Nine years after its publication, Ezra Pound's *Classic Anthology Defined by Confucius* (1954) has finally received its first critical study in book form. The reason for its long neglect is pointed out by Professor Dembo in his Introduction: "In a sense, the Confucian Odes have naturally resisted comment, both in quantity and quality, for generally the critic able to judge them as poetry is ill-equipped to judge them as translations, and the critic who can judge them as translations is rarely in a position to judge them on literary merit" (p. 1). From this remark one assumes initially that its author, unlike other Poundian critics, is equipped with
both the knowledge of Chinese and the critical acumen to enable him to judge Pound’s translations. A closer examination will reveal whether such an assumption is fully justified.

The volume consists of six chapters; three are concerned with Pound’s version of the Confucian odes, and three are introductory. Slender though it is in size, the book’s style is ponderous. In his “Introduction” (Chapter 1) Dembo states his multiple purpose:

“I am content to allow an essentially minor work to remain minor; but what I should like to do is to show that this work, perhaps more clearly than the Cantos, defines the achievement and the tragedy of Ezra Pound as a poet, an aesthete, and an interpreter of the culture that he apotheosized. Pound’s reasons for translating the three hundred and four (sic) odes of the Classic Anthology (to call the task arduous would be an understatement) constitute a poetic in themselves, a poetic closely related to a philosophy of history—in fact, of human experience in general—and correspondingly the methods that he used are derived from a particular theory of language worked out within this poetic” (p. 1).

These two sentences exemplify the general tenor of the book and its occasional lapses: there are 305, and not 304, odes in both the Classic Anthology and the extant Chinese text.

In Chapter 2 Dembo attempts to orient his reader. Unlike Achilles Fang’s Introduction to the Classic Anthology, which provides sufficient background on the odes, Dembo leads his readers into the maze of post-Confucian commentaries and sidetracks them with various political and sociological interpretations. He, in particular, intrigued with the Chinese term feng, which, in the proper context of the odes, designates the portion of “folk songs” in the Anthology. After dwelling upon all the possible readings of feng, which “means, literally, ‘wind,’ and secondarily, ‘custom’ or ‘manners’...” (p. 9), he cites and upholds the historical interpretation of two kinds of feng, namely, the cheng feng or “rectified wind,” and the pien feng or “changed wind” (pp. 9-14). Dembo wishes to equate the feng with the Dantesque expression, directio voluntatis, which is frequently quoted by Pound. In so doing, he intends to draw a parallel between the motives of Confucius’ editing of the odes and of Pound’s translation.

As the title “Apocalyptic Translation” suggests, Chapter 3 probes into the reasons and poetics behind the Classic Anthology. “In a sense,” Dembo states, “the odes are nothing less than an attempt to realize the thesis of The Cantos, actually to lay before the Western world that time and history have but one illumination for all men in all ages” (p. 23). Pound himself gave no explicit explanation for translating the odes; Dembo’s conjecture is perhaps as good as any other.

In explaining Pound’s method of translation, Dembo examines in detail Fenollosa’s essay, “The Chinese Written Character as a Medium of Poetry” (PP. 23-25), which indeed is the source of inspiration of Pound’s Sinology. Fenollosa’s theory that the two poetic qualities inherent in the Chinese written character are the concrete image and the sense of dramatic succession was the “basis of the ideogrammic method as applied to the translation of the odes,” which in practice, according to Dembo, evolved into two divergent styles: the lyrical and the colloquial (p. 25). And is on the latter that Dembo focuses his attack through out the book, a puristic standpoint. Pound’s liberties inaccuracies translation are justifiable so long he chooses to stay in a consistently lyrical his attempts at dramatization by means of colloquial idiom and slang end in failure. Dembo exhalts Pound for his “overwhelming lyrical the same time for his inconsistency. power” and crucifies
Dembo the literary critic is clearly more convincing than Dembo the sinologist he shares with Pound the inaccuracies that are typical of the logos he has. In disclosing Pound's false etymology, Dembo inadvertently betrays his own limitations. On pp. 26-27, the juxtaposition of Pound's version of Ode 42 with the original text carefully copied by a Chinese calligraphist (p. vii), accompanied by a literal translation supplied by Professor Harold Shadick (p. 109), is an effective, objective presentation of Pound's peculiar technique of translation. But not content with the happy result thus achieved, Dembo then comments on the translation and runs into difficulties. He is correct in pointing out that in Pound's first line, "Lady azure thought" (p. 27), the word "azure" is derived from the left side of the compound ideogram thing, which is composed of ch'ing and cheng. But, when he informs us that "strictly speaking, eWing is a phonetic, not a pictograph" (p. 28), he is trapped by his own ostentation. No doubt he is misled by the host of compound ideograms in which the same ch'ing functions as a phonetic and not a pictograph or, more accurately, a signific, and thus assumes that it must be so in this case. Unfortunately, there are a few compound ideograms in which ch'ing is not a phonetic but a signific, i.e., the "radical" which designates the root of the word; and thing happens to be one of these. Errors of this kind are due to overconfidence, and could easily be avoided by consulting a Chinese dictionary.

Dembo's analysis of the ideogram Juan, rendered by Pound as "Lady of silken word" in the second stanza of the same poem, is another example of carelessness or inattentiveness to detail. In this instance, no Chinese dictionary is needed. A second glance at the ideogram in question detects the obvious error on Dembo's part. He has carelessly chopped off the head of the simple ideograph yen, and has mistaken it as an expendable, separate entity.

One is ready to make allowance for a linguist trained by the United States Navy (see blurb on book jacket), but one can hardly condone such obvious blunders from a literary critic who professes to enlighten his readers on Pound's errors in translation. By comparison, Pound, despite his hit-and-miss technique of "transetymology" (a term coined by Achilles Fang), is either more conscientious or more intuitive than his critic in handling Chinese ideograms.

Dembo's inadequate knowledge of Chinese diminishes but does not destroy the critical value of his book. When he focuses his evaluation of the Classic Anthology "on literary merit" alone, as he frequently does in the second half of the book (Chapters 4, 5, and 6), he is excellent. His ear is sensitive to the subtlety of rhythm; he detects Pound's shrewd manipulation of the various rhyme schemes and rhythms in creating or recreating the concomitant emotions. To illustrate Pound's way of suggesting the formalized emotion traditionally associated with epithalmiunis, he quotes Ode 24:

"Plum flowers so splendid be, rolling, onrolling quietly, a royal car with young royalty. Flowers of plum abundantly, Heiress of P'ing, heir of T's'i, to their wedding right royally. Tight as strands in fisherman's line may this pair in love combine, heir and heiress loyally, whereby P'ing is bound to T's'i."
After a careful study of its irregular metric and unusual rhyming, he concludes that this ode is technically neither a ballad nor an epithalamium, and yet "Pound has created an emotional illusion associated with both" (p. 52). He attributes the success of the poem partially to its rhyme scheme, "which in its uniformity gives an air of discipline to the ode, and in its irregularity precludes the monotony that one could expect to go with it" (p. 51).

The same keenness of auditory perception is shown in his appreciation of another poem (Ode 69) which reads:

"Dry grass, in vale:
'alas!
'I met a man, I
met
a man.
'Scorched, alas, ere it could grow.'
A lonely girl pours out her woe.
'Even in water-meadow, dry.'
Flow her tears abundantly,
Solitude's no remedy."

Here, he observes that the "intricate rhythm and internal and false rhyming testify to Pound's inability to render a simple lyric as a simple lyric" (p. 44). This wariness against the apparent simplicity of Pound stems from Dembo's pre-conceived notion that "we must remind ourselves continually that we are dealing with a sophisticated English poetry, the achievement of which lies in the illusion of simplicity that it fosters" (p. 44). This attitude enables him to see that the reason Pound switched to the personalized voice of the victim in place of the original third-person narrative was not simply mistranslation but for dramatic effect, and the contrast between the dry, scorched grass and the abundant tears "creates a moment out of an abstraction" (p. 44).

Visual imagery in the Classic Anthology is not always like the "scorched grass," directly taken from the Chinese poem. Quite often it is distilled or extracted from the ideograms. "Pseudo etymology," declares Dembo, "is a usual sign that Pound is trying to create a lyrical situation" (p. 48); he also cites Ode 29 as an illustration of the "quasi etymology with a specific metaphorical function" (p. 58):

"Sun, neath thine antient roof, moon speaking antient speech,
Bright eyes, shall ye reach the earth, and find
a man who dwells not in antient right,
nor shall have calm, putting me from his sight!"

The interpretations Dembo provides (i.e., the sun and the moon are the manifestations of the authority of heaven, but that these "bright eyes" should fail to see the injustice...) are plausible indeed, but, from the standpoint of translatio, an amount of explanation can justify the flaw of Pound's intuitive etymology:

On the whole, Dembo's attitude towards Pound's translation of the ambivalent. As long as Pound uses the lyrical idiom consistently he is right even though he concedes that "the lyric idiom is no guarantee ...that the original will be captured" (p. 89). He becomes adamant, however, when he finds the lyrical quality jarred by trite or colloquial expressions, and then he alleges that Pound is incapable of detecting "discordance in the juxtaPoetien diction and slang" (p. 69).
To sum up. Professor Dembo's book, despite its minor flaws, remains an important contribution to Poundian criticism. One may challenge him on matters of detail, yet one tends to agree with his conclusion that, "had Pound been consistent, the translations would have been one of the great literary documents of our times" (P. 98).
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