

## **Slide 1- Title Slide**

This presentation is based on research conducted last year by Elizabeth Breakstone, Social Sciences Reference Librarian now Law Collections Librarian and myself.

## **Slide 2 – Image of student**

Many repositories have launched small or large scale digitization projects over the past 5-10 years to mount selections from out collections online.

### **Current Environment – Stories from the past 6 months**

**The New York Times:** "Material that is not digitized risks being neglected as it would not have been in the past" Historian and Dean of the College of Arts University of Virginia.

Rare Book digitization project from the Internet Archive at the **University of Toronto**

**D-Lib:** University of Washington linking to their digital collections via Wikipedia

**ACRL:** 1) There will be an increased emphasis on digitizing collections, preserving digital archives, and improving methods of data storage and retrieval; 3) Students and faculty will increasingly demand faster and greater access to services; 7)

Students will increasingly view themselves as customers and consumers, expecting high quality facilities and services; 8) Distance learning will be an increasingly common option.

Time to review

In this study, we explored the attitudes, goals and needs of faculty and students who use primary sources and how this impacts the use of digitized sources. It allowed us an opportunity to step back and critically examine assumptions around using and teaching historical sources. Found that by engaging in a more nuanced dialogue about primary sources, libraries and archives will ensure that we are fully meeting our users' needs.

## **Slide 3 - Interviews**

8 faculty – Honors College, History Department. All active users of primary sources in their courses.

3 students – undergraduates, had taken P.S. class. Looking at how used, not whether or not.

Questions for faculty – thoughts on use of ps in teaching, and their assumptions about undergraduates' understanding of print and digitized primary sources.

Questions for students – their understanding of the definition of and use of print and digitized primary sources  
Recognize small sample size – a place to start

## **Slide 4 - Assumptions**

Identified and categorized assumption held by our colleagues or ourselves  
3 categories

### **Use of Primary Sources**

#### **Faculty**

Consensus among history faculty re: use of and value of primary source  
Faculty knows how to incorporate primary sources into the curriculum.  
Among librarians faculty familiar with our collections, inform of updates

#### **Students**

No understanding of primary sources or how to use them in research, but could be taught in library instruction classes for history.

### **Use of Secondary Sources**

Only used when primary sources unavailable “preferred”  
Used for contextualization and further analysis. “A means to an end.”

### **Use of Digitized Primary Sources**

#### **Students**

“If we digitize it, they will come”  
Google first – ignorance of sources available in library/online  
Digital facsimile preferred because of ease of access, a lack of understanding of what is lost  
Effective library instruction will result in use by faculty and students

## **Slide 5 - Faculty Findings**

### **Use of Primary and Secondary Sources**

**Break down into two groups**

### **Primary source as tool for critical assessment**

Primary sources used to teach a historical period but also as a tool for problematizing secondary sources

Secondary sources first.

Explore the analysis done by secondary sources, see the process.

Break down primary sources using secondary sources

Faculty #6 an undergraduate doesn't have the background on a particular historical period to understand a primary source

### **Primary source as transporter in time**

primary sources as a way to expose climate of a historical period

start with primary sources and build them into a full picture by fleshing out with secondary sources and understand historical argument

secondary sources a means of providing background and context

### **Incorporating PS into coursework**

Most assign sources, few ask them to look for sources

Reasoning based on timing of 10 week terms

Time constraints limit adding original research into syllabus.

### **Those that require original research – expectation of the amount of and variety of p.s. to be used by students has changed**

Changed the selection of materials for syllabus and support for student research

not only local sources

expansion of resources available, new opportunities

Critical reading of online material problematic. Many requiring ultimate use of print version.

Overwhelming response increased access more important than loss of physicality or lost of serendipity, willing to adapt instruction to bolster critical reading of digitized documents

“Love of history” interaction and mystique of original physical material important, but felt exchange of broader access was worthwhile even considering loss in traditional research practice.

## **Faculty Perceptions of Student Use**

Students, especially first two years are unfamiliar with the difference between PS & SS

Assume – only handwritten are primary, secondary are inherently biased, with no thought of bias of PS

Google concerns – beginning and end of research. Faculty admit to starting there too, but challenge for instruction to encourage them to not stop there either.

## **Slide 6 - Student Findings**

Student with experience and instruction can demonstrate the faculty’s pedagogical goals and can advance beyond their initial perceptions of faculty.

### **Use of Primary and Secondary Sources**

Evaluating PS dependant on pedagogy of professor and faculty view of PS. All emphasized different modes of analysis and patterns of critical reading.

All begin with Google or online catalog

Bias of secondary, some recognized existence in primary

### **Use of and Attitudes Towards Digitized Sources**

Digitized = loss, value of physical, but access outweighs

Authenticity – host website: educational institution, LOC, “something that looked official”

Future of research and research process. Based on experience with traditional and digitized sources, classroom experience

How to provide contextual information and metadata

Digitized materials in future

## **Slide 7 - Implications for Libraries and Archives**

Nuance revealed by interviews will better inform our practice

### **Working with Faculty**

Surprised by the variety of ways the faculty conceived of and used primary sources, even within a shared discipline.

Faculty use of SS the variance in the role of SS in analysis not part of original assumption

Faculty understanding of our physical and digital collections was lacking

Faculty instruction on how to integrate digital sources for some

### **Working with Students**

Student's understanding of primary sources greatly affected by experience in research process and working with the sources themselves. (Implications for research classes and instruction)

Improvement in research also depends on time available for research and course goals

Understand bias and authenticity at a basic level not prepared to address on a digital platform

Surprising difficulties locating authentic digitized primary sources available on the web on their own, given the number of collections available

Better understanding of loss in experience and information through digitization then assumed by faculty or us.

### **Implications for digitization projects**

A digitization project with a goal of just providing access is not enough for instruction

Selecting and developing a collection for a generic user not sufficient

Teaching mission – key is understand the goals of the faculty and the research process of students

Approach to PS & SS and why faculty uses them

Addressing secondary sources

Instruction in PS & SS valuable

## **Slide 8 - Proposed ideas resulting from research**

### **Additional Research**

Similar investigation with a larger sample

Duplication of interviews at different institutions

### **Digital Program/Project**

Best practices for digital collection development – researching needs of the target faculty and students if mission of institution

Documenting current practice and use

Listening to their needs – listen to our offers

Better visibility in Google

Invisibility runs counter to our goals and investment in access

## **Slide 9 - Conclusion**

Original research growing across the curriculum at many universities

Digitized sources a part of this

Collaboration with users critical and this means students and faculty

Changing goals and information needs