS NORTH 14° 59' 28" EAST, 257.95 FEET), TO A
POINT OF NON-TANGENCY AT THE INTERSECTION OF SAID WESOERLY RIGHT OF WAY
AND THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION THIRTY FIVE (35), OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY-
ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN; THENCE LEAVING
SAID WESOERLY RIGHT OF WAY AND ALONG SAID NORTH LINE SOUTH 89° 11' 14"
EAST, 31.63 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-FIVE (35),
SAID CORNER ALSO BEING COMMON TO SECTIONS TWENTY-SIX (26), TWENTY-FIVE
(25), AND SECTION THIRTY-SIX (36), OF TOWNSHIP TWENTY-ONE (21) SOUTH, RANGE
TEN (10), EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THIS DESCRIPTION.

NOTE: THIS DESCRIPTION IS BASED UPON RECORDED BOUNDARY SURVEYS AND AVAILABLE MAPS ON RECORD AT THE DESCHUTES COUNTY SURVEYOR'S OFFICE AND THE DESCHUTES COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT.
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Gregory Bates
Chief Cartographer
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Deschutes County Community Development Department
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