

18

diameter method A1

Group W ($\frac{1}{2}$ of D) n=21

$\checkmark 71$	5015	$\checkmark 51$	4073
$\checkmark 32$	4025	$\checkmark 54$	4076
$\checkmark 14$	4007	$\checkmark 44$	4065
$\checkmark 46$	4068	$\checkmark 60$	4082
$\checkmark 59$	4081	$\checkmark 106$	8034
$\checkmark 113$	8057	$\checkmark 23$	4016
$\checkmark 65$	4087	$\checkmark 27$	4020
$\checkmark 115$	8064	$\checkmark 49$	4071
		$\checkmark 17$	4010
		$\checkmark 124$	8099
		$\checkmark 58$	4080
		$\checkmark 80$	5063
		$\checkmark 126$	8101

discrete method A1

group X ($\frac{1}{2}$ of D) $n=22$

$\checkmark 7$	3028	$\checkmark 66$	4088	$\checkmark 108$	8038
$\checkmark 62$	4084	$\checkmark 93$	5127	$\checkmark 105$	8016
$\checkmark 20$	8085	$\checkmark 86$	5107	$\checkmark 122$	8090
$\checkmark 97$	6020	$\checkmark 15$	4008		
$\checkmark 85$	4095 097	$\checkmark 101$	7027		
$\checkmark 99$	7015	$\checkmark 110$	8046		
$\checkmark 6$	3019	$\checkmark 40$	4033		
$\checkmark 23$	8097	$\checkmark 13$	4006		
$\checkmark 47$	4069	$\checkmark 104$	8014		
$\checkmark 38$	4031				

diameter
method A1

Group X - n=69

Y₁ (E) n= 27

✓43	4064	✓78	5061	✓26	4019
✓76	5037	✓91	5119	✓73	5017
✓83	5077	✓9	4002	✓95	6009
✓34	4027	✓92	5123	✓98	7007
✓100	7023	✓53	4077	✓19	4012
✓70	5006	✓29	4022	✓119	8079
✓75	5034	✓84	5094	✓3	2015
✓81	5064	✓109	8039	✓114	8059
✓90	5111	✓5	3009	✓121	8087

Y₂ (F) n= 42

✓68	5003	✓36	4029	✓63	4085	✓112	8053
✓102	8003	✓72	5016	✓69	5005	✓36	4078
✓117	8073	✓89	5110	✓103	8007	✓128	9011
✓125	8100	✓30	4023	✓48	4070	✓107	8029
✓4	2031	✓52	4074	✓77	5050	✓50	4072
✓127	8104	✓8	4001	✓118	8076	✓94	6005
✓18	4011	✓24	4017	✓79	5062	✓129	9023
✓37	4030	✓42	4035	✓82	5065		
✓11	4004	✓61	4083	✓2	2009		
✓1	2007	✓25	4018	✓87	5108		
✓33	9026	✓88	5109	✓39	4032		
✓10	4003	✓41	4034				

beameter method

A1

Group $\alpha + \beta - n=21$ - exp from meaings at 41.66

X W α 71, 32, 14, 46, 59, 113, 65, 115, 51
β 51 59, 44, 60, 106, 23, 27, 49, 17, 124, 58, 80, 126

D $\alpha + \beta + ?$
group $\alpha + \beta -$ break off at ~~51 32~~ 29.00 $n = 122422$

X $\beta -$ 7, 62, 120, 97, 85, 99, 6, 123
47, 38, 66, 93, 86, 15, 101, 110, 40, 13, 104,
108, 105, 122

$n=69$ β $4_1 - n=27$ 43, 76, 83, 34, 100, 70, 75, 81, 90,
4. 4_2 $n=21$ 78, 91, 9, 92, 55, 29, 84, 109, 5, 26, 73,
break 95, 98, 19, 119, 3, 114, 121

$4_1 + 4_2 / \beta$ $4_2, n=42 -$
break at 17.72 68, 102, 117, 125, 4, 127, 18, 37, 11, 1, 33, 10,
36, 72, 89, 30, 52, 8, 24, 42, 61, 25, 88, 41,
63, 69, 103, 48, 77, 118, 79, 82, 2, 87,
39, 112, 52, 128, 107, 50, 94, 129

Z group 2 break off at 23.64 $n=18$

31, 45, 12, 35, 53, 16, 111, 67, 20, 32, 116, 571
96, 21, 28, 64, 74, 130.

of 9

group 2 (g)

n = 18

diameter
method A'1

✓31	4024	✓22	4015
✓45	4066	✓16	8068
✓12	4005	✓57	4079
✓35	4028	✓96	6015
✓53	4075	✓21	4014
✓16	4009	✓28	4021
✓11	8052	✓64	4086
✓67	4089	✓74	5018
✓20	4013	✓130	9026

Comparison of
mean and standard
deviations of groups in
sample A 1 - diameter method

variable	group K	group L	group M	group N	group O	total sample
4 who own house w/ lives w/	2.429 (1.917)	2.955 (2.142)	1.406 (1.322)	2.333 (2.055)		
11 fam size tot size s ₂	3.333 (1.727)	3.000 (1.809)	2.971 (1.579)	2.889 (1.449)		
12 fam size kin.	2.190 (1.006)	2.455 (1.117)	2.420 (1.256)	2.056 (0.970)		
15 wk. for read- book dad	1.900 (1.136)	2.727 (2.597)	2.838 (2.742)	2.056 (1.899)		
21 ch. part. dad	2.750 (1.090)	2.125 (1.166)	2.836 (1.016)	2.750 (1.031)		
23 rel. b ₁ w/dad	1.850 (0.963)	1.389 (0.591)	1.441 (0.881)	1.733 (0.854)		
30 brother id-mom	1.850 (1.195)	1.636 (1.693)	2.059 (1.969)	1.556 (1.117)		
36 ch. part mom	1.857 (0.940)	2.300 (1.187)	2.368 (1.110)	2.556 (1.066)		
38 rel w/mom	1.952 (0.999)	1.762 (1.151)	1.449 (0.826)	1.471 (0.696)		
46 dec. sure 15 most sure	2.182 (0.575)	1.769 (0.697)	2.278 (0.606)	2.250 (0.595)		
48 rel. w/relatives	3.095 (1.509)	2.286 (1.985)	2.706 (1.707)	2.588 (1.331)		
49 wrn	1.900 (1.178)	1.952 (2.193)	2.014 (2.197)	1.278 (0.731)		
51 home life	4.143 (0.774)	4.095 (1.019)	4.333 (0.943)	4.056 (0.811)		
52 par mar	4.263 (0.714)	4.562 (0.496)	4.188 (1.080)	4.200 (0.748)		
53 ch part rep	1.571 (1.050)	1.333 (0.777)	1.735 (0.917)	1.833 (1.118)		
64 dia. exp. 15 met adults	2.875 (1.053)	2.643 (1.109)	2.712 (0.950)	2.308 (0.991)		

1 = higher
SES scale

Comparison of
mean and standard
deviations of groups in
sample A.1 - diameter method

variable	n=21	n=22	n=69	n=18	total samp
	group KU	group X	group Y	group Z	
16. TRR (no. days)	1.900 4 (1.131)	2.857 1 (1.207)	2.721 2 (1.211)	2.444 3 (1.343)	
17. ed. dad	5.263 2 (1.163)	4.118 1 (1.567)	5.403 3 (1.477)	5.625 4 (0.927)	
18. oc. dad	4.950 4 (1.244)	3.647 1 (1.185)	4.418 2 (1.373)	4.765 3 (1.165)	
19. hrs. w/ wk dad	6.500 3 (1.740)	6.000 1 (1.840)	6.312 2 (1.784)	6.687 4 (1.793)	
20. ch. affil dad	19.952 (7.358)	20.643 (5.135)	12.304 (1.836)	13.333 (2.560)	
22. Horg dad	2.368 3 (1.458)	3.562 1 (1.694)	2.403 2 (1.649)	2.118 4 (1.231)	
24 YMW- total	6.067 (4.711)	6.545 (5.246)	3.205 (2.700)	12.111 (3.143)	
% working	<u>15</u> <u>21</u>	<u>16</u> <u>32</u>	<u>39</u> <u>69</u>	<u>18</u> <u>18</u> = 100 %	
31 TPR mom	1.950 4 (1.244)	3.091 1 (0.996)	2.632 2 (1.271)	2.611 3 (1.297)	
32 Ed mom	4.474 2 (1.464)	3.744 1 (1.223)	5.191 4 (1.342)	5.000 3 (0.840)	
33 Oc mom	6.667 3 (1.960)	6.571 2 (1.866)	6.926 4 (1.630)	6.000 1 (1.856)	
34 H. w/wk mom	2.952 (2.420)	2.350 (2.080)	2.606 (2.443)	4.353 (3.009)	
35 ch affil. mom	26.286 (9.881)	16.111 (8.943)	13.145 (2.209)	16.222 (4.802)	
37 H.org mom	1.905 4 (0.971)	3.500 1 (1.979)	2.046 3 (1.408)	2.529 2 (1.882)	
43 next- own	1.800 (0.400)	1.810 (0.393)	1.889 (0.320)	1.778 (0.416)	

Comparison of
mean and standard
deviations of groups in
sample A 1 - diameter method

diameter melted - sample A1 E

	position in concent. class.
43	III M
76	III P
83	III P
34	III P
100	III P
70	III N
75	III N
81	III N
90	III N
78	III N
91	III N
9	III M
92	III N
55	III N
29	III N
84	III N
109	III N
5	III N
26	III N
73	III N
95	III N
98	III N
19	III N
119	III N
3	III N
114	III N
121	III N

Total n = 27

II = 6	0%
II = 0	0%
III M = 2	7
III N = 21	78
III P = 4	15

Sample A1 G

31

31	II
45	II
12	III N
35	II
53	II
16	II
11	II
67	II
20	III N
22	III N
116	II
57	III M
96	III M
31	II
58	II
64	II
74	III M
130	III P

$n=18$	$\%$
I = 0	0
II = 11	60
III M = 3	17
III N = 3	17
III P = 1	6

Sample # 1 F

		corresp. car-in con.-metabol		corresp. car-in con.-metabol
F ₁	68	II		118 III N
	102	III M		79 III N
	117	III M		82 III N
	125	III N		2 III N
	4	III N		87 III N
	127	III N		39 III N
F ₂	18	II		112 III N
	37	III M		56 III N
F ₃	11	III M		128 III N
	1	III M		107 III M
	33	III N		50 III M
	10	III M		94 III N
	36	III M		129 III N
	72	III M		
	89	III N		
	30	III M		
	52	III M		
	8	III N		
	24	III N		
	42	III N		
	61	III N		
	25	III N		
	88	III N		
	41	III N		
	63	III N		
	69	III N		
	103	III M		
	48	III N		
	77	III N		

n = 42	%
I = 0	0
II = 2	5
III M = 13	31
III N = 27	64
III P = 0	0

diametre melted
Sample A 1 D -

position in correctness cl.

71	71	I
32	32	II
14	14	II
96	96	II
59	59	II
113	113	II
65	65	II
115	115	III M
51	51	I
54	54	I
44	44	I
60	60	I
106	106	I
23	23	II
27	27	II
49	49	II
17	17	II
124	124	II
58	58	II
80	80	II
126	126	II
7	7	I
62	62	I
120	120	I
97	97	I
85	85	I
99	99	I
6	6	I
123	123	I
47	47	I

38	I	
66	I	
93	I	
86	I	
15	II	
101	II	
110	I	
40	III M	
13	III N	
104	III N	
108	II	
105	I	
122	I	

	oc.	freq.	I
I	22	50	190% >
II	17	40	74% >
III M	2	5	67% c
III N	2	5	88% c
III P	0	0	100% c

Total 43 100

total n = 43
 I = 22, 100%
 II = 17, 57%
 III M = 2, 10%
 III N = 2, 4%
 III P = 0, 0%

Dearmuth Method - Q1

<u>Z</u>	<u>X</u>
n	18
\bar{M}	22
ΣM	127.773
\bar{M}	127.543
\bar{M}	5.324
$\Sigma \sigma$	5.314
$\Sigma \sigma$	38.453
$\bar{\sigma}$	45.246
$\bar{\sigma}$	1.602
	1.885

<u>Y</u>	<u>W</u>
N	69
ΣM	21
\bar{M}	111.359
\bar{M}	146.629
\bar{M}	4.240
$\Sigma \sigma$	6.110
$\Sigma \sigma$	33.713
$\bar{\sigma}$	44.320
$\bar{\sigma}$	1.405
	1.847

$$|M_k - M_y| = .674 \quad \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_x^2}{n_x} + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{n_y}} = \sqrt{.162 + .029} = .44$$

$$|M_k - M_z| = .010 \quad \sqrt{x+z} = \sqrt{.162 + .143} = .53 \quad 1.10$$

$$|M_k - M_w| = .796 \quad \sqrt{x+w} = \sqrt{.162 + .162} = .57 \quad 1.14$$

$$|M_y - M_z| = .684 \quad \sqrt{y+z} = \sqrt{.029 + .143} = .41 \quad 0.82$$

$$|M_y - M_w| = 1.470 \quad \sqrt{y+w} = \sqrt{.029 + .162} = .44 \quad 0.88$$

$$|M_z - M_w| = .786 \quad \sqrt{z+w} = \sqrt{.143 + .162} = .55 \quad 1.10$$

diff. between gpo Y + W is significant -

$$\begin{array}{r} 5.635 \\ 24 \overline{)35.261} \\ 120 \\ \hline 152 \\ 144 \\ \hline 88 \\ 72 \\ \hline 141 \\ 120 \\ \hline 21 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 4.560 \\ 24 \overline{)109.459} \\ 96 \\ \hline 134 \\ 120 \\ \hline 145 \\ 144 \\ \hline 19 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1.498 \\ 34 \overline{)35.958} \\ 24 \\ \hline 119 \\ 96 \\ \hline 235 \\ 216 \\ \hline 198 \\ 192 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 5.720 \\ 24 \overline{)137.281} \\ 120 \\ \hline 172 \\ 168 \\ \hline 48 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 5.156 \\ 24 \overline{)123.750} \\ 120 \\ \hline 37 \\ 24 \\ \hline 135 \\ 120 \\ \hline 152 \\ 144 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1.520 \\ 24 \overline{)36.499} \\ 24 \\ \hline 124 \\ 120 \\ \hline 49 \\ 190 \\ .739 \\ 24 \overline{)17.722} \\ 168 \\ \hline 92 \\ 72 \\ \hline 202 \\ 214 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 4.731 \\ 24 \overline{)113.573} \\ 96 \\ \hline 175 \\ 168 \\ \hline 78 \\ 72 \\ \hline 62 \\ 48 \\ \hline 14 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 5.052 \\ 24 \overline{)121.259} \\ 120 \\ \hline 125 \\ 59 \\ 48 \\ \hline 11 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 2.097 \\ 24 \overline{)50.394} \\ 48 \\ \hline 234 \\ 216 \\ \hline 184 \\ 168 \\ \hline 160 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1.354 \\ 24 \overline{)32.498} \\ 24 \\ \hline 84 \\ 72 \\ \hline 128 \\ 120 \\ \hline 98 \\ 96 \end{array}$$

exp. 1 at 29.5° -

group α $n=8$ I 1
 II 6
 III m 1

group β $n=13$ I = 5
 II = 8

group Δ $n=8$ I - 8

group γ $n=4$ I - 4

group ϵ $n=97$

I	4	4%
II	16	16
III m	19	19
III N	53	54
III P	3-	5

method #1
project clump

Total Sample A1

Subsample
A I III M

mean =
var =
s.d. =
 $N =$

— dif | %

Variable

Subsample A I III N

mean =
var =
s.d. =
 $N =$

— dif | %

Subsample A I III P

mean =
var =
s.d. =
 $N =$

— dif | %

sep. 2 at 23.64

α is intact

β has split

1) $n=2$, both in group I

2) $n=11$, I = 3
II = 8

γ has split

1) $n=3$ all in I

2) $n=5$ " " "

δ is intact

E:

a) $n=1$ in I

b) $n=9$

I	1
II	5
III	1
IV	2

c) $n=69$

method #1
project clump
Total sample A1

Subsample
A 1 III M

mean =
var =
s.d. =
N =

— dif | %

Variable

Subsample A 1 III N

mean =
var =
s.d. =
N =

— dif | %

Subsample A 1 III P

mean =
var =
s.d. =
N =

— dif | %

d) $n=18$ ($= G$)

I 0
II 11
III M 3
III N 3
III P 1

Examine Ec only

at 17.72 splits off +

If continue minute analysis
do tend to find
members of groups dis.
in con. method
clustered in diameter
method - though
groups obtained are by no
means as distinct.

method #1
project clump
Total Sample A1

Subsample
A I III M

mean =
var =
s.d. =
N =

dif | %

Variable

Subsample A I III N

mean =
var =
s.d. =
N =

dif | %

Subsample A I III P

mean =
var =
s.d. =
N =

dif | %

Comp of con & drain: method

Ex diameter multal-

first seg. 4 groups labeled D, E, F, G -

result of memb.

group D		n =	
members in con. memb	occurrences	freg.	
I	22	50%	
II	17	40%	
III M	2	5%	> 10%
III N	2	5%	
III P	0	—	
total	43	100	

group E		n =	
or	freg.		
I	0		
II	0		
III M	2		
III N	21		
III P	4		
	27		
		100	

group F

I	0	0
II	2	5
III M	13	31
III N	27	64
III P	0	0
	42	100

group G

I	0	0
II	11	60
III M	3	17
III N	3	17
III P	1	6
	18	100

method # 1 project clump Total sample A1	Subsample	Variable	
	A 1 III M	Subsample A 3 III N	Subsample A 1 III P
mean =		mean =	mean =
var =		var =	var =
s.d. =		s.d. =	s.d. =
N =		N =	N =
	<u>dif</u> %	<u>dif</u> %	<u>dif</u> %

1. 2007	(draw 4)	tape # 00015c	Sample 1 A
2. 2009		40. 38	88. 5109
3. 2015	27	41. 34	89. 5110
4. 2031	32	42. 35	90. 5111
5. 5009	(draw 3)	43. 4	91. 5119
6. 3019		44. 65	92. 5123
7. 3028		45. 66	93. 5127
8. 4001	(draw 60)	46. 68	94. 6005 (draw 4)
9. 4002		47. 69	95. 9
10. 4003		48. 70	96. 15
11. 4004		49. 71	97. 20
12. 4005		50. 72	98. 7007 (draw 4)
13. 4006		51. 73	99. 7015
14. 4007		52. 74	100. 7023
15. 4008		53. 75	101. 7027
16. 4009		54. 76	102. 8003 draw 26
17. 4010		55. 77	103. 7
18. 4011		56. 78	104. 14
19. 212		57. 79	105. 16
20. 4013		58. 80	106. 34
21. 4 14		59. 81	107. 29
22. 15		60. 82	108. 38
23. 16	94	61. 83	109. 39
24. 17		62. 84	110. 46
25. 18		63. 85	111. 52
26. 19		64. 86	112. 53
27. 20		65. 87	113. 57
28. 21		66. 88	114. 59
29. 22		67. 89	115. 64
30. 23		68. 5003 (draw 26)	116. 68
31. 24		69. 5	117. 73
32. 25		70. 6	118. 76
33. 26		71. 15	119. 79
34. 27		72. 16	120. 85
35. 28		73. 17	121. 87
36. 29		74. 18	122. 90
37. 30		75. 34	123. 97
38. 31		76. 37	124. 99
39. 32		77. 50	125. 100
40. 33		78. 61	126. 101
41. 34		79. 62	127. 8104
42. 35		80. 63	128. 90 11.
43. 36		81. 64	129. 23 draw 2
44. 37		82. 65	130. 26
45. 38		83. 77	
46. 39		84. 94	
47. 40		85. 97	
48. 31		PC 5107	
49. 32		87. 5108	

III. Hypothesis ly

- A. No clear distinguished groups would appear
 - 1. impossible to distinguish groups from results of the clumping process or
 - 2. groups that did appear had no systematic differences that could demonstrate any clear typing, ordering, or classification system. (i.e. ~~either~~ no SES groups could be distinguished and therefore no stratification system.)
- B. Clearly distinguished groups would appear
 - 1. Clear cut, significant differences would appear in distributions of the variables used in clustering, but no significant differences would be determined in other variables pertaining to life styles. Thus socio-economic status groups could be apparent, but no conclusive evidence suggesting the existence of a stratification system would be apparent.
 - 2. Clear cut significant differences would appear in both the comparison of variables used in clumping and in the comparison of distributions of other life-style variables.
- C. Significant differences would appear in both variables used in the clustering process and in those pertaining to life styles, but the differences ~~were~~ between groups would not be significant enough and the variances and standard deviations would be too great to say that the groups were discrete, instead an overlapping of the groups along a socio-economic continuum would ~~be~~ seem to occur.

No real help
due to bias
of test.

use empirical
test to show more
likely or subject
possible results

To what extent do groups
not at all distinct
overlap
no overlap
Yes

To what extent may groups be distinguished

- 1. Not at all
- 2. Overlapping
- 3. distinct
 - a. no diff. in l.s.
 - b. diff. in l.s.

III. D. Definitions

1. Sample: A sample is defined to be the entire group of individuals that were submitted to the clumping process at any one time.
2. Group: Any part of the sample that appears to be clearly distinguished from the rest of the sample will be designated a group.
3. Clearly distinguished groups: When in the clumping process a group of individuals is within a certain distance of each other in the n-space and no other individuals are within that distance of any members of that group, then that group is said to be clearly distinguished from the others.
4. Systematic differences: When characteristics of individual and related variables hold throughout each subsample and are consistent within the sample, then systematic differences are said to occur. (e.g.: Tendencies for lower income, occupational and educational levels tend to hold for all family members and the individuals in the sample also tend to have lower quality homes or live in less desirable areas.)
5. Significant difference: The difference between the mean of a variable in any group and the mean of that variable for the total sample is defined to be significant if the difference between the mean being considered and the mean of the total sample is greater than or equal to _____ of the mean of the total.
6. SES (Socio-Economic Status): The position of an individual or group within a sample with respect to the other members of the sample in regard to factors relating to economic or social prestige and/or power within the community (e.g. income, education, occupation, type of home) will be defined as SES.
7. Stratification System: A stratification system or social class system will be said to exist if the various SES groups as defined in 6 exist and may be distinguished by styles of life that are uniform with the group and unique between the groups or within the sample.

Euclid. dist E between person X + person Y
in an n -space is

$$E = \left((x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n + y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n

represent the values of the various indices
for X + Y respectively.

values placed in matrix pairing each individual w/ every other ind
Clumping

A. Diameter method

1. people w/ greatest E are placed in 2 sep. gps.
then we pair w/ next highest E are placed
w/ them + so on -

B. Connectedness method

pair w/ smallest E are clumped together
then ind. next w/ next smallest E with
either of that pair is clumped w/ that part
of the pr.

In essence we are determining the membership
of the groups of individuals within the n -space
We can visualize the existence of such clumps
within a two or three-dimensional space
but the limits of our powers of abstraction
are quickly reached when dimensions go much
beyond 3!