

Comparison of Stop-Signal and Continuous Movement Reaction Stop Times to Measure Inhibitory Control Dominique Denning^{1,2}, Kelsey Schultz^{1,3}, Nicole Swann^{1,2}, ¹University of Oregon, ²Department of Human Physiology ³Institue of Neuroscience Task Design Results Continuous Movement Stop Task Unplanned Stop Trial 30% Unplanne Stop Go 6 Figure 1: SSRTs of participants for the continuous movement stopping task. Planned was 0.66+0.09 and unplanned was 0.78+0.07 n=14 was 0.67<u>+</u>0.16 n=8 **Continuous Movement Planned Stop Trial** Stop Go \bullet \bullet \bullet J.V Table 1: Left column are the mean unplanned SSRTs for the continuous movement stopping task. Right column are the SSRTs for the standard stop signal task. Stop Signal Task Go Trial Conclusions Results from the continuous movement stopping task \Box (Figures 1 and 2) show that unplanned stopping is slower than planned stopping. We are still unsure of how stopping in our novel task compares to the standard stop signal task as data collection is ongoing. References Stop Signal Task Stop Trial Logan, G.D., Cowan, W.B (1984) On the ability to inhibit thought and action: a theory of an act of control. Psychol Rev 91:295-327. Swann, N., Tandon, N., Canolty, R., Ellmore, T.M., McEvoy, L.K., Dreyer, S., DiSano, M., $\Box \Box$ Aron, A.R. (2009). Intracranial EEG Reveals a Time- and Frequency-Specific Role for the Right Inferior Frontal Gyrus and Primary Motor Cortex in Stopping Initiated Responses. J Neuro, 29(40):12675-12685. Verbruggen, F., Aron, A. R., Band, G. P., Beste, C., Bissett, P. G., Brockett, A. T., Boehler, C. N. (2019). A consensus guide to capturing the ability to inhibit actions and impulsive behaviors in the stop-signal task. ELife, 8, e46323. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46323 Acknowledgments Rene James Seed Grant to Accelerate Scientific Research

Introduction

- Cognitive control, specifically inhibitory control, can be studied experimentally with the motor inhibition tasks such as the stop signal task.²
- The stop signal task (Logan and Cowan, 1984) measures averaged SSRT over group of trials, when stopping a planned movement from ocurring.¹
- We used a previously published version of the standard stop signal task (Verbuggen et. al 2019) to be administered online.³
- While useful, some limitations of the stop signal task include the inability to estimate stopping for individual trials.
- We developed a novel continuous movement stopping task to overcome these limitations

Research Question.

How does stopping a continuous movement- as implemented in our novel task – compare with stopping in the standard stopping task?

Methods

- Participants completed continuous movement task in the lab between June 2019, and March 2020.
- Continuous Movement task consists of 12 blocks of 20 trials where participants move a computer mouse in a circle during a countdown. A predetermined percentage of trials have an interrupted countdown where the participant must terminate their movement.
- In May 2020 previous continuous movement participants were contacted to take place in this comparison study and sent a link for a previously published and available version of the standard stop task (Verbruggen et. al 2019).
- Stop Signal Task consists of 4 blocks of 64 trials each. The participant was shown either two left or right arrows that corresponded with the arrow key that they were supposed to respond with. In 25% of the trials the arrows would turn red signaling for the participant to not hit an arrow key.









Figure 2: SSRTs of participants for the continuous movement stopping task. Planned was 0.58+0.22 and unplanned

CMST	SST
0.62009	x
0.82509	x
0.82312	x
0.76277	x
0.72428	x
0.76814	x
0.82731	x
0.66328	x
0.84215	x
0.84215	x
0.85568	Х
0.81102	x
0.57574	х
0.66921	Х
0.58523	x
0.54804	х
0.67731	X
1.0764	X