



November 30, 2015

MEMORANDUM

To: Michael Schill, University President
Randy Sullivan, University Senate President

From: Rob Thallon, Chair 2014-2015 Campus Planning Committee

Subject: 2014-2015 Campus Planning Committee Annual Report

On behalf of the Campus Planning Committee, I am sending you a copy of the 2014-2015 Campus Planning Committee's Annual Report. In the course of this past year, the Campus Planning Committee has accomplished a significant amount of work, as described in the attached report.

I would be happy to arrange a time to make a short presentation to the University Senate about the Campus Planning Committee's actions and upcoming projects.

Please contact Eleni Tsivitzki in Campus Planning, Design and Construction (6-5024) to make arrangements.

Feel free to contact me or Eleni if you have additional questions.

cc: Jennifer O'Neal, Special Collections and University Archives
Jamie Moffitt, Finance and Administration
Betina Lynn, University Senate
Christine Thompson, Campus Planning, Design and Construction
Eleni Tsivitzki, Campus Planning, Design and Construction

CAMPUS PLANNING, DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION

Campus Planning Committee Annual Report 2014-2015

Summary of Meetings and Activities

Since fall 2014, the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) and its two subcommittees – Design Review and Transportation (DRT) and Development Policy and Implementation (DPI) have held seventeen meetings and forwarded twelve recommendations to Administration. The committee reviewed numerous designs for campus development projects including the Allan Price Science Commons & Research Library Remodel & Addition Project, the Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project, the Oregon Bach Festival (OBF) Music Building Project, the New Residence Hall Project, and a number of smaller capital improvement projects. In addition, it acted on *Campus Plan* amendments to amend the maximum allowable density of east and southeast campus and reviewed the progress of the Campus Physical Framework Vision Project (FVP).

CPC members participated in the architect selection process for development projects that were initiated this past year and served on numerous project user groups. Staff educated the committee about its role in the campus development process and provided relevant background materials to enable well-informed decisions about a wide range of complex campus planning and development issues.

Members:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Department</u>	<u>E-Mail Address</u>	<u>Ext.</u>	<u>Term</u>
Rob Thallon, chair	AAA Dean's Rep	thallon@uoregon.edu	3631	ex-officio
Ryan Ahrling	Geography Student	ahrling@uoregon.edu		2014-2015
Miriam Bolton	CAS Dean's Office	mbolton@uoregon.edu	2045	2014-2017
Ihab Elzeyadi	Architecture	ihab@uoregon.edu	3670	2013-2015
Sue Eveland	VP Enrollment Mngmt Rep	seveland@uoregon.edu	3195	ex-officio
Fritz Gearhart	Music	gearhart@uoregon.edu	3886	2013-2015
Hilary Gerdes	Accessible Education	hgerdes@uoregon.edu	1063	ex-officio
Michael Griffel	VP Student Life Rep	mgriffel@uoregon.edu	2667	ex-officio
Alicia Going	American English Institute	argoing@uoregon.edu	1099	2013-2015
Michael Hahn	Human Physiology	mhahn@uoregon.edu	3554	2013-2015
George Hecht	Campus Operations	ghecht@uoregon.edu	2290	ex-officio
Sophie Jackman	Interior Architecture, Student CP Research & Innovation Rep	jackman@uoregon.edu		2014-2015 ex-officio
Ken Kato	Geography – Infographics Lab	kkato@uoregon.edu	5810	2014-2017
Peter Keyes	Architecture	pkeyes@uoregon.edu	3640	2014-2017
Graham Kribs	Physics	kribs@uoregon.edu	4853	2014-2017
Richelle Krotts	Education, Classified	richelle@uoregon.edu	3528	2013-2015
Jeff Madsen	CPDC – Energy Systems	jmadsen@uoregon.edu	2256	2014-2017
Josh McCoy	Advancement Rep	jmccoy@uoregon.edu	8687	ex-officio
Jamie Moffitt	VPFA	vpfa@uoregon.edu	3003	ex-officio
Eric Mokube	VP Equity and Inclusion Rep	emokube@uoregon.edu	3175	ex-officio
Francisco Morales-O'Connor	Spanish, Student	fmorales@uoregon.edu		2014-2015
Andrzej Proskurowski	Computer and Info Science	andrzej@uoregon.edu	1202	2014-2017
Chris Ramey	CPDC	cramey@uoregon.edu	5562	ex-officio
Shannon Sardell	Historic Preservation	ssardell@uoregon.edu	2982	2014-2017
Cathy Soutar	CAS Rep	csoutar@uoregon.edu	5567	ex-officio
Ed Teague	University Senate Rep	ehteague@uoregon.edu	1954	2013-2015

Staff:

Christine Thompson CPDC cthomp@uoregon.edu 5572

Summary of Actions Taken:

- 10/03/14 CPC Agenda: **Campus Planning Committee - Chair Election**
Action: The committee agreed unanimously to elect Rob Thallon as chair of the 2014-2015 Campus Planning Committee.
Approved: NA
Status: The chair will take on his duties at the next meeting.
- Agenda: **Campus Planning Committee Orientation**
Action: No formal action requested.
Approved: NA
Status: The committee's comments will be considered as future committee work items are identified and discussed and when future projects are reviewed.
- 10/08/14 CPC Agenda: **Campus Physical Framework Vision Project**
Action: No formal action was required for this agenda item. The committee provided initial comments.
Approved: NA
Status: The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.
- 10/24/14 DRT Agenda: **Allan Price Science Commons & Research Library Remodel & Addition Project – Schematic Design Follow Up**
Action: The Design Review & Transportation Subcommittee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the Allan Price Science Commons & Research Library Remodel & Addition Project landscaping and exterior shading devices are consistent with the *Campus Plan* and that it be approved subject to the following conditions:
 1. Reassess ways to further improve the safety of the bike path where it enters the plaza. Integrate improvements into the project to the degree feasible.
 2. Carefully consider the degree of sunscreen transparency as the design is refined. Consider ways to maximize daylighting while accommodating the need to address glare and solar heat gain.
 3. Look at ways to further deter skateboarders, especially on the east side of the freestanding planters.
 4. Ensure that the lower courtyard retaining walls are wide enough to be used as seating walls.
Approved: Moffitt
Status: The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.
- Agenda: **Jan Zach Sculpture – Siting**
Action: The subcommittee agreed- with eight in favor, one opposed, and 2 abstentions - that the proposed siting is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:

Consider moving the sculpture slightly to the west to establish its own space between PLC and Condon Halls. Be sure to account for the change in grade and maintain visual connections to the Kincaid Street entrance and the Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art.

Approved: Moffitt

Status: The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Hadzi Sculpture – Siting**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the proposed siting is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved.

Approved: Moffitt

Status: The project will move forward.

11/05/14 CPC

Agenda: **Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project – Meeting One**

Action: The committee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president the following actions related to the Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project:

- A. Approve the preferred site (including the Advisory Group provisions) with the understanding that the comments listed in the next action item will be considered as the project moves forward.
- B. Support the identified *Campus Plan* patterns and policies for the project with the understanding that the following comments will be considered as the project moves forward:
 1. Carefully consider options for service access routes that could serve future academic buildings. Service areas for future academic buildings should not be directly on University Street. Possible alternate access points (e.g., 18th Avenue) should be considered to ensure that the Softball Project does not eliminate the potential to resolve this issue.
 2. Carefully consider service access to the new softball stadium site, which is essentially landlocked. Providing service access from 18th Avenue is preferred, at least in the long term.
 3. Carefully consider the design of the east/west pedestrian connection as part of the Softball Project to ensure it successfully connects to the north/south mid-block pathway. Make every effort to develop fully this pathway as part of the project. In addition, carefully consider the design of the north/south mid-block pathway.
 4. Ensure that the project does not preclude the opportunity to refine the proposed new open space as part of the Framework Vision Project.
 5. Ensure close collaboration with the Outdoor Program throughout the design process. In particular, be sure to retain the function of the turn-around space.
 6. Make every effort to maximize the opportunity for a

- future academic building along University Street (beyond the 70-foot minimum building width requirement).
7. Pay attention to the 18th Avenue edge and make an effort to improve the university's public face.
 8. Recognize that the Howe Field gates and fence have historic significance and thoughtfully consider how they tie into the design.
 9. Thoughtfully consider how to provide bike parking, including game-day parking.
- C. Support the identified user group representation for the project with the following suggestion:
 Be sure to engage the Outdoor Program throughout the process. Consider adding a representative from the Outdoor Program to the User Group.

Approved: Moffitt and President
 Status: The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Science Project – Meeting One, Site Selection**
 Action: The committee agreed, with twelve in favor and one abstention, to recommend to the president that the preferred site for the Science Project (including the Advisory Group provisions) be approved.

Approved: Moffitt and President
 Status: The project will move forward.

11/19/14 CPC

Agenda: **Residence Hall Project – Meeting One**
 Action: The committee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president the following actions related to the Residence Hall Project:

- A. Approve the preferred site (including the Advisory Group provisions *as revised*) with the understanding that:
 1. The comments listed in the next action item will be considered as the project moves forward.
 2. The Advisory Group provisions are revised as follows:
 1. Respect and honor Native American cultural traditions by observing solar access requirements associated with the Many Nations Longhouse. Specifically:
 - a. Preserve sunlight from the winter solstice onto the roughly 28-foot diameter Expression Place ceremonial space planned due east of the Longhouse.
 - b. Ensure that the new building(s) do not cast shadow onto the ceremonial center of the planned Expression Place at any time throughout the year.
 - c. ~~Ensure that new building(s) do not cast shadow upon the designated Many Nations Longhouse open space axis.~~ *Ensure that the Many Nations Longhouse Axis is not in perpetual continual shadow. Shadows across the axis are permissible.*
 - d. Future building(s) should not extend into the Columbia Street designated open-space axis to

maintain solar access onto the planned Expression Place during the equinox.

- e. Future building service areas should not be located to face the Many Nations Longhouse.
2. Create a new east-west designated open space north of the new residence hall and adjacent to and south of the Many Nations Longhouse Axis, with the south edge of the open space aligned with the north edge of the Vivian Olum Child Development Center.
3. Establish a build-to line for the residence hall along the south side of the new designated open space as a defining edge to the open-space corridor.
4. Ensure that the residence hall building along 17th Avenue is articulated and engages the streetscape.
5. Amend the *Campus Plan* density standards to accommodate the planned residence hall building program.

Furthermore, the committee recognizes that taking this action means that they will act on proposed density amendments to the *Campus Plan* at a later meeting (refer to committee comments).

- B. Support the identified *Campus Plan* patterns and policies for the project with the understanding that the following comments will be considered as the project moves forward:
 1. Consider how the proposed residence hall development would affect the solar access of existing and future development in the surrounding area.
 2. Take the opportunity to fully consider and address universal access.
 3. Recognize the importance of addressing the street face. Carefully consider the building's articulation to help improve the streetscape.
- C. Support the identified user-group representation for the project with the following suggestion:

Take into consideration comments provided by guest Karen Logvin about effectively engaging the children's centers.

Approved: Moffitt and President
Status: The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.

11/19/14 DRT

Agenda: **Lawrence Hall Banner – Schematic Design**

Action: The Design Review & Transportation Subcommittee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the Lawrence Hall banner is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and that it be approved with the understanding that this action does not set a precedent for approval of other similar signs.

Approved: Moffitt
Status: The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.

- 12/03/14 CPC Agenda: **Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project – Check-in**
 Action: No formal action was requested.
 Approved: NA
 Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as the project moves forward.
- 12/03/14 DRT Agenda: **Duck Statue - Siting**
 Action: The subcommittee agreed--with five in favor, four opposed, and one abstention - that the proposed siting is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:
 Ensure that all pedestrians (including those using a wheelchair) are able to approach the statue.
 The subcommittee wanted to convey the sentiment behind the opposing vote. There was strong concern that the duck statue would disrupt the design of the existing landscape and adjacent buildings—that it would not align with the intentionally clean lines of the plantings and other design elements that are the foundation of this cohesive complex.
 Approved: Moffitt
 Status: The project will move forward and consider the committee’s comments.
- 1/22/15 CPC Agenda: **Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project – 2nd Check-in**
 Action: No formal action was requested.
 Approved: NA
 Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as the project moves forward.
- Agenda: **Campus Plan Density Amendment related to the Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project – Public Hearing & Action**
 Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the *Campus Plan* Density Amendment related to the Jane Sanders Stadium Project be approved.
 Approved: Moffitt
 Status: The amendment will be implemented.
- 1/26/15 CPC Agenda: **Campus Physical Framework Vision Project (FVP)- Update**
 Action: No formal action was requested.
 Approved: NA
 Status: The committee’s comments will be considered as the project moves forward.
- Agenda: **Campus Plan Density Amendments related to the New Residence Hall Project – Brief Review**
 Action: No formal action was requested.
 Approved: NA
 Status: The amendments will be considered at a future meeting.

2/06/15 CPC

Agenda:
Action:

Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project – Schematic Design

The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the Schematic Design for the Jane Sanders Stadium Project be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Carefully consider the relationship of the proposed new open space (plaza) to University Street. In particular, relocate some of the parking to open up access and views to the new open space.
2. Ensure that the new plaza is designed to work well for every day campus use, not just game day. Take into consideration the new east/west pathway and future academic development.
3. Reconsider ways to better knit the proposed building and landscape design into the overall campus character, especially through the use of compatible materials. In particular, focus on portions of the stadium that are in public view (for example, from University Street, the plaza, and 18th Avenue).
4. Look for ways to improve (or buffer from view) the rather stark character of the maintenance building's south façade and maintenance area when viewed from 18th Avenue.
5. Submit a proposed signage/branding design for committee review to ensure coordination with the *Campus Plan* policies.
6. Develop a cost estimate to complete the north/south mid-block path accessible design and carefully determine the viability of including it in the project.
7. Ensure that exterior design elements and features (e.g., lights, trash receptacles, bike racks, etc.) employ campus standard designs.
8. Ensure that the proposed bioswale within the north planting area is designed so that it does not preclude the extension of the service access route in the future.
9. Take special care to prevent sound pollution, light intrusion, and parking congestion in the adjacent South University Neighborhood Association residential neighborhood.
10. Ensure that the Outdoor Program Barn driveway realignment and relocated parking works for the Outdoor Program, in particular truck and van traffic. Work with Outdoor Program staff.

The project design team was asked to bring elements of the design related to items 1- 6 back for committee review.

Approved:
Status:

Moffitt

The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

2/13/15 CPC

Agenda:
Action:
Approved:
Status:

New Residence Hall Project – Check-in

No formal action was requested.

NA

The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.

Agenda: ***Campus Plan and Development Policy for the East Campus Area Density Amendments related to the New Residence Hall Project – Public Hearing & Action***

Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the *Campus Plan and Development Policy for the East Campus Area Density Amendments related to the New Residence Hall Project* be approved.

Approved: Moffitt

Status: The amendment will be implemented.

3/12/15 CPC

Agenda: **New Residence Hall Project – 2nd Check-in**

Action: No formal action was requested.

Approved: NA

Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration as the proposal is refined and moves forward for further review.

3/12/15 DRT

Agenda: **Outdoor Program Barn Bike Parking Shelter**

Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the Schematic Design for the Outdoor Program Barn Bike Parking Shelter be approved.

Approved: Moffitt

Status: The project will move forward.

3/12/15 CPC

Agenda: **Erb Memorial Union Expansion and Renovation Project: Revised Design Items (South Entry Renovation and Window Replacement, Amphitheater and University Street Landscape, and Bike Parking Shelter) and Digital Map Station – Schematic Design**

Action: The committee made the following recommendations to the president regarding the Erb Memorial Union Expansion and Renovation Project: Revised Design Items and Digital Map Station.

- A. The committee agreed unanimously to recommend that the Schematic Design for the South Entry Renovation and Window Replacement be approved subject to the following conditions:
1. Carefully consider ways to retain the original lower brick wall element below the glass. Lowering to the level of the exterior wing wall, rather than removing it entirely is preferable if deemed necessary to achieve desired transparency.
 2. Look into ways to better tie the landscape elements into the building design and the overall campus character. In particular, integrate brick elements, such as brick with concrete cap seating walls.
 3. Carefully assess options for adding seating walls. In particular, consider adding a seating wall along the north edge to help define the entrance and buffer views of nearby utilities.

- B. The committee agreed unanimously to recommend that the Schematic Design for the Amphitheater and University Street Landscape be approved subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. Carefully consider ways to better relate the amphitheater stair design to specific site conditions, topography, and the overall character of the amphitheater.
 - 2. Recognize that the amphitheater stairs will likely be used as seating. Look for ways to provide more space for seating as well as accommodate direct pedestrian access.
- C. The Committee agreed unanimously to recommend that the new location for the Bike Parking Shelter be approved.
- D. The committee agreed, with eleven in favor and one abstention, to recommend that the proposed content and purpose of the Digital Map Station be approved with the following conditions:
 - 1. The specific design, use, and location (see next action item E. regarding location) will come back to the committee for review after taking into consideration the committee's comments and other conditions noted below (#2-6).
 - 2. Ensure that the proposed use will be carefully monitored. It will not be used for information other than described.
 - 3. Carefully consider ways to deter vandalism.
 - 4. Ensure that the overall visual character of the digital panel is static versus dynamic – for example, static maps and/or banners versus quickly changing and/or flashing and moving objects.
 - 5. Create a cohesive design that relates to other campus landscape features, all of which establish a brand and design concept for public spaces across campus.
 - 6. Consider the option to provide users shelter from rain.
- E. The committee agreed, with six in favor, three opposed, and one abstention, to recommend that the general location of the Digital Map Station be approved subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. The specific location will come back to the committee for review after reassessing siting and orientation options and taking into consideration the committee's comments and other condition noted below (#2-4).
 - 2. Carefully consider pedestrian circulation routes to ensure that the proposed location does not block pedestrian access.
 - 3. Ensure that the site is not too close to the curb edge.
 - 4. Ensure that both sides of the station are fully accessible.

Approved: Moffitt
 Status: The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **College and Careers Building Project: Site Selection - Introduction**

Action: No formal action was requested.

Approved: NA

Status: The project will move forward.

3/17/15 CPC

Agenda: **Jane Sanders Stadium Project: Follow Up Items – Schematic Design**

Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the Schematic Design for the Jane Sanders Stadium Project Follow-up items #1-4 and #6 as well as the revised 18th Avenue service access route and modifications to the historic Howe Field gates be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Mount the historic gates in a more realistic position—where the hinge post would normally be—so that they do not look like fake shutters. This is with the understanding that the gates would be fixed in place and it may not be possible to replicate the concrete columns.
2. Ensure that the revised service route from 18th Avenue does not interfere with the proposed future academic building site.
3. Ensure that potential safety/security concerns are carefully considered for the large open eco-lawn area along University Street.
4. Remove one or more parking spaces adjacent to the north edge of the plaza if at all possible to greatly enhance plaza views in and out.
5. Reconsider the location of bike racks in the plaza. It is likely the best area for seating.
6. Taper the shape of the pedestrian paths that lead from the historic ticket booth pedestrian gates to the plaza, similar to other path shapes. A tapered shape better aligns with the actual path of travel, thereby avoiding cut-through paths.
7. Consider the future potential to extend academic uses under the plaza as the plaza design and method of construction are refined.

Approved: Moffitt

Status: The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Columbia 150 Renovation Project: Exterior Ramp – Schematic Design**

Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the Schematic Design for the Columbia 150 Renovation Project: Exterior Ramp – Option D be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Consult with Hilary Gerdes to ensure the proposed design is acceptable. Revise the proposed design or develop new designs as necessary.
2. Simplify the design of the guardrails/handrails so they relate to the existing design and materials palette of the adjacent landscape/building. Limit the use of guardrails to enhance openness, views, and pedestrian flow.
3. Carefully consider the siting of bike parking racks in the area. It is not appropriate to relocate bike parking adjacent to the building, for example in the existing landscaped area.

4. Consider opportunities to refresh and remove clutter from the main entrance and surrounding open space, recognizing that this is not part of the project scope.

Approved: Moffitt

Status: The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

4/08/15 CPC Agenda: **Campus Physical Framework Vision Project (FVP) - Workshop**
 Action: No formal action was requested.
Approved: NA
 Status: The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.

4/15/15 CPC Agenda: **Oregon Bach Festival (OBF) Music Building Addition Project - Meeting One**
 Action: The committee agreed, with fifteen in favor and one abstention, to recommend to the president the following actions related to the Oregon Bach Festival Music Building Addition Project:
 A. Support the identified user group representation for the project but encourage the addition of a student representative and a community member. In addition, including an adjacent neighbor, for example the College of Education, is suggested if it appears that proposed changes would directly affect the neighbor (e.g. parking relocation).
 B. Support the identified *Campus Plan* patterns and policies for the project with the understanding that the following comments will be considered as the project moves forward:
 1. Thoughtfully consider ways to improve the service drive so that it is more pedestrian and bike friendly.
 2. Thoughtfully consider ways to improve the safety of the 18th Avenue pedestrian crossing.
 3. Carefully consider ways to improve the cemetery edge.
 4. Carefully address how the addition fronts 18th Avenue and relates to the existing School of Music and Dance building. Take advantage of the opportunity to activate the public edge. The resulting design should ensure that it feels like a "front" not a "back".
 5. Clarify wayfinding. More clearly define entrances and how/where o access SOMD, OBF, and Beall Hall, whether they are shared or separate access points.
 6. Look into the potential to shift the service drive further east to enhance the development site and increase options to resolve pedestrian, bike, and vehicular conflicts.
 7. Pay particular attention to trees in the vicinity.
 8. Carefully consider the impacts on the existing building, in particular natural light and views.

Approved: Moffitt

Status: The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

5/14/15 CPC

Agenda:
Action:

New Residence Hall Project – Schematic Design

The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the Schematic Design for the New Residence Hall Project be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Work with Campus Planning and other campus transportation staff to confirm and refine bike access routes to and through the site.
2. Revise the design of the building's facades to create a cohesive design. Resolve and simplify disjointed design elements, including the material palette, which seem to represent a mix of different building parts, versus one cohesive design.
3. Revise the single story north face of the building to further strengthen and define this important edge.
4. Revise the single story element designs (north academic wing and recycling building) to better connect them to the overall building and landscape design. Keep in mind that the residents will have full views of the single story roofs from upper story rooms. In particular, carefully assess the recycling building design to ensure that it relates to the courtyard design. Pay particular attention to revising its west facade to make it more appealing.
5. Revise the Columbia Street (west) facade design, especially at street level, to enhance pedestrian-friendly characteristics.
6. Revise the Moss Street facade design to break down its scale and better relate to the pedestrian experience and adjacent buildings.
7. Carefully consider west courtyard safety concerns as the design is refined.
8. Reassess the design of the bike room access route through the west courtyard to look for ways to make it more bike-friendly.
9. Carefully assess proposed bike access and parking location/type (open, covered, secure) to ensure that they realistically align with anticipated user needs and use patterns.
10. Continue to explore ways to further activate the west courtyard. In particular, look for ways to take advantage of south-facing space. Consider relocating the bike parking.

Approved:

Moffitt

Status:

The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

6/15/15 CPC

Agenda:
Action:

New Residence Parking Replacement – Siting

The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the Siting for the New Residence Hall Parking Replacement be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Consider prioritizing development of the eastern-most lots, for example the Romania site, in support of the overall goal to keep traffic out of the campus core as well as to avoid safety concerns associated with the Columbia Alley, and to be economical.

2. Carefully reassess siting options in the Romania lot, taking into consideration the current needs of the Romania occupants (for example, accommodate space for staging and large truck access, neighbor concerns, and auto access). Also, consider ways to enhance views from Franklin.
3. Carefully consider access to the Columbia Alley site. In particular be sure to assess the viability of using 19th Avenue as an exit, taking into consideration safety and neighbors' concerns.
4. Look for ways to decrease traffic through the residential neighborhood as parking lot designs are developed.
5. Carefully consider safety concerns, especially for small children and families associated with the two children's centers. In particular, recognize that proposed parking and resulting traffic in Villard Alley are immediately adjacent to the Moss Street Children's Center. In addition, recognize that many children and families use the mid-block Moss Street crossing and would likely be more inclined to cross 17th Avenue to access the Columbia Alley lot.
6. Ask Parking and Transportation to consider reassessing the allocation of existing parking spaces (faculty / parent versus student use) to address concerns about lost parking near the Vivian Olum Child Development Center (refer to committee suggestions in meeting notes). Also, assess available drop-off spots.

Approved: Moffitt
 Status: The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

Agenda: **Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project: Follow-up Signage/Branding Design – Schematic Design**
 Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the building-mounted signage and the donor wall "O", which are part of the Jane Sanders Softball Stadium Project: Follow-up Signage/Branding Design be approved.

The proposed plaza alma mater inscription will come back to the committee for review at a later date.

Approved: Moffitt
 Status: The project will move forward.

8/11/15 CPC Agenda: **New Residence Hall Project – Schematic Design Revisions**
 Action: The committee agreed unanimously that the proposed New Residence Hall Project Schematic Design Revisions are consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that they be approved subject to the following condition:

- Be sure to pay attention to security concerns in the covered bike parking area.

Approved: Moffitt
 Status: The committee's conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.

- DRT Agenda: **Historic Commemoration Landscape Plaques – Schematic Design**
 Action: The committee agreed unanimously that the proposed Schematic Design for the Historic Commemoration Landscape Plaques is consistent with the *Campus Plan* and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:
1. Make plaques more readable—test options for reducing the amount of text and using upper and lower case.
 2. Explore options to integrate online content with the physical plaques.
 3. Assess the longevity of the images to ensure they age gracefully.
 4. Clarify that the intent of the landscape plaques is to highlight objects and areas of interest related to the physical development of campus similar to the content of the sample plaques provided.
- Approved: Moffitt
 Status: The committee’s conditions will be considered as the project moves forward.
-
- DRT Agenda: **Pedestrian Crosswalks - Discussion**
 Action: No formal action was requested.
 Approved: NA
 Status: The committee’s comments will be communicated to the City of Eugene.