COMPARISON OF

. -

.

RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CODES

OREGON WASHINGTON IDAHO MONTANA CALIFORNIA

ENERGY EFFICIENT INDUSTRIAL HOUSING RESEARCH PROGRAM

CENTER FOR HOUSING INNOVATION UNIVERSITY OF OREGON . .

COMPARISON OF

. .

RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CODES

OREGON WASHINGTON IDAHO MONTANA CALIFORNIA

ENERGY EFFICIENT INDUSTRIAL HOUSING RESEARCH PROGRAM

CENTER FOR HOUSING INNOVATION UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

January 1992

U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-FC03-89SF17960

3182/R92-1

.

• . -

.

•

.

AUTHORS

•

4

· . ·

.

...

Sam Pierce G.Z. Brown

3182/R92-1

3182/R92-1

,

•

a

•

• . •

Page 4

CONTENTS

.

		Page
1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 7
2.0	INTRODUCTION	11
3.0	CODE COMPLIANCE	13
3.1	Prescriptive Method	13
3.2	Performance Method	13
3.3	Energy Budget Method	14
4.0	RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CODE DATA	15
4.1	Residential Energy Code Jurisdictions	15
4.2	Residential Energy Code Summaries	20
5.0	ENERGY CODE COMPARISON	46
	BY BUILDING COMPONENT	
6.0	WATTSUN 5.1	49
	ENERGY CODE COMPLIANCE PROGRAM	
7.0	CONCLUSIONS	59
8.0	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	61
9.0	REFERENCES	61
10.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY	62
	APPENDICES	
A.1	Northwest Energy Code	
A.2	Washington State Energy Code	
A.3	Super Good Cents	
A.4	Oregon Residential Energy Code '92	
A.5	Oregon Residential Energy Code '90	
A.6	Idaho Residential Energy Code	
A.7	Montana Residential Energy Code	
A.8	Tacoma Residential Energy Code	
A.9	California Residential Energy Code	
В	Glossary and Explanation of Key Terms, Energy Cor	nservation
	Manual, California Energy Commission.	

.

1

3182/R92-1

.

• •

•

..

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this investigation is to gain an understanding of the code requirements in order to gauge the task of developing an energy code compliance tool for use by industrialized housing producers. Although this pilot study was limited to 5 states we expect it is representative of other regions of the U.S. This document reduces the various code material to a format facilitating direct comparison and analysis. Included are tabulations of code requirements by component or code issue, a tabulation of code jurisdictions and a direct comparison of the codes. All identifiable regional, state, and local codes for the Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana and California are included in this investigation with the exception of Missoula, Montana which uses the Model Energy Code.

The development of a broadly applicable computer code compliance tool is an ambitious endeavor. Issues impacting such a development include changing jurisdictional concerns, evolving code restrictiveness and complexity, variations in methodologies, variations in specific component treatments and the incorporation of innovations in building technology. These issues require a program format which is sophisticated enough to adequately address all the specifics while remaining easily adaptable to the evolution of the energy codes. In addition, the compliance tool would require a process to insure program reliability. This credibility would be essential if the computer analysis is to be accepted by the code officials in the many jurisdictions.

This investigation determines that the general approach to regulating energy consumption in buildings is consistent across the codes investigated. All have a prescriptive method for simple compliance where the proposed design must meet the prescribed heat transfer coefficient value (U) for each component. All except Montana allow for more flexibility through a performance option which is dependent on an envelope heat transfer rate (BTU / Hr F) for the whole building. This method allows for adjustments to the individual component 'U' values as long as the overall heat transfer rate for the building remains below the target. Additionally most codes offer an energy budget method which utilizes

3182/R92-1

engineering calculations and computer programs to determine an overall energy performance similar to the performance method. This is the most flexible compliance method which allows incorporation of design issues such as solar gain and thermal mass.

Further investigation into the specific requirements for the individual components reveals a more complex situation. The various codes have unique methods for evaluating the many energy consuming aspects of residential design. Below grade walls and slabs, for example, are considered as one component in the 1987 Northwest Energy Code. The Washington code however treats the below grade walls and slabs separately. In another example, some codes consider walls as a system, including windows and doors, while others consider these components separately prescribing individual thermal performance requirements. Climate considerations are also significant in the comparisons of the many codes. While Oregon has only one zone throughout the state, Washington has two, the Northwest Energy Code three, and California employs sixteen.

Another significant consideration is the maintenance required for a code compliance tool. The program must be current with respect to the many code revisions and jurisdictional changes. New technology in such areas as HVAC systems, stress skin panels, and glazing must be interpreted and incorporated in a timely manner. The necessity of prompt and thorough communication throughout the building industry increases when one considers the dynamic nature of the energy code environment. While most codes appear to be on three year revision cycles, these cycles do not run concurrently and are dependent on the legislative process of their state government. As energy concerns increase these revisions are becoming more rather than less complex. To illustrate this observation one must only compare the highly developed California energy code with some of the less developed energy codes in states which have not yet grappled with this issue. Computer based energy code compliance tools are now widely available. The <u>Wattsun</u> program, developed and maintained by the Washington State Energy Office, serves as a residential energy code compliance tool for building inspectors throughout the Northwest. In California, energy performance programs have become integral to the certification process. <u>Calpas</u> and <u>Sunday</u> are two programs frequently utilized. With this proliferation of computer applications throughout the building industry, it is a short conceptual step, but a substantial implementation step to the combination of different computer applications into one program. Particularly attractive is the inclusion of energy code compliance capability into computer aided design programs.

3182/R92-1

6

.

•

2.0 INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of computers into the building industry opens many options for the injection of energy conservation issues into the design process. One significant opportunity is the development of an energy code compliance tool which can be combined with a design program to provide information on energy and code implications of design decisions as they are being considered. The objective of this investigation is to gain an understanding of the code requirements in order to gauge the task of developing an energy code compliance tool for use by industrialized housing producers.

The regulatory methodology used throughout the Northwest and California is described in the code compliance section. All of the codes use a similar approach to regulating building design. Typically three compliance options are available: prescriptive, performance and energy budget. These methods allow various degrees of design flexibility which are accompanied by variations in the complexity of the compliance tool.

The component requirements of each code are presented in the 'Residential Energy Code Data' section. This includes a detailed tabulation allowing direct comparison of the various codes by building element. These tables provide an understanding of the variations across the codes investigated and should aid a programmer in understanding the complexities involved in modeling each code issue. Information explaining the code jurisdictions is also included in this section. Table 3.1a documents the percentage of households affected by the codes investigated, and figure 3.1a provides a geographical representation of the code jurisdictions.

An overall comparison of the codes is presented in the 'Energy Code Comparison by Building Component' section. A simple design is subjected to each of the codes and the approximate envelope heat loss (steady-state) is determined and tabulated in figure 4.0a. Table 4.0a allows a numerical comparison of the code requirements by many of the components considered in the code comparison process. Due to the broad range of this investigation a fair number of inconsistencies and confusions were encountered. While most of these were resolved, the code material and references are provided with this report to assist in further clarifications. It should be understood that while this document is a good source for comprehending the relationship of the codes and their methodology, it is not a code compliance tool. The appropriate codes for the various jurisdictions are the sole reference and authority for determining energy code compliance.

2.0 CODE COMPLIANCE

The methodology of code compliance is similar throughout the Northwest and California. It is based on meeting the code requirements through one of three methods: prescriptive, performance or energy budget. The builder must decide which compliance method is best suited for the design.

3.1 PRESCRIPTIVE METHOD

The prescriptive compliance method requires adherence to heat transfer coefficient (U) values and area restrictions applied to a relatively short list of design features. This often precludes such features such as skylights and passive solar glazing designs. In many cases more than one set of criteria are available. These are referred to as 'compliance paths.' The 1992 Oregon code will offer nine compliance paths which allow a range of residential designs including some passive solar elements and log homes.

The paths are based on cost effectiveness as well energy efficiency. Therefore the codes do not specify an overall energy consumption limit such as a BTU / Hr Sq-Ft value. Each design will have an unique energy performance dependent on its size, configuration, and in most cases, geographic location.

3.2 PERFORMANCE METHOD

The proposed design must meet an envelope heat loss value. The heat transfer coefficient (U) prescribed by the code for each component is multiplied by the component's area (A). The overall heat loss target value is determined by adding the UA values of all the design components such as walls, glazing, ceilings and doors. This target must be met by the actual envelope heat loss value of the proposed design determined by using the actual 'U' values specified in the design (see figure 4.0a and the Wattsun runs in section 5.0). This method is more flexible allowing inclusion of a broader range of design features. For example, less efficient wall systems may be permissible if more efficient glazing is specified. In addition, passive solar elements typically will receive some credit toward meeting the code.

3182/R92-1

The California energy code uses a variation of this method referred to as the point system. This calculation method is based on one of the prescriptive paths and assigns points, positive or negative, for deviation from the base path. Taking the raised floor for an example, R 30 insulation in this component receives a point value of +3, a credit for exceeding the prescriptive requirement of R 19. The use of R 19 receives a point value of 0. An uninsulated floor receives a point value of -19. These values are summed to determine if the proposed design qualifies. A positive or zero value for the building indicates compliance. By this method any components which receive a negative value must be balanced by other components with positive values. This method includes many additional considerations such as glazing issues and thermal mass. Refer to the 'Energy Conservation Manual for New Residential Buildings,' available from the California Energy Commission for more information on this compliance method.

3.3 ENERGY BUDGET METHOD

With this method the proposed design must meet an overall energy budget similar to but more involved than the prescriptive method. It allows any design whose annual energy loads are certified by engineering calculations to be less than the same building using the prescribed insulation levels. This certification typically involves the use of programs such as Wattsun (Northwest) or Calpas (California). The energy issues involved will include infiltration, mass, solar orientation and HVAC efficiencies.

California has developed a guideline for the qualification of compliance calculation methods. This is available in the 'Alternative Calculation Methods Approval Manual for the Low-Rise Residential Building Energy Efficiency Standards,' which applies to engineered calculations as well as computer programs. The Northwest states have not developed a certification method (due to a lack of demand). The preferred method is to compare the output of new methods of calculation to an accepted program such as Wattsun for verification.

4.0 **RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CODE DATA**

4.1 **RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CODE JURISDICTIONS**

This investigation considers all the energy codes enforced in California, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, and Washington with the lone exception of Missoula, Montana which is governed by the Model Energy Code (not investigated in this report). The Oregon and Washington state codes cover almost 80% of the households within the Northwest region. Their total however represents less than a third of the households governed by the California energy code. All these codes together cover about 15% of the households in the country.

In Oregon and California one code governs the entire state. However, in some cases more than one code may be used within a state. For electrically heated homes the 1991 Washington State Energy Code covers only 16% of the state while the Northwest Energy Code governs the majority. However jurisdictions using the Northwest Energy Code are expected to adopt the Washington code after the BPA incentive program expires in December 1991. In another example the Northwest Energy Code governs 30% of the electrically heated households in Idaho while the Idaho state code governs close to 70%.

The implications of this complex regulatory environment on a region-wide computer compliance tool are significant. There are a wide range of issues to be considered and more than 100 jurisdictions to track. To complicate the process, all of the codes experience revisions on independent schedules. In the past the revisions were generally implemented on three year cycles. However this is dependent on the state's legislative process. The California code was revised in 1984 then again in 1988. The Oregon code was revised in 1985, 1988, and will experience a major shift in methodology in the 1992 revision. In addition the jurisdictions continue to shift, fortunately toward a uniform state code. Seattle for example has adopted the Washington state code, and other localities are expected to follow suit.

3182/R92-1



Oregon - 'State of Oregon 1990 Edition One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code,' 1990. Washington - 'Washington State Energy Code,' Chapter 51-11 WAC.

'Northwest Energy Code': (see attached list).

Energy Code	Residential Energy Code Jurisdictions						
Jurisdictions 1 Demographics	. Area (sq-п	ni) ² %	Population	3 %	Households ⁴	%	
Wash. State	66,511	17.0%	4,462,000	49.7%	1,691,000	49.6%	
Tacoma Code			158,950	1.8%	63,400	1.8%	
Oregon Code	96,184	24.6%	2,698,000	30.0%	1,044,000	30.7%	
Idaho State Code	82412	21.1%	1,002,000	11.2%	354,000	10.4%	
NW Energy Code	43,218	11.1%	3,937,090	43.8%	1,459,387	42.8%	
Montana State	145,388	37.2%	819,000	9.1%	318,600	9.3%	
Model Energy Code			33,960	0.4%	13,600	0.4%	
Totals (NWest)	390,495	100%	8,981,000	100%	3,407,600	100%	
California	156,299		26,981,000		9,616,000		
U.S.A.	3,539,289		241,078,000		87,489,000		
 Notes: 1-These figures include entire area and population within the jurisdictions, derived from census data. They do not reflect actual structures built to the specific code. 2- Geographic area of jurisdiction. 3 Total population within jurisdiction area. 4- A household is a house, apartment or single room occupied as separate living quarters. 							

5- NW Energy Code figures are included in the Washington and Idaho data.

Table 4.1 - 1

•

e

.

٠

.

,

~

.

3182/R92-1

.

•

• .

-

•

.

JURISDICTIONS OPERATING UNDER THE NW ENERGY CODE (9/91) Electrically Heated Homes Only

WASHINGTON:

Adams Arlington Battleground **Benton County** Blaine Bremerton Bridgeport Brier Camas Cathlamet Clallam County PUD Clark County Columbia County Conconully Connell Cosmopolis Cusick Eatonville Edmonds Ephrata Everett Ferry County Fife Fircrest Franklin County Grand Coulee **Granite Falls** Grant County **Grays Harbor County**

Ione Kennewick King County Kitsap County LaCenter Lacev Lake Stevens Lincoln County Lynnwood Marysville Mason County PUD Metaline Falls Mill Creek Milton Monroe Montesano Moses Lake Mulkilteo Newport Okanogan Okanogan County Omak Orville Pateros Pend Oreille County Pierce County **Port Angeles** Port Orchard

Poulsbo Pullman Quincy Redmond Republic Richland Ridgefield **Royal City** Snohomish County Snoqualmie Soap Lake Spokane Spokane County Stanwood Sultan Tacoma Thurston County Tonasket Twisp Vancouver Warden Washougal Westport Winslow Winthrop Yacolt

IDAHO:

Bonneville County Bingham County Idaho Falls Iona Ucon Blackfoot Franklin Ammon Shelby Minidoka County Heyburn Minidoka (city) Declo Albion Rupert Burley Moscow Bonners Ferry Orofino Nez Perce Tribe Kootenai County Harrison Soda Springs Nampa Latah County Bonner County Sandpoint Priest Point Ponderay East Hope Koonenai (city) Clark Fork Dover Hope Oldtown Post Falls

Utilities using the 'Model Conservation Standards' as a utility hook-up policy: Bonners Ferry, Rupert, Burley

4.2 **RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CODE SUMMARIES**

These summaries are comprehensive tabulations of the prescriptive and performance compliance requirements of the California and Northwest region codes. It is intended to aid in the comparison of these codes to facilitate the development of a computer compliance program. This material also clarifies much of the documentation and provides easier reference for specific building components and issues. The summaries organize the code requirements by energy code issue, glazing, skylight, infiltration, etc. This provides an understanding of the range of issues involved in a code compliance tool applicable to all of these codes.

The information in these tables is organized under four headings: 'Codes,' 'Information,' 'Variable,' and 'Notes.' The first column, 'Codes,' lists the references for each issue within each code. The 'Information' column offers brief descriptions of the requirements for each of the components. While an general understanding can be drawn from this information, the actual code, referenced in the first column, must be consulted for a complete explanation. The third column, 'Variable,' is intended to provide the programmer with a feel for the variables required to begin modeling that component. The 'notes' provide further clarification of the issues involved for each component under each code.

The overall code compliance methodology as described under section 2.0 is consistent with all the codes except Montana, which uses only the prescriptive method, and California, which uses the point system for the performance method. However the treatment of the individual components varies significantly. Below grade walls, for example, experience a broad range of treatment. The Super Good Cents criteria bases performance on wall depth, while the 1987 Northwest Energy Code uses a value based solely on the perimeter length. Other codes treat below grade wall as above grade wall or make no reference to this component at all. Similarly, variations are illustrated with the overall wall 'U' values required for the Oregon '88 and Tacoma '87 codes and the different treatments of vaulted ceilings and skylights. The skylights may be modeled as ceiling area in the target house (making compliance more difficult), or as glazing area, as with the Northwest Energy Code and Washington state code. The 1992

3182/R92-1

Oregon code is unique in assigning a specific 'U' value for skylights.

Other issues which experience extreme variation in treatment are climate zones and compliance paths. Many codes provide a number of compliance options allowing limited flexibility in design (passive solar features, log construction etc.). The 1992 version of the Oregon code offers nine. Others have fewer or in some cases only one set of prescriptive requirements. Climate zones further complicate the process. The number of climate zones varies from one in Oregon, to sixteen in California.

In summary, while the general approach is similar, the specific requirements vary significantly between the codes. A code compliance tool would be required to accommodate all these complexities. While including the various requirements it must remain flexible enough to allow the updates required by the periodic code revisions.

Residential Energy Code Summary

Definition of Terms

Ceiling:	Requirements are dependent on the type of ceiling, flat or vaulted.
Compliance	Component thermal performance requirements are
Paths:	listed for the prescriptive compliance method.
	Frequently more than one prescriptive path is provided allowing more flexibility in design.
Climate	Frequently the design requirements depend on the
Zones:	climate of the building location. These are based on the Heating Degree Days (HDD) of the location.
Design	The setpoint temperatures are provided for heating
Temperatures:	and cooling seasons.
Doors:	This component is sometimes included in the wall requirements. Distinctions may be made between the

main door and other entries.

Ductwork:	Issues involve duct routing and duct insulation requirements.
Floors:	Floors are dependent on the type of space below. Slab on grade floors are treated differently than floors over a crawlspace.
Glazing:	Typically this component is assigned a maximum glazing area as a percentage of the floor area in addition to a heat transfer coefficient value.
Heating	The efficiencies are specified with several codes. In one
Equipment	case the efficiency determines the insulation requirements.
Infiltration:	This consideration is dependent on the type of construction and is independent of the ventilation requirements.
Internal gain:	The internal gain values for occupants, lights and equipment are provided for use in the energy budget compliance method.
Lighting:	Lighting requirements are not a part of the residential energy codes.
Slab	The requirement specifies a 'R' value of the slab edge
Below Grade:	insulation or an 'F' value for the perimeter heat loss per foot. It is often dependent on basement depth and may be considered with the below grade wall.
Slab-On Grade:	Similar to Slab-Below Grade.

-

.

-

Skylight:	The performance method target typically does not specify a value for skylights. Some codes consider the skylight area as ceiling area for the target determination. Other codes treat the skylight area as glazing with corresponding 'U' values and area restrictions.
Solar Access:	This consideration addresses the requirements for incorporation of passive solar features. These include minimum access to solar exposure, percentages of south glazing, and treatment of solar glazing areas.
Thermal Mass:	Thermal mass may be a consideration with the codes which allow passive solar design options.
Ventilation:	Typically there are two methods for applying ventilation requirements, room and whole house. Values are provided in cubic feet per minute and air changes per hour.
Walls Above Grade:	Wall insulation requirements specifying either 'R' or 'U' values are specified by the energy code. Some codes include the doors and windows in the overall heat transfer calculation of the wall system.
Walls Below Grade:	This component dependent on several issues and methodologies. Typically a 'U' value based on basement depth is specified. In one case a perimeter heat transfer coefficient (F) is specified for both the slab and wall. This term is multiplied by the perimeter length (ft) to determine the heat loss for that component.
Water heaters:	Some codes specify the required equipment efficiencies. California includes the water heating energy budget in the performance method target calculation.

3182/R92-1

.

Page 23

CEILINGS U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft- F				
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1	Prescriptive: Tables 6.1-3; R38, 49A; Flat (All Zones) R30; Vaulted (All Zones) Performance: U = 0.021, 0.032 No differentiation betwn flat and vltd	Location, Option, U, A, R, Flat / Vltd		
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4 Table 5.1	Presc: Table 6.1-6.4: R = 30, 38, 38A, 49A, 60A Perf: Table 5-1: U = 0.031, 0.034, 0.036	Location, Option, Fuel, Log Home, Flat / Vltd, U, A, R		
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Presc: SGC pg 6.1 SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS): R38, R49A Perf: Table Pg 1.30 U =0.020, 0.027, 0.031	Location, Flat / Vaulted, U, A, R		
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Presc: Table 53-P Perf: R38 Flat R30 Vaulted	Option, R, Flat/Vaulted, U, A		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303	Presc / Perf High Eff Htg Sys: R30 (Flat) R19 (Vaulted) Other Htg Sys: R38 (Flat) R19 (Vaulted)	U, A, R, Flat / Vaulted, Htg System		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc. / Perf: R38, Flat R30, Vaulted	R, Flat / Vaulted		
MONTANA '90 Administrative Code of Montana, 8.70.104	Prescriptive only: R38	R		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600, Table 6-1 Sec 403, Table 4-2	Presc: R30, 38 Perf: U = 0.026, 0.035 (overall including skylight)	Hing System, R, U, A		
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Table 2-53 Z1-16	Presc: R19 - 38 Perf: R30 - 38 No reference to vaulted certings	R, U, A		

,

.

COMPLIANCE PATHS U = BTU/Hr-Sq.Ft-F				
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3	Prescriptive: 4 Paths Performance: 1 Path	Insulation, Passive solar, Ht pump	Energy budget method allowed	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4	Presc: 8 Paths Perf: 1 Path	Htg fuel, Glzg area, Log home	Energy budget method allowed	
<u>S.G. CENTS '91</u> 1991 SGC Specs 1.2, 6.1	Presc/Perf: 1 Path		Energy budget method allowed	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code	Presc: 9 Paths Perf: 1 Path, Based on Prescriptive path 1	Passive solar design, Log home	Energy budget method allowed	
ORE '88	Presc / Perf: 1 Path		Energy	
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code			budget method allowed	
IDAHO '90	Presc / Perf: 1 Path		Energy	
IRES Builders' Guide			budget method <u>not</u> allowed	
MONTANA '90	Presc / Perf: 1 Path		Energy	
Administrative Rules of Montana 8.70.104			budget method <u>not</u> allowed	
TACOMA '87	Presc: 4 Paths	Heating	Energy	
Tacoma Energy Code Sec 403, Table 4.1	Perf: 3 Paths	system	budget method allowed	
CALIFORNIA '88	Presc: 5 Paths		Performance	
Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16 Sec 5351.(b).2	Perf: 2 Paths (Energy budget method allowed)		paths are based on presc. paths D and E	

¢

.

.

	CLIMATE ZONES	U = BTU / Hr-	Sq.Ft- F
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, SGC RCR Manual Sec 1, Pg 1	Prescriptive/Performance: 3 Climate Zones based on HDD Zone 1: <6000 HDD Zone 2: 6000 - 8000 HDD Zone 3: > 8000 HDD	Location	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4.	Presc / Perf: 2 Climate Zones defined by county based on HDD	Location	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC RCR Sec 1, Pg 1	Presc / Perf: 3 Climate Zones Zone 1: < 6000 HDD Zone 2: 6000 - 8000 HDD Zone 3: > 8000 HDD	Location	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code	Presc / Perf: No Climate Zones	-	
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code,	Presc / Perf: No Climate Zones		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc. / Perf: No Climate Zones		
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana 8.70.104	Presc. / Perf: No Climate Zones		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code	Presc. / Perf: No Climate Zones		
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Fig 2.53G	Presc / Perf: 16 Climate Zones	Location	

.....

RESIDENTIAL	ENERGY	CODE	SUMMARY
-------------	--------	------	---------

•

ı,

• • •	U = BTU/Hr-	Sq.Ft- F	
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Sec 402.6.3	Prescriptive/Performance: NA Energy Budget: Heating: 65 F Cooling: 78 F		
WASH '91 WAC 53-11, Sec 402.1.3	Prescriptive/Performance: NA Energy Budget: Heating: 65 F Cooling: 78 F		
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Spec. Sec 1.2 RCR Manual Pg 1.30	Prescriptive / Performance: NA Energy Budget: Heating: 65 F Cooling: 78 F		
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Oregon Dept of Energy	Prescriptive / Performance: NA Energy Budget: Standard Enegineering Practice		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code	No Reference		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	No Referrice		·······
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	NoReference		<u>, </u>
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 602(d) Table 4-16	Prescriptive / Performance: NA Energy Budget: Heating: 70 F Cooling: 78 F		
CALIFORNIA'88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Sec 2-5303(a)	Prescriptive / Performance: NA Energy Budget: Heating: 70 F Cooling: 78 F		

•

.

1

	-	DOORS	U = BTU / Hr-S	q.Ft- F
CODE		INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87	Presc:	U =0.190, 0.22	Option, U,	
NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1	Perf:	U = 0.190 (All Zones)	Α	
WASH '91	Presc:	Tables 6.1-6.5:	Location,	
WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.5 Table 5.1	Perf:	U = 0.20, 0.40, 0.14 Table 5-1: U = 0.20, 0.40	Option, Fuel, Log home, U, A	
S.G. CENTS '91	Presc:	SGC pg 6.1	Location,	
1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Pg 6.1 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Perf:	SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS): U =0.19 U =0.19 (All Zones)	U, A	
ORE '92	Presc:	Table 53-P: $U = 0.20, 0.54$	Option,	
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Perf:	Table 53-P, Path 1; U = 0.54; $A = 24$ sq-ft max (Main Entry) U = 0.20(Other Entries)	Main Door, Other Entry, Log Home U, A	
ORE '88	Presc:	U = 0.54 (All Sys)	U wall,	
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303.C.Exception 2	Perf:	U _O = (UA _W)+(UA _D)+(UA _G) / A _O U _G =< 0.171	U door, U glazing, A _W A _D , A _G	
IDAHO '90	Presc. /	/Perf: U = 0.22	U, A	
IRES Builders' Guide				
MONTANA '90	Prescri	ptive only: R2	R	
Administrative Rules of Montana 8.70.104				
TACOMA '87	Presc:	R5.2.5	Heating	
Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600, Table 6.1 Sec 427, Eq 2	Pref:	See 'Wall- Above Grade'	System, R	
CALIFORNIA '88	Presc /	Perf:	U, A	
Building Energy Efficiency Standards Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16		See 'Walls - Above Grade'		

.

.

·	DUCTWORK	U = BTU / Hr-	Sq.Ft- F
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Sec 503.9.1	Prescriptive/Performance: R11- in conditioned space	R, Duct Location	
WASH '91 WAC 53-11, Sec 503.9 Table 5-11	Presc. / Perf: R-8: Min Insulation	R	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Spec. Sec 1.2 RCR Manual Pg 1.30	Presc. / Perf: R-11	R	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Code Pamphlet # 16	Presc. / Perf: R-8		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-K Pg 248.U	Table 53.K	R, Location, Htg Sys	
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	No Reference		
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	No Reference		
TACOMA '87 TacomaEnergy Code Sec 602(d) Table 4-16	Presc / Perf: R5,7 (Table 4-16)	R, Duct Location	
CALIFORNIA'88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards	Presc / Perf: No Reference		

.

.

.

FLOORS		U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft- F	
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1; Pg 59	Prescriptive: R 19, 30 Performance: U = 0.029 (All Zones)	Location, Option, R, U, A	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4 Table 5.1	Presc: Tables 6.1-6.4; R 19, 25, 30 Perf: Table 5-1; U = 0.029, 0.041	Location, Option, Fuel, Log Home, R, U, A	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Sec 6.1 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Presc: SGC pg 6.1 SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS): U = 0.029 Perf: U = 0.029 (All Zones)	Location, U, A, R	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Presc: R21, 25, 30 Perf: R25	Path, R, U, A	
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303.C.Exception	Presc/Perf: R19; See Sec 5303.C.Excep.	R, U, A	
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc /Perf: R19	R, U, A	
MONTANA '90 Adminstrative Rules of Montana 8.70.104	Prescriptive only: R19	R	
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600, Table 6-1 Sec 403, Table 4-2	Presc: R19, 30 Perf: U = 0.034, 0.055 (exposed) U=0.05, 0.055 (enclosed)	Htg Sys, exposed/ enclosed, U, A, R	
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Presc: R11, 19 Perf: R19	R, U, A, Location, Option	

.

6

· .	GLAZING	U = BTU / Hr-S	Sq.Ft- F
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1	Presc: Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3; U =0.40, 0.50, 0.60 Limit on % Glz w.r.t. Floor Area Solar Gain Credit Perf: U =0.39 (All Zones)	Location, Option, A glz, A flr	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11	Presc: Tables 6.1-6.4 Perf: Table 5-1:	Location, Option, Fuel,	
Tables 6.1-6.4 Table 5.1	U = 0.400-0.650 A = .15 A (floor)	U, A glz, A floor	
S.G. CENTS '91	Presc: SGC pg 6.1	Location,	
1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Sec 6.1 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Perf: $U = 0.39$ A = .15 A(Floor) (All Zones)	U, A glz, A floor	
ORE '92	Presc: U = 0.40, 0.50, 0.60	Option, U,	
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Perf: $U = 0.40$ A = .15 A (Floor)	A glz, A floor	
ORE '88	Presc / Perf	U, A glz,	
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Pg 248 J, K Eq 53-1	If A <=.17 A (floor) then U =0.65 If A > .17 A (floor) then Use Eq 53-1 $U_0 = (UAw) + (UA_D) + (UA_G) / Ao$ $U_0 = < 0.171$	A floor, Aw, A _D , A _G	
IDAHO '90	Presc. / Perf:	U, A glz,	
IRES Builders' Guide	U =.65 A < .17 A (Floor)	A floor	
MONTANA '90	Prescriptive only: Double Glazed required		
Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	• • • • • •		
TACOMA '87	Presc: U = 0.40, 0.60, 0.75, 0.90	U, A floor,	See Skylight
TacomaEnergy.Code Sec 600, Table 6.1 Sed 427, Eq 2	Min. Layers: Double Max Area = 15-21% of Floor Area Perf: See 'Wall - Above Grade'	Htg Sys	criteria
CALIFORNIA '88	Presc: U =1.10, 0.65	U, A wall,	Restrictions:
Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Perf: U =0.65	A glz (south), Shading	Max area, Max non South Min South, Shading

.

.

HEATING EQUIPMENT U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft-F			
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N WENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Sec 503.4 Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3	Prescriptive: HT Pump min HSPF = 6.8 (Option 3) Performance: See Sec 503.4.2 Energy Budget: HSPF= 6.8	HSPF	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Sec 503.4 Sec 402.1.3	Presc / Perf: See Sec 503.4 Gas Heat: AFUE = 0.78 Minimum Heat Pump: HSPF = 6.80 (See Table 5.7) Energy Budget: AFUE = 0.78	AFUE HSPF	Heating Sys tradeoffs allowed.
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC RCR Manual, Specs, Sec 3.1	Presc / Perf: (Must be electric) Ht Pumps w/capacity > 1.3 Tons: HSPF>= 6.8 BTU Hr/Watt Ht Pumps w/capacity =< 1.3 Tons: HSPF >= 6.0 BTU Hr / Watt COP >= 3.0	HSPF COP	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Oregon Dept of Energy	Presc. / Perf. / Energy Budget Ht Pumps: HSPF =6.8 Gas: AFUE = 78%		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303	Presc / Perf Heating equipment determines requirements, see "exceptions" under Sec 5303		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	No Reference		
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	No Reference		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code	Presc / Perf: Efficiency of system determines compliance path required.		
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Presc: Gas 71% Ht Pump: See Sec 2-53Z1-16 Perf: Gas 72% Ht Pump: ACOP = 2.5 See Sec 253Z1-16	АСОР	

	INFILTRATION	U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft- F	
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Sec 603 Table 5.1; Pg 59	Presc: Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3: ACH = .35 Perf: ACH = 0.35 Standard Framing Q = (ACH) (Vol) (Cp) (D) = UA Cp = Spec Heat, D=Density	Location, Adv / Std Frmg, ACH, Vol, Cp, D, Option	
WASH '91	Presc / Pref: Construction Specification		
WAC 51-11 Sec 605.3 Sec 502.4			
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCRPg 1.29 See 1991 Sgc Spec Sec 2.3.1	Presc: SGC pg 6.1 SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS) Perf: ACH = 0.35 Standard Framing ACH = 0.20 Advance Q/ T= UA= (ACH)(Vol)(C)	ACH, Vol, C, Framing	C=0.018, 0.0168, 0.0162; See RCR Pg1.29
ORE '92	Presc / Perf:		
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Air Leakage: Windows: 0.37 CFM/ Ft of crack Swinging Doors: 0.37 CFM/Sq-Ft Door Sliding Doors: 0.37 CFM/Sq-Ft Door		
ORE '88	Presc / Perf:	CFM, A ,L	
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303.e.1	Air Leakage: Windows: 0.37 CFM/Ft of crack Swinging Doors: 0.37 CFM/Sq-Ft Door Sliding Doors: 0.37 CFM/Sq-Ft Door		
IDAHO '90	No Reference		
IRES Builders' Guide			
MONTANA '90	No Reference		
Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104			
TACOMA '87	Presc: No Reference		
Tacoma Energy Code Sec 405	Perf: Construction specifications Sec 405		
CALIFORNIA '88	Presc / Perf:		
Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Continuous Barrier Air to Air Ht Exchanger See Table 2-53Z1-16		

.

INTERNAL GAIN		U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft- F	
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Sec 402.6.3	Prescriptive/Performance: None, See Sec 601.1 Energy Budget: Internal Gain = 3000 BTU/Hr (Lights, Appliances, Occupants)	Q	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Sec 402.1.3.	Presc: N/A Perf: No Reference Energy Budget: 3000 BTU / Hr	Q	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Design Qualification Pg 3.29	Presc / Perf: None Energy Budget: Internal Gain = 3000 BTU / Hr	Q	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code,	Presc / Perf: None Energy Budget: Standard Engineering Practice		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code	NoReference		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	No Reference		
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	No Reference		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code	Presc / Perf: No Reference		
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z:1-16	NoReference		

•

•
• • •	LIGHTING	U = BTU / Hr	-Sq.Ft- F	
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87	Presc. / Perf:			
NWEC Sec 505.3	R3 Occupancies Exempt			
WASH '91				
WAC 51-11 Sec 608, Sec 505	Presc. / Perf: R3 Occupancies Exempt			
S.G. CENTS '91	Presc. / Perf:			
SGC RCR Sec 6.1	R3Occupancies Exempt			
ORE '92	Press / Perf:		Restrictions	
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Code pamphlet #13.	R3 Occupancies Exempt		on recessed lighting fixtures.	
ORE '88	Presc. / Perf:	·		
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code	R3 Occupancies Exempt			
IDAHO '90	NoReference			
IRES Builders' Guide				
MONTANA '90	N- D-framer			
Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	NOREIEICE			
TACOMA '87	Presc / Perf:			
Tacoma Energy Code Sec 426 Sec 604	Residential Buildings (R- 3) Exempt			
CALIFORNIA'88	No Requirements			
Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Sec 2-5319(i)Exception 1				

-	SLAB - BELOW GRADE	U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft- F		
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1	Prescriptive: Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3; R10, (AllZones) Performance: See 'Walls - Below Grade'	Location, Option, R, F, P		
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4 Table 5.1	Presc: Tables 6.1-6.4: R10, 12 (see note: table6.1-4) Perf: Table 5-1; F = 0.57, 0.64, 0.69	Location, R, Option, Fuel, Log Home, F, P, Wall Dept		
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Sec 6.1 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Presc: SGC pg 6.1 SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS) Perf: 2': F = 0.61 (All Zones) 3.5': F = 0.57 " 7': F = 0.43 "	Location, A, F, P, Insul depth,	2', 3.5', 7' Refer to the wall depth	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Presc / Perf: R15 (All Paths)	Path, R, P, F		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303.C4 Table 53A	Presc / Perf: No Reference	R, P, F		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc. / Perf: No Reference			
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	Prescriptive only: R6	R		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600, Table 6-1 Sec 403	Presc / Perf: No Reference			
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Presc / Perf: R 7 (All Zones and Options)	Location, Option, R, F, P		

		SKYLIGHT	U = BTU / Hr-	Sq.Ft- F	
CODE		INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Sec 602.8.2.Exception 2 Sec 502.2, Sec 201.1 Defin. Table 5.1	Presc: Perf:	No restriction provided area is doubled and added to total glzg area for compliance with max glzg area restriction. U = 0.39	U, A glz, A skylt	See Glzg Definition Sec 201.1	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Sec 602.7, 201.1 Tables 6.14 Table 5-1	Presc: Perf:	Tables 6.1-6.4: (Glazing) Table 5-1: U = 0.400, 0.600, 0.650	Location, Option, Fuel, U, A	Uses glzng values	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Implimentation Guidelines Pg 1.27 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Presc: Perf:	SGC pg 6.1 SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS) Area of Skylight =0 in reference house.	Location, U, A	No skylight in reference house.	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Tables 53-P and O	Presc: Perf:	U = 0.50 (All Options) A =< 0.02 A (Floor) U = 0.50 (All Options)	Option, U, A flr, A skylt		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5302, 5303.3, OREEP	Presc / I	Perf: U = 0.65 (Glzg value) If A (Glzg) > .17 A (Floor) -See Eq 53-1	U, A glz, A flr	See Glzg Definition Sec 5302	
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc /]	Perf: Same as Glazing			
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	No Refe	erence			
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 601 (b) Sec 407, Eq 3	Presc: Presc:	Area of skylight to be doubled for compliance with glzg area limitation. Table 6.1 (glz) U =0.40, 0.60, 0.75, 0.90 See 'Ceiling'	U, A Htg Sys	Uses glzng values	
CALIFORNIA'88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Sec 2-5352(f)2.C	Conside	ered as glazing included in area calc.	U, A		

.

•

e

	SLAB - ON GRADE	U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft-F F = BTU / Hr-Ft-F		
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1	Presc: Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3; R10, (All Zones) Perf: Slab: F = .455 (All Zones)	Location, Option, R, F, P		
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4 Table 5.1	Presc: Tables 6.1-6.4: R10 (Slab and Edge) Perf: Table 5-1; R =10 (prescribed) F = 0.54	Location, Option, Fuel, F, P, R		
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Sec 6.1 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Presc: SGC pg 6.1 SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS): F =0.54 (R10) Perf: F =0.540 (All Zones)	Location, F, P, R, Insul depth		
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Presc / Perf: Edge: R15 (AllPaths)	Path, R, F, P		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303.C4 Table 53A	Presc / Perf: Table 53A	Location, R		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc / Perf: R10; F =0.54	R, F	IRES Table p.3 R0: F = .73 R5: F = .58 R10: F = .54	
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	Prescriptive only: R6	R		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600, Table 6.1 Sec 403, Table 4.1	Presc: R8.10 Perf: R10, 8 (unheated) R12, 8 (heated)	Htg Sys, R, P, Heated/ Unheated		
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Presc / Perf: R7 (All Zones and Options)	Location, Option, R, F, P		

,

~

,

	SOLAR ACCESS	U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft- F		
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Table 6.1, 6.2, 6.3	Prescriptive: Option 3: Min 18% South Glzng Min Solar Glzng Performance: No Reference	A floor, A glz (South), Option		
WASH '91 WAC 51-11	NoReference		Wattsun reflects a benefit for Solar orientation.	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.5	See Solar Access: Sec 1.5: If passive solar design access to a min of 80% direct solar exposure is required btwn 9am and 3pm.			
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Presc: Table 53-P 4 "Sun Tempered" paths, 50% Glazing Area on South Elev. Perf: No Reference	Option, A floor, A glz (South)		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code	NoReference			
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	NoRefernce			
MONTANA '90				
Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	No Reference			
TACOMA '87	Presc: No Reference			
Tacoma Energy Code Sec 402(d)	Perf: Glazing areas which meet passive solar criteria are not included in the gross wall area.			
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z:1-16 Sec 2-5351(f).3.	Presc: Table 2-53Z1-16 Sec 2-5351(f).3. Perf: No Reference	A (Floor) A glz (South)	Restrictions: Max area, Max non South Min South Shading	

.

	THERMAL MASS	U = BTU / Hr-	Sq.Ft- F
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Code: Sec 602.8.4. Code: Ch 5	Presc: Heat Storage Cap =10 BTU / Hr-F per Sq Ft of conditioned floor Space (Option 3 only) Perf: No Reference Energy Budget: Standard Engineering Practice	Location, Option, D, V, SH,HS	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Sec 602.1 Sec 502.1.1 Sec 402.1.3	Presc / Perf: No Reference Energy Budget: Standard Engineering Practice		
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Plan Review Pg 2.6	Presc / Perf: SGC pg 6.1 Energy Budget: Standard Engineering Practice		
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code,	Presc / Perf: No Reference Energy Budget: Standard Engineering Practice		
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code	Presc / Perf: No Reference Energy Budget: Standard Engineering Practice		
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	No Reference		
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	No Reference		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600 Sec 402(d)6	Presc: No Reference Perf: See Sec 402(d)6 for Passive Solar design requirements Energy Budget: Standard Engineering Practice		
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Presc / Perf: See Tables 2-53Z1-16 Sec 2-5351(f).4		

6

• .	VENTILATION	U = BTU / Hr-Sq.Ft- F		
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES	
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Sec 604 Sec 502.1.8 Sec 502.4.3.6	Prescriptive: Sec 601.1, Reference Sec 5.2 of RS 22 Performance: (Exemption 502.4.3.6) Whole House: 10 CFM + 10CFM/BR Bath: 50 CFM: Kitchen: 100CFM	CFM Rooms (number/type)		
WASH '91	GeneralRequirements: Specific room - See Table 3 - 1	CFM		
WAC 51-11-13-304.1	Whole house - See Table 3-2 2 bedrms = 50 cfm min 3 bedrms = 80 cfm min 4 bedrms = 100 cfm min	Rooms (number/type)		
S.G. CENTS '91	General Requirements: SGC Spec 4.3	CFM		
1991 SGC Specs, Sec 4.3	Standard Leakage Control: 10CFM+10CFM/BDRM Advanced: 15CFM+ 30/MBDRM + 15/BR	Rooms (number/type)		
ORE '92	No Reference in Table 53-P. See the CARO '92 text			
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code,				
ORE '88	General Requirements:	Windows,		
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Section R-203	Operable windows or 2 ACH for Habitable rooms 5 ACH for Bathrooms	ACH		
IDAHO '90	No Reference in energy code			
IRES Builders' Guide				
MONTANA '90	No Reference in energy code			
Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104				
TACOMA '87	Presc / Perf	CFM		
Tacoma Energy Code Sec 305 Table 3-1	Bedrooms 10 CFM All Other 10 CFM Kitchen 100 CFM Bath 50 CFM	Rooms (number/type)		
CALIFORNIA '88	Presc / Perf: 0.7 ACH	АСН		
Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16				

.

	WALLS - ABOVE GRADE	U = BTU / Hr-	Sq.Ft- F
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1	Prescriptive: Tables 6.1-6.3; R-19, 19A, 24, 24A, 26A, 30A Performance: Zone 1: U =0.057 Zone 2: U =0.043 Zone 3: U =0.040	Location, Option, U, A, R, Framing (Adv / Stnd)	
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4 Table 5.1	Presc: Tables 6.1-6.4: Perf: Table 5-1: U = 0.044 - 0.062	Location, Option, Fuel, U, A	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Sec 6.1 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Presc: SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS): R19, 24A, 26A Perf: Zone 1: U = 0.058 Zone 2: U = 0.044 Zone 3: U = 0.040	Location, U, A	
ORE '92 CABOOne and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Presc: Table 53-P; R15, 21, 24 Perf: R21; R18.5 w/Advanced construction or exterior rigid insulation.	Option, U, A, R, Adv Const, Rigid Insul	
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303.C. Exception Sec 5303.C.1	Presc/Perf: R11 High Eff. Htg Sys. R19 Other Htg Sys. $U_0 = (UA_W)+(UA_D)+(UA_G) / A_0:$ $U_0 = < 0.171$	AFUE, COP U wall, U door, U glazing, A _W A _D , A _G , R	
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc. / Perf: R19	R	
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	Prescriptive only: R19	R	
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600, Table 6-1 Sec 403, Table 4-2	Presc: R19 Perf: $U_0 = (\text{entire assembly}):$ $U_0 = 0.10, 0.18, 0.20; \text{ See Table 4.2}$ $U_0 = (UA_v) + (UA_D) + (UA_G) / A_0$	R, U wall, U door, U glazing, A _W A _D A _G , Htg Sys	
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Tables 2-53 Z: 1-16	Presc: R11, R19 (Entire Wall Assembly) Plus Provisions for Thermal Mass Perf: R11, R19 Entire Wall Assembly	Location, Option, U, A, Thermal Mass	

	WALLS - BELOW GRADE	U = BTU / Hr	-Sq.Ft- F
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Tables 6.1,6.2, 6.3 Table 5.1; Pg 59 Sec 502.2	Prescriptive: Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3; R10, 12, 15, 19 Performance: Slab: F = .750 for slab and wall combined (All Zones).	Location, Option, R, U, A	
WASH'91 WAC 51-11 Tables 6.1-6.4 Table 5.1	Presc: Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4: Exterior R10, 12 Interior: R15, 19, 21 Perf: Table 5-1; Exterior: U=0.05-0.07; F=0.42-0.60 Interior: U=0.037-0.043; F=0.57-0.69	Location, Option, Fuel, Log Home, R, U, A, F, P, Wall Depth	
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 1.2 SGC RCR Sec 6.1 SGC RCR Pg 1.30	Presc: SGC pg 6.1 SCG Spec 1.2 (MCS) Perf: 2': U = 0.045; F = 0.61 (All Zones) 3.5': U = 0.042; F = 0.57 7': U = 0.038; F = 0.43	Location, U, A, F, P, Wall Depth	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Table 53-P	Presc: R21 (All Paths) Perf: R21	Path, R, U, A	
ORE '88 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5303 Pg 248.J &K	Presc: R11 (All Zones) Perf: R11 (All Zones)	R	Not included in prescriptive UA target calc.
IDAHO '90 IRES Builders' Guide	Presc / Perf: R10	R, U, A	
MONTANA '90 Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104	No Reference		
TACOMA '87 Tacoma Energy Code Sec 600, Table 6.1 Sec 403	Presc / Perf: No Reference		
CALIFORNIA '88 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Calif. Hotline	Same as 'Above Grade'		

	WATER HEATING	U = BTU / Hr-	Sq.Ft- F
CODE	INFORMATION	VARIABLE	NOTES
N W ENRGY CODE '87 NWEC Sec 504.1	Prescriptive/Performance: See Sec 504.1		
WASH '91 WAC 51-11 Sec 402.1.3 Sec 504.1	Presc / Perf: See Sec 504.1		
S.G. CENTS '91 1991 SGC Specs, Sec 2.8	Presc / Perf / Energy Budget: Electric Wtr Htrs 0-59 Gal: EF = 0.91 60-90 Gal: EF = 0.88 91-120 Gal: EF = 0.83	Capacity, Efficiency	
ORE '92 CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code,	No reference	Q	
ORE '88			
CABO One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code, Sec 5308	Piping Heat Loss =< 25 BTU / Hr		
IDAHO '90	No Reference		
IRES Builders' Guide			
MONTANA '90	No Reference		
Administrative Rules of Montana, 8.70.104			
TACOMA '87	Presc / Perf:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tacoma Energy Code Sec 603 Sec 420	General system and installationrequiremints		
CALIFORNIA '88	Performance: See Sec 2-5351.b.1	Q	
Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Sec 2-5353(b) Pg 161 Table 2-53Y	Table 2-53Y for specific water htg budget.		

ENERGY BUDGET AND GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

5.0 ENERGY CODE COMPARISON BY BUILDING COMPONENT

The performance compliance method has been applied to the California and the Northwest region codes to illustrate both this method of compliance and to generate a direct comparison of the codes. This required the selection of common components avoiding issues such as infiltration, glazing area restrictions, and below grade walls. The treatment of these issues varies significantly. All of the targets are dependent on house design and location and are derived using the same house design, fuel type and an equivalent location (based on heating degree day data). The narrowness of this comparison should be considered when interpreting the results. Other comparisons using another set of parameters would reveal different relationships between the codes.

The table provides the target 'UA' values required by the various codes when applied to the example house. The wide range of values illustrates the variation across the region. In this comparison the NW Energy Code is 28% more restrictive than the currently employed Oregon code (1988). The 1992 Oregon code will be 27% tighter than the current Oregon code illustrating the changing regulatory environment of energy codes in this region.

This work is similar to Wattsun 5.1 calculations. The Wattsun print-outs included in the next section list the area and component specifics of the proposed house used in this analysis. The results from the Wattsun program differ from this work due to the inclusion of different components and issues.

3182/R92-1

-

-

-

.

.

•

ENERGY CODE		Performance 'U' Values: Target 'UA' Calculations for Performance Code Compliance Based on a 1500 Sq-Ft electrically heated house in Portland (or equivalent location).									
COMPARISON BY BUILDING COMPONENT	NW Enrgy Code '90	Wash Enrgy Code '91	Super Good Cents '91	Oregor Code '92	Oregon Code '88	Idaho IRES Code '90	Montana ^d Admin. Rules 12/90	Tacoma Code '87	Hud ^e Home Guide	Calif Code '88	Notes: 'U' = BTU/Hr Sq-Ft F 'F' = BTU/Ht Ft F *-Not included in Target 'UA' calculations.
Compl. Paths ¹	4 /1	8/1	- /1	9/1	1	1	1	4/3		5/2	1 Prescriptive / Performance
Climate Zones	3	2	3	none	none	none	none	none		16	² F value to be used with
Walls- Above Grd	0.057	0.062	0.058	0.060	0.171	0.065	0.065	0.180 ^b	0.045	0.065 °	
Walls-Below Grd	0.750 ²	0.037	0.038	0.033	0.054	0.056	0.570 ²	0.540 ²	0.193	0.065	3 Value assumed for a double glazed window.
Doors	0.190	0.400	0.190	0.200	0.171	0.220	0.500	0.180	0.200	0.065 °	
Glazing Ceiling	0.390	0.400	0.390	0.400	0.171	0.650	0.650 ³	0.180	0.580	0.650	⁴ There is no reference to below grade walls. The salb on grade value is
Flat Vaulted*	0.032 0.032	0.036 0.034	0.031 0.027	0.031 0.034	0.031 0.049	0.031 0.034	0.031 0.027	0.026 0.026	0.031 0.031	0.031 0.027	used.
Skylight Vaulted*	0.390	0.400 0.400	0.031 0.027	0.500	0.650 0.650	0.650 0.650	0.65 ³	0.026	0.031	0.650	5 These values are for comparison of the codes. They do not reflect anticipated energy consumption.
Floor*	0.029	0.041	0.029	0.034	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.034	0.037	0.040	
Slab Below Grade On Grade*	0.455 0.750	0.570 0.540	0.430 0.540	0.500 0.500	0.607 ⁴ 0.607	0.540 0.540	0.570 ⁴ 0.570	0.540 ⁴ 0.540	0.460 ⁴ 0.460	0.560 ⁴ 0.560	
Target 'UA' 5 (BTU/Hr-F)	355.74	371.54	336.10	361.40	493.44	443.77	412.71	410.22	541.60	449.16	

Dara 17

3189/R92-1

a- Oregon code: overall wall U < 0.171.

b- Tacoma code: overall wall U = <0.180.

c- California code: overall wall U =<0.065; includes doors.

d - Montana uses the prescriptive compliance method only.e - HUD Home Minimum Property Standards (HUD loans).

ENERGY CODE COMPARISON BY BUILDING COMPONENT Calculation Spreadsheet

	с	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	ĸ	L	M	N
7		-	NW Cd '87	Wash Cd '91	S G Cents '91	Oregon Cd '92	Oregon Cd '85	Idaho Cd '90	Montana '90	Tacoma '87	Hud Home Gde	Calif. Cd '88
8 1				-			}					
9	A-Wall Abv Gd	(Sq-Ft)	1269	1269	1269	1269	1269	1269	1269	1269	1269	1269
10	U-Abv Gd (BTI	U/Hr Sq-Ft F)	0.057	0.058	0.058	0.06	0.171	0.065	0.053	0.18	0.045	. 0.065
11	UA-Abv Gd (B	TU / Hr F)	72.333	73.602	73.602	76.14	216.999	82.485	67.257	228.42	57.105	82.485
12							ļ					
13	A-Wall Blw Gd	(Ft or Sq-Ft)	160	1120	1120	1120	1120	1120	160	160	1120	1120
14	U-Wall Biw Gd		0.75	0.037	0.038	0.033	0.054	0.056	0.57	0.54	0.193	0.053
15	UA-Wall Blw G	6d (BTU/Hr F)	120	41.44	42.56	36.96	60.48	62.72	91.2	86.4	216.16	59.36
16												1
17	A-Door		84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84
18	U-Door		0.19	0.2	0.19	0.2	0.171	0.22	0.5	0.18	0.2	0.065
19	UA-Door (BTU/	Hr F)	15.96	16.8	15.96	16.8	14.364	18.48	42	15.12	16.8	5.46
20							1					
21	A-Glazing		225	225	225	225	225	225	225	225	225	225
22	U-Glazing		0.39	0.4	0.39	0.4	0.171	0.65	0.65	0.18	0.58	0.65
23	UA-Glazing (BT	[U/Hr F]	87.75	90	87.75	90	38.475	146.25	146.25	40.5	130.5	146.25
24												
25												
26	A-Ceiling		· 1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500
27	U-Ceiling		0.032	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.026	0.031	0.031
28	UA-Ceiling (BT	U/Hr F)	48	46.5	46.5	46.5	46.5	46.5	46.5	39	46.5	46.5
29												
30	A-Skylight		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
31	U-Skylight		0.39	0.4	0.031	0.5	0.65	0.031	0.65	0.026	0.031	0.65
321	UA-Skylight (B)	TU/Hr F)	11.7	12	0.93	15	19.5	0.93	19.5	0.78	0.93	19.5
33)		ļ	
34	P-Slab	(Ft)	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160
35	F-Slab ((BTU/Hr Ft F)	0.75	0.57	0.43	0.5	0.607	0.54	0.57	0.54	0.46	0.56
36	Equiv. UA Slab	(BTU/HrF)	120	91.2	68.8	80	97.12	86.4	91.2	86.4	73.6	89.6
37	(Slab Edge)											
38	Total UA Targ	et										
39	(BTU / H	r-F)	355.74	371.54	336.10	361.40	493.44	<u>443.77</u>	412.71	410.22	541.60	449.16
Pe	rformanc	9 600 00 -	<u></u>									
TTA	Town	~ 000.00			ĺ							7
UH	1 Target	. 500.00				·	professional statements of					
(Bt	u / Hr °F)						<u></u>				DV0000000
		400.00								-91111110		-10000000
		300.00				-			!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	-{/////////		
		200 00										
		200.00										
		100.00		\dashv \vdash	_							
		0 0 0	· ·	·								833336333
			NW Cd	Wash Cd	S G Cents	Ore '92	Ore '85	Idaho Cd	Mntna Cd	Тасота		Calif. Cd
					2 2 2 0 0 110							
										Ŭđ	Cicl	

6.0 WATTSUN 5.1 ENERGY CODE COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

The Wattsun code compliance tool is maintained by the Washington State Energy Office through funding from the Bonneville Power Authority. It is used by building inspectors in many jurisdictions for energy code compliance and is therefore a good reference for code investigations.

The intent of the program is to determine the compliance of a proposed design relative to a similar design built to the prescriptive requirements of the governing energy code. This program allows both the performance and energy budget methods of compliance. It is not intended to determine the projected energy performance of a design. Nor should the results be used to compare the codes. Each code has unique component considerations which precludes direct comparison with this program. The 1985 Oregon Code, for example requires R-11 insulation for the below grade wall. The Wattsun program lists this value but does not include the component in the target UA determination. Therefore the performance target UA value is smaller than the Super Good Cents value, though the Super Good Cents code is significantly more strict.

The following printouts are an example of Wattsun runs applied to a 1500 Sq-Ft house sited in Portland, Oregon.

WATTSUN 5.1	1987 NORTHWEST	ENERGY CODE COM	1PLIANCE REPORT	•======== 0	======= 6/11/91
FILE: C:\WATTSU	N5\DEMO 1.WS			НОЦ	SE ID:
site:		Ana Jurisdic	tion:		
		Uti	lity:		
Mail:		Floar	Area: 1500 ft	2	
Buildos		Lingtheory	Datas Destland	00	
Address:		Climate	Zone: 1	, ok	
=======================================					*=====
, ↓ The ÆROPOSED de	esign *COMPLIES*	with 1987 North	west Energy Coo	de.	
		REFERENCE	PROPOSED		
I COMPONENT PERFO	DRMANCE	476 4 50	546 Btu/h	1r-F 5+3-00	1
			J./J KWII/	r ce-yr	Market State
REFERENCE DESIG	======================================				
			Reference		
Component	یک ولیے ہیں۔ اسے دون سے کی کے تعلق میں دون ولیے کی دون میں اور		Value X	Area =	UA
BG Wall			U-0.038	1120	42.6
BG Slab			F-0.430	1.60ft 1500	38.8 40 5
Glazing 015%			U-0.390	225.0	43.J 97.8
Deors			U-0.190	84.0	16.0
AG Wall		-	U-0.057	1269	72.3
Skylights			U-0.032	30.0	1.0
Ceiling			U-0.032	1500	48.0
Infiltration			ACH-0.350	15000ft3	96.1
			Reference	UA	476
PROPOSED DESIGN	COMPONENTS				
Component	Description		Value X	Area =	UA
BG Ŵall	 R19 batt 7' demt	 h w/TB	U-0.038	1120	42.5
BG Slab			F-0.430	160ft	68.8
Floor	R22 vented Joist	: 16oc	U-0.037	1500	55.5
Glazing @16%	261 Wood 1/2"		U-0.540	202.5	105.3*
Doors	Wood 1-3/4" soli	d panel	U-0.390	84.0	31.9*
AG Wall	R19 STD Lap Wood	1	U-0.062	1291	80.0
Skylights	2G1 Wood 1/2"		U-0.650	15.0	9.3*

Items in parentheses not included in COMPONENT PERFORMANCE totals.

* Denotes adjusted UA to reflect 7-1/2 mph wind speed.

WATTSUN 5.1	1987 NOR1	THWEST ENERG	Y CODE COMPL	IANCE REPOR	·=====================================	
FILE: C:\WATTSUN	5\DEMO 1.4	IS			HD	USE ID:
Ceiling Infiltration	2Gl Wood w R38 blown Standard A	/EAC 1/2" Attic STD ba hir Sealing	affled	U-0.690 U-0.031 ACH-0.350	15.0 1500 · 15000ft3	9.8* 46.5 95.1
				Froposed	UA	546
Struc Mass	Light Fram	ne, Sheetroc	k walls	M-3.000	1500	4500.0
HEATING/COOLING/	VENTILATIN	IG SYSTEMS	n, ang 💳 400 cili. 400 cili: dig dan 244 cin dig			
Heating Syst	em Type: Make: Model:	FROPOS Heat Pump: HP Air Sou	SED Air Source urce Default			
System Eff Modified Eff	iciency: iciency:	5.8 166	HSPF %			
Heating Load(at Syst Maximum Siz Au HP Balanc	47F dt): em Size: e 0150%: xiliary: e Point:	26102 23214 11.5 3.0 35	Btu/hr Btu/hr kW kW F			
Average Annu Annu	al Heat: al Cost:	• 8154 \$ 448	kwh			
Ventilati	on Type: Option:	Non-Heat Option	Recovéry 1			
Cooling Load(at Recommended Siz	8F dt): e 0125%:	21952 2.5	Btu/hr tons			
Solar	Access:	Unshade	ed w/solar a	ccess		
PROPOSED DUCT SY	STEM					
	Location		Avg Rvalue	Surface	Area	
SUPPLY RETURN	Attic or Attic or	garage garage	R-11.0 R-11.0	300.0 60.0	ft2 ft2	

WATTSUN 5.1	1987 NORTHWEST	ENERGY CODE	COMPLIANCE	REPORT	06/1	===== 1/91
FILE: C:\WATT	SUN5\DEMO 1.WS			•	HOUSE	ID:
GLAZING ORIEN	TATION					· •••• ••• ••• •••
South: Southeast: East: Northeast:	PROPOSED 202.5 ft2 0:0 0.0 0.0	Nor Sou	PF North: thwest: West: thwest:	80P0SED 0.0 ft2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		

Economic and energy consumption estimates in the signed for comparative purposes only. Actual cost for heating will and depending or weather conditions, occupant lifestyle and other factors.

1991 WA STATE	E ENERGY CODE CO	MPLIANCE REPORT	: aa sa wa ay ay ay ay ay ay a }	>5/05/91
UNS\DEMO 1.WS			HO	USE ID:
· . ·	An Jurisdia Ut	alyst: ction: ility:		
	Floor	Area: 1500 f	;2	
	Weather Climate	Data: Portland, Zone: 1	, OR	
design *COMPLIES*	• with 1991 WA S	tate Energy Code		:
FORMANCE	REFERENCE 508 4.94	PROPOSED 456 Btu/h 3.89 kWh/m	or-F ft2-yr	4 4 4 4 4
======================================		=======================================		
		Reference Value X	Area =	UA
		U-0.037 F-0.570 U-0.041 U-0.450 U-0.400 U-0.042 U-0.036 U-0.036 	1120 160ft 1500 225.0 84.0 1269 30.0 1500 UA	41.4 91.2 61.5 146.3 33.5 78.6 1.1 54.0
			na anna sana san san san san san san san	
Description		Value X	Area =	. *!
R19 batt 7' dep R22 vented Jois 2G1 Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" sol R19 STD Lap Woo 2G1 Wood 1/2"	th w/TB t 16oc id panel d	U-0.038 F-0.430 U-0.037 U-0.540 U-0.390 U-0.062 U-0.650	1120 160ft 1500 202.5 84.0 1291 15.0	
	1991 WA STATE UN5\DEMO 1.WS design *COMPLIES: FORMANCE 3N N COMPONENTS Description R19 batt 7' dep R22 vented Jois 2G1 Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" sol R19 STD Lap Woo 2G1 Wood 1/2"	1991 WA STATE ENERGY CODE CO UNS\DEMO 1.WS An Jurisdi Ut Floor Weather Climate design *COMPLIES* with 1991 WA S FORMANCE SOB 4.94 SN N COMPONENTS Description R19 batt 7' depth w/TB R22 vented Joist 16oc 2G1 Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" solid panel R19 STD Lap Wood 2G1 Wood 1/2"	1991 WA STATE ENERGY CODE COMPLIANCE REPORT UNS\DEMO 1.WS Analyst: Jurisdiction: Utility: Floor Area: 1500 ff Weather Data: Portland Climate Zone: 1 design *COMPLIES* with 1991 WA State Energy Code FORMANCE 508 456 Btu/ 4.94 3.87 kWh/1 SN Reference Value X U-0.037 F-0.570 U-0.041 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.036 U-0.037 Reference N COMPONENTS Description Value X R19 batt 7' depth w/TB U-0.038 F-0.430 R22 vented Joist 16oc U-0.037 R25 Vented Joist 16oc U-0.037 R19 STD Lap Wood U-0.045 Wood 1-3/4" solid panel U-0.390 R19 STD Lap Wood U-0.045	1991 WA STATE ENERGY CODE COMPLIANCE REPORT UNS\DEMO 1.WS Analyst: Jurisdiction: Utility: Floor Area: 1500 ft2 Weather Data: Portland, OR Climate Zone: 1 design *COMPLIES* with 1991 WA State Energy Code. FORMANCE SOB 4.94 SOB 4

Items in parentheses not included in COMPONENT PERFORMANCE totals.

•

WATTSUN 5.1	1991 SUPER (GOOD CENTS COMP	LIANCE REP	DRT	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	6/05/91
FILE: C:\WATTSU	N5\DEMO 1.WS	, = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		======	HC)L	SE ID:
Site: :		An Jurisdi Ut	alyst: ction: ility:			
Homeowner: Mail:		Floor	Area: 15	500 ft	;2	
Builder: Address:		Weather Climate	Data: Por Zone: 1	tland	, OR	
i i The FROPOSED d	esign *COMPLIES*	with 1991 Supe	r Good Cen			
I COMPONENT PERF ENERGY BUDGET	ORMANCE	REFERENCE 476 6.58	PROPOS 546 3.75	3ED Btu∕⊦ kWh∕1	nr-F Ft2-yr	
REFERENCE DESIG	N					
Component			Value	ence e X	Area =	UA
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing @15% Doors AG Wall Skylights Ceiling Infiltration			U-0.0 F-0.4 U-0.0 U-0.0 U-0.0 U-0.0 U-0.0 ACH-0.3	038 430 029 390 190 058 031 031 350	1120 160ft 1500 225.0 84.0 1269 30.0 1500 1500 15000ft3	42.6 68.8 43.5 87.8 16.0 73.6 0.9 45.5 96.1
					un	470
PROPOSED DESIGN	COMFONENTS					
Component	Description		Value	e X	Area =	UA
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing Ə16% Doors AG Wall Skylights	R19 batt 7' dept R22 vented Joist 2Gl Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" solt R19 STD Lap Wood 2Gl Wood 1/2"	th w/TB t 16oc id panel d	U-0.0 F-0.0 U-0.0 U-0.0 U-0.0 U-0.0)38 430)37 540 390)62 (550	1120 160ft 1500 202.5 84.0 1291 15.0	42.5 55.5 105.3* 31.7* 80.0 7.3*

Items in parentheses not included in COMPONENT PERFORMANCE totals. \star Denotes adjusted UA to reflect 7-1/2 mph wind speed.

WATTSUN 5.1	1985 OREGON UBC,	CHAPTER 53 CO	DMPLIANCE REPORT		06/05/91
FILE: C:\WATTSUM	N5\DEMO 1.WS	=======================================	=======================================	HC	DUSE ID:
Site:	•	An	alyst:		
:		Jurisdio Ut	ction: ility:		
lomeowner: Mail·		Floor	Area 1500 ft	· a	
		(* 100)	Hied: 1000 ()	r L	
Builder: Address:		Weather Climate	Data: Portland, Zone: 1	, OR	
	=======================================	=======================================	================		
The PROPOSED de	esign *COMPLIES* w	ith 1985 Orego	on UBC, Chapter	53.	
		REFERENCE	PROPOSED		
ENERGY BUDGET	JRIIHNCE	385 13.22	4.14 kWh/f	ir-r it2-yri	
*======================================	=======================================	=======================================	=======================================		
REFERENCE DESIGN	N				
Component			Keference Value X	Area =	UA
BG Wall			R-11		
Floor			U-0.048	1500	72.0
Glazing 015%			0-0.171	225.0	38.5
200FS AG 4511			U=0.171	194.0	14.4 012 0
RG Wall Ckylighte			U-0,171 N-0 099	20 0	A 9
Cailing			U-0.028	1500	42.0
Infiltration					i baas 20 faaf
			Reference	UA	385
PROPOSED DESIGN	COMPONENTS	الا المركز	الله علي حله علي الله الله علي حين الله علي الله علي الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال		
Component	Description		Value X	Area =	UA
PG Wall	R19 batt 7' depth	w/TB	R-19.000	1120	(111.4
Floor	R22 vented Joist	160C	U-0.037	1500	55.5
Glazing 016%	261 Wood 1/2"		U-0.540	2 <u>2</u> .5	109.4
Doors	Wood 1-3/4" solid	l panel	U-0.390	84.0	38.8
Ab Wall	RIY SID Lap Wood		U-0.062	1271	80.0
okyrights	201 W000 1/2" 201 Word w/5AC 1/			10.0	7.8 10 4
Cailing	EGI WUUU W/EHU I/ E39 blown A++ic C	도 (TD bəff)는서	U-0.890 U_0 001	10.0	10.4
Infiltration	Standard Air Seal	ing	ACH-0.350	15000ft3	(96.1)

Items in parentheses not included in COMPONENT PERFORMANCE totals. Da - - 55

WATTSUN 5.1	1990 IDAHO RES. E	NERGY STANDARD C	COMPLIANCE RE	FORT	06705,	-=- /91
FILE: C:\WATTSU	IN5\DEMO 1.WS		==================		HOUSE I)	0:
Site:	• •	Anal	.yst:			
C		Jurisdict Util	lity:			
lomeowner: Mail:		Eloor A	area: 1500	ft2		
*		12001 1		102		
Builder: Address:		Weather D Climate Z)ata: Portlar Cone: 1	nd, OR		
The PROPOSED d	lesign *COMPLIES*	with 1990 Idaho	Res. Energy	Standard		u==
		REFERENCE	PROPOSED			
COMPONENT PERF	ORMANCE	601	448 Btu	ı/hr−F		
ENERGY BUDGET		***	*** k₩	n∕ft2-yr		
					========	-= -= =
REFERENCE DESIG	in .					
Component			Reference Value	e X Area	= UA	
BG Wall			U-0.056	1120		.7
BG Slab			F-0.420	160f	t 67	.2
Floor			U-0.109	1500	163	.5
Glazing @17%			U-0.650	255.	0 165	.8
Doors		•	0-0.220		0 18	.5
AD WAII Chulimbte		•	U-0.055	1637	0 0	.മ . മ
Skylights Ceilipe				1500	0 0 4A	• 7
Infiltration					-+ ()	• *=2
			Referenc	e UA	60	1
PROPOSED DESIGN	I COMPONENTS	-				
Component	Description		Value	X Area	= UA	
	R19 batt 7' dept		U~0.038	1120	42	.6
BG Wall			F-0.430	160f	t 68	.8
BG Wall BG Slab			U A A97	1500	55	.5
BG Wall BG Slab Floor	R22 vented Joist	; 16oc	0-0.03/	· • •		
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing @16%	R22 vented Joist 261 Wood 1/2"	; 16oc	U-0.03/ U-0.540	202.	5 109	
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing Ə16% Doors	R22 vented Joist 261 Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" soli	t 16oc (d panel	U-0.390 U-0.390	202. 84.	5 109 0 32	.8
BG Wall BG Elab Floor Glazing @16% Doors AG Wall	R22 vented Joist 2Gl Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" soli R19 STD Lap Wood	t 160c Id panel 1	U-0.037 U-0.540 U-0.390 U-0.062	202. 84. 1291	5 109 0 32 80	4 .8 .0
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing Q16% Doors AG Wall Skylights	R22 vented Joist 2Gl Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" soli R19 STD Lap Wood 2Gl Wood 1/2"	t 16oc Id panel 1	U-0.037 U-0.540 U-0.390 U-0.062 U-0.650	202. 84. 1291 15.	5 109 0 32 80 0 9	.4 .8 .0 .8

Items in parentheses not included in COMPONENT PERFORMANCE totals. Dago 56

WATTSUN 5.1 HU	D ENERGY EFFICIENT H	OME GUIDELINE	COMPLIANCE	E REPORT	======= 067	===== 05/91
FILE: C:\WATTSU	N5\DEMO 1.WS		*========		HOUSE	ID:
Site: ;	• • •	Analy Jurisdicti Utili	st: on: .ty:			
omeowner: Mail:		Floor Ar	ea: 1500) ft2		
Builder: Address:		Weather Da Climate Zo	ata: Portle one: 1	and, OR		
The PROPOSED do	=====================================	:h HUD Energy E	fficient H	lome Gui	deline.	
COMPONENT PERFO ENERGY BUDGET	DRMANCE 1	EFERENCE 693 .2.35	FROPOSEI 552 Bt 3.81 kk) ;u/hr−F Nh/ft2-y	i-	
======================================	======================================			=======		# 2722
Component			Referenc Value	e X Are	a = 1	UA
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing @15% Doors AG Wall Skylights Ceiling Infiltration			U-0.193 F-0.460 U-0.037 U-0.580 U-0.200 U-0.045 U-0.031 U-0.031 ACH-0.350	3 112) 16 7 150) 22) 8 5 126 1 3 1 150 0 1500	0 2 Oft 5 5.0 1 4.0 7 5 0.0 0 0ft3	16.2 73.6 55.5 30.5 16.8 57.1 0.9 4.5 76.1
			Referen	nce UA		693
PROFOSED DESIGN	COMPONENTS					
Component	Description		Value	X Are	a =	UA
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing 216%	R19 batt 7' depth w R22 vented Joist 14 261 Wood 1/2"	/TB	U-0.039 F-0.430 U-0.037 U-0.540 U-0.390	3 112) 16) 150) 20) 8	0 0ft 2.5 1 4.0	42.6 68.8 55.5 09.4 32.8

Items in parentheses not included in COMFONENT PERFORMANCE totals.

-----Page 1 -----

WATTSUN 5.1	1987 TACOMA	A ENERGY CODE COMPL	LIANCE REPORT	0	6/05/91
FILE: C:\WATTSU	NS\DEMO 1.WS			HOU	SE ID;
Site: :		Ana Jurisdic Uti	lyst: tion: lity:		
omeowner: Mail:		Floor (Area: 1500 ft2		
Builder: Address:		Weather 1 Climate 3	Data: Portland, Zone: 1	DR	
The PROPOSED d	esign *COMPLIE	S* with 1987 Tacom	a Energy Code.		
COMPONENT PERF ENERGY BUDGET	ORMANCE	REFERENCE 576 11.61	PROPOSED 456 Btu/hr 3.89 kWh/ft	-F 2-yr	
REFERENCE DESIG	in				
Component			Reference Value X	Area =	AU
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing 015% Doors AG Wall Skylights Ceiling Infiltration			U-0.180 F-0.000 U-0.034 U-0.180 U-0.180 U-0.180 U-0.180 U-0.026	1120 160ft 1500 225.0 24.0 1269 30.0 1500	201.6 0.0 51.0 40.5 15.1 229.3 0.8 24.0
			Reference U	A	
PROPOSED DESIGN	I COMPONENTS				
Component	Description		Value X	Area =	÷ •
BG Wall BG Slab Floor Glazing @16% Doors	R19 batt 7' d R22 vented Jo 2G1 Wood 1/2" Wood 1-3/4" s	epth w/TB ist 16oc olid panel	U-0.038 F-0.430 U-0.037 U-0.540 U-0.390	1120 160ft 1500 202.5 84.0	50.9 2000 2007 2007 2008
	DIG GTD Las H	and	U-0.062	1291	1.

Items in parentheses not included in COMPONENT PERFORMANCE totals.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

The development of a broadly applicable computer code compliance tool is an ambitious endeavor. Issues impacting such a development include changing jurisdictional concerns, evolving code restrictiveness and complexity, variations in methodologies, variations in specific component concerns and the incorporation of innovations in building technology. These issues require a program format which is sophisticated enough to adequately address all the specifics while remaining easily adaptable to the evolution of the energy codes. In addition the program would require a permanent staff to insure program reliability. This credibility would be essential if the computer analysis is to be accepted by the code officials in the many jurisdictions.

The general approach to regulating design and building practices are consistent across the codes investigated. All have a prescriptive method for simple compliance which limit design latitude. All except Montana allow for more flexibility through a performance option which evaluates compliance based on the overall envelope performance rather than individual components. Additionally they offer the energy budget method which requires engineering calculations typically utilizing a program designed for that purpose. The Wattsun program developed and maintained by the Washington State Energy Office is such a tool. Similarly California has authorized a number of programs for proof of compliance using the energy budget approach.

Investigation into the specific treatment of the various components yields a more complicated comparison. The codes often treat similar components uniquely. Many codes do not make special provisions for skylights for example, or require overall performance levels for a component system such as a wall assembly. The Tacoma and 1988 Oregon codes include the doors and glazing in the wall 'U' value.

To further complicate the process, frequently a number of climate zones exist, each with its own set of prescriptive and performance requirements. California has sixteen, the Northwest Energy Code three, and the Washington energy code has two.

3182/R92-1

Innovations in the building industry introduces additional concerns. These products must be evaluated for their heat transfer characteristics and their impact on the building system. The results of such analysis must then be incorporated into the regulatory system. With over 100 jurisdictions in this survey alone one could imagine the different interpretations which might arise if code officials made determinations based on product literature from the many manufacturers.

The maintenance of a code compliance program requires effective communication throughout the industry of the assumptions made about building innovations. The treatment of stress skin panels is an example. Product literature suggests heat transfer characteristics and envelope tightness. In reality the heat transfer characteristics change with temperature and density of the foam core, and air tightness depends on fabrication and erection practices. The Wattsun program chose one 'U' value (based on ASHRAE literature) for these assemblies and makes an assumption about the air tightness.

The necessity of thorough communication increases when considering the dynamic nature of the energy code environment. Historically these codes are revised on three year cycles. Unfortunately these cycles are not concurrent. If design decisions are to be based on information from a compliance tool, that tool must be up to date. A computer compliance tool with broad applicability would require constant update. The Washington State Energy Office for example, must devote a substantial amount of resources to the maintenance of the Wattsun program which supports seven codes in the Northwest region.

In conclusion, while there are no conceptual or technical barriers to the development of a broadly applicable computer energy code compliance tool, its development would be an ambitious undertaking. This report has laid out the many issues involved in supporting such an effort. The major concerns are the logistics of implementing and maintaining such a tool where credibility is paramount. Appropriate interpretation and currentness must be guaranteed if the building industry and code officials are to encourage its use within the building environment.

3182/R92-1

8.0 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was completed with the critical support of many energy professionals in the Northwest and California. Particularly important were the contributions of Hank Date with the Washington State Energy Office, Bruce Maeda of the California Energy Commission, Jeff Harris of the Northwest Power Planning Council, Bob Hoppie of the Idaho State Energy Division, Jim Brown of the Montana Building Code Division, and John Kaufman, Gary Curtis and Cindy Brown of the Oregon Department of Energy. A special thanks to Kristin Harmon for comments clarifying this work.

9.0 **REFERENCES**

(503) 230-3000
(916) 654-5106
(916) 654-4077
(916) 654-5200
(208) 327-7910
(406) 444-6697
(800) 222-3355
(800) 221-8035
(206) 956-2000
(206) 383-9655

10.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

ASHRAE, ASHRAE Handbook 1985 Fundamentals, ASHRAE, 1985.

- Andrews, Steve, Foam-core Panels & Building Systems: Principles and Practice Plus Product Directory, Cutter Information Corp. 1988: 56-73.
- Bonneville Power Authority, <u>Residential Construction Reference Manual</u>, Bonneville Power Authority, October 1990.
- California Energy Commission, <u>Building Energy Efficiency Standards</u>. California Energy Commission, July 1988.
- California Energy Commission, <u>Alternative Calculation Methods Approval</u> <u>Manual for the Low-Rise Residential Building Energy Efficiency Standards</u>, California Energy Commission, May 1988.
- California Energy Commission, <u>Energy Conservation Manual for New</u> <u>Residential Buildings.</u> California Energy Commission, July 1988.
- Council of American Building Officials, <u>Model Energy Code</u>, Council of American Building Officials, 1989.
- Ecotope, Inc., <u>Super Good Cents Heat Loss Reference Volume II: Heat Loss</u> <u>Coefficient Tables</u>, Bonneville Power Authority, October 1988.
- Idaho Department of Labor and Industrial Services, <u>Idaho Residential Energy</u> <u>Standard</u>, Idaho Department of Labor and Industrial Services, January 1991.
- McKeever / Morris, Inc., <u>Oregon Residential Energy Efficiency Project</u>, Oregon Department of Energy, January 1991.

- Montana Building Codes Department, <u>Administrative Rules of Montana</u>, Montana Building Codes Department, December 1990.
- Oregon State University Extension Energy Program, <u>Super Good Cents</u> <u>Residential Construction Reference Manual</u>, Bonneville Power Administration, 1991.
- State of Oregon, <u>State of Oregon 1990 Edition One and Two Family Dwelling</u> <u>Specialty Code.</u> State of Oregon, April 1990.
- State of Oregon, <u>Oregon One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code</u>. State of Oregon, Tables 53-O and 53-P, Effective date: January 1992.
- Tacoma Department of Energy, Tacoma Energy Code. Tacoma Department of Energy, 1987.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>County and City Data Book</u>, <u>1988</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office: 1988.
- Washington State Building Code Council, <u>Washington State Energy Code</u>, Washington State Energy Office, November 1990.

.

.

,

·

Appendix A.1 Northwest Energy Code

•

.

.

•

• . •

.

.r

.

NORLHWEST ENERGY CODE

•

(Complete Code Version)

JUNE 1987

Amendments to the MODEL ENERGY CODE to be equivalent to the Model Conservation Standards

CHANGES TO NWEC

The following are changes to the 1987 edition of the Northwest Energy Code. The references are to the complete code edition (orange pages). Equivalent sections of the UBC compatible code (blue pages) would also apply.

1. Delete all reference to "and other buildings less than 5000 sq fi" from Chapters 4 and 5 as follows:

Page
29
31
36
38
42
42
44
59
59

.

÷

Reference to other buildings less than 5000 sqft in chapter 6 shall remain.

2. Add an exception to 502.1.6.2 (page 36) as follows:

Exception: Where determined by the building official due to elimatic conditions, ventilated roof/ceiling assemblies with an average minimum depth above the insulation of 12^a.

3. Add to section 502.1.7.2 (page 37):

In R1 and R3 occupancies the builder shall provide written notification to the owner that radon monitoring is available. The indoor air quality information shall also be provided by the builder.

Exception: Where specifically adopted, appendix section 901 may be used.

4. Add new section 502.1.7.3 (page 37) to read:

Underfloor ventilation shall be provided in accordance with UBC section 2516(c)6 but shall not be less than 1 square footfor each 300 square feet of under-floor area.

5. Change existing section 502.1.7.3 (page 37) to 502.1.7.4.

FOREWORD

The development of this "Northwest Energy Code" which are amendments to the Model Energy Code, 1986 edition, was sponsored by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA). Information on this document may be obtained from BPA, PO Box 3621, Portland, OR 97208.

This document contains amendments to the Council of American Building Officials' (CABO) Model Energy Code (MEC), 1986 Edition. A copy of the MEC can be obtained from CABO at 1201 One Skyline Place, 5205 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 22041, telephone (703)931-4533; International Conference: of Building Officials (ICBO) 5360 South Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601; Northwest Regional Office ICBO, 2122 - 112th Avenue N.E., Suite B-300, Bellevue, WA 98004, telephone (206)451-9541;

This code has been designed to be as compatible as possible with state and local regulations and is intended to stand alone as a code for those who desire a complete energy code. For those who want a document designed as if it were a chapter in the "Uniform Building Code" a related document has been prepared by BPA and is available from the Northwest ICBO Office.

Symbols:

this is language developed by BPA.

Where none of the above symbols occur, the text is exactly reprinted from the MEC.

DISCLAIMER

The Bonneville Power Administration acknowledges that although these amendments use the same format as The Model Energy Code, 1986 edition, published and copyrighted by CABO, the technical requirements contained therein ARE NOT THE SAME AS THE MODEL ENERGY CODE and are NOT based on a national consensus. These amendments are designed to be used with and compatible with the Model Energy Code, 1986 edition. The provisions of this code do not consider the efficiency of various energy forms as they are delivered to the building envelope, i.e., delivered energy efficiency. The appropriate factor for delivered energy-efficiency should be considered prior to the selection of the mechanical, electrical, illumination systems, and energy efficiencies when used in conjunction with this code will provide the most efficient use of available energy in new building construction.

TABLE OF CONIENIS

GAPIER

1	ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT. 101. Scope and General Requirements. 102. Materials and Equipment. 103. Alternate MaterialsMethod of Construction, Design or Insulating Systems. 104. Plans and Specifications. 105. Enforcement & Inspections. 106. Validity. 107. Violations. 108. Liability.	1 4 4 5 6 7 7
2	OFFINITIONS	8 8
3	DESIGN CONDITIONS	20 20 20 22
4	BUILDING DESIGN BY SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN- OP BUILDING UPILIZING NONDEPLETABLE AND GY- SCURCES	29 29 29
5	HUILDING DESIGN BY COMPONENT PERFORMANCE APPROACH	34 34 34 43 52 55
6	BUILDING DESIGN BY PRESCRIPTIVE REQUIREMENTS 601. Scope	68 68 68 71 71
7	BUTIDING DESIGN BY COMPONENT POINT SYSTEM 701. Scope 702. Calculation Procedure	77 77 77
8	STANDARDS Accredited Authoritative Agencies NWEC Std #25. Ventilation System Installation NWEC Std #26. Air-to-Air Heat Exchangers	85 87 88 110

->

SAMPLE OFDINANCE FOR ADOPTION OF THE

NORTHWEST ENERGY CODE AND STANDARDS

OLOINANCE NO.

An ordinance of the <u>(jurisdiction)</u> adopting the 1987 edition of the Northwest Energy Code and the 1987 edition of the Northwest Energy Code Standards regulating the use of electrical energy in all buildings or structures in the <u>(jurisdiction)</u>; providing for penalties for the violation thereof, repealing Ordinance No. <u>of the (jurisdiction)</u> and all other ordinances and parts of the ordinances in conflict therewith.

The <u>(governing body)</u> of the <u>(jurisdiction)</u> does ordain as follows:

Section 1. That certain documents, three (3) copies of which are on file and are open for inspection of the public in the office of the <u>(jurisdiction's keeper of records)</u> of the <u>jurisdiction</u>, being marked and designated as:

Northwest Energy Code 1987 edition, published by the Bonneville Power Administration and Standards listed in Chapter 8 of this specified Northwest Energy Code, including the Appendix Chapters _____. (Fill in the applicable Appendix chapters-see code section 103, last paragraph.)

Section 2. (Incorporate penalties for violations. See Section 205).

Section 3. That Ordinance No. _____ of ____(jurisdiction)_____ entitled (fill in the title of building ordinance or ordinances in effect at the present time) and all other ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Section 4. That if any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The _____(governing body)____hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, clause or phrase hereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses and phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 5. That the <u>(jurisdiction's keeper of records)</u> is hereby ordered and directed to cause this ordinance to be published. (An additional provision may be required to direct the number of times the ordinance is to be published and to specify that it is to be in a newspaper in general circulation.

ii

2.

-

à

~

Posting may also be required.)

Section 6. That this ordinance and the rules, regulations, provisions, requirements, orders and matters established and adopted hereby shall take effect and be in full force and effect <u>(time period)</u> from and after the date of its final passage and adoption.

.

.

.

•.

٠

.

.

.

.

•.

.

.

•
NWEC

CHAPTER 1

ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT

SECTION 101

SCOPE AND GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

101.1 Title

This code shall be known as the Northwest Energy Code, and may be cited as such. It is referred to herein as "this code".

101.2 Intent

The provisions of this code shall regulate the design of building envelopes for adequate thermal resistance and low air leakage and the design and selection of mechanical, electrical, service water heating and illumination systems and equipment which will enable effective use of electric energy in new building construction.

It is intended that these provisions provide flexibility to permit the use of innovative approaches and techniques to achieve effective utilization of electric energy. These provisions are structured to permit compliance with the intent of this code by any one of the three four paths of design:

- 1. A systems approach for the entire building and its energyusing subsystems, Chapter 4; or
- 2. A component performance approach for various building elements and mechanical systems and components, Chapter 5; or
- 3. Specified-acceptable-practice, A prescriptive requirements approach, Chapter 6; or
- 4. A component point system approach, Chapter 7.

Subject to the limits which apply to each Chapter compliance with any one of these paths meets the intent of this code. This code-is-not-interted to-abridge-safety, health or environmental requirements required under other applicable codes or ordinance.

This code is intended to supplement the provisions of the Uniform Building Code, the Uniform Mechanical Code, and the National Electric Code, and in case of conflict between this code and any of those codes with respect to the efficient use of electricity, the provisions of those codes shall apply. In any

· 1

case where a Federal, state or local code or regulation exceeds this code's requirements with respect to securing more efficient use of electric energy, that code or regulation shall apply.

101.3 Scope

1987 Edition

This code sets forth minimum requirements for the design of new buildings and structures or portions thereof and additions, alterations and repair to existing buildings that provide facilities or shelter for public assembly, educational, business, mercantile, institutional, storage and residential occupancies, as well as those portions of factory and industrial occupancies, designed primarily for human occupancy by regulating their exterior envelopes and the selection of their HVAC, service water heating, electrical distribution and illuminating systems and equipment for effective use of electric energy.

Buildings shall be designed to comply with the requirements of Chapter 4, 5, 6, or 7 of this code.

Wherever in this code reference is made to the appendix, the provisions in the appendix shall not apply unless specifically adopted.

101.3.1 Exampt Buildings. Buildings and structures or portions thereof meeting any of the following criteria shall be exempt from the building envelope requirements of Section 502, 602, and 702. However, the energy usage from all sources shall be included in any analysis performed pursuant to Chapter 4.

101.3.1.1 Buildings and structures or portions thereof whose peak design rate of energy usage is less than 3.4 Btu/h per square foot or 1.0 watt per square foot of floor area for all purposes space conditioning requirements.

101.3.1.2 Buildings and structures or portions thereof which are wither heated or cooled according to the definitions in Chapter 2, provided that any space heating equipment which is installed complies with all of the following criteria:

- a. Sized for a maximum interior design temperature of less than 50 degrees F.
- b. Equipped with thermostatic control which is manufactured to have a maximum temperature setting of $50^{\circ}F$ or less

101.3.2 Application to Existing Buildings.

101.3.2.1 Additions, alterations and repairs to existing buildings. Additions, alterations and repairs may be made to existing buildings or structures may be made to such buildings or

NWEC

structures without making the entire building or structure comply provided that the new addition alteration or repair shall conform to the provisions of this code as they relate to new construction. The -Duilding-Official-may-approve-designs-of alterations or repairs which do not fully conform with all of the requirements -of -- this with -- where in histor opinion -full conformance -- is -- physically -- im == sible -- and/or -- commically impractical and -(1) the alteration or repair improves the electric energy efficiency of the building, or (2) the alteration or-repair-is Gerry efficient-and-is-necessary-for-the-health, safety, and welfare of the general sublic. Where the structural elements of the altered portions of roof/ceiling, wall or floor are not being replaced, these elements shall be deemed to comply with this Code if all the cavities exposed during construction are filled to the full depth with batt insulation or insulation having an equivalent nominal R value while for roof/ceiling, maintaining the required space for ventilation. Existing roof/ceilings, walls and floors without framing cavities need not be insulated.

101.3.2.2 Lighting. Those parts of lighting systems which are altered or replaced in buildings initially constructed to the requirements of this code shall comply with Section 505.4. In addition, other remodels or replacements which affect the lighting system of a floor shall also comply with the lighting power budgets specified in Section 505.3.

101.3.2.3 Historic buildings. Historic buildings are except from this code. This exemption shall apply to those tarihings which have been specifically designated as historically segnificant by the state or local governing body, or listed in "the National Register of Historic Places" or which have been determined to be eligible for listing.

101.3.2.4 Change of occupancy. A change in the occupancy or use of an existing building or structure constructed under this code which would require an increase in demand for either fossil-fuel-or electrical energy supply shall not be permitted unless such building or structure is made to comply with the requirements of this code.

101.3.2.5 Moved Buildings. Buildings or structures moved into or within the jurisdiction shall comply with the envelope insulation provisions of this code for new buildings or structures when the cavities of the roof/ceiling, wall or floor elements are accessible or exposed & Sec 101.3.2.1 if it applies.

EXCEPTION: The ceiling cavity need not be filled to more than the full depth of the space available including adequate space for ventilation.

1987 Mition

101.3.3 Mixed α inputery. When a building houses more than one occupancy, each portion of the building shall conform to the requirements for the occupancy housed therein. Where minor accessory uses do not occupy more than 10 percent of the area of any floor of a building, the major use shall be considered the building occupancy.

SECTION 102

MATERIALS AND EQUILMENT

102.1 Identification

Materials and equipment shall be identified in order to show compliance with this code.

102.2 Maintenance Information

Required regular maintenance actions shall be clearly stated and incorporated on a reachly accessible label. Such label may be limited to identifying, by title or publication number, the operation and maintenance manual for that particular model and type of product. Maintenance instructions shall be furnished for equipment which requires preventative maintenance for efficient operation.

SECTION 103 ALTERNATIV HATERIALS-METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION, DESIGN OR INSTRUCTION, DESIGN OR INSTRUCTION, SYSTEMS

103.1 Alternate Materials and Methods of Construction

The provisions of this code are not intended to prevent the use of any material, method of construction, design or insulating system not specifically prescribed herein, provided that such construction, design or insulating system has been approved by the building official as meeting the intent of the code.

The building official may approve any such alternate, provided he finds that the proposed design is satisfactory and complies with the provisions of this cule and that the material, method or work offered is, for the purpose intended, at least the equivalent of that prescribed in this code in suitability, strength, affectiveness, fire resistance, durability, safety and sunitation.

The building official shall require that sufficient evidence or proof be submitted to substantiate any claims that may be made regarding its use. The details of any action granting approval

4

1987 Edition

103.2 Tests

104.2 Details

of an alternate shall be recorded and entered in the files of the The plans and specifications shall show in sufficient detail

NWEC

Whenever there is insufficient evidence of compliance with any of the provisions in this code or evidence that any material or construction does not conform to the requirements of this code, the building official may require tests as proof of compliance to be made at no expense to this jurisdiction.

Test methods shall be as specified by this code or by other recognized test standards. If there are no recognized and accepted test methods for the proposed alternate, the building official shall determine test procedures.

All tests shall be made by an approved agency. Reports of such tests shall be retained by the building official for the period required for the retention of public records.

103.3 Board of Anneals

code enforcement agency.

In order to determine the suitability of alternate materials and methods of construction and to provide for reasonable interpretations of this code, there shall be and is hereby created a Board of Appeals consisting of members who are qualified by experience and training to pass upon matters pertaining to building construction and who are not employees of the jurisdiction. The building official shall be an ex officio member of and shall act as secretary to said board. The Board of Appeals shall be appointed by the governing body and shall hold office at its pleasure. The board shall adopt rules of procedure for conducting its business and shall render all decisions and findings in writing to the appellant with a duplicate copy to the building official.

SECTION 104 PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

104.1 Ceneral

With each application for a building permit, and when required by the building official, plans and specifications shall be The building official may require plans and submitted. specifications to be prepared by an engineer or architect licensed to practice by the state. (Designs submitted under the provisions of Chapter 4 for other than low rise R-3 occupancies shall be prepared by an engineer or architect licensed to practice by the state.)

5

NHEC

1. 1. STA 18

Section And

pertinent data and features of the building and the equipment and systems as herein governed, including, but not limited to: design criteria, exterior envelope component materials, U values of the envelope systems, R values of insulating materials, size and type of apparatus and equipment, equipment and systems controls and other pertinent data to indicate conformance with the requirements of the code.

SECTION 105

ENFORCEMENT AND INSPECTIONS

105.1 Ceneral

Construction or work for which a permit is required shall be subject to inspection by the building official.

105.2 Approvals Required

No work shall be done on any part of the building or structure beyond the point indicated in each successive inspection without first obtaining the written approval of the building official. No construction shall be concealed without inspection approval.

105.3 Final Inspection

There shall be a final inspection and approval for buildings when completed and ready for oppupancy.

105.4 Reinstantion

The building official may cause a structure to be reinspected.

SECTION 106

VALIDITY

If a section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this code is, for any reason, held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this code. 11

6

NWEC

SECTION 107

VIOLATIONS

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to erect, construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, improve, remove convert or demolish, equip, use, occupy or maintain any building or structure or cause or permit the same to done in violation of this code.

SECTION 108

LIABILIY

The building official, or his authorized representative charged with the enforcement of this code, acting in good faith and without malice in the discharge of his duties, shall not thereby render himself personally liable for any damage that may accrue to persons or property as a result of any act or by reason of any act or omission in the discharge of his duties. Any suit brought against the building official or employee because of such act or omission performed by him in the enforcement of any provision of such codes shall be defended by this jurisdiction until final termination of such proceedings, and any judgment resulting therefrom shall be assumed by this jurisdiction.

This code shall not be construed to relieve from or lessen the responsibility of any person owning, operating or controlling any building or structure for any damages to persons or property caused by defects, nor shall the code enforcement agency or its parent jurisdiction be held as assuming any such liability by reason of the inspections authorized by this code or any certificates of inspection issued under this code.