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# DISSERTATION ABSTRACT 
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Title: Structures and Computations in Annular Khovanov Homology

Let $L$ be a link in a thickened annulus. In [GLW18], Grigsby-Licata-Wehrli showed that the annular Khovanov homology of $L$ is equipped with an action of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$, the exterior current algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$. In this dissertation, we upgrade this result to the setting of $L_{\infty}$-algebras and modules. That is, we show that $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ is an $L_{\infty}$-algebra and that the annular Khovanov homology of $L$ is an $L_{\infty}$-module over $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$. Up to $L_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism, this structure is invariant under Reidemeister moves.

In proving the above result, we include explicit formulas to compute the higher $L_{\infty}$-operations. Additionally, given a morphism $I: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ of $L_{\infty}$-algebras, we define a restriction of scalars operation in the setting of $L_{\infty}$-modules and prove that it defines a functor $I^{*}: L$-mod $\rightarrow L^{\prime}$-mod. A more abstract approach to this problem was recently given by Kraft-Schnitzer.

Finally, computer code was written to aid in the study of the above $L_{\infty}$-module structure. We discuss various patterns that emerged from these computations, most notably one relating the torsion in the annular Khovanov homology groups and the location of the inner boundary of the annulus.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Knots are familiar objects to almost everyone, and they have been widely studied throughout history. Mathematically, they are defined as embeddings of the circle, typically into three-dimensional Euclidean space. We can represent these embeddings using two-dimensional diagrams if we keep track of the overstrand and the understrand each time the knot crosses over itself; see Figure 1.


FIGURE 1. Two knot diagrams. Can the knot on the left be untangled to obtain the knot on the right?

Note that for any particular embedding of a knot, we may move the strands around to produce a different diagram for the same knot. Because one knot can have many different embeddings and associated diagrams, it makes sense to study knots up to isotopy, or, continuous deformation. Determining whether two knots belong to the same isotopy class is already a challenging question, as illustrated by Figure 1.

It is useful to associate auxiliary data to the knot that is invariant of the knot's isotopy class. In particular, if the auxiliary data is different for two knots, then the knots themselves had to be different-there is no way to deform one into
the other. This data can take many forms. It could be a number or a polynomial; it could be a group or a topological space. One of the most popular forms of data is that of a chain complex, which is what we will be studying. We will explore the Khovanov chain complex, constructed in [Kho00].

Our primary goal is to use the algebraic structure of the Khovanov complex to understand topological properties of a particular knot or link. In fact, much can be said just by examining the homology groups of the Khovanov complex. For example, a knot is the unknot if and only if the (reduced) Khovanov homology has rank one [KM11]. The Khovanov chain complex has also been used in the proofs of significant topological results. For example, Rasmussen used it to give a purely combinatorial proof of the Milnor conjecture [Ras10], which was first proved by Kronheimer-Mrowka using gauge theory [KM93]. More recently, Piccirillo used Khovanov homology to show that the Conway knot does not bound a smooth disk in the 4-ball, a longstanding open question [Pic20].

If our knot is embedded into a thickened annulus, there is a refinement of Khovanov homology, known as annular Khovanov homology. One of the main benefits of annular Khovanov homology is that there is additional structure that is not present in ordinary Khovanov homology. For example, annular Khovanov homology is an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-representation; see [GLW18]. We will be studying the structure of annular Khovanov homology. In particular, we will be understanding it in terms of $L_{\infty}$-algebras and modules, structures first appearing in rational homotopy theory, but recently seen in physics. We will review the background and theory of $L_{\infty}$-algebras and $L_{\infty}$-modules in Chapter II. In Chapter III, we will review the construction of Khovanov homology and annular Khovanov homology and show that both the annular Khovanov chain complex and its homology are $L_{\infty}$-modules.

Finally, as the knots we study get large, the Khovanov chain complex becomes increasingly complex. Computer computation becomes increasingly necessary to guide our intuition and provide experimental data. In Chapter IV, we will discuss various patterns that have emerged from computer computation while studying the annular Khovanov chain complex.

## CHAPTER II

## $L_{\infty}$-ALGEBRAS AND MODULES

### 2.1. Introduction

The study of $L_{\infty}$-algebras, also known as strong homotopy Lie algebras or sh-Lie algebras, can be traced back to rational homotopy theory and the deformations of algebraic structures, where they first appeared in the form of LieMassey operations [All77; Ret85; SS85]. Early applications centered around the Quillen spectral sequence and rational Whitehead products, and there has been continued interest in higher order Whitehead products recently; see [Bel +17$]$. There has also been much interest in $L_{\infty}$-algebras in physics, where Lie algebras and their representations play a major role. In particular, $L_{\infty}$-algebra structures have appeared in work on higher spin particles [BBD85], as well as in closed string theory [WZ92; Zwi93]. Stasheff gives a nice overview in a recent survey article [Sta19].

Attention has also been given to modules over $L_{\infty}$-algebras. The notion of an $L_{\infty}$-module was introduced in [LM95], in which the correspondence between Lie algebra representations and Lie modules was generalized to the $L_{\infty}$ setting. Moreover, homomorphisms between $L_{\infty}$-modules were developed in [All14].

While it is possible to give a complex an $L_{\infty}$ structure by writing down explicit formulas, another option is to use homological perturbation theory to transfer an existing $L_{\infty}$ structure from a different complex. Information on how to do so can be found in [Hue11; HS02; GLS91], where this idea is referred to as the homological perturbation lemma, though sometimes it is referred to as the
homotopy transfer theorem, as in [LV12; Man10]. An approach using operads was given in [Ber14], where explicit formulas are written down for the $A_{\infty}$ case. Explicit formulas for the $L_{\infty}$ case can be found in [Mor22a].

Much of the literature deals with the transfer of $L_{\infty}$-algebra structures; however, given a map between $L_{\infty}$-algebras, it is natural to want to use this map to relate their respective categories of modules. In this chapter, we give one explicit formula to do so, giving a proof of the following:

Theorem. Suppose $L, L^{\prime}$ are $L_{\infty}$-algebras over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $I: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ is a map of $L_{\infty}$ algebras. Then there is an induced functor $I^{*}: L-\bmod \rightarrow L^{\prime}$-mod, called restriction of scalars.

Given an $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$, our definition will satisfy $\left(I^{*} f\right)_{1}=f_{1}$. It follows that $I^{*}$ preserves quasi-isomorphisms; that is, if $M$ and $N$ are quasi-isomorphic, then so too are $I^{*} M$ and $I^{*} N$. We also observe that this generalizes the analagous result in the Lie algebra setting:

Corollary. If $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are Lie algebras, and $\phi: L^{\prime} \rightarrow \quad L$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, $\phi^{*}$ is the usual restriction of scalars for Lie algebra representations.

Because $L_{\infty}$ modules are defined in the graded setting, keeping track of signs requires a great deal of care. We will ignore signs and work over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. As mentioned in [All14], $A_{\infty}$-modules and maps between them can be reinterpreted in terms of differential comodules. The analagous reformulation in the $L_{\infty}$ case is less-understood, but perhaps could facilitate the recording of signs. Moreover, Kraft-Schnitzer recently gave a more abstract approach to the restriction of scalars operation in [KS22]. We present an alternative interpretation, and we
emphasize that the explicit formulas developed here are of particular interest for our applications. On the other hand, $[\mathrm{KS} 22]$ might serve as a guide for how to deal with signs in the future.

The outline of this chapter is as follows. In section 2, we review the definition of an $L_{\infty}$-algebra and explain morphisms between them. We provide a similar exposition for $L_{\infty}$-modules, and we describe how to compose morphisms between $L_{\infty}$-modules. In section 3 , we describe $I^{*}$, the restriction of scalars functor. We define $I^{*}$ on objects and morphisms, and then we prove that it is functorial. In section 4, we define chain contractions to describe an additional way to transfer a existing $L_{\infty}$-algebra or $L_{\infty}$-module structures. The appendix includes supplementary graphics for the proofs presented in this chapter, which contain somewhat complicated formulas.

### 2.2. Definitions and Examples

In this section, we review $L_{\infty}$-algebras and explain morphisms between them. We start by introducting some notation that we will use throughout the rest of this dissertation.

Definition 1. Let $\sigma \in S_{n}$ be a permutation. If $X$ is a set, then $\sigma$ induces a map $\sigma^{\bullet}: X^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$, defined by $\sigma^{\bullet}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right)$. If $X$ is a vector space, $\sigma$ induces a similarly-defined map on the $n$-fold tensor product $\sigma^{\bullet}: X^{\otimes n} \rightarrow$ $X^{\otimes n}$.

Definition 2. Fix non-negative integers $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}$, with $i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{r}=n$. A permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ is an $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$-unshuffle if

$$
\sigma(1)<\cdots<\sigma\left(i_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(i_{1}+1\right)<\cdots<\sigma\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& \sigma\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r-1}+1\right)<\cdots<\sigma\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will denote the set of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$-unshuffles in $S_{n}$ by $S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$.

Definition 3. We will denote by $S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ the set of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$-unshuffles $\sigma$ in $S_{n}$ satisfying $i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{r}$ and $\sigma\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{l-1}+1\right)<\sigma\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{l}+1\right)$ if $i_{l}=i_{l+1}$. This second condition on $\sigma$ says that the order is preserved when comparing the first elements of blocks of the same size. Indeed, if $\sigma$ is a $(1,2,2,3)$-unshuffle in $S_{8}^{\prime}$, then $i_{2}=i_{3}=2$, so the order must be preserved when comparing the first element of the $i_{2}$ block to the first element of the $i_{3}$ block.

Definition 4. We will denote by $\bar{S}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ the set of $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$-unshuffles $\sigma$ in $S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ satisfying $\sigma(1)=1$.

Definition 5. Let $V$ be a graded vector space. For $\sigma \in S^{n}$ and $v_{i} \in V$, let $\epsilon(\sigma):=$ $\epsilon\left(\sigma, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ be the total Koszul sign of $\sigma$. To compute $\epsilon(\sigma)$, every time two elements of degrees $x$ and $y$ are transposed, we record a sign of $(-1)^{x y}$, and $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is the total product of such signs. Define $\chi(\sigma):=\epsilon(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ to be the product of the Koszul sign and the sign of the permutation $\sigma$.

Remark. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: C \rightarrow D$ be graded maps of graded algebras. We will also follow the Kozsul sign convention of including a sign in the evaluation of the map $f \otimes g$. That is, for an element $x \otimes y \in A \otimes C$,

$$
(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y)=(-1)^{|x||g|} f(x) \otimes g(y)
$$

Example 1. Figure 2 is an example of a ( $1,1,2,3$ )-unshuffle in $S_{7}$. That is, $\sigma=(124653)(7)$, and we have drawn a picture describing $\sigma^{\bullet}$. That is, $x_{\sigma(1)}=x_{2}$, $x_{\sigma(2)}=x_{4}$, and so on. The picture describes how $\sigma^{\bullet}$ permutes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}$.


FIGURE 2. A depiction of an (1, 1, 2, 3)-unshuffle in $S_{7}$. Here $\sigma=(124653)(7)$, and $\sigma^{\bullet}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}\right)=\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{6}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{7}\right)$.

In words, a ( $1,1,2,3$ )-unshuffle places the numbers 1 through 7 into boxes of size $1,1,2$, and 3 , where the order is preserved in each box. In this example, the resulting boxes would be (2), (4), (1, 6), and (3, 5, 7).

Example 2. A special case of the above definition is if we only have two numbers in our partition of $n$. In particular, $\sigma \in S_{n}$ is a $(p, n-p)$-unshuffle if $\sigma(k)<$ $\sigma(k+1)$ whenever $k \neq p$. In words, this permutation will place the numbers 1 through $n$ into two boxes, where order is preserved in each. For brevity, we will sometimes refer to a ( $p, n-p$ )-unshuffle as a $p$-unshuffle if $n$ is clear.

Example 3. In $S_{4}$, if we use the notation $x y z w$ to denote the permutation $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ x & y & z & w\end{array}\right)$, then we can write down the 1,2 , and 3 -unshuffles:

1-unshuffles: $\quad 1234,2134,3124,4123$
2-unshuffles: $1234,1324,1423,2314,2413,3412$
3 -unshuffles: $1234,1243,1342,2341$

We can now state the definition of an $L_{\infty}$-algebra. We will include the general definition involving signs, though in the theorems we prove, we will work over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Definition 6. Let $V$ be a graded vector space. An $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure on $V$ is a collection of skew-symmetric multilinear maps $\left\{l_{k}: V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V\right\}$ of degree $k-2$. That is, each $l_{k}$ is skew-symmetric in the sense that

$$
l_{k} \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\chi(\sigma) l_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)
$$

for all $\sigma \in S_{k}$ and $x_{i} \in V$. These maps also must satsify the generalized Jacobi identity:

$$
\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma)(-1)^{i(j-1)} l_{j} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=0
$$

Here, $i \geq 1, j \geq 1, n \geq 1$, and the inner summation is taken over all $(i, n-i)$ unshuffles.

Remark. If we are working over characteristic two, then these maps are alternating as well.

Remark. We could have also written the skew-symmetry condition as $l_{k} \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=l_{k}$ for $\sigma \in S_{k}$.

Remark. Another way to write the generalized Jacobi indentity is by using the notation

$$
\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma} l_{j} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=0
$$

Remark. Figure 3 is a depiction of the generalized Jacobi identity.


FIGURE 3. A graphical depiction of the generalized Jacobi identity. This should be interpreted as the sum of all compositions $l_{j} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}$, applied to the input $x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n}$. That is, this picture represents $\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma} l_{j} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\left(x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\right.$ $\left.x_{n}\right)=0$.

Remark. This definition follows the chain complex convention. If instead our $L_{\infty^{-}}$ algebra is a cochain complex, we require each $l_{k}$ to have degree $2-k$. There are similar cohain complex conventions for the following definitions.

Definition 7. Let $\left(L, l_{i}\right)$ and $\left(L^{\prime}, l_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be $L_{\infty}$-algebras. An $L_{\infty}$-algebra homomorphism from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ is a sequence of skew-symmetric multilinear maps $\left\{f_{n}: L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow L^{\prime}\right\}$ of degree $n-1$ such that

$$
\sum_{j+k=n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S(k, n-k)} \epsilon_{1} \cdot f_{j} \circ\left(l_{k} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}+\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n}} \epsilon_{2} \cdot l_{r}^{\prime} \circ\left(f_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{i_{r}}\right) \circ \tau^{\bullet}=0
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}=\chi(\sigma)(-1)^{k(j-1)+1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}=\chi(\tau)(-1)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}+\sum_{s=1}^{r-1} i_{s}(r-s)}$.

Example 4. The $n=2$ morphism relation says that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-f_{1}\left(l_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)+f_{2}\left(l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{2}\right) & -(-1)^{\left|x_{1}\right|\left|x_{2}\right|} f_{2}\left(l_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1}\right) \\
& +l_{1}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)+l_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), f_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\left(L, l_{i}\right)$ and $\left(L^{\prime}, l_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ are $L_{\infty}$-algebras consisting of elements in degree 0 only, the $n=2$ morphism relation simplifies to $f_{1}\left(l_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)-l_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), f_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=0$, which is just a Lie algebra homomorphism: $\phi\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right)=\left[\phi\left(x_{1}\right), \phi\left(x_{2}\right)\right]$.

Definition 8. Let $\left(L, l_{k}\right)$ be an $L_{\infty}$-algebra. The data of an $L_{\infty}$-module over $L$ consists of a graded vector space $M$, together with skew-symmetric multilinear maps $\left\{k_{n}: L^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M \rightarrow M \mid 1 \leq n<\infty\right\}$ of degree $n-2$ satisfying:

$$
\sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\ p<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{q} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}+\sum_{p+q=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(p)=n} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{q} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=0
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=\chi(\sigma)(-1)^{p(q-1)}$ and $\sigma$ is a $p$-unshuffle in $S_{n}$. In the case of $\sigma(p)=n$, we used the skew-symmetry of $k_{q}$ and introduced $\delta^{\bullet}$ to permute the $k_{p}$ term past the remaining elements to ensure that $k_{q}: L^{\otimes q-1} \otimes M \rightarrow M$. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{q}(\underbrace{k_{p}\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(p)}\right)}_{\in M}), x_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) & =\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{q}(\delta^{\bullet}(\underbrace{k_{p}\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(p)}\right)}_{\in M}, x_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)})) \\
& =\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{q}(x_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}, \underbrace{k_{p}\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(p)}\right)}_{\in M})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{3}=\chi(\delta)=(-1)^{q-1}(-1)^{\left(p+\sum_{s=1}^{p}\left|x_{\sigma(s)}\right|\right)\left(\sum_{s=p+1}^{n}\left|x_{\sigma(s)}\right|\right)}$.

Example 5. The $n=1$ module relation says that $M$ is a chain complex with differential $k_{1}$ :

$$
k_{1}\left(k_{1}(m)\right)=0
$$

The $n=2$ module relation says that the action satisfies the graded Leibniz rule:

$$
-k_{2}\left(l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{2}\right)-(-1)^{\left|x_{1}\right|} k_{2}\left(x_{1}, k_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+k_{1}\left(k_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=0
$$

Using a different notation, we could also write

$$
-\left[\partial x_{1}, x_{2}\right]-(-1)^{\left|x_{1}\right|}\left[x_{1}, \partial x_{2}\right]+\partial\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=0
$$

to remind us of differential graded Lie algebras. For reference, the $n=3$ module relation is the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.k_{3}\left(l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)-(-1)^{\left|x_{1}\right|\left|x_{2}\right|} k_{3}\left(l_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1}, x_{3}\right)+(-1)^{\left|x_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|} k_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, k_{1}\left(x_{3}\right)\right) \\
+ & k_{2}\left(l_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), x_{3}\right)+(-1)^{\left|x_{1}\right|\left|x_{2}\right|} k_{2}\left(x_{2}, k_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)\right)-k_{2}\left(x_{1}, k_{2}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) \\
+ & k_{1}\left(k_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 9. Following [All14], let $\left(L, l_{i}\right)$ be an $L_{\infty}$-algebra, and let ( $M, k_{i}$ ) and ( $M^{\prime}, k_{i}^{\prime}$ ) be $L_{\infty}$-modules over $L$. An $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism from $M$ to $M^{\prime}$ is a collection of skew-symmetric multilinear maps $\left\{h_{n}: L^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes M \rightarrow M^{\prime}\right\}$ of degree $n-1$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\
i<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \epsilon_{1} \cdot h_{j} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} & +\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n} \epsilon_{2} \cdot h_{j} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \\
& +\sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau} \epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{r}^{\prime} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes h_{s}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=\chi(\sigma)(-1)^{i(j-1)+1}$ and $\epsilon_{3}=\chi(\tau)(-1)^{(s-1)\left(\sum_{t=1}^{n-s} x_{\tau(t)}\right)}, \sigma$ is an $i-$ unshuffle in $S_{n}$, and $\tau$ is an $(n-s)$-unshuffle in $S_{n-1}$. Similar to the definition of $L_{\infty}$-module, we include the permutation $\delta$ to ensure the module element is in the correct location.

Remark. Figure 4 is a depiction of the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation.


FIGURE 4. A graphical depiction of the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation. This should be interpreted as $\sum h_{j} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}+\sum h_{j} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=$ $\sum k_{r}^{\prime} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes h_{s}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)$.

Example 6. The $n=1$ module homomorphism relation says that $h_{1}$ is a chain map: $h_{1} k_{1}(m)=k_{1}^{\prime} h_{1}(m)$. Omitting signs, the $n=2$ module homomorphism relation says:

$$
h_{2}\left(l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), m\right)+h_{2}\left(x_{1}, k_{1}(m)\right)+h_{1}\left(k_{2}\left(x_{1}, m\right)\right)=k_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, h_{1}(m)\right)+k_{1}^{\prime}\left(h_{2}\left(x_{1}, m\right)\right)
$$

Definition 10. The identity map, $\operatorname{Id}_{M}$, of an $L_{\infty}$ module $M$ is defined as follows. $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)_{1}$ is the identity map of the underlying graded vector space $M$, and $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)_{r}=$ 0 for $r \geq 2$. It is straightforward to check that this satisfies the definition of an $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism.

Definition 11. Let $L$ be an $L_{\infty}$-algebra, and let $A, B$, and $C$ be $L_{\infty}$-modules over $L$. Given $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$, we define the composition $g \circ f$ by

$$
(g \circ f)_{n}=\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n} g_{j} \circ \delta \circ\left(f_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

where $\sigma$ is an $i$-unshuffle in $S_{n}$, and $\lambda^{\bullet}$ is the map that permutes the module element to the final input.


FIGURE 5. A graphical depiction of the composition of two $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphisms. This should be interpreted as $(g \circ f)_{n}=\sum g_{j} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}$.

The following Lemma is perhaps well-known, but we do not know a reference for it. Pictures representing each step in the proof are given in the appendix.

Lemma 1 (Composition). Let $\left(L, l_{i}\right)$ be an $L_{\infty}$-algebra, and let $A, B$, and $C$ be $L_{\infty}$-modules over $L$, with module operations denoted by $a_{i}, b_{i}$, and $c_{i}$, respectively. Given $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$, the composition $g \circ f$ is an $L_{\infty}$ module homomorphism.

Proof. This follows from the fact that both $f$ and $g$ are $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphisms. Below, we will apply the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation for $f$, then we will apply the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation for $g$, and then we will conclude the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation for $g \circ f$.

Step 1. The relation that we need to show is

$$
\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma}(g \circ f)_{j} \circ\left(a_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=\sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau} c_{r} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes(g \circ f)_{s}\right) \circ \tau^{\bullet}
$$

where $\sigma$ is an $(i, n-i)$-unshuffle and $\tau$ is an ( $n-s, s-1$ )-unshuffle.

Step 2. Break the left-hand side into two parts, and replace $(g \circ f)_{j}$ with its definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n} \sum_{p+q=j+1} \sum_{\theta(p)=j} g_{q} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(a_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \\
+ & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\
i<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{p+q=j+1} \sum_{\theta(p)=j} g_{q} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta^{\bullet}$ is the map that permutes the module element to the last input.

Step 3. In the first sum, applying $\sigma^{\bullet}$ and $\theta^{\bullet}$ results in a block of size $i$ being inputted to $a_{i}$, a block of size $p-1$ being inputted into $f_{p}$, together with the output of $a_{i}$, and then a block of size $j-p$ remaining elements (which will be inputted into $\left.g_{q}\right)$. An equivalent way to achieve this is to first apply a $(p+i-1)$-unshuffle $\eta^{\bullet}$ and then an $i$-unshuffle $\psi^{\bullet}$. If $\eta(p+i-1)=n$ and $\psi(i)=p+i-1$, we again obtain a block of size $i$ being inputted into $a_{i}$, then a block of size $p-1$ being inputted into $f_{p}$, together with the output of $a_{i}$, with $j-p$ elements remaining.

In the second sum, we do the same thing, except the output of $l_{i}$ can either go into the first input of $f_{p}$ or the first input of $g_{q}$, by the definition of unshuffle. So we decompose the second sum to reflect these two cases.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{p+q=j+1} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S(p+i-1, j-p) \\
\eta(p+i-1)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S(i, p-1) \\
\psi(i)=p+i-1}} g_{q} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(a_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\psi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\
i<n}} \sum_{\substack{p+q=j+1}} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S(p+i, j-p-1) \\
\eta(p+i)=n}} g_{q} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\psi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4. Reindex over $\alpha=p+i$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{p+i=\alpha} \sum_{\eta \in S(\alpha-1, n-\alpha+1)} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S(i, \alpha-1-i) \\
\eta(\alpha-1)=n}} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(a_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\psi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet} \\
+ & \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{p+i=\alpha \\
1<p, i<n}} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S(\alpha-1, n-\alpha+1) \\
\eta(\alpha-1)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S(i, \alpha-1-i) \\
\psi(i)=i}} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\psi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet} \\
+ & \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{p+i=\alpha \\
i<n}} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S(\alpha, n-\alpha) \\
\eta(\alpha)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S(i, p-1) \\
\psi(i)=i}} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\psi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5. Apply the module homomorphism relation for $f$ in the first two sums. In the third sum, change notation from $i$ to $t$ and from $p$ to $s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{t+s=\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S(\alpha-1, n-\alpha+1) \\
\eta(\alpha-1)=n}} \sum_{\tau \in S(t-1, s-1)} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(b_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet} \\
& +\sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{t+s=\alpha \\
t<n}} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S(\alpha, n-\alpha) \\
\eta(\alpha)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S(t, s-1) \\
\psi(t)=t}} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{t} \otimes f_{s} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\psi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6. In the first sum, combine $\tau \in S(t-1, s-1)$ and $\eta \in S(\alpha-1, n-\alpha+1)$ into a single $(t-1, s, n-\alpha+1)$-unshuffle, denoted by $\pi$. In the second sum, combine
$\psi \in S(t, s-1)$ and $\eta \in S(\alpha, n-\alpha)$ into a single $(t, s, n-\alpha)$-unshuffle, denoted by $\pi$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{t+s=\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S(t-1, s, n-\alpha+1) \\
\pi(\alpha-1)=n}} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(b_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \pi^{\bullet} \\
+ & \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{t+s=\alpha \\
t<n}} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S(t, s, n-\alpha) \\
\pi(\alpha)=n}} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes f_{s} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \pi^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 7. In the first sum, $\pi$ unshuffles the $n$ elements into a block of size $t-1$, a block of size $s$, and a block of size $n-\alpha+1$. The block of size $s$ is then inputted into $f_{s}$, and then the output of $f_{s}$ is then inputted into $b_{t}$, as the module element, with the block of size $t-1$.

An equivalent way of achieving this is to apply an ( $n-s, s-1$ )-unshuffle to the $(n-1)$-algebra elements, to form blocks of size $(n-s)$ and $s-1$, and then input the $s-1$ algebra elements into $f_{s}$, with the module element. Then, apply an $t$-unshuffle $\sigma^{\bullet}$ to these $n-s+1$ elements. By requiring $\sigma(t)=n-s+1$, we obtain a block of size $t-1$, plus a module element, that we input into $b_{t}$. We can do an analagous reformulation of the second sum.

$$
\sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{t+s=\alpha} \sum_{\phi \in S(n-s, s-1)} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S(t, n-s+1) \\ \sigma(t)=n-s+1}} g_{n+2-\alpha} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(b_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s}\right) \circ\left(\phi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 8. Reindex, noting that $\sum_{\alpha=2}^{n+1} \sum_{t+s=\alpha}=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n+1-s}=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{x+y=n+2-s}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{x+y=n+2-s}} \sum_{\phi \in S(n-s, s-1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S(x, n-s+1) \\
\sigma(x)=n-s+1}} g_{y} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(b_{x} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s}\right) \circ(\phi \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \\
+ & \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{x+y=n+2-s \\
x<n}} \sum_{\phi \in S(n-s, s-1)} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S(x, n-s+1) \\
\sigma(n-s+1)=n-s+1}} g_{y} \circ\left(l_{x} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s}\right) \circ(\phi \otimes \mathrm{Id})
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 9. Apply the morphism relation for $g$.

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{r+q=n-s+2} \sum_{\phi \in S(n-s, s-1)} \sum_{\kappa \in S(r-1, q-1)} c_{r} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes g_{q}\right) \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s}\right) \circ(\phi \bullet \mathrm{Id})
$$

Step 10. Combine $\kappa$ and $\phi$ into a single permutation $\pi$.

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{r+q=n-s+2} \sum_{\pi \in S(r-1, q-1, s-1)} c_{r} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes g_{q}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes f_{s}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 11. Split $\pi$ into $\tau$ and $\psi$. The map $\lambda^{\bullet}$ is needed to permute the module element into the last input of $g_{q}$.

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{r+q=n-s+2} \sum_{\tau \in S(r-1, n-r)} \sum_{\psi \in S(s, q-1)} c_{r} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes\left[g_{q} \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{s} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right]\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 12. Change how we index over $s, r, q$.

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{s+q=n+2-r} \sum_{\tau \in S(r-1, n-r)} \sum_{\psi \in S(s, q-1)} c_{r} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes\left[g_{q} \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(f_{s} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right]\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 13. Use the definition of $g \circ f$.

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{\tau \in S(r-1, n-r)} c_{r} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes(g \circ f)_{n+1-r}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 14. This is

$$
\sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau \in S(r-1, s-1)} c_{r} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes(g \circ f)_{s}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

### 2.3. Restriction of Scalars

In this section, we define the restriction of scalars functor on objects, and we prove that the result is an $L_{\infty}$-module. We then define the restriction of scalars functor on morphisms, and we prove that the result is an $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism. Finally, we complete the proof of functoriality. The end of this
section contains a technical lemma that is applied several times throughout the aforementioned proofs.

Lemma 2 (Objects). Suppose $I:\left(L^{\prime}, l^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(L, l)$ is a map of $L_{\infty}$-algebras. If $(M, k)$ is an L-module, then $I^{*} M:=\left(M, k^{\prime}\right)$ is an $L^{\prime}$-module, where $k_{n}^{\prime}: L^{\otimes n-1} \otimes$ $M \rightarrow M$ is given by

$$
k_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-1}} k_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is straightforward. We will first make a substitution using the definition of $k^{\prime}$ (steps 1-2). We will then use the $L_{\infty}$-algebra homomorphism relation for $I$ to exchange any $I$ and $l^{\prime}$ terms (steps 3-9). The terms that remain will then cancel by applying the $L_{\infty}$-module relation for $k$ (steps 10 19). Pictures representing each step in the proof are given in the appendix.

Step 1. The $L_{\infty}$ relation for $k_{n}^{\prime}$ that we need to show is zero is:

$$
\sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\ p<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} k_{q}^{\prime} \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}+\sum_{p+q=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(p)=n} k_{q}^{\prime} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=0
$$

Step 2. Focusing only on the first double sum for now, we substitute for $k_{q}^{\prime}$ using its definition:

$$
\sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\ p<n}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(n)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ 1 \leq r \leq q_{r}-1 \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=q-1}} k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\right)
$$

Step 3. The goal now is to use the morphism relation to commute the $l_{p}^{\prime}$ and $I$ terms. To do so, we will break down this sum by the specific morphism relation that we will apply ( $k=1, \ldots, n-1$ ). In particular, this is determined by the sum of $p$ and the size of the block to which $\tau$ sends $l_{p}^{\prime}$. We will denote the block containing $l_{p}^{\prime}$ by $i_{l}$, and we will denote its size by $s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
1 \leq r \leq n-p \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-p}} k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\right) \\
= & \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{\substack{n=1}}^{n-p} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
1 \leq r \leq n-p \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-p \\
i_{l}=s}} k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots I_{i_{l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now reindex over the sum of $p$ and $s$ (on the $(p, s)$-plane, this is summing over the diagonal) to obtain

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p+s=k+1} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ 1 \leq r \leq m+p \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-p \\ i_{l}=s}} k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\right)
$$

Step 4. Here, we change $\tau$ to $\tau^{\prime}$ and introduce $\lambda$. Since $\tau$ is an unshuffle, we can make two observations. First, $\tau$ sends $l_{p}^{\prime}$ to the first input of $I_{i_{l}}$. Second, in the partition $i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-p$, the block $i_{l}$ is the first of its size (i.e. $t<l$ implies $i_{t}<i_{l}$ ), since the first elements of blocks of the same size are in order. This information allows us to remove $l_{p}^{\prime}$ as an input to $\tau$, and then put it back in the correct spot after the remaining elements are permuted. That is, $\tau$ corresponds to an $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}-1, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$-unshuffle $\tau^{\prime}$ in $S_{n-p-1}$, and we will send $l_{p}^{\prime}$ to the first input
of $I_{i_{l}}$ via a permutation $\lambda$ after we apply $\tau^{\prime}$. Special care is needed when $s=1$, in which case $\tau^{\prime} \in S\left(0, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$, and no element will go to the block of size 0 .

Note: because $\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$, we had conditions that $i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{r}$ and that the order of the first elements among these blocks is preserved. In the rest of the proof, we must remember these restrictions inherited from $\tau$. We obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p+s=k+1} & \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{\substack{\tau^{\prime} \in S\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l}-1, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-p \\
i_{l}=s}} \\
& k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5. Combine $\sigma$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ into $\psi$. Now we observe that applying a $p$-unshuffle and then $\tau^{\prime}$ to the remaining inputs is equivalent to doing a ( $p, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ )-unshuffle to all of the inputs at once. We obtain

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p+s=k+1} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S\left(p, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}-1, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-p \\ i_{l}==\\ \psi(n)=n}} k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right)
$$

Step 6. Change from $\psi$ to $\mu, \alpha, \omega$. Notice that a ( $p, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}-1, \ldots i_{r}$ )-unshuffle is the same as first doing a ( $p+i_{l}-1$ )-unshuffle, and then doing a ( $p, i_{l}-1$ )-unshuffle on the $\left(p+i_{l}-1\right)$-block and an $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i_{l}}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$-unshuffle on the rest. Since we are fixing $i_{l}=s$, note that $p+i_{l}-1=k$.

Afterwards, we need to apply a permutation $\omega$ to move the strands in the $i_{l}$ block back to their original position between the $i_{l-1}$ and $i_{l+1}$ blocks. That is, $\omega$ is the block permuation so that applying $\omega^{\bullet}$ to the blocks $\left\{1, i_{l}-1, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\}$ yields $\left\{1, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}-1, \ldots i_{r}\right\}$. We apply $\lambda^{\bullet}$ after $\omega^{\bullet}$ to move the $l_{p}^{\prime}$ term.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p+s=k+1} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S\left(k, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{l}_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\
i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r}=n-p \\
i_{l}=s \\
\mu(n)=n}} \sum_{\alpha \in S(p, k-p)} \\
& \quad k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ \omega^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\alpha^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \mu^{\bullet}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 7. Since $k_{r+1}$ is skew-symmetric, we can move the $I_{i_{l}}$ term to the first input.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p+s=k+1} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S\left(k, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\left.i_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right)} \\
i_{1}+\cdots+\cdots, i_{r}=n-p\right.}} \sum_{\substack{i_{l}=s \\
\mu(n)=n}} \\
& \quad k_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{l}} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{I_{i_{l}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ(\alpha \bullet \mathrm{Id}) \circ \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 8. Rewrite the maps as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p+s=k+1} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S\left(k, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\
i_{1}+\cdots+i_{r}=n-p \\
i_{2}=s \\
\mu(n)=n}} \sum_{\alpha \in S(p, k-p)} \\
& \quad k_{r+1}\left(\left[I_{i_{l}} \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \alpha^{\bullet}\right] \otimes\left[\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{I_{i_{l}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)\right] \circ \mu^{\bullet}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 9. Apply the $L_{\infty}$-algebra homomorphism relation to the terms $I_{i_{l}} \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ$ $\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$. Since we no longer are keeping track of $p$, we also use the fact that $p+s=k+1$ to rewrite the conditions for $\mu$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq k \\
a_{1}+\ldots+a_{t}=k \\
a_{r} \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\gamma \in S^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right)}} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in S\left(k, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\
i_{1}+\cdots \hat{i}_{l}+\cdots+i_{r}=n-1-k \\
\mu(n)=n}} \\
& \quad k_{r+1}\left(\left[l_{t} \circ\left(I_{a_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{a_{t}}\right) \circ \gamma^{\bullet}\right] \otimes\left[\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{I_{i_{l}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)\right] \circ \mu^{\bullet}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 10. Rewrite the maps as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k=1}}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq k \\
a_{1}+\ldots+a_{t}=k \\
a_{r} \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in S^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right)}} \sum_{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{\text { a } \\
i_{1}+\cdots\left(k, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\
\mu\left(n+i_{r}, n-1-k\right.} }} \\
{\mu(n)=n}\end{subarray}} \\
& \quad k_{r+1}\left(\left(l_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{a_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{a_{t}} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{I_{i_{l}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}}\right) \circ\left(\gamma^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \mu^{\bullet}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 11. We can combine $\mu$ and $\gamma$ into one permutation $\eta$. Indeed, applying $\mu$ and then an $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right)$-unshuffle on the $k$-block is the same as applying an $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i_{l}}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right)$-unshuffle all at once.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\begin{array}{c}
\eta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{l}, \ldots i_{r}, 1\right) \\
a_{1} \leq t \leq k \\
a_{1}+\ldots+a_{t}=k \\
i_{1}+\cdots+\hat{l}_{l}+\cdots+i_{r}=n-1-k \\
\eta(n)=n
\end{array}} k_{r+1}\left(\left(l_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{a_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{a_{t}} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{I_{i_{l}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}\right)
$$

Step 12. Since $k=1, \ldots, n-1$, we can drop the sum over $k$ from the notation and just require that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ is a partition of $n-1$, with $a_{1} \leq \ldots \leq a_{t}$, $i_{1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{r}$, and $t \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. If we fix $\eta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i_{l}}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$, we don't have any relation between the two partitions $a_{1} \leq \ldots \leq a_{t}$ and $i_{1} \leq \ldots \leq i_{r}$. That is, the sizes of the blocks are in order as part of their respective partitions, but it might not be the case that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ is in increasing order as a whole. However, from these two partitions, we can use an unshuffle to construct a new partition where the sizes of the boxes are in order. Indeed, define $\sigma$ so that $\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)^{\bullet}$ arranges the $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ in increasing order (to get a unique $\sigma$, require that the order of the $a$ 's is preserved, the order of the $i$ 's is preserved, and that, using $\eta$, the first elements of boxes of same size are in order). Then let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}:=\left(\sigma^{\bullet}\right)^{-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$. To summarize, what we have done is define a new partition $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}$ of $n-1$ so that $c_{\sigma(1)}=a_{1}, \ldots, c_{\sigma(t)}=a_{t}, c_{\sigma(t+1)}=$ $i_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}=i_{r}$. Of course, since $a_{1} \leq \ldots \leq a_{t}, \sigma$ is a $t$-unshuffle. Moreover, we define $\tau$ by requiring that the elements that $\eta$ puts into the $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$ and $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$-boxes are precisely those that $\tau$ puts into the $c_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, c_{\sigma(t)}$ and $c_{\sigma(t+1)}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}$-boxes, respectively. Finally, since $\alpha=t+r-1$, we relabeled $k_{r+1}$ as $k_{\alpha+2-t}$. Note that we can reverse this whole construction to obtain an inverse correspondence. This process is similar to Lemma 4.

Step 13. On the other hand, we now examine the second term in the original sum:

$$
\sum_{p+q=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(p)=n} k_{q}^{\prime} \circ \delta^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

Step 14. Use the definition of $k^{\prime}$ to substitute for $k_{p}^{\prime}$ and $k_{q}^{\prime}$. The cases $p=1$ and $q=1$ require some care; they correspond to the cases $r=0$ and $s=0$, respectively. If $r=0$, then $\phi=\mathrm{Id}$, and if $s=0$, then $\psi=\mathrm{Id}$. We also disallow $r$ and $s$ to be zero simultaneously.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\
1 \leq p \leq n}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(p)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
0 \leq r \leq p-1 \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=p-1 \\
\hline \\
\psi \in S^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \\
0 \leq s \leq n-p \\
j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}=n-p}} k_{s+1} \circ\left(I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{s}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ(\psi \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \delta^{\bullet} \\
& \circ\left(k_{r+1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ(\phi \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 15. Commuting composition and tensor product, and replacing $\delta$ with an analogous $\delta^{\prime}$ that ensures the module element is in the correct spot, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots I_{i_{r}} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{s}}\right) \circ\left(\phi^{\bullet} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes \psi^{\bullet}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 16. Instead of summing over $r$ and $s$ separately, we can sum over the diagonal $\alpha=r+s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(p)=n}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \times n-1 \\
r, s=\alpha \\
r, s=0}} \sum_{\substack{1 \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, r_{r} r_{r} \\
i_{1}+\ldots, i_{r}=p-1\right.}} \sum_{\substack{S^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \\
j_{1}+\ldots j_{s}=n-p}} \\
& k_{s+1} \circ \delta^{\prime \bullet} \circ\left(k_{r+1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots I_{i_{r}} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{s}}\right) \circ\left(\phi^{\bullet} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes \psi^{\bullet}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 17. Apply Lemma 4 , where $r+1$ above corresponds to $t$ below.

$$
\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{(t, \alpha+\alpha+1-t) \\(1 t t)=\alpha+1 \\ 1 \leq t \leq \alpha+1}} k_{\alpha+2-t} \circ \delta^{\prime \bullet} \circ\left(k_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\sigma^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 18. Summarizing what we've done so far, we've shown that the original sum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p+q=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} k_{q}^{\prime} \circ\left(l_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \\
&+ \\
& \sum_{p+q=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(p)=n} k_{q}^{\prime} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes k_{p}^{\prime}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\
c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S(t, \alpha+1-t) \\
\sigma(\alpha+1)=\alpha+1 \\
1 \leq t \leq \alpha+1}} k_{\alpha+2-t} \circ\left(l_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\
c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S(t, \alpha+1-t) \\
\sigma(t)=\alpha+1 \\
1 \leq t \leq \alpha+1}} k_{\alpha+2-t} \circ \delta^{\prime} \circ\left(k_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 19. Letting $F=\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)$ and setting $u=\alpha+2-t$, this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\
c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{t+u=\alpha+2}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S(t, \alpha+1-t) \\
\sigma \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\
c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} k_{u} \circ\left(l_{t}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \circ F \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\sigma=\alpha)=\alpha+1}} k_{u} \circ \delta^{\prime \bullet} \circ\left(k_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \circ F \\
& \sum_{\substack{ \\
\sigma(t)=\alpha+\alpha+1}} \circ F
\end{aligned}
$$

which cancel by the module relation.

Lemma 3 (Morphisms). Suppose $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are $L_{\infty}$-algebras and $M$ and $N$ are $L$ modules. Let $I: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ be an $L_{\infty}$-algebra homomorphism, and let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be an L-module homomorphism. Set $\left(I^{*} f\right)_{1}=f_{1}$, and for $n \geq 2$, define

$$
\left(I^{*} f\right)_{n}:\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{\otimes n-1} \otimes I^{*} M \rightarrow I^{*} N
$$

by

$$
\left(I^{*} f\right)_{n}=\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-1}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Then $I^{*} f: I^{*} M \rightarrow I^{*} N$ is a homomorphism of $L^{\prime}$-modules.

Proof. We will start by examining the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation. After replacing $I^{*} f$ and $m_{i}^{\prime}$ with their definitions on the left-hand side (steps 1-4), we will rearrange the sum (steps 5-6) and apply the $L_{\infty}$-algebra relation for $I$ (step 7). We then rewrite the terms (steps 8-9) and apply the module homomorphism relation for $f$ (step 10). We then show that the result is equal to the right-hand side (steps 11-16).

Step 1. To start, we will denote the operations of $M, N, I^{*} M, I^{*} N$ by $m, n, m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}$ respectively. To show that $I^{*} f$ is a homomorphsism of $L^{\prime}$-modules, we must show that it satisfies the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation

$$
\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma}\left(I^{*} f\right)_{j} \circ\left(m_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=\sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau} n_{r}^{\prime} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes\left(I^{*} f\right)_{s}\right) \circ \tau^{\bullet}
$$

where $\sigma$ is an $(i, n-i)$-unshuffle and $\tau$ is an ( $n-s, s-1$ )-unshuffle.

Step 2. Focusing only on the left-hand side, we break this sum up into two parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n}\left(I^{*} f\right)_{j} \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(m_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \\
+ & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\
i<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n}\left(I^{*} f\right)_{j} \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use skew-symmetry and introduce the permutation $\lambda$ to insert the module element in the correct spot.

Step 3. Replace $I^{*} f$ with its definition. Note that we've allowed $r=0$ in the first sum to include the case $j=1$, which corresponds to $f_{1} \circ m_{n}^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{\bullet}$. If $j$ is anything but $1, r=0$ makes no contribution to the sum.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n} \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=j-1}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(m_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\
1 \leq i<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=j-1}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4. Now focus on the first sum and replace $m_{i}^{\prime}$ with its definition. Similar to the above, we've allowed for the case $s=0$ to include the case $i=1$, which corresponds to $\left(I^{*} f\right)_{n} \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(m_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}$. If $i$ is anything but $1, s=0$ makes no contribution to the sum.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n} \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \sum_{r=0} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r} r \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=j^{-1}\right.}}^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\hline=0}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \\
\circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(m_{s+1} \circ\left(I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots+\ldots, j_{j}\right)\right.\right. \\
j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}=i-1 \\
\left.\left.\left.\otimes \cdots I_{j_{s}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{gathered}
$$

Step 5. Rewrite the sum by commuting composition and tensor product and considering the diagonal $\alpha=r+s$ instead of $r$ and $s$ individually. Observe that one of $r$ and $s$ can be 0 , but not both at the same time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \circ\left(I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{s}} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}}\right) \circ\left(\psi^{\bullet} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes \tau^{\bullet}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6. Apply Lemma 4 to obtain

$$
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{\theta S(t, \alpha+1-t) \\ \theta(t)=\alpha+1 \\ 1 \leq t \leq \alpha+1}} f_{\alpha+2-t} \circ \omega^{\bullet} \circ\left(m_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 7. Now, focusing on the $l$ terms (the second sum in Step 3), our goal is to apply the $L_{\infty}$-algebra relation for $I$. The steps we follow here are essentially the same as in Lemma 2 (steps 3-12), and we direct the reader to them for details and for diagrams. We start with

$$
\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 1 \leq i<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=j-1}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

Denote the block where $l_{i}^{\prime}$ goes by $I_{i}$. Break down the sum by $i_{l}=s$.

$$
\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 1 \leq i<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{j=1}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in t^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, j_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+i_{r}+i_{r}=i_{r} \\ i_{l}=s}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

Remove $j$ from the notation.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} \sum_{r=1}^{n-i} \sum_{s=1}^{n-i} \sum_{\substack{\left.c_{1}, S_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-i \\ i_{l}=s}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

Reindex over the sum of $i$ and $s$.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i+s=k+1} \sum_{\substack{ \\\sigma(n)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-i \\ i_{l}=s}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

Use the map $\lambda^{\bullet}$ to permute $l_{i}^{\prime}$ around $\tau$ and change $\tau$ to $\tau^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i+s=k+1} \sum_{\substack{(n)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\tau^{\prime} \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}-1, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-i \\
i_{l}=s}} \\
& \quad f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \tau^{\prime \bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combine $\tau^{\prime}$ and $\sigma$ into the permutation $\eta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{l}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ \omega^{\bullet} \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\rho^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use skew-symmetry of $f_{r+1}$ to swap the order of the $I$ 's

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i+s=k+1} \sum_{\rho \in S\left(i, i_{l}-1\right)} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(i+i_{l}-1, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{l}_{l} \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-i \\ i_{l}=-\eta(n)=n}} f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{l}} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\rho^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}
$$

Rewrite suggestively, noting that now the $I_{i_{l}}$ is omitted from $I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}}$.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i+s=k+1} \sum_{\rho \in S\left(i, i_{l}-1\right)} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(k, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-i \\ i_{l}=s \\ \eta(n)=n}} f_{r+1}\left(\left(I_{i_{l}} \circ\left(l_{i}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \rho^{\bullet}\right) \otimes\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \eta^{\bullet}
$$

Apply the morphism relations.

Combine $\gamma$ and $\eta$ into $\psi$.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{ \\\psi \in S\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{z}, i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{l}_{l}, \ldots, i_{r}, 1\right) \\ t_{1}+z \leq k \\ i_{1}+t_{z}=k \\ i_{1}+\ldots+\hat{i}_{l}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-1-k \\ \psi(n)=n}} f_{r+1}\left(\left(l_{z} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{t_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{t_{z}} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi\right)
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha} \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1\right.}} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S(t, \alpha+1-t) \\ \theta(\alpha+1)=\alpha+1 \\ 1 \leq t<\alpha+1}} f_{\alpha+2-t} \circ\left(l_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 8. In total, combining this with Step 6, we have the sum

$$
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S(t, \alpha+1-t) \\ \theta(t)=\alpha+1 \\ 1 \leq t \leq \alpha+1}} f_{\alpha+2-t} \circ \omega^{\bullet} \circ\left(m_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

$$
+\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} f_{\alpha \in S(t, \alpha+1-t)} \circ\left(l_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 9. Change notation; change $t$ to $i$ and $\alpha+2-t$ to $j$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\
c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{i+j=\alpha+2}} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S(i, \alpha+1-i) \\
\theta(i)=\alpha+1}} f_{j} \circ \omega^{\bullet} \circ\left(m_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \\
&+\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\
c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{i+j=\alpha+2} \sum_{\substack{i<\alpha+1 \\
i<\alpha+1, \alpha+1-i) \\
\theta(\alpha+1)=\alpha+1}} f_{j} \circ\left(l_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 10. Applying the module homomorphism relation for $f$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{r+s=\alpha+2} n_{\rho \in S(\alpha-s, s)} n_{r} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s}\right) \circ\left(\rho^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 11. It just remains to show that the sum above is equal to

$$
\sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau} n_{r}^{\prime} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes\left(I^{*} f\right)_{s}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Therefore, use the definition of $I^{*} f$. Like usual, we start indexing at $x=0$ to allow for the $f_{1}$ case.

$$
\sum_{\substack{r+s=n+1}} \sum_{\tau \in S(n-s, s)} \sum_{\substack{ \\i_{1} \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{x}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{x}=s-1 \\ 0 \leq x \leq s-1}} n_{r}^{\prime} \circ\left[\operatorname{Id} \otimes\left(f_{x+1} \circ\left[\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{x}} \circ \phi^{\bullet}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right]\right)\right] \circ(\tau \bullet \mathrm{Id})
$$

Step 12. Now use the definition of $n^{\prime}$. Allow for $y=0$ to deal with the $n_{1}$ case.

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau \in S(n-s, s)} \sum_{x=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\substack{ }} \sum_{\substack{ \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{1}, \ldots, i_{x}=s-1}}^{n-s} \sum_{y=0} n_{y+1} \circ\left(I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{y}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \\
\quad\left(\gamma^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left[\mathrm { Id } \otimes \left(f_{x+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots, \ldots, j_{y}\right)\right.\right. \\
j_{1}+\ldots+j_{y}=n-s-1
\end{array}\right) I_{i_{x}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\phi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)\right)\right] \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)\right]
$$

Step 13. Commute composition and tensor product to rewrite as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau \in S(n-s, s)} \sum_{x=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{x} x \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{x}=s-1\right.}} \sum_{\substack{ }}^{n-s} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in S^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{y}\right) \\
j_{1}+\ldots+j_{y}=n-s-1}} \\
& \quad n_{y+1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes f_{x+1}\right) \circ\left(I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{y}} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{x}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\gamma^{\bullet} \otimes \phi \bullet \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 14. Reindex over the diagonal of $\alpha=x+y$. Observe that one of $x$ and $y$ can be 0 , but not both at the same time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r+s=n+1} \sum_{\tau \in S(n-s, s} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1 \\
x+1=\alpha \\
x, y \geq 0}} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{x}\right)}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in S^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{y}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{x}=s-1}} \\
& n_{y+1} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{x+1}\right) \circ\left(I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{y}} \otimes I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{x}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\gamma^{\bullet} \otimes \phi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 15. Apply Lemma 4 to get

Step 16. Rewriting this as

$$
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \in \in^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha} \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1\right.}} \sum_{r+s=\alpha+2} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S(\alpha-\alpha, s) \\ 1 \leq s \leq \alpha}} n_{r} \circ\left(\mathrm{Id} \otimes f_{s}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\pi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

shows that it is the same as the sum in Step 10, which completes the proof.

Theorem 1 (Functoriality). Suppose $I:\left(L^{\prime}, l^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(L, l)$ is a map of $L_{\infty}$-algebras. Then $I^{*}: L-\bmod \rightarrow L^{\prime}-\bmod$ is a functor.

Proof. Suppose we have $L_{\infty}$-modules $M, N$, and $Q$ over $L$ and $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphisms $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} Q$. We have defined $I^{*}$ on objects and morphisms, so it remains to show that $I^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)=\operatorname{Id}_{I^{*} M}$ and that $I^{*}(g \circ f)=I^{*} g \circ I^{*} f$. For the former, observe that $\left(I^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)\right)_{1}=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)_{1}$, and for $n \geq 2$,

$$
\left(I^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)\right)_{n}=\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=n-1}}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

But $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)_{r}=0$ for $r>1$, and so we conclude that $\left(I^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)\right)_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence $I^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)=\operatorname{Id}_{I^{*} M}$.

In remains to show that $I^{*}(g \circ f)=I^{*} g \circ I^{*} f$. We will follow essentially the same procedure as in Lemma 2, steps 13-17.

Step 1. We start with the right-hand side, and replace $\left[I^{*} g \circ I^{*} f\right]_{n}$ with its definition

$$
\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n}\left(I^{*} g\right)_{j} \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(\left(I^{*} f\right)_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

Step 2. Replace $I^{*} g$ and $I^{*} f$ with their definitions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n} \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=i-1}} \sum_{\substack{s=0}}^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \\
j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}=j-1}}\left[g_{s+1} \circ\left(I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{s}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ(\psi \otimes \mathrm{Id})\right] \\
& \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(\left[f_{r+1} \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\phi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we include the cases $r=0$ and $s=0$ to include the cases $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$, respectively. In particular, $r=0$ will contribute a nonzero term only when $i=1$, and $s=0$ will only contribute a nonzero term when $j=1$.

Step 3. Commute composition and tensor product to rewrite.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)=n} \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)}} \sum_{\substack{s=0 \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=i_{1}}}^{j-1} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \\
j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}=j^{\prime}-1}} \\
& g_{s+1} \circ \lambda^{\prime \bullet} \circ\left(f_{r+1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots I_{i_{r}} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{s}}\right) \circ\left(\phi^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes \psi^{*}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\lambda^{l \bullet}$ is the map that permutes the module element into the last input of $g_{s+1}$.

Step 4. By Lemma 4, we obtain

Step 5. Change notation; let $p=t+1$ and $q=\alpha+1-t$.

$$
\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha} \\ c_{1}+\cdots+c_{a}=n-1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1\right.}} \sum_{\substack{\theta(p), \alpha+1-p) \\ \theta(p)=\alpha+1 \\ 1 \leq p \leq \alpha+1}} g_{q} \circ \lambda^{\prime \bullet} \circ\left(f_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 6. By the definition of $g \circ f$, this is

$$
\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{0}=n-1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1}}(g \circ f)_{\alpha+1} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$

Step 7. By the definition of $I^{*}$, this is precisely $\left[I^{*}(g \circ f)\right]_{n}$, as desired.

Corollary 1. If $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are Lie algebras, and $\phi: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, $\phi^{*}$ is the usual restriction of scalars for Lie algebra representations.

Proof. Let $\rho: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{g l}(M)$ be a Lie algebra representation. For $x \in L^{\prime}$ and $m \in M$, the usual restriction of scalars for Lie algebra representations is given by $x \cdot m:=$ $\phi(x) \cdot m$. Indeed, $\rho^{\prime}: L^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g l}(M)$ defined by $\rho^{\prime}(y)=\rho(\phi(y))$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Now, regarding $\phi$ as an $L_{\infty}$-algebra map with $\phi_{i}=0$ for $i \neq 1$, because
there are also no higher operations on $M$ as an $L_{\infty} L$-module, the formulas given in Lemma 2 for the induced operation simplify to give the usual restriction of scalars operation described above.

We now prove the technical lemma that was used in the main results above.
In particular, this lemma gives two ways to interpret a particular composition of unshuffles.

Lemma 4. For a fixed n,

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma(p)=n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1 \\ r+s=\alpha \\ r, s \geq 0}} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in S^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \in S^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=p-1 \\ j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}=n-p}}\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots I_{i_{r}} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes I_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{j_{s}}\right) \circ\left(\phi^{\bullet} \otimes \operatorname{Id} \otimes \psi^{\bullet}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

is the same as

$$
\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S^{\prime}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\alpha}\right) \\ c_{1}+\ldots+c_{\alpha}=n-1}} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S(r+1, \alpha-r) \\ \theta(r+1)=\alpha+1 \\ 0 \leq r \leq \alpha}} \theta^{\bullet} \circ\left(I_{c_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{c_{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right)
$$



FIGURE 4. The left-hand side represents first unshuffling $n$ elements into two boxes (with the module element by itself) via $\sigma^{\bullet}$ and then unshuffling these boxes further into $r$ boxes and $s$ boxes via $\phi^{\bullet}$ and $\psi^{\bullet}$, respectively. The right-hand side represents first unshuffling $n-1$ elements into $\alpha$ boxes via $\tau^{\bullet}$ and then unshuffling these $\alpha$ boxes via $\sigma^{\bullet}$.

Proof. To see this, it is helpful to examine what the first sum does for a fixed $p$ and a fixed $\alpha$. It unshuffles $n$ elements into a box of size $p-1$ and a box of size $n-p$, with the module element in between. It then unshuffles the box of size $p-1$ further via $\phi$ into $r$ smaller boxes and the box of size $n-p$ further via $\psi$ into $s$ smaller boxes.

So, if we iterate through $\alpha=r+s$, this sum describes all possible ways of unshuffling $n$ elements into $r$ boxes (which contain a total of $p-1$ elements) and $s$ boxes (which contain a total number of $n-p$ elements), with the module element in between. Then, iterating through all possible $p$ tells us that the sum describes all ways of unshuffling $n$ elements into $r+s$ boxes, with the module element in between. Note that the $r$ boxes and the $s$ boxes have to be of increasing size when considered separately, but they need not be in order when considered all together (e.g. some of the $s$ boxes could be smaller than the last $r$ box).

On the other hand, the second sum unshuffles the $n-1$ algebra elements into $\alpha$ boxes first (here, the boxes are all of increasing size), and then it picks out $r$ of these via an $r$-unshuffle $\theta$ in $S_{\alpha}$. Since there was a module element between the $r$ boxes and $s$ boxes in the first sum, we can view $\theta$ as an $(r+1)$-unshuffle in $S_{\alpha}$ where it puts the module element after the $r$ boxes. So what we have done is the same as before: unshuffle $n$ elements into a group of $r$ boxes, a module element, and a group of $s=\alpha-r$ boxes, where the boxes are of increasing order when considered separately (but not necessarily when considered all together), see Figure 4. An explicit correspondence between the two sums can be written down using formulas.

### 2.4. Chain Contractions

It is possible to use an existing $L_{\infty}$-algebra or $L_{\infty}$-module to obtain a new $L_{\infty}$-structure on a particular chain complex. In this section, we will use chain contractions to transfer $L_{\infty}$-structures.

Definition 12. Let $\left(A, d_{A}\right)$ and $\left(B, d_{B}\right)$ be chain complexes. A chain contraction from $A$ onto $B$ consists of two chain maps $q: A \rightarrow B$ and $i: B \rightarrow A$ of degree 0 , together with a homotopy $K: A \rightarrow A$ of degree 1 . That is, we have the following diagram.

$$
K \circlearrowright A \underset{i}{\stackrel{q}{\leftrightarrows}} B
$$

These maps $q, i$, and $K$ must satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q \circ i=\operatorname{Id}_{B} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Id}_{A}-i \circ q=K \circ d_{A}+d_{A} \circ K \\
& K^{2}=K \circ i=q \circ K=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We will denote a chain contraction by $(A, B, i, q, K)$.

Remark. If $\left(A, d_{A}\right)$ and $\left(B, d_{B}\right)$ are cochain complexes, we require $|K|=-1$.

If $L$ is an $L_{\infty}$-algebra and $L^{\prime}$ is a chain complex, formulas exist in the literature for how to transfer the $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$, given a chain contraction ( $L, L^{\prime}, i, q, K$ ). Following [Mor22b, Theorem 1], the chain maps $i$ and $q$ can also be extended to $L_{\infty}$-algebra homomorphisms $I: L^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ and $Q: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ such that $Q \circ I=\operatorname{Id}_{L^{\prime}}$. The transferred $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure on $L^{\prime}$ is unique up to quasi-isomorphism, and the formula for the transferred bracket $\left\{l_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ can be given
inductively as follows. Set $K \theta_{1}=-i$ and define $\theta_{n}:\left(L^{\prime}\right)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow L$ for $n \geq 2$ by

$$
\theta_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \bar{S}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \\ i_{1}+\cdots+i_{k}=n \\ i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{k}}} \epsilon_{1} \cdot l_{k}\left(I_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{i_{k}}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

where $\epsilon_{1}$ is given by the Koszul sign convention. Then for all $n \geq 2$, we define $l_{n}^{\prime}=q \circ \theta_{n}$ and $I_{n}=K \circ \theta_{n}$.

We can also use chain contractions to transfer an $L_{\infty}$-module structure. We will make use of this technique in the proof of the invariance of the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g} L_{\infty^{-}}$ module structure under Reidemeister moves in Chapter III.

Theorem 2. Let $L$ be an $L_{\infty}$-algebra, and let $M$ be an $L_{\infty}$-module over L. Given a chain contraction

$$
T \subset M \underset{i}{\stackrel{q}{\rightleftarrows}} M^{\prime}
$$

then $M^{\prime}$ inherits the structure of an $L_{\infty}$-module over $L$, with transferred bracket given by

$$
k_{n}^{\prime}:=\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\ i_{1}+\cdots+i_{t}=n-1}} q \circ A_{t} \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
$$

where $A_{t}: L^{\otimes i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes L^{\otimes i_{t}} \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is defined inductively as follows. Let $A_{1}=k_{i_{1}+1}$ and define $A_{t}=A_{1} \circ \delta_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ A_{t-1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \delta_{1}^{\bullet}$, where $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}$ are positive integers; see Figure 7.


FIGURE 7. A graphical depiction of the map $A_{t}$.

Remark. The permutations $\delta_{i}$ in the definition of $A_{t}$ above are required to ensure that the module element is the last input of each $k_{i_{r}+1}: L^{\otimes r} \otimes M \rightarrow M$. Explicitly, $\delta_{i}$ is the unique permutation so that $\delta_{i}^{\bullet}$ shifts the module element to the required position and preserves the order of the other elements. For example, in Figure 7, $\delta_{1}$ is the permuation

$$
\delta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \cdots & i_{1} & i_{1}+1 & i_{1}+2 & \cdots & n \\
1 & \cdots & i_{1} & n & i_{1}+1 & \cdots & n-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Throughout the proof of Theorem 2, we will make use of similar permutations $\lambda_{i}$ to correctly place the module element while preserving the order of the remaining elements. We will not write down these permutations explicitly, but they can be readily determined by examining the figures in the appendix.

Remark. We remind the reader that we are ignoring signs in the above theorem and that the result is proved over a field of characteristic two.

Proof. We must show that the above definition for $k_{n}^{\prime}$ satisfies the $L_{\infty}$-module relation:

$$
\sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\ p<n}} \sum_{\sigma(n)=n} k_{q}^{\prime} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}=\sum_{p+q=n+1} \sum_{\sigma(p)=n} k_{q}^{\prime} \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{p}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

The idea of the proof is as follows. Start by replacing the $k_{q}^{\prime}$ and $k_{p}^{\prime}$ terms using the definition of $k_{n}^{\prime}$. Next, apply the $L_{\infty}$-module relation for $k_{n}$ to the terms involving $l_{p}$ on the left-hand side. After that, use fact that $\operatorname{Id}_{M}-i \circ q=k_{1} T+T k_{1}$ to replace terms on the right-hand side. Terms will then cancel in pairs. Graphical representations of the formulas in this proof are provided in the appendix.

Step 1. Focusing on the left-hand side of the $L_{\infty}$-module relation, we can replace $k_{n}^{\prime}$ using its definition to obtain the following sum.

$$
\sum_{\substack{p+q=n+1 \\ p<n}} \sum_{\substack{ }} \sum_{\substack{\left.\tau \in S(n)=n \\ i_{1}+\cdots+i_{t}=n-1, i_{t}\right)}} q \circ A_{t} \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

Step 2. We can combine $\sigma$ and $\tau$ into $\eta$ and $\psi$. Since $\tau$ is an unshuffle, the $l_{p}$ term will be the first element in some block, which we denote by $i_{l}$. Defining $s=p+i_{l}-1$, we obtain the following sum.

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, p+i_{l}-1, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\ i_{1}+\ldots+i_{t}=n-p \\ 1 \leq t \leq n \leq p \\ 1 \leq l \leq t}} \sum_{\psi \in S(p, s-p)} q \circ A_{t} \circ\left[\operatorname{Id} \otimes\left(\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
$$

Step 3. The goal now is to unpack the $A_{t}$ terms using the definition of $A_{t}$ in order to apply the $L_{\infty}$-module relation. Because $A_{t}$ only makes sense for $t \geq 1$, we break up the sum into several cases. In the first case, the $l_{p}$ term is in the first box. In the second case, the $l_{p}$ term is somewhere in the middle, in which case we need at least three boxes. In the third case, the $l_{p}$ term is in the last box. Note further that the only way for there to be one box is if $p=n-1$. We obtain the following sum.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{p=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(p+i_{1}-1, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+t_{i}=n-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p \\
l=1}} \sum_{\psi \in S(p, s-p)} q \circ A_{t-1} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ(T \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
\circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{p=1}^{n-3} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, p+i_{l}-1, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{t}=n-p \\
3 \leq t \leq n-p \\
2 \leq l \leq t-1}} \sum_{\psi \in S(p, s-p)} q \circ A_{t-l} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ(T \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
& +\sum_{p=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t-1}, p+i_{t}-1\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{t}=n-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p \\
l=t}} \sum_{\psi \in S(p, s-p)} q \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \\
& +\sum_{s=n-1} \sum_{p=1}^{s} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\psi \in S(p, s-p)}} q \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ(\mathrm{Id} \otimes i)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now reindex over the size of $s=p+i_{l}-1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^{s} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(s, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{t}=n-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p}} \sum_{\substack{ \\
l=1}} q \circ S(p, s-p)<A_{t-1} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ(T \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
& \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-3} \sum_{p=1}^{s} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, s, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{t}=n-p \\
3 \leq t \leq n-p \\
2 \leq l \leq t-1}} \sum_{\substack{ \\
2}} q \circ A_{t-l} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ(T \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
& \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ A_{l-1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^{s} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t-1}, s\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+t_{t}=-\infty-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p}} \sum_{\substack{ \\
l=t}} q \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
& \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ A_{t-1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=n-1} \sum_{p=1}^{s} \sum_{\psi \in S(p, s-p)} q \circ\left[\left[k_{i_{l}+1} \circ\left(l_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ(\mathrm{Id} \otimes i)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now apply the $L_{\infty}$-module relation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^{s+1} \sum_{\substack{f \in S\left(s, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{t}=n-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p \\
l=1}} \sum_{\psi \in S(p-1, s-p+1)} q \circ A_{t-l} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ(T \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ\left[\left[k_{s-p+2} \circ\left(k_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
& \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-3} \sum_{p=1}^{s+1} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, s, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+t=1 \\
3 \leq t \leq n-p \\
2 \leq l \\
2 \leq \leq \leq t-1}} \sum_{\psi \in S(p-1, s-p+1)} q \circ A_{t-l} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ(T \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ\left[\left[k_{s-p+2} \circ\left(k_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
& \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ A_{l-1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}} \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{p=1}^{s+1} \sum_{\substack{n \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t-1}, s\right) \\
i_{1}+\ldots+i_{t}=n-p \\
2 \leq t-n-p \\
l=t}} \sum_{\psi \in S(p-1, s-p+1)} q \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ(T \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ\left[\left[k_{s-p+2} \circ\left(k_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \\
& \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ A_{t-1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\eta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=n-1} \sum_{p=1}^{s+1} \sum_{\psi \in S(p-1, s-p+1)} q \circ\left[\left[k_{s-p+2} \circ\left(k_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \psi^{\bullet}\right] \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ(\mathrm{Id} \otimes i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4. Combine $\psi$ and $\eta$ into $\kappa$, and reintroduce $A_{t}$ into the notation, treating the cases $p=1$ and $p=s+1$ separately. Indeed, we observe that for $1<p<s+1$, we may combine both the $k_{p}$ and $k_{s-p+2}$ terms into an $A_{t}$ term. Otherwise, we will have a $k_{1}$ term.

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{p=1} \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(s, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\ i_{1}+\cdots+t_{n}=n-p \\ 2 \leq t \leq n-p \\ l=1}} q \circ A_{t} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{p=1}}^{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{\kappa \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t-1}, s\right) \\
i_{1}+\cdots+i_{t}=n-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p} }} \\
{l=t}\end{subarray}} \mid q \circ A_{1} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\left(k_{1} \circ T\right) \otimes I d\right) \circ\left(A_{t-1} \otimes I d\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{s=2}}^{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{ \\
\kappa \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t-1}, p-1, s-p+1\right) \\
i_{1}+\cdots+i_{t}=n-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p} }} \\
{l=t}\end{subarray}} \mid q \circ A_{1} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ\left(A_{t} \otimes I d\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa_{i}^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{ }}^{\substack{\begin{subarray}{c}{k \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t-1}, s\right) \\
i_{1}+\cdots+i_{t}=n-p \\
2 \leq t \leq n-p} }} \\
{l=t}\end{subarray}} \mid \\
& +\sum_{s=n-1} \sum_{p=1} \sum_{\kappa=\mathrm{Id}} q \circ k_{s+1} \circ\left(k_{1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ(\mathrm{Id} \otimes i) \\
& +\sum_{s=n-1} \sum_{p=2}^{s} \sum_{\kappa \in S(p-1, s-p+1)} q \circ k_{s-p+2} \circ\left(k_{p} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\sum_{s=n-1} \sum_{p=s+1} \sum_{\kappa=\mathrm{Id}} q \circ k_{1} \circ\left(k_{s+1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
$$

Step 5. We can combine the sums above. The first term is obtained by combining terms 4 and 7 above. The second term is obtained by combining terms 3 and 6 above. The third term is obtained by combining terms $2,5,8$, and 11 above. The fourth term is obtained by combining terms 1 and 10 . The last term is obtained by combining terms 9 and 12 above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}, s, i_{l+1} \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
2 \leq t \leq n=1 \\
i_{1}+\cdots+l \leq t=1 \\
i_{l}=s}} q \circ A_{t-l+1} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(k_{1} \circ T \circ A_{l-1}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\kappa \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}, s, i_{l+1} \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
2 \leq \leq n-1-s \\
1 \leq \leq \leq-1 \\
i_{1}+\cdots+=-1 \\
i_{l}=n-1-s}} q \circ A_{t-l} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ k_{1} \circ A_{l}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{p=2}^{s} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\kappa \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}, p-1 \leq s-p+1, i_{l+1}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
1 \leq t \leq-p \\
\text { and } \\
i_{1}+\ldots+l \leq t=n-p \\
i_{l}=s+1-p}} q \circ A_{t-l+1} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes A_{l}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ(\kappa \bullet \otimes i) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(s, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\
1 \leq t \leq n-1 \\
l \\
i_{1}+\cdots+i_{t}=n-1 \\
i_{l}=s}} q \circ A_{t} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{k \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t-1}, s\right) \\
1 \leq t \leq n=1-s \\
i_{1}+\cdots+=-1 \\
i_{1}+i_{t}=n-1-s \\
i_{l}=0}} q \circ k_{1} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ\left(A_{t} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\cdot} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6. Change notation. In the first sum, let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w}$ be $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}$ and $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{x}$ be $s, i_{l+1}, \ldots, i_{t}$. The conditions $t \geq 2$ and $2 \leq l \leq t$ imply that $w, x \geq 1$. Make similar changes to the other sums. In the second sum, let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w}$ be $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}, s$ and $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{x}$ be $i_{l+1}, \ldots, i_{t}$, and in the third sum let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w}$ be $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}, p-1$ and $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{x}$ be $s-p+1, i_{l+1}, \ldots, i_{t}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w}, d_{1} \ldots, d_{x}\right) \\
c_{1}+\cdots+c_{w}+d_{1}+\ldots+d_{x}=n-1 \\
w, x \geq 1}} q \circ A_{x} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(k_{1} \circ T \circ A_{w}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w}, d_{1} \ldots, d_{x}\right) \\
c_{1}+\cdots+c_{w}+d_{1}+\ldots+d_{x}=n-1 \\
w, x \geq 1}} q \circ A_{x} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ k_{1} \circ A_{w}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w}, d_{1} \ldots, d_{x}\right) \\
c_{1}+\cdots+c_{w}+d_{1}+\cdots+d_{x}=n-1 \\
w, x \geq 1}} q \circ A_{x} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(\operatorname{Id} \otimes A_{w}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(d_{1} \ldots, d_{x}\right) \\
d_{1}+\cdots+d_{x}=n-1 \\
x \geq 1}} q \circ A_{x} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\kappa \in S\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w}\right) \\
c_{1}+\cdots+c_{w}=n-1 \\
w \geq 1}} q \circ k_{1} \circ \lambda_{3}^{\bullet} \circ\left(A_{w} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\kappa{ }^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 7. Focusing now on the right-hand side, we substitute for $k_{n}^{\prime}$ using its definition. We consider the cases $p=1$ and $q=1$ separately, and use the fact that $k_{1}^{\prime} \circ q=q \circ k_{1}$ and $k_{1} \circ i=i \circ k_{1}^{\prime}$, since $i$ and $q$ are chain maps.

$$
\sum_{p=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(p)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \\ a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}=p-1}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in S\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\ b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=q-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ\left(\beta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(q \circ A_{r} \circ\left(\alpha^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{p=1} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\sigma(p)=n}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in S\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\
b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=n-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ\left(\beta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(k_{1} \circ i\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \sigma^{\bullet} \\
& +\sum_{p=n} \sum_{\sigma(p)=n} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \\
a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}=n-1}} q \circ k_{1} \circ\left(\beta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \circ \lambda^{\bullet} \circ\left[q \circ A_{r} \circ\left(\alpha^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \sigma^{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 8. We can combine $\sigma, \alpha$, and $\beta$ into one unshuffle $\theta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\
a_{1}+\cdots+r_{1}=p-1 \\
b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=q-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(i \circ q \circ A_{r}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{p=1} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\
b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=n-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{p=n} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \\
a_{1}+\cdots+\cdots, a_{r}=n-1}} q \circ k_{1} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left(A_{r} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 9. Use the fact that $\operatorname{Id}_{M}-i \circ q=k_{1} \circ T+T \circ k_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\
a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}=p-1 \\
b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=q-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(k_{1} \circ T \circ A_{r}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{p=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\
a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}+p-1 \\
b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=q-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(T \circ k_{1} \circ A_{r}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{p=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\
a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}+p-1 \\
b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=q-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left[\left(\mathrm{Id} \circ A_{r}\right) \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right) \\
& +\sum_{p=1} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \\
b_{1}+\cdots+b_{s}=n-1}} q \circ A_{s} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left(k_{1} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\sum_{p=n} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \\ a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}=n-1}} q \circ k_{1} \circ \lambda_{2}^{\bullet} \circ\left(A_{r} \otimes \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \lambda_{1}^{\bullet} \circ\left(\theta^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
$$

These terms are precisely the terms in Step 6, and so the terms cancel in pairs. Hence $L_{\infty}$-module relation holds for $k_{n}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 5. In the setting of Theorem 2, if $M^{\prime}$ has the $L_{\infty}$-module structure induced by a chain contraction, then the map $i: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ can be extended to an $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism, where $I_{1}=i$, and for $n \geq 2$, we define $I_{n}$ by

$$
I_{n}:=\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}\right) \\ i_{1}+\cdots+i_{t}=n-1}} T \circ A_{t} \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)
$$

The map $A_{t}: L^{\otimes i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes L^{\otimes i_{t}} \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is defined as in the statement of Theorem 2; see Figure 7.

Proof. (Sketch). We will prove that $I$ satisfies the $L_{\infty}$-module homomorphism relation for $n=2$. Indeed, for $x \in L$ and $m \in M^{\prime}$ we must show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}\left(l_{1}(x), m\right)+I_{2}\left(x, k_{1}^{\prime}(m)\right)+I_{1}\left(k_{2}^{\prime}(x, m)\right)=k_{2}\left(x_{1}, I_{1}(m)\right)+k_{1}\left(I_{2}(x, m)\right) \tag{2.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Working on the left-hand side and substituting in the definitions of $I$ and $k^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \circ k_{2}\left(l_{1}(x), i(m)\right)+T \circ k_{2}\left(x_{1}, i \circ k_{1}^{\prime}(m)\right)+i \circ q \circ k_{2}(x, i(m)) \tag{2.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we use the fact that $i$ is a chain map and that $i \circ q=T \circ k_{1}+k_{1} \circ T+\operatorname{Id}_{M}$, to see that (2) is equal to
$T \circ k_{2}\left(l_{1}(x), i(m)\right)+T \circ k_{2}\left(x_{1}, k_{1}(i(m))\right)+T \circ k_{1} \circ k_{2}(x, i(m))+k_{1} \circ T \circ k_{2}(x, i(m))+k_{2}(x, i(m))$

Applying the $L_{\infty}$-module relation to the first two terms in (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \circ k_{1} \circ k_{2}(x, i(m))+T \circ k_{1} \circ k_{2}(x, i(m))+k_{1} \circ T \circ k_{2}(x, i(m))+k_{2}(x, i(m)) \tag{2.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the first two terms cancel, and what remains is $k_{2}\left(x_{1}, I_{1}(m)\right)+k_{1}\left(I_{2}(x, m)\right)$, as desired. The proof of the general case is similar to the proof of Theorem 2

## CHAPTER III

## ANNULAR KHOVANOV HOMOLOGY

### 3.1. Introduction

In [Kho00], Khovanov defined a bigraded homology group for oriented links in $S^{3}$ which is a categorification of the Jones polynomial. Following this, for a compact, oriented surface $\Sigma$, Asaeda, Przytycki, and Sikora introduced a generalization of Khovanov homology for links in $\Sigma \times[0,1]$ that categorifies the Kauffman skein module of $\Sigma$; see [APS04]. The case where $\Sigma$ is an annulus is known as annular Khovanov homology and has since garnered much attention. For example, there have been various detection results that have been obtained by exploiting the relationship of annular Khovanov homology with various Floer theories. In [XZ19], Xie-Zhang use instanton Floer homology to show that annular Khovanov homology detects both the unlink and the closure of the trivial braid. They also show that it distinguishes braid closures from other links. More recently, Binns-Martin showed that knot Floer homology detects various torus links, and they used this to show that annular Khovanov homology detects certain braid closures; see [BM20].

A key feature of annular Khovanov homology is that it is endowed with extra structure not present in ordinary Khovanov homology. In [GLW18], Grigsby-Licata-Wehrli show that the annular Khovanov homology of a link is both an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-representation and an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$-representation, where $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra related to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$. This structure has been studied in several contexts. In one direction, Quefflec-Rose generalized this to show that annular Khovanov-

Rozansky homology carries an $\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$-action; see [QR18]. In another direction, Akhmechet-Krushkal-Willis have made progress towards lifting the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action to the stable homotopy refinement of the annular Khovanov homology; see [AKW22].

In proving that there is an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-representation structure on the annular Khovanov complex CKh $(L)$, Grigsby-Licata-Wehrli showed that the boundary maps of $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ commute with the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action, which shows that the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action holds at the chain level. In contrast, the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$-action is well-defined on the annular Khovanov homology $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$, but at the chain level, it only holds up to homotopy. This observation suggests the existence of an $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$. In this chapter, we exhibit $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ as an $L_{\infty}$-algebra and upgrade the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$-representation structure to that of an $L_{\infty}$-module. This module structure is an invariant of the annular link at both the chain level and on homology. In particular, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $L \subset A \times I$ be an annular link. There is an $L_{\infty}$-module structure on both $C K h(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ and $A K h(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ over the $L_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$. Up to $L_{\infty^{-}}$-quasi-isomorphism, this module structure only depends on the isotopy class of $L$ in $A \times I$.

The organization of this chapter is as follows. In section 2, we recall the definitions of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}, \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$, and $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ and review some key results obtained by Grigsby-Licata-Wehrli. In section 3, we provide a more detailed background of annular Khovanov homology. In section 4 , we explain how $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ and $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ are $L_{\infty}$-algebras. In sections 5 and 6 , we explain how $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ and $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$ are $L_{\infty}$-modules. In section 7 , we prove the invariance of these structures under Reidemeister moves. In section 8, we provide some examples showing this structure is nontrivial.

Remark. The proof of the above theorem relies on several results about $L_{\infty^{-}}$ modules. In particular, the proofs of Theorem 1, Theorem 2, and Lemma 5 are given over $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. We expect these results to hold with signs, but tracking them through their respective proofs is intricate. Outside of these three proofs, we will include signs when appropriate. Working without signs affects the bracket relations in $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}, \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$, and $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$; see section 3.2. The absence of signs also affects the higher operations involved in the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$; see Theorem 4.

### 3.2. The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}, \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$, and $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$

In this section, we review the Lie algebras of interest. We first recall the definition of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$. Next, we define the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$, which will be our main $L_{\infty}$-algebra of study. Finally, we define an auxiliary Lie superalgebra, $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, which is closely related to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ and will help us prove several key results.

### 3.2.1. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$

To fix notation, we will denote the standard basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ by $\{e, f, h\}$. Over $\mathbb{Z}$, the Lie bracket relations are given by:

$$
[e, f]=h,[e, h]=-2 e,[f, h]=2 f .
$$

### 3.2.2. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$

In [GLW18], Grigsby-Licata-Wehrli introduce a larger Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ containing $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ as a subalgebra. In fact, $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ has the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra.

Definition 13. A Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}_{\text {even }} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\text {odd }}$ equipped with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, called the super Lie bracket, satsfying the following conditions:

- $[x, y]=-(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x]$
- $(-1)^{|x||y|}[x,[y, z]]+(-1)^{|y||x|}[y,[z, x]]+(-1)^{|z||y|}[z,[x, y]]=0$

The first condition is known as super skew-symmetry, and the second condition is known as the super Jacobi identity. Here, $x, y$ and $z$ are homogeneous elements with respect to the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading. The notation $|x|$ represents the degree of $x$, and the degree of $[x, y]$ is required to be the sum of the degrees of $x$ and $y$, modulo 2 . These conditions should be thought of as analogs of the usual Lie algebra axioms, but with gradings taken into consideration.

We now describe the exterior current algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ by generators and relations, as presented in [GLW18]. As vector spaces,

$$
\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) \cong \mathfrak{s l}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{s l}_{2}
$$

where the first summand is in degree 0 and the second in degree 1 with respect to both the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading and the $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading. The $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-grading required for the Lie superalgebra structure is the mod 2 reduction of the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading. Denoting
the standard basis of the first $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ summand by $\{e, f, h\}$ and that of the degree 1 summand by $\left\{v_{2}, v_{-2}, v_{0}\right\}$, the bracket relations for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[e, f]=h} & {[h, e]=2 e} & {\left[f, v_{0}\right]=2 v_{-2}} \\
{\left[e, v_{2}\right]=0} & {[h, f]=-2 f} & {\left[f, v_{-2}\right]=0} \\
{\left[e, v_{0}\right]=-2 v_{2}} & {\left[h, v_{0}\right]=0} & {\left[h, v_{2}\right]=2 v_{2}} \\
{\left[e, v_{-2}\right]=v_{0}=-\left[f, v_{2}\right]} & {\left[h, v_{-2}\right]=-2 v_{-2}} & {\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]=0 \text { for } i, j \in\{2,0,-2\} .}
\end{array}
$$

### 3.2.3. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$

Following [GLW18], we describe the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$. As a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded super vector space, the degree 0 generators are $\{e, f, h\}$, and the degree 1 generators are $\left\{v_{2}, v_{-2}, d, D\right\}$. The defining bracket relations are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[e, f]=h} & {\left[e, v_{-2}\right]=-\left[f, v_{2}\right] ;} & {[d, y]=0 \text { for all } y \in\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}\right\} ;} \\
{[h, e]=2 e ;} & {\left[f, v_{-2}\right]=0 ;} & {[D, y]=0 \text { for all } y \in\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}\right\} ;} \\
{[h, f]=-2 f ;} & {\left[h, v_{2}\right]=2 v_{2} ;} & {[d, d]=[D, D]=\left[v_{2}, v_{2}\right]=\left[v_{-2}, v_{-2}\right]=0 .} \\
{\left[e, v_{2}\right]=0 ;} & {\left[h, v_{-2}\right]=-2 v_{-2} ;} & {\left[v_{2}, v_{-2}\right]+[d, D]=0 .}
\end{array}
$$

The structure of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ becomes more clear with the following two lemmas. The first gives us a basis for $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, and the second exhibits $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ as a direct summand of the homology of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ by regarding $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ as a chain complex with differential given by the adjoint action of $d$. Both lemmas are proved in [GLW18].

Lemma 6 ([GLW18]; Lemma 6). Let $\tilde{v_{0}}=\left[e, v_{-2}\right]=-\left[f, v_{2}\right]$, and let $x=$ $\left[v_{2}, v_{-2}\right]=-[d, D]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{v}_{0}, \tilde{v}_{0}\right]$. Then the set $\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v_{0}}, d, D, x\right\}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$.

Lemma 7 ([GLW18]; Lemma 7). The homology of the chain complex $\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right.$, $[d, \cdot])$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ and the trivial Lie superalgebra. That is, $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g},[d, \cdot]\right) \cong \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

### 3.3. Annular Khovanov homology

In this section, we review the construction of annular Khovanov homology and recall some of its structure. For other expositions; see [Rob13], [SZ18], and [GLW18]. To start, let $L \subset A \times I$ be a link in the thickened annulus. The link $L$ admits a diagram $P(L) \subset A$ by considering the projection $A \times I \rightarrow A \times\{0\}$, and this diagram can be regarded as sitting inside of $S^{2}-\{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{O}\}$, where $\mathbb{X}$ is a basepoint representing the inner boundary of $A$, and $\mathbb{O}$ is a basepoint representing the outer boundary of $A$; see Figure 8.


FIGURE 8. A diagram $P(L) \subset S^{2}-\{\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{O}\}$ of an annular link $L$, where $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{O}$ represent the inner and outer boundaries of the annulus, respectively.

If we ignore the basepoint $\mathbb{X}$, we can form the ordinary Khovanov complex $\operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$. $\operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$ is generated by oriented Kauffman states, where circles are labeled either $v_{+}$or $v_{-} . \operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$ is also bigraded, where an element of
$\operatorname{CKh}^{i, j}(P(L))$ is said to have homological grading $i$ and quantum grading $j$. Formulas for these gradings are given in [Zha18] and [Rob13].

The addition of the basepoint $\mathbb{X}$ endows $\operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$ with a third grading $k$, called the $k$-grading or the winding-number grading. For a fixed generator, the associated Kauffman state is a collection of oriented circles, and the $k$-grading is defined to be the algebraic intersection number of this collection of circles with an oriented arc from $\mathbb{X}$ to $\mathbb{O}$ that misses all crossings of $P(L)$. Another way to compute the $k$-grading is to count the number of positively-labeled nontrivial circles and subtract the number of negatively-labeled nontrivial circles, where a nontrivial circle is a circle that separates $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{O}$. In [Rob13], it is proved that the Khovanov differential $\partial$ does not increase the $k$-grading, and so this gives rise to a filtration on $\operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$. The annular Khovanov homology $\operatorname{AKh}(P(L))$ is the homology of the associated graded object. Said differently, we can decompose the Khovanov differential as $\partial=\partial_{0}+\partial_{-}$, where $\partial_{0}$ and $\partial_{-}$are the $k$-preserving and $k$-decreasing parts of $\partial$, respectively. $\operatorname{AKh}(P(L))$ is the homology of the triplygraded chain complex $\left(\operatorname{CKh}(P(L)), \partial_{0}\right)$. Moreover, up to isomorphism, the annular Khovanov homology does not depend on the diagram $P(L)$ representing $L$, so it makes sense to write $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$.

It is instructive to see how the differential $\partial_{0}$ of the annular Khovanov complex differs from the usual Khovanov differential $\partial$. To do so, we need to examine how the $k$-gradings of generators change under merge and split maps. Denoting trivial circles by T's and nontrivial circles by N's, the three possibilities are $\mathrm{TT} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{NT} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{N}$, and NN $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{T}$; see Figure 9 .

The formula for the differential $\partial_{0}$ depends on the types of circles involved, and we list the explicit formulas for each case below. Recall that trivial circles are labeled by either $w_{+}$or $w_{-}$and nontrivial circles are labeled by either $v_{+}$or $v_{-}$.

1. When two trivial circles merge into trivial circle, or when a trivial circle splits into two trivial circles:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\quad \frac{\text { Merge }}{} & \frac{\text { Split }}{} \\
\mathbf{w}_{+} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{+} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{+} & \mathbf{w}_{+} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{+} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{-}+\mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{+} \\
\mathbf{w}_{+} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{-} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{-} & \mathbf{w}_{-} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{-} \\
\mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{+} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{-} & \\
\mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{w}_{-} \mapsto 0 &
\end{array}
$$

2. When a trivial circle and a nontrivial circle merge into a nontrivial circle, or when a nontrivial circle splits into a trivial circle and a nontrivial circle:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\quad \frac{\text { Merge }}{} & \text { Split } \\
\mathbf{w}_{+} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{+} \mapsto \mathbf{v}_{+} & \mathbf{v}_{+} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{+} \\
\mathbf{w}_{+} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{-} \mapsto \mathbf{v}_{-} & \mathbf{v}_{-} \mapsto \mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{-} \\
\mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{+} \mapsto 0 & \\
\mathbf{w}_{-} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{-} \mapsto 0 &
\end{array}
$$

3. When two nontrivial circles merge into a trivial circle, or when a trivial circle splits into two nontrivial circles:


FIGURE 9. The various ways the operations of merging and splitting along a crossing (indicated by a dashed line) interact with a basepoint. The top illustrates the case of two trivial circles merging into trivial circle (or a trivial circle splitting into two trivial circles). The middle illustrates the case of a trivial circle and a nontrivial circle merging into a nontrivial circle (or a nontrivial circle splitting into a trivial circle and a nontrivial circle). The bottom illustrates the case of nontrivial circles merging into a trivial circle (or a trivial circle splitting into two nontrivial circles).

We end this section by briefly describing the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ representation structure on $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$, referring the reader to [GLW18] for details. Fix a resolution of $P(L)$.

Nontrivial circles, with respect to the basis $\left\{v_{+}, v_{-}\right\}$, are assigned the 2-dimensional defining representation of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$, defined by

$$
h \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), e \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), f \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Trivial circles are assigned the 2-dimensional trivial representation. The resolution is then assigned the tensor product of these representations. We take the direct sum of all of these representations to obtain the structure of an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-representation on $\operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$. This action descends to an action on the homology $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$, which Grigsby-Licata-Wehrli then upgrade to an action of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$. They show that the annular boundary maps commute with the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action, implying that the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action holds at the chain level. In contrast, the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$-action is well-defined on $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$, but at the chain level, it only holds up to homotopy [GLW18]. This observation leads us to consider this situation in terms of $L_{\infty}$-algebras and modules.

### 3.4. The $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$

Since $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ is a Lie superalgebra, it is an $L_{\infty}$-algebra with no higher operations. In this section, we will use a cochain contraction to transfer this $L_{\infty^{-}}$ algebra structure on $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$, and then we will show that all higher operations in the $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ vanish.

Lemma 8. There exist maps $i$ and $q$ so that the data

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(\mathfrak{S l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g} \underset{i}{\stackrel{q}{\rightleftarrows}} H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)\right. \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the definition of a cochain contraction.

Proof. By Lemma $7, H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right) \cong \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with basis $\left\{v_{2}, v_{-2}, v_{0}, d, e, f, h\right\}$. Writing out the basis elements of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ and $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$, with their degrees above them, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} 
& 2 & 1 & 0 \\
\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g} & x & v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D & e, f, h \\
H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right) & 0 & v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d & e, f, h
\end{array}
$$

The maps $i$ and $q$ are easy to define. Let $i$ lift every element to its corresponding element in $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, and let $q$ be the projection back down, sending $x$ and $D$ to 0 . Define the chain homotopy $K$ to be 0 for every element except for $x$, in which case we define $K(x)=-D$.

It is straightforward to check that $i$ and $q$ are chain maps. The differential in $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ is 0 , so $i \partial=0$. Also, $\partial i=0$, since the elements in the image of $i$ are in the kernel of $[d, \cdot \cdot]$, which is the differential in $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$. On the other hand, $\partial q=0$. Also, $q \partial=0$, since the only element in the image of $[d, \cdot]$ is $x$, which is sent to 0 by $q$. It is also straightforward to check that all of the chain contraction conditions are satisfied.

Lemma 9. The Lie superalgebra $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$ inherits an $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure induced by $(*)$, and this $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure has no higher operations.

Proof. Following section 2.4, the formulas for the transfered bracket tell us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \bar{S}(j, n-j)} \epsilon(\sigma) \cdot K \circ l_{2} \circ\left(I_{j} \otimes I_{n-j}\right) \circ \sigma \\
l_{n}^{\prime} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \bar{S}(j, n-j)} \epsilon(\sigma) \cdot q \circ l_{2} \circ\left(I_{j} \otimes I_{n-j}\right) \circ \sigma_{\bullet}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $I_{1}=-i$. For $n=2$, the only unshuffle in $\bar{S}(1,1)$ is the identity. So, $I_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=K\left(l_{2}\left(I_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), I_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right)$. Since $K(x)=-D$ and is 0 otherwise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2}\left(v_{2}, v_{-2}\right)=K(x)=-D \\
& I_{2}\left(v_{-2}, v_{2}\right)=K(x)=-D \\
& I_{2}\left(\tilde{v}_{0}, \tilde{v}_{0}\right)=K(2 x)=-2 D \\
& I_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0 \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $l_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=q\left(l_{2}\left(I_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), I_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right)$, and so to compute the bracket of two elements in $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$, we lift them to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, take their bracket in $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, and then quotient back to $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$.

Now, let $n \geq 3$. For all $m \geq 2, I_{m}$ is in the image of $K$, and so $I_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=c D$ for some scalar $c$. But then for any $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\sigma \in \bar{S}(j, n-j), q \circ l_{2} \circ\left(I_{j} \otimes I_{n-j}\right) \circ \sigma_{\bullet}$ is 0 , since either the $l_{2} \circ\left(I_{j} \otimes I_{n-j}\right) \circ \sigma_{\bullet}$ term is 0 , as $[D, y]=0$ for all $y \in\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, D\right\}$, or $q$ will send this term to 0 since the only nonzero bracket involving $D$ is $[d, D]=-x$, and $q(x)=0$. Hence $l_{n}^{\prime}=0$ for $n \geq 3$, and so the Lie superalgebra $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$ has no higher operations.

Theorem 3. The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ inherits an $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure as a subalgebra of $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$, and this $L_{\infty}$-algebra structure has no higher operations.

Proof. The map $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ that sends $e, f, h, v_{2}, v-2, \tilde{v}_{0}, d$ to $e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}, v_{0}, 0$ is surjective with 1-dimensional kernel. So $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right) \cong$ $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, where the $\mathbb{Z}$ summand is generated by the element $d$. But the bracket of $d$ with everything in the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ summand is 0 , so $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ is a direct sum not only as a vector space, but also as an $L_{\infty}$-algebra. So $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ is an $L_{\infty}$-algebra as a subalgebra of $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$.

### 3.5. The $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$

Viewing $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ and $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ as $L_{\infty}$-algebras, in this section we will exhibit $\operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$ as an $L_{\infty}$-module over $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$. Also, fix a diagram $P(L)$ of the annular link $L$. We will simplify notation and write $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ and $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$ instead of $\operatorname{CKh}(P(L))$ and $\operatorname{AKh}(P(L))$.

Theorem 4. Let $L$ be an annular link and $m \in \operatorname{CKh}(L)$. Then $C K h(L)$ is an $L_{\infty}$ module over the $L_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$. One of the higher operations is given in terms of the Lee differential: $k_{3}\left(v_{2}, v_{-2}, m\right)=\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}(m)$. In particular, the $L_{\infty}$-module structure is nontrivial if $\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}: C K h(L) \rightarrow C K h(L)$ is nonzero.

Proof. To start, $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ is an $L_{\infty}$-module over $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, where the $k_{2}$ operation is given by the usual module action, and $k_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 3$. The module actions of elements of the basis $\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D, x\right\}$ are as follows. The actions of $e, f, h$ were described at the end of section 3.3 , and $v_{2}, v_{-2}, d$, and $D$ act by $\partial_{+}^{\text {Lee }}$, $\partial_{-}, \partial_{0}$, and $\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}$, respectively; see [GLW18]. The actions of $\tilde{v}_{0}$ and $x$ can then be determined by the bracket relations.

Now, we have a cochain contraction from $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ onto its homology, so we can transfer the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$-module structure to obtain a new module structure over $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$. We can then restrict this module structure to the copy of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ that sits inside of $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$.

To see that the induced module structure is nontrivial, recall the cochain contraction from Lemma 8.

$$
K\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g} \stackrel{q}{\underset{i}{\rightleftarrows}} H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)\right.
$$

Examining the restriction of scalars formulas from Theorem 1, we see that

$$
k_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, m\right)=k_{3}\left(I_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), I_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), m\right)-k_{2}\left(I_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), m\right)=-k_{2}\left(I_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), m\right)
$$

for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$ and $m \in \operatorname{CKh}(L)$. Here, $k_{n}$ is the $L_{\infty}$-module operation for $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, and recall that $k_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 3$. Since $I_{2}\left(v_{2}, v_{-2}\right)=$ $-D$ and $I_{2}\left(v_{-2}, v_{2}\right)=-D$, and since $D$ acts by $\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}$, we conclude that $k_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}, v_{-2}, m\right)=\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}(m)$ and $k_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{-2}, v_{2}, m\right)=\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}(m)$, showing that we obtain higher operations.

### 3.6. Reidemeister Moves

### 3.6.1. Invariance of the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$-module structure

In this section, we follow Khovanov's original proof that Khovanov homology is invariant under Reidemeister moves; see [Kho00]. There, Khovanov constructs quasi-isomorphisms between a given Khovanov complex and the complex obtained after applying a particular Reidemeister move. Here, we upgrade these quasiisomorphisms to $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g} L_{\infty}$-module quasi-isomorphisms.

Theorem 5. The $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ is invariant under Reidemeister I.

Proof. Let $\llbracket \wedge \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket-\unrhd \rrbracket$ denote the annular chain complexes before and after applying an RI move, respectively. Our goal is to construct a quasi-isomorphism of $L_{\infty}$-modules $\left\{h_{n}\right\}: \llbracket-\rrbracket \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \cap \rrbracket$. Because the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$-module structures on these complexes have no higher operations, it suffices to give a quasi-isomorphism $h_{1}: \llbracket \varrho \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \cap \rrbracket$ that respects the module action, since we can then take $h_{n}=0$ for
$n \geq 2$. To this end, let $\mathcal{C}$ be the complex

$$
\mathcal{C}:=\llbracket-\rrbracket \rrbracket=\llbracket \circ \rrbracket \xrightarrow{m} \llbracket \cap \rrbracket\{1\}
$$

and let $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be the subcomplex

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\prime}:=\llbracket \circ \rrbracket_{w_{+}} \xrightarrow{m} \llbracket \cap \rrbracket\{1\},
$$

where $\llbracket \circ \rrbracket_{w_{+}}$means that the extra circle is labeled $w_{+}$. A straightforward check of the actions of the basis elements $\left\{e, f, h, v_{-2}, v_{2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D, x\right\}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ shows that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is an $s l_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$-submodule. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is acyclic, since we can write $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ as the mapping cone of the isomorphism $m$.

Therefore, the quotient complex $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is the complex $\llbracket \circ \square / /_{w_{+}=0} \rightarrow 0$, and it is isomorphic to $\llbracket\urcorner \rrbracket$ as chain complexes via the map $z \otimes w_{-} \mapsto z$. To summarize, we have constructed a chain map $\llbracket-\varrho \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \cap \rrbracket$ given by

$$
y \otimes w_{+}+z \otimes w_{-}+x \mapsto z \otimes w_{-} \mapsto z
$$

for $y, z \in \mathcal{C}(* 0)$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}(* 1)$ (we have labeled the crossing formed by the Reidemeister I move last in the chain complex), and this map induces an
isomorphisms on homology

$$
H(\llbracket \varrho \rrbracket)=H(\mathcal{C}) \cong H\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) \cong H(\llbracket \cap \rrbracket)
$$

To complete the proof, we need to check that this composition respects the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ action. Certainly the first map does, as it is the quotient map of an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$-submodule. For the second map, if $s \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, mapping over and then acting by $s$ gives $z \otimes w_{-} \mapsto s z$. On the other hand, acting first by $s$ and then mapping over gives $s\left(z \otimes w_{-}\right)=s z \otimes w_{-} \mapsto s z$.

Theorem 6. The $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ is invariant under Reidemeister II.

Proof. There is a more direct way to prove RII invariance, but the method that follows will be useful in proving RIII invariance. Consider the diagrams in Figure 10.



FIGURE 10. The relevant complexes in the proof of RII invariance. A similar diagram appears in [Bar02].

As complexes, the composition

$$
\llbracket \succ \searrow \rrbracket=\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{p}\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime} \xrightarrow{f} \llbracket \approx \rrbracket
$$

is a chain of quasi-isomorphisms; see [Bar02]. Our goal is to show that these complexes are actually quasi-isomorphic as $L_{\infty}$-modules. Since $\mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is not an $L_{\infty^{-}}$ submodule, we do not immediately have an $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Our strategy then will be to give chain contractions from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and from $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ to $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\text {II }}$ in order to equip these quotients with $L_{\infty}$-module structures. Doing so will give us our desired $L_{\infty}$-module quasi-isomomorphisms. To this end, define $i: \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to be the map

$$
i(z)= \begin{cases}z-m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}}(z) & \text { if } z \text { is in the top left } \\ 0 & \text { if } z \text { is in the top right } \\ z & \text { if } z \text { is in the bottom left } \\ z-m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}}(z) & \text { if } z \text { is in the bottom right }\end{cases}
$$

where the map $m^{-1}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is zero except on the top right vertex. There, it will be the inverse to the isomorphism that merges a circle with the small circle labeled $w_{+}$.

Remark. The map $i$ above takes an element $z \in \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and views it as an element of $\mathcal{C}$. The complex $\mathcal{C}$ has a preferred basis of Khovanov generators, and $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has a preferred basis consisting of basis elements of $\mathcal{C}$ not in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. So, before applying $i$, we should apply a map $i_{0}: \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ vector spaces, but we will suppress this for brevity.

Now, if $K: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the map

$$
K(z)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } z \text { is in the top left } \\ m^{-1}(z) & \text { if } z \text { is in the top right } \\ 0 & \text { if } z \text { is in the bottom left } \\ 0 & \text { if } z \text { is in the bottom right }\end{cases}
$$

the data

$$
K \subset \mathcal{C} \underset{i}{\stackrel{q}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}
$$

satisfies the requirements of a chain contraction, which we can use to transfer the $L_{\infty}$-module structure from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. In particular, since $i$ was a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes, we obtain a quasi-isomorphism of $L_{\infty}$-modules $I_{n}: \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has the induced $L_{\infty}$-module structure. In fact, there are no higher operations on $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. After examining the formula for the induced operation, this follows from the fact that $\mathcal{C}$ itself has no higher operations, that the image of $K$ is in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, and that $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is an $L_{\infty}$-submodule of $\mathcal{C}$.

Next, since every nonzero element of $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is equivalent to some element $\gamma$ in the bottom right, we can define $j(\gamma):=\gamma$, thought of as an element of $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Then if $H: \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is the map

$$
H(z)= \begin{cases}\Delta^{-1}(z) & \text { if } z \text { is in the top left } \\ 0 & \text { if } z \text { is in the top right } \\ 0 & \text { if } z \text { is in the bottom left } \\ 0 & \text { if } z \text { is in the bottom right }\end{cases}
$$

the data

$$
{ }_{H} \subset \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \underset{j}{\stackrel{p}{\rightleftarrows}}\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

also satisfies the requirements of a chain contraction. We obtain a quasiisomorphism of $L_{\infty}$-modules $J_{n}: \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\text {III }}$ has the induced $L_{\infty}$-module structure from $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. There are no higher operations on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ as well. To see this, note that because $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has no higher operations, the induced module operation on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is of the form $k_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S(1, \ldots, 1) \\ i_{1}=\cdots=i_{n-1}=1}} q \circ A_{n-1} \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes i\right)$; see Figure 11.


FIGURE 11. The transferred bracket on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Here, the labeled edges represent the application of that particular map. For example, $k_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, m\right)$ $q \circ k_{2}\left(x_{1}, H \circ k_{2}\left(x_{2}, j(m)\right)\right)+q \circ k_{2}\left(x_{2}, H \circ k_{2}\left(x_{1}, j(m)\right)\right)$

Since the image of $j$ is concentrated in the bottom right corner of $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, and $H$ is zero everywhere except the top-left, it follows that all higher operations vanish. As for the module operation $k_{2}$, an element $s \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ acts on $\gamma \in$ $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ by

$$
s \cdot \gamma=p(s \cdot j(\gamma))=p(q(s \cdot(i \circ j(\gamma))))=(p \circ q)\left(s \cdot \gamma-s \cdot m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma)\right)
$$

That is, we consider the difference $s \cdot \gamma-s \cdot m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma)$ as an element of $\mathcal{C}$, and then quotient twice. It remains to show that the degree shift map $f:\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime} \rightarrow \llbracket \approx \approx \rrbracket$ respects this action, that is, $f(s \cdot \gamma)=s \cdot f(\gamma)$ for $s \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ and $\gamma \in\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s \cdot \gamma)-s \cdot f(\gamma) & =f\left((p \circ q)(s \cdot \gamma)-(p \circ q)\left(s \cdot m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma)\right)\right)-s \cdot \gamma \\
& =-(p \circ q)\left(s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} \gamma\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that any term $m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} \gamma\right)$ will be labeled by $w_{+}$, the action of any $s \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ on this term will quotient to 0 under $p \circ q$. In particular, we have shown that the composition

$$
\llbracket \searrow \searrow \rrbracket=\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{f} \llbracket \approx \rrbracket
$$

is a chain of $L_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphisms, since $\llbracket \approx \rrbracket$ has no higher operations.

Theorem 7. The $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ is invariant under Reidemeister III.

Proof. Step 1: Overview. For RIII, the situation is summarized in Figure 12.
We start by decomposing the complexes $\llbracket \mathcal{H} \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket$ 以上 into $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ (these are the
top left and top right cubes in Figure 12, respectively). We will then transfer the $L_{\infty}$-module structures by quasi-isomorphisms $q_{2} \circ q_{1}$ and $p_{2} \circ p_{1}$ to the quotient complexes $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / C^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / D^{\prime \prime}$ (the bottom row) and show that these quotients are $L_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphic via an $L_{\infty}$-module map $f$.

Step 2: The structure on $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$. Analagous to the RII case, we have subcomplexes $C^{\prime} \subset C$ and $D^{\prime} \subset D$, which are $L_{\infty}$-submodules; see Figure 13 . Because $C^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$ are submodules, the quotients $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ have no higher operations as $L_{\infty}$-modules. Alternatively, this quotient structure agrees with the one obtained by using cochain contractions
to transfer the structure. Here, the maps $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ are

$$
i_{1}(z)= \begin{cases}z, & \text { if } z \in 000,001,010,100 \\ z-m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right), & \text { if } z \in 011,101,110 \\ 0, & \text { if } z \in 111\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
j_{1}(z)= \begin{cases}z, & \text { if } z \in 000,001,010,100 \\ z-m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{D}} z\right), & \text { if } z \in 011,101,110 \\ 0, & \text { if } z \in 111\end{cases}
$$



FIGURE 12. The complexes involved in RIII invariance. We have suppressed the degree shifts.


FIGURE 13. The complexes $C^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$. The $w_{+}$means that the trivial circle is labeled $w_{+}$.

The coordinates above refer to different corners of the cubes, i.e.


Step 3: The structure on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$. To go from $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ to $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ to $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$, we identify elements in vertices 101 and 110 by imposing the relation $\beta_{1}=\tau_{1} \beta_{1}$ in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and the relation $\beta_{2}=\tau_{2} \beta_{2}$ in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$, analagous to the RII case. Similar to before, we are not quotienting by a submodule, so we need to transfer the structure from $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$. To this end, we define maps $i_{2}$ and $j_{2}$. Let

$$
i_{2}(z)= \begin{cases}z, & \text { if } z \in 000,010,011,110 \\ z-\Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right), & \text { if } z \in 001 \\ 0, & \text { if } z \in 100,111\end{cases}
$$

Note that if $z \in 101$, then $z$ is equivalent via $\tau_{1}$ to some element in 110. Also, let

$$
j_{2}(z)= \begin{cases}z, & \text { if } z \in 000,001,011,101 \\ z-\Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}} z\right), & \text { if } z \in 010 \\ 0, & \text { if } z \in 100,111\end{cases}
$$

where we again note that if $z \in 110$, then $z$ is equivalent via $\tau_{2}$ to some element in 101. Then, if we define $T: \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and $S: \mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ by

$$
T(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta^{-1}(z), & \text { if } z \in 101 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad S(z)= \begin{cases}\Delta^{-1}(z), & \text { if } z \in 110 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

both

$$
T \mathcal{C} \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime} \underset{i_{2}}{\stackrel{q_{2}}{\rightleftarrows}}\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and } \quad S \circlearrowright \mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \underset{j_{2}}{\stackrel{p_{2}}{\rightleftarrows}}\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}
$$

satisfy the requirement of a cochain contraction. In particular, this allows us to transfer the $L_{\infty}$-module structures from $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ to their respective quotient complexes.

Step 4: $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ have no higher operations. The next goal is to show that there is no higher structure on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ or $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$. We will explain the case of $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$. The case of $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ is analagous. Indeed, because $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has no higher $L_{\infty}$-module operations, the transferred structure looks like

$$
k_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, m\right)=\sum_{\substack{\tau \in S(1, \ldots, 1) \\ i_{1}=\cdots=i_{n-1}=1}} q \circ A_{n-1} \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes i_{2}\right)
$$

See Figure 11. We will show that $q \circ A_{n-1} \circ\left(\tau^{\bullet} \otimes i_{2}\right)=0$ for any $\tau \in S_{n-1}$. That is, for $n \geq 3$, it suffices to show that $q \circ A_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, i_{2}(m)\right)=0$ for any choice of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, where $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1}=1$ in the definition of $A_{n-1}$.

Step 4.1: The case $n>3$. We start with the case $n>3$. Because $T$ is only nonzero on the vertex 101 , for $q \circ A_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, i_{2}(m)\right)$ to be nonzero, it must contain a nonzero composition


Here, the map $x_{j}$ represents acting by the element $x_{j} \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$. We will show that if $x_{j}$ is any element of the basis $\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D, x\right\}$, then this composition is zero. Indeed, $x_{j}$ cannot be $e, f, h$, since it must change the homological degree by one to have nonzero image in vertex 101. Moreover, modulo the relation $w_{+}=0$, the actions of the elements $v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}$, and $D$ are all the zero map. Finally, if $x_{j}=-x=[d, D]$, then the component that lies in the vertex 101 is

$$
D_{101} d_{10 *}+D_{10 *} d_{100}+d_{101} D_{10 *}+d_{10 *} D_{100}
$$

where, for example, the notation $D_{101}$ represents the component of $D$ that remains in vertex 101, and $d_{10 *}$ represents the component of $d$ obtained by acting along the edge $100 \rightarrow 101$. Now we observe that the middle terms $D_{10 *} d_{100}$ and $d_{101} D_{10 *}$ are both zero, because the relation $w_{+}=0$ implies that $D_{10 *}$ is the zero map. Also, the terms $D_{101} d_{10 *}$ and $d_{10 *} D_{100}$ cancel, because $d_{10 *}$ just appends a trivial circle labeled $w_{-}$to the resolution in vertex 100 .

Therefore, we have reduced the possible nonzero $q \circ A_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, i_{2}(m)\right)$ to either the case of $q \circ A_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, i_{2}(m)\right)$ or $q \circ A_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, i_{2}(m)\right)$, where $x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n-2}=d$.

Step 4.2: The case $n=3$. We now examine the case $n=3$. From the formula for $q \circ A_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, i_{2}(m)\right)$, we need $x_{1} \cdot i_{2}(m)$ to be in vertex 101. This implies that $m$ is either in the vertex 000 or the vertex 001 . If $m \in 000$, then the only possibility for $x_{1}$ is $x_{1}=x$. But then

$$
x \cdot m=-[d, D] \cdot m=\partial_{0} \partial_{0}^{L e e} m+\partial_{0}^{L e e} \partial_{0} m
$$

Since the boundary map $\partial_{* 01}$ is a split map, and $w_{+}=0$ in vertex $101, \partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}=0$ along this edge. So we only have a term $\partial_{0} \partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }} m$. Therefore, we need to focus on the composition

where $t_{1}, t_{2}$ can be either merge or split. Let $a, b, c$ denote the circles to which the three strands in vertex 000 belong; see Figure 14. Then we have four cases: either $a=b=c, a=b \neq c, a \neq b=c$, or $a \neq b \neq c$.


FIGURE 14. Each strand in the vertex 000 belongs to a circle. Denote these circles by $a, b$, and $c$.


FIGURE 15. This picture shows all possible configurations of the circles $a, b$, and $c$.

We have not drawn the basepoint, which can be anywhere outside of the dashed circles. We have also not drawn the possible other circles coming from the other crossing resolutions.

Step 4.2.1: $m \in 000$ and $a=b=c$. If $a=b=c$, then in each case, $t_{1}$ is a split map and $t_{2}$ is a merge map. Because $\partial_{0}^{L e e}$ needs to be nonzero, we must label our circle by $w_{-}$. This forces a labeling of $w_{+} \otimes w_{+}$in 100; see Figure 16.


FIGURE 16. The first of four cases with $a=b=c$. In each case, the labeling of the circle in 000 must be $w_{-}$, which forces a labeling of $w_{+} \otimes w_{+}$in 100 .

The possibilities for $x_{2}$ are $\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D, x\right\}$. It cannot be $e, f, h$, since $x_{2}$ must change the homological be degree by one. Moreover, $v_{2}, v_{-2}$ and $\tilde{v}_{0}$ are each the 0 map, since we are only involving trivial circles. The labeling $w_{+} \otimes w_{+}$ implies that $D$ is the 0 map. Finally, the terms obtained from acting by either $d$ or $x$ will cancel when we quotient to $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$. For example, if we act by $d$, then the relation $\beta_{1}=\tau_{1} \beta_{1}$ identifies the terms obtained by acting by $d_{10 *}$ and $d_{1 * 0}$, and so they will cancel. On the other hand, if we act by $x$, the terms we obtain in vertices 101 and 110 are
$\underbrace{d_{10 *} D_{100}+d_{101} D_{10 *}+D_{10 *} d_{100}+D_{101} d_{10 *}}_{\text {vertex } 101}+\underbrace{d_{1 * 0} D_{100}+d_{110} D_{1 * 0}+D_{1 * 0} d_{100}+D_{110} d_{1 * 0}}_{\text {vertex } 110}$

Now, the terms involving $D_{10 *}$ and $D_{1 * 0}$ are zero, because $w_{+}=0$ in vertex 101 and both circles in vertex 100 are labeled by $w_{+}$. We are left with

$$
d_{10 *} D_{100}+D_{101} d_{10 *}+d_{1 * 0} D_{100}+D_{110} d_{1 * 0}
$$

Because of the $w_{+}$labelings in vertex 100 , the only nonzero parts of $D_{101}$ and $D_{110}$ come from applying $D$ amongst the other circles in the resolution. It follows that $D_{101} d_{10 *}$ and $D_{110} d_{1 * 0}$ will be identified when we quotient, and so they will cancel. The $d_{10 *} D_{100}$ and $d_{1 * 0} D_{100}$ terms will also cancel.

Step 4.2.2: $m \in 000$ and $a=b \neq c$. If $a=b \neq c$, then in each case, $t_{1}$ is a split map and $t_{2}$ is a split map. Again, we need to involve trivial circles for $t_{1}$, otherwise $\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}=0$; see Figure 17.


110

FIGURE 17. The first of three cases with $a=b \neq c$. The labeling of the circle in 000 must be $w_{-} \otimes w_{-}$, which forces a labeling of $w_{+}$in 100 .

Since the circle in vertex 100 must be labeled by $w_{+}$, by a similar argument to the case of $a=b=c$, acting by $v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, D$ are all 0 , and the terms obtained from acting by either $d$ or $x$ will cancel when we quotient to $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$. Seeing that the terms will cancel in the quotient if we act by $x$ in vertex 100 is slightly different than before. To see this explicitly, we start as in the case of $a=b=c$ by examining
the terms
$\underbrace{d_{10 *} D_{100}+d_{101} D_{10 *}+D_{10 *} d_{100}+D_{101} d_{10 *}}_{\text {vertex } 101}+\underbrace{d_{1 * 0} D_{100}+d_{110} D_{1 * 0}+D_{1 * 0} d_{100}+D_{110} d_{1 * 0}}_{\text {vertex } 110}$
Now, $d_{10 *} D_{100}$ and $d_{1 * 0} D_{100}$ will cancel in the quotient. Also, $D_{10 *}$ is the zero map due to the relation $w_{+}=0$ in vertex 101. The $w_{+}$label implies that $d_{110} D_{1 * 0}$ is zero. It remains to show that the terms

$$
D_{101} d_{10 *}+D_{1 * 0} d_{100}+D_{110} d_{1 * 0}
$$

cancel. Label the circles in 100 by $c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+}$. The idea is to show that part of $D_{110} d_{1 * 0}$ will cancel with $D_{101} d_{10 *}$ (the part involving the $c_{i}$ themselves) and that the rest will cancel with $D_{1 * 0} d_{100}$ (the part involving the $w_{+}$). Indeed, we may write the $D_{110} d_{1 * 0}$ term as

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{110} d_{1 * 0}= & D_{110}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)+D_{110}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right) \\
= & D_{110}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)+D_{110}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right) \\
& +D_{110}^{w_{+}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)+D_{110}^{w_{+}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right) \\
& +D_{110}^{w_{-}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)+D_{110}^{w_{-}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{110}^{c}$ is the part of $D_{110}$ that involves only crossings among the circles $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}, D^{w_{+}}$is the part of $D_{110}$ that involves only crossings with the circle labeled $w_{+}$, and $D^{w_{-}}$is the part of $D_{110}$ that involves only crossings with the circle labeled $w_{-}$. By the definition of the Lee differential, the labels imply
$D_{110}^{w_{+}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)=D_{110}^{w_{+}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right)=0$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{110} d_{1 * 0}= & D_{110}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)+D_{110}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right) \\
& +D_{110}^{w_{-}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right)+D_{110}^{w_{-}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $D_{101} d_{10 *}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{101}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)= & D_{101}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right) \\
& +D_{101}^{w}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{101}^{w}$ is the part of $D_{101}$ involving a crossing with either the (outermost) circle labeled $w_{+}$or the circle labeled $w_{-}$. The $w_{+}$label together with the relation $w_{+}=0$ in vertex 101 implies that $D_{101}^{w}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)=0$. In the quotient $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}, D_{101}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)$is identified with

$$
D_{110}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)+D_{110}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right)
$$

Therefore, it remains to examine the $D_{1 * 0} d_{100}$ term, which we may write as

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1 * 0} d_{100}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+}\right)= & D_{1 * 0} d_{100}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+}\right) \\
& +D_{1 * 0} d_{100}^{w}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the $w_{+}$label, $D_{1 * 0} d_{100}^{c}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+}\right)=0$, and so it remains to show that

$$
D_{1 * 0} d_{100}^{w}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots c_{n} \otimes w_{+}\right)
$$

and

$$
D_{110}^{w_{+}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{+}\right)+D_{110}^{w_{-}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)
$$

cancel in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$. This is indeed the case since to compute $D_{110}^{w_{+}}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes\right.$ $w_{-} \otimes w_{+}$), we need only consider crossings where either a circle $c_{i}$ labeled $w_{-}$merges with the $w_{-}$or the circle labeled $w_{-}$splits. The same is true to compute $D_{110}^{w_{-}}\left(c_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.\cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{-}\right)$. On the other hand, to compute $D_{1 * 0} d_{100}^{w}\left(c_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n} \otimes\right.$ $w_{+}$) we again have two cases. The first case consists of crossings where a circle $c_{i}$ labeled $w_{-}$merges with the $w_{+}$. These terms will cancel with those from the first case above. The second case consists of the crossings where a $w_{+}$splits to $w_{-} \otimes$ $w_{+}+w_{+} \otimes w_{-}$. These terms will cancel with the second case above.

Step 4.2.3: $m \in 000$ and $a \neq b=c$. We can now study the case $a \neq b=c$. In this scenario, $t_{1}$ is a split map and $t_{2}$ is a merge map; see Figure 18.


FIGURE 18. The first of three cases with $a \neq b=c$. The labeling of the circle in 000 must be $w_{-} \otimes w_{-}$, which forces a labeling of $w_{-} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{+}$in 100 .

In each case, the labeling in 000 must be $w_{-} \otimes w_{-}$, and this forces a labeling of $w_{-} \otimes w_{+} \otimes w_{+}$in 100 in each case. Again, $v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, D$ are all 0 , and a similar
argument shows that the terms obtained from acting by either $d$ or $x$ will cancel when we quotient to $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$.

Step 4.2.4: $m \in 000$ and $a \neq b \neq c$. Finally, if $a \neq b \neq c$, then $t_{1}$ is a merge map and $t_{2}$ is a merge map; see Figure 19.


FIGURE 19. The first of four cases of $a \neq b \neq c$. The labeling of the circle in 000 must be $w_{-} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{\bullet}$, which forces a labeling of $w_{+} \otimes w_{\bullet}$ in 100 .

In each case, the labeling in 000 must be $w_{-} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{\bullet}$, where $w_{\bullet}$ denotes that the innermost circle can be labeled either $w_{+}$or $w_{-}$. This forces a labeling of $w_{+} \otimes w_{\bullet}$ in 100 in all cases. For the last time, we verify that $v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, D$ are all 0, and a similar argument shows that the terms obtained from acting by either $d$ or $x$ will cancel when we quotient to $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$. To summarize, we have thus shown that $q \circ A_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, i_{2}(m)\right)=0$ for all $m \in 000$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, and we conclude that $k_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, m\right)=0$ for all $m \in 000$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ as well.

Step 4.2.5: $m \in 001$. We next examine $q \circ A_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, i_{2}(m)\right)=0$ in the case $m \in$ 001. The relevant composition in the RIII cube is given in Figure 20.


FIGURE 20. The relevant part of the RIII cube. If we start with an element in $001, i_{2}:\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ gives a sum of elements in 001 and 100 . We then act by $x_{1}$, apply the homotopy $T$, act by $x_{2}$, and then quotient back to $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$.

As before, the possibilities for $x_{1}$ are $\left\{e, f, h, v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D, x\right\}$. Because $x_{1}$ needs to increase the homological degree of $m$, it cannot be $e, f$, or $h$. Since we are working modulo $w_{+}=0$, both $\partial^{\text {Lee }}$ and $\partial_{-}$are the zero map, and so $D, v_{2}, v_{-2}$, and $\tilde{v}_{0}$ are all the zero map. Moreover, $x_{1}$ cannot be $d$, since the resolutions in 001 and 100 have the same label, which means that they will cancel when mapped to 101. Similarly, the fact that both resolutions have the same label also implies that the terms in $x=-[d, D]$ will cancel. We conclude that $q \circ A_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, i_{2}(m)\right)=0$ for all $m \in 001$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$, and so we have thus shown that $k_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, m\right)=0$ on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$.

Step 4.2.6: Conclusion. From the above case analysis, the only possible higher operation is $k_{n}^{\prime}$ for $n>3$, which could include a nonzero term of $q \circ A_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, i_{2}(m)\right)$ with $x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n-2}=d$. But because $d$ is just the inverse to the chain homotopy $T$, this will cycle the module element back and forth between vertices 101 and 100. In particular, $q \circ A_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, i_{2}(m)\right)=$ $q \circ A_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{n-1}, i_{2}(m)\right)$, which we have already shown is zero. We conclude that $k_{n}^{\prime}=0$ on $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ for $n>3$, and this completes the proof that $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ has no higher operations. The symmetry between $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ implies that $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ also has no higher operations.

Step 5: The cubes $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ are quasi-isomorphic.
It remains to construct the map $f:\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ and show that it respects the (trivial) $L_{\infty}$-module structures. Indeed, in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$, each $\beta_{1} \in 101$ is equivalent via $\tau_{1}$ to some $\gamma_{1} \in 110$. The map $f$ will send an element in 110 to itself, but as an element of 101 in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$, and it will keep the bottom layer of the cube fixed. This is an isomorphism on spaces, and Bar-Natan checks that this map is a chain map; see [Bar02]. So, for $s \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ and $x \in\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ we need to compare $f(s \cdot x)$ and $s \cdot f(x)$, where the module structure is $s \cdot x=q_{2}\left(s \cdot i_{2}(x)\right)=$ $q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(i_{2}(x)\right)\right)\right.$.

Step 5.1: The case $s \in\{e, f, h\}$. Suppose that $s \in\{e, f, h\}$. First we examine the case where $z$ is on the bottom face of the cube. If $z$ is in 000 or 010 , then

$$
q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(i_{2}(z)\right)\right)=q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)\right.
$$

Note that we abuse notation and think of $z$ as an element of $\mathcal{C}$ on the right-hand side. If $z$ is in 001 , then

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(i_{2}(z)\right)\right)\right. & =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(z-\Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot z-s \cdot \Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)-q_{2} q_{1}\left(s \cdot \Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $s \cdot \Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)$ is in 100 , which quotients to 0 . If $z$ is in 011 , then

$$
q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(i_{2}(z)\right)\right)=q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}(z)\right)\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot\left(z-m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)-q_{2} q_{1}\left(s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)$ is labeled $w_{+}$, which quotients to 0 . A similar argument shows that $s \cdot z=p_{2}\left(p_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)$, if $z$ is thought of as an element of $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$. Since $f$ is the identity on the bottom face, it follows that $s \cdot f(z)=f(s \cdot z)$ for $z \in$ 000, 010, 001, 100.

If $z$ is on the top face, we need only consider the case $z \in 110$, since any element in 101 is equivalent to some $z \in 110$. Then in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \cdot z=q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(i_{2}(z)\right)\right)\right. & =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}(z)\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot\left(z-m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)-q_{2} q_{1}\left(s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)$ is labeled $w_{+}$, which quotients to 0 . On the other hand, if we consider $z$ as an element of 101 in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \cdot z=p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s \cdot j_{1}\left(j_{2}(z)\right)\right)\right. & =p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s \cdot j_{1}(z)\right)\right) \\
& =p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s \cdot\left(z-m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{D}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
& =p_{2}\left(p_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)-p_{2} p_{1}\left(s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{D}} z\right)\right) \\
& =p_{2}\left(p_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ identically maps elements in 110 in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ to those in 101 in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$, it follows that $s \cdot f(z)=f(s \cdot z)$ on the top face.

Step 5.2: The case $s \in\left\{v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D\right\}$. Suppose that $s \in$ $\left\{v_{2}, v_{-2}, \tilde{v}_{0}, d, D\right\}$. We again start with the case that $z$ is on the bottom face of $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$. The cases $z \in 000$ and $z \in 011$ are straightforward to check, since $f$ is the identity on the bottom face. If $z \in 001$, then in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(i_{2}(z)\right)\right)=\right. & q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(z-\Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
= & q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot z-s \cdot \Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
= & q_{2}\left(q_{1}(s \cdot z)\right)-q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot \Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
= & q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s_{0} \cdot z+s_{* 01} \cdot z+s_{0 * 1} \cdot z\right)\right) \\
& \quad-q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s_{10 *} \cdot \Delta^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z+s_{1 * 0} \cdot \Delta^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
s \cdot f(z)=p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s_{0} \cdot f(z)+s_{* 01} \cdot f(z)+s_{0 * 1} \cdot f(z)\right)\right)
$$

and we must show that $f$ maps the former to the latter. Indeed, in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$, the terms $q_{2} q_{1}\left(s_{* 01} \cdot z\right)$ and $q_{2} q_{1}\left(s_{10 *} \cdot \Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)$ will cancel. This is because $\Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)$ has the same labeling as $z$, and both maps to 101 are split maps. Furthermore, $q_{2} q_{1}\left(s_{1 * 0} \cdot \Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)\right)$ in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ will be mapped via $f$ to $s_{* 01} \cdot f(z)$. This is because $\Delta^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}} z\right)$ has the same labeling as $z$ and the maps $\partial_{1 * 0}$ in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\partial_{* 01}$ in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ are of the same type (i.e. they are either both merge or both split), meaning $s$ will act the same across these maps. The case $z \in 010$ is analogous. Next, suppose that $z$ is in the top face of the cube. If
$z \in 110$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \cdot z=q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}\left(i_{2}(z)\right)\right)\right. & =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot i_{1}(z)\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s \cdot\left(z-m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s_{110} \cdot z\right)\right)-q_{2} q_{1}\left(s_{101} \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)\right) \\
& =q_{2}\left(q_{1}\left(s_{110} \cdot z\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{110} \cdot z$ is the part of $s \cdot z$ that remains in 110 and $s_{101} \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)$ is the part of $s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{C}} z\right)$ that remains in 101. But the latter quotients to 0 , as it is labeled by $w_{+}$. On the other hand, if we consider $z$ as an element of 101 in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \cdot z=p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s \cdot j_{1}\left(j_{2}(z)\right)\right)\right. & =p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s \cdot j_{1}(z)\right)\right) \\
& =p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s \cdot\left(z-m^{-1} \partial_{\mathcal{D}} z\right)\right)\right) \\
& =p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s_{101} \cdot z\right)\right)-p_{2} p_{1}\left(s_{110} \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{D}} z\right)\right) \\
& =p_{2}\left(p_{1}\left(s_{101} \cdot z\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{101} \cdot z$ is the part of $s \cdot z$ that remains in 101 and $s_{110} \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{D}} z\right)$ is the part of $s \cdot m^{-1}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{D}} z\right)$ that remains in 110 . Similar to before, the latter quotients to 0 , as it is labeled by $w_{+}$. Since $f$ identically maps elements in 110 in $\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$ to those in 101 in $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$, we conclude that $s \cdot f(z)=f(s \cdot z)$ on the top face.

Step 5.3: The case $s=x=-[d, D]$. Finally, suppose that $s=x=-[d, D]$. For $z \in\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}$,
$f(s \cdot z)=f((-d D-D d) \cdot z)=-d f(D \cdot z)-D f(d \cdot z)=(-d D-D d) \cdot f(z)=s \cdot f(z)$

Step 5.4: Conclusion. To summarize, we have shown that for every element $s$ in a basis of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}, f(s \cdot z)=s \cdot f(z)$. We conclude that $f:\left(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{D} / \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}$ is an $L_{\infty}$-module quasi-isomorphism, and so up to quasi-isomorphism, the $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ is invariant under the Reidemeister III move.

### 3.6.2. Invariance of the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$-module structure

Now that we have shown the invariance of the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g} L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ under Reidemeister moves, we can show that the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ is invariant as well.

Theorem 8. Up to $L_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism, the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) L_{\infty}$-module structure is invariant under Reidemeister moves.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ was obtained from the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g} L_{\infty}$-module structure by restricting scalars through an $L_{\infty}$-algebra homomorphism $I: H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$. In particular, restricton of scalars preserves $L_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphisms (see [Dav22]), so applying the restriction of scalars functor to the quasi-isomorphisms constructed in the proof of invariance for $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}$ yields quasi-isomorphisms of these complexes considered as $L_{\infty}$-modules over $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)\right)$. Finally, the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge) L_{\infty}$-module structure is invariant, since $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ is an $L_{\infty}$-subalgebra of $H\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)_{d g}\right)$.

### 3.7. The $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$

In this section, we explain how the annular Khovanov homology $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$ has an $L_{\infty}$-module structure that is invariant under Reidemeister moves.

Theorem 9. Let $L$ be an annular link. There is an $L_{\infty}$-module structure on AKh $(L)$, invariant under Reidemeister moves. It is well-defined up to $L_{\infty}$-quasiisomorphism.

Proof. The situation can be summarized by the following diagram.


Theorem 8 proved that, up to $L_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism, the $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$ over $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ is invariant under Reidemeister moves. By Theorem 2, $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$ inherits an $L_{\infty}$-module structure over $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ via any choice of chain contraction $\operatorname{CKh}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{AKh}(L)$. By Lemma $5, \operatorname{AKh}(L)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$, so if $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ differ by Reidemeister moves, we have the following diagram:


This shows that $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$ and $\operatorname{AKh}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphic as $L_{\infty}$-modules over $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$, and so this $L_{\infty}$-module structure is well-defined up to $L_{\infty}$-quasiisomorphism.

### 3.8. Examples

In this section, we explore the $L_{\infty}$-module structure of several knots and links.

Example 7. Let $L$ be any link in $S^{3}$ where $\partial^{\text {Lee }}$ is nonzero on Khovanov homology. We may view $L$ as an annular link by placing the basepoint away
from the link. If we denote the $L_{\infty}$-module operation on $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$ by $k_{n}$, $\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}$ will yield a corresponding nontrivial $k_{3}\left(v_{2}, v_{-2}, m\right)$ on $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$. Indeed, the $L_{\infty}$-module structure on $\operatorname{AKh}(L)$ is induced from a cochain contraction ${ }^{2}$ ¿ $\operatorname{CKh}(L) \underset{i}{\stackrel{q}{\rightleftarrows}} \operatorname{AKh}(L)$. If $k_{n}^{\prime}$ is the $L_{\infty}$-module operation on $\operatorname{CKh}(L)$, the following equation gives a formula for $k_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, m\right)$.
$k_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, m\right)=k_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, m\right)+q \circ k_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, T \circ k_{2}\left(x_{2}, i(m)\right)\right)+q \circ k_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}, T \circ k_{2}\left(x_{1}, i(m)\right)\right)$

The $k_{2}^{\prime}$ operations vanish because all of the circles involved are trivial.

Example 8. In the above example, suppose we put an unknot $U$ around the basepoint. Let $w \in \operatorname{AKh}(L)$ be a generator on which $\partial_{0}^{\text {Lee }}$ acts nontrivially. After choosing a cochain contraction that respects $\operatorname{CKh}(U \sqcup L)=V \otimes \operatorname{CKh}(L)$, then in $\operatorname{AKh}(U \sqcup L)$, the generators $v_{ \pm} \otimes w$ have both nontrivial $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ actions.

Example 9. The left-handed trefoil with the basepoint in the center is an example of a knot $K$ where $\operatorname{AKh}(K)$ has both nontrivial $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ operations; see Figure 21.

Indeed, in resolution $000, k_{3}\left(v_{2}, v_{-2}, w_{-} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{-}\right)=\partial_{0}^{L e e}\left(w_{-} \otimes w_{-} \otimes w_{-}\right)$ is nonzero in homology. Also, the usual module action of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ acts nontrivially on the generator $v_{+} \otimes v_{+}$in resolution 111. Notice that the mirror (the right-handed trefoil) does not have a nontrivial $k_{3}$ operation in the lowest homological degree.

Example 10. The above example generalizes to any torus knot or link where the basepoint is in the center. If every boundary map coming from the lowest homological degree is a merge map, the resolution with each circle labeled $w_{-}$will have a nontrivial $k_{3}$ operation, and the module will act nontrivially on a generator in the highest homological degree.


FIGURE 21. The cube of resolutions for the left-handed trefoil knot with basepoint in the center.

The examples above illustrate that for an annular link $L, \operatorname{AKh}(L)$ can have both nontrivial $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ operations. In the case where $L$ is a split link (i.e., at least one component is disjoint), it is further possible for a specific generator to have both nontrivial $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ operations. On the other hand, we end this section with a question regarding non-split links.

Question 1. Does there exist a non-split link L $\subset A \times I$ such that $A K h(L)$ contains a homology class on which the $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ operations of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ are nontrivial? In other words, for a non-split annular link L, can there exist $m \in A K h(L)$ and $x, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\wedge)$ such that $k_{2}(x, m) \neq 0$ and $k_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, m\right) \neq 0$ ?

## CHAPTER IV

## COMPUTATIONS

### 4.1. Overview

In trying to further understand the $L_{\infty}$-module structure on the annular Khovanov homology of a given knot or link, implementing a computer program to compute the annular Khovanov homology has been beneficial.

Given a knot or link diagram, we can record the diagram as follows. First, number both the crossings and the arcs in the diagram. Each crossing then corresponds to an array of four numbers. This array is obtained by listing the surrounding arcs in clockwise order, starting with one of the arcs that is part of the understrand. The collection of all of the crossing arrays is enough to determine the knot or link diagram. The basepoint is recorded by drawing an arc from the basepoint to infinity, documenting the arcs it passes through in a separate array. This method of inputting knots has been used by others to compute ordinary Khovanov homology; see, for example, the KnotTheory package in Mathematica [Kno11].

Having inputted the knot or link, executing the program will generate the annular Khovanov chain complex. The computation of the homology is performed by importing these complexes into the computational algebra system, Sage. The program can also compute the gradings of the generators that are outputted by Sage during the homology computation. This computation requires some additional user input, such as the number of positive and negative crossings.

### 4.2. Examples

This section presents a selection of data generated by the code. Data is available for all knots with up to 8 crossings and all links with up to 7 crossings. For knots with more than 11 crossings, the computational demands begin to render the program impractical.

To illustrate the patterns that emerge, we have included two examples: the $7_{3}$ knot and the Borromean rings. These examples demonstrate general patterns that are observed in the data.

### 4.2.1. The knot $7_{3}$



FIGURE 22. A diagram for the knot $7_{3}$. The arcs are labeled, as well as the possible locations of the basepoint.

We can see how the code works by studying the knot $7_{3}$, shown in Figure 22. After labeling the crossings, the crossing array might be inputted as the following code.

```
crossings =
    [[9,2,8,1],[14,5,1,6],[6,13,7,14],[13,8,12,7],[2, 9, 3, 10],
    [10,3,11,4],[4,11,5,12]]
```

On the other hand, we can document the basepoint by drawing a line from the basepoint to infinity and recording the arcs that this line intersects. For example, to record basepoint 5 , we might input the following code.

```
specialarcs = [4,11]
```

Executing the program produces the data in Figure 23.

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

FIGURE 23. The result of executing the program for the knot $7_{3}$. Each row corresponds to the annular Khovanov homology of $7_{3}$ with respect to a particular basepoint. The first row is the ordinary Khovanov homology. The second row corresponds to basepoint 1 in Figure 22. The third row corresponds to the homology computed with respect to basepoint 2 in Figure 22, and so on. The various columns represent the various homological degrees.

### 4.2.2. Borromean Rings

The program can also compute the annular Khovanov homology for links.
One particular example is the Borromean rings; see Figure 24.


FIGURE 24. A diagram for the Borromean rings, also known as the link L6a4. The possible locations for the basepoint are labeled.

The result of executing the code is presented in the table in Figure 26.

| -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

FIGURE 25. The result of executing the program for the link L6a4. Each row corresponds to the annular Khovanov homology of L6a4 with respect to a particular basepoint. The first row is the ordinary Khovanov homology. The second row corresponds to basepoint 1 in Figure 24. The third row corresponds to the homology computed with respect to basepoint 2 in Figure 24, and so on. The various columns represent the various homological degrees.

As mentioned, it is possible to extract the gradings of the generators of the above homology groups. For example, if we select basepoint 1, we obtain data in Figure 26.

|  | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FIGURE 26. The gradings of the generators in the annular Khovanov homology of the Borromean rings with basepoint 1, as in Figure 24. The columns represent the homological gradings and the rows represent the filtration-adjusted quantum gradings, as described in [GLW18]. Each cell contains the $k$-gradings of the generators in a particular homological grading and filtration-adjusted quantum grading.

|  | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |  |  |
| -1 |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ |  |  |  |
| -3 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| -5 |  | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -7 | $\mathbb{Z}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FIGURE 27. The ordinary integral Khovanov homology of the Borromean rings. The columns represent the homological gradings and the rows represent the quantum gradings. Each cell contains the homology group present in that particular homological grading and quantum grading. This data was computed with Mathematica, using the KnotTheory package [Kno11]

It is perhaps instructive to compare this data to the ordinary Khovanov homology of the Borromean rings; see Figure 27. For one, it gives a way to understand the spectral sequence from annular Khovanov homology to ordinary Khovanov homology.

### 4.3. Observations

These two examples highlight a general phenomenon. In particular, the annular Khovanov homologies with torsion correspond to basepoints with respect to which the knot or link has even winding number-that is, basepoints where we can draw an arc from the basepoint to infinity intersecting the knot or link an even number of times. Another observation that we can make is that it seems as if more torsion is occuring the more crossings the region containing the basepoint abuts.

In the case of the first observation, we would ideally like to make the claim that if a knot or link has an odd winding number around the basepoint, then this
implies that there is no torsion in the annular Khovanov homology. However, the knot $8_{19}$ is the only known counterexample to this claim. In particular, $8_{19}$ has winding number three around one of the possible basepoints, but the annular Khovanov homology has 3 -torsion. It is perhaps worth noting that $8_{19}$ is not alternating, and it also has 3 -torsion in its odd Khovanov homology. Therefore, we can amend the claim in several ways. The first way is to simply make a conjecture about alternating knots, as $8_{19}$ is non-alternating.

Conjecture 1. If a non-split alternating link has an odd winding number around the basepoint, then its annular Khovanov homology has no torsion.

Alternatively, because $8_{19}$ has winding number three around the basepoint in the counterexample, it is also possible to make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2. If a non-split link has winding number one around the basepoint, then its annular Khovanov homology has no torsion.

Finally, because the torsion involved in the $8_{19}$ counterexample is 3 -torsion, we could also conjecture the following.

Conjecture 3. If a non-split link has an odd winding number around the basepoint, then its annular Khovanov homology has no 2-torsion.

A search for counterexamples has begun with connected sums of knots, though not much is known at this point.

## APPENDIX A

## RESTRICTION OF SCALARS: COMPOSITION

This appendix contains graphical representations of the formulas presented in the proof of Lemma 1 .
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## APPENDIX B

## RESTRICTION OF SCALARS: OBJECTS

This appendix contains graphical representations of the formulas presented in the proof of Lemma 2.
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## APPENDIX C

## RESTRICTION OF SCALARS: MORPHISMS

This appendix contains graphical representations of the formulas presented in the proof of Lemma 3.
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## APPENDIX D

## RESTRICTION OF SCALARS: FUNCTORIALITY

This appendix contains graphical representations of the formulas presented in the proof of Theorem 1.
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## APPENDIX E

## TRANSFER OF STRUCTURE VIA CHAIN CONTRACTIONS

This appendix contains graphical representations of the formulas presented in the proof of Theorem 2.


FIGURE 28. A graphical depiction of the $L_{\infty}$-module relation, as in [Dav22].


Step 1. We start with the terms on the left-hand side of the $L_{\infty}$-module relation and replace $k_{q}^{\prime}$ with its definition.


Step 2. By the definition of unshuffle, the $l_{p}$ term in Step 1 goes to the first element in one of the boxes of size $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}$ determined by $\tau$. This observation allows us to combine $\sigma$ and $\tau$ into an unshuffle $\eta$.


Step 3. After unpacking the definition of $A_{t}$, the left-hand side in the above figure represents the second term in the proof. The cases where $l=1, l=t$, and $p=n-1$ are not pictured here. We obtain the right-hand side after applying the $L_{\infty}$-module relation.


Step 4. Combine the permuations $\psi$ and $\eta$ into $\kappa$. There are four terms in step three, and each row in this figure represents one of those terms, where the cases $p=1,2 \leq p \leq s$, and $p=s+1$ are considered separately (pictured left to right). For $1<p<s+1$, we may combine the $k_{p}$ and $k_{s-p+2}$ operations into the $A_{t}$ operation to obtain the formulas in Step 4.


Step 5. We can combine some of the terms in Step 4. In the graphic for Step 4 above, label the terms in the first row by $1,2,3$, the terms in the second row by $4,5,6$, the terms in the third row by $7,8,9$, and the terms in the last row by 10 , 11,12 . Then terms 4 and 7 combine to give the first term above on the left. The middle term is obtained by combining terms 3 and 6 . The last term is obtained by combining terms $2,5,8$, and 11 . Moreover, the terms 1 and 10 combine, and so too do 9 and 12 , but these two cases are not pictured here.


Step 7. Focusing now on the right-hand side of the original $L_{\infty}$-module relation, we substitute for $k_{n}^{\prime}$ using its definition. On the left is the case $2 \leq p \leq n-1$, in the center is the case $p=1$, and on the right is the case $p=n-1$. After using the fact that $i \circ k_{1}^{\prime}=k_{1} \circ i$ and $k_{1}^{\prime} \circ q=q \circ k_{1}$, we obtain the formulas in Step 7.


Step 8. Combine $\sigma, \alpha$, and $\beta$ into one unshuffle $\theta$. Drawn above is the case $2 \leq p \leq$ $n-1$. The cases of $p=1$ and $p=n-1$ are omitted.


Step 9. In Step 8, we can replace $i \circ q$ with $\operatorname{Id}_{M}+k_{1} \circ T+T \circ k_{1}$. The result is precisely what we had in Step 5. Again, the cases of $p=1$ and $p=n-1$ are not included in this picture.

## APPENDIX F

## ANNULAR KNOT DIAGRAMS

This appendix contains knot diagrams for all knots and links with up to seven crossings. The possible basepoints are labeled in the diagrams.


FIGURE 29. $3_{1}$


FIGURE 30. $4_{1}$


FIGURE 32. $5_{2}$


FIGURE 33. 61


FIGURE 35. 63


FIGURE 37. $7_{2}$


FIGURE 34. 62


FIGURE 36. $7_{1}$


FIGURE 38. $7_{3}$


FIGURE 39. $7_{4}$


FIGURE 41. $7_{6}$


FIGURE 43. L2a1


FIGURE 40. $7_{5}$


FIGURE 42. $7_{7}$


FIGURE 44. L4a1


FIGURE 45. L5a1


FIGURE 47. L6a2


FIGURE 49. L6a4


FIGURE 46. L6a1


FIGURE 48. L6a3


FIGURE 50. L6a5


FIGURE 51. L6n1


FIGURE 53. L7a2


FIGURE 55. L7a4


FIGURE 52. L7a1


FIGURE 54. L7a3


FIGURE 56. L7a5


FIGURE 57. L7a6


FIGURE 58. $L 7 a 7$


FIGURE 59. $L 7 n 1$


FIGURE 60. L7n2

## APPENDIX G

## HOMOLOGY CALCULATIONS

This appendix contains annular Khovanov homology data for all knots and links with up to seven crossings. These results were generated by the computer code described in Chapter IV. The first row contains the ordinary Khovanov homology. The $i$ th row thereafter contains the annular Khovanov homology computed with respect to the $i$ th basepoint, as labeled in Appendix F. Each column represents a different homological grading.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\text { Knots }} \\
& 3_{1} \\
& \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} \quad 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} \quad \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \quad \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \\
& 4_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$5_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{lccccc}
\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

$5_{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{5} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

61

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & 0
\end{array}
$$

$6_{2}$

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
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| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

$7_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{lccccccc}
0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} & 0
\end{array}
$$

$7_{2}$

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{9} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{9} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{5} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}
\end{array}
$$
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| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |


| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

$7_{7}$

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

$\underline{\text { Links }}$

L6a1

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

L6a2

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{5} & \mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

L6a3

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

L6a4

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

L6a5

| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |

L6n1

$$
\begin{array}{lcccccc}
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{3} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}^{2} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

L7a1

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{15} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{15} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

L7a2

| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{15}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{13}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{13}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{13}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}{ }^{2}$ |

L7a3

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

L7a4

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |

L7a5

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{11} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{13}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |

L7a6

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{5} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ |

L7a7

| $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ |

L7n1

| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |

L7n2
$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \times C_{2}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}\end{array} \mathbb{Z}^{2}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}\end{array} \mathbb{Z}^{2}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2}\end{array}$
$0 \quad 0 \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}^{4} \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{7} & \mathbb{Z}^{8} & \mathbb{Z}^{5} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ \mathbb{Z}\end{array} C_{2}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{5} & \mathbb{Z}^{5} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z}\end{array} C_{2}$
$0 \quad 0 \mathbb{Z}^{5} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}^{2} \times C_{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}^{4} \quad \mathbb{Z}^{3}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^{6} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{4} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}^{2} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{2}\end{array}$
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