WASHINGTON COUNTY

PUBLIC FACILITY PLAN

Washington County Department of Land Use and Transportation Planning Division

January 1991

(Reformatted 04/05/05)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREF	ACE	V
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Responsibility for Public Facilities Plan Preparation Organization of the Public Facility Plan	1 2
П.	INVENTORY OF EXISTING FACILITIES	4
	Water Service Wolf Creek Highway Water District Tigard Water District Metzger Water District West Slope Water District Raleigh Water District	4 4 4 4 5
	Sanitary Sewer	5
	Storm Drainage Fanno Creek Subbasin Butternut Creek Subbasin Rock Creek Subbasin Middle Tualatin River Subbasin	6 6 7 7 7
	Transportation	8
Ш.	INVENTORY OF PLANNED PROJECTS	9
	Water Service Tigard Water District Wolf Creek Highway Water District Metzger Water District Other Water Districts	9 9 9 10 10
	Sanitary Sewer Treatment Facilities Collection System	12 12 13
	Tualatin River Water Quality Program Surface Water (Storm) Drainage Transportation	14 19 20
IV.	PUBLIC FACILITY FINANCING	40
	Water Service Sanitary Sewer Service Stormwater Management Transportation	40 40 40 41
V.	PUBLIC FACILITIES COORDINATION STRATEGIES	42

LIST OF TABLES

III.A Planned Water Storage and Transmission Facilities 11 III.B Rock Creek Basin - Planned Treatment and Collection System Improvements 17 III.C Durham Basin – Planned Treatment and Collection System Improvements 18 III.D Tualatin River Water Quality Program Project Outline 18 III.E Washington County Transportation Projects with Committed Funding 22 III.F Washington County Transportation Projects with Committed 23 **Development Funding** III.G Washington County Unfunded Transportation Projects 24 III.H **Transit Projects** 32 111.1 ODOT Transportation Projects with Committed Development Funding 33 III.J **ODOT Transportation Projects with Committed Development Funding** 35 III.K **ODOT Unfunded Transportation Projects** 36

Page

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

I.1	Washington County Public Facility Planning Area	3
II.1	Water Projects	(Attached)
II.2	Sewer Projects	(Attached)
V.2	Public Facility Provision Responsibility	49

LIST OF APPENDICIES*

- A. "Washington County Urban Planning Area Agreements" (October 25, 1988)
- B. "Unified Sewerage Agency Master Plan Update" (June 1985)
- C. Unified Sewerage Agency "Wastewater Facilities Plan, Volume I" (June 1990)
- D. Unified Sewerage Agency "Surface Water Management Plan" (February 1990)
- E. Washington County "Transportation Plan Background Document" (July 1988)
- F. "Wolf Creek Highway Water District Capital Improvement Program 1990-91 through 1994-95"
- G. Unified Sewerage Agency "1990-1994 Capital Improvement Program"
- * Copies of these documents may be reviewed at the Washington County Department of Land Use and Transportation, Planning Division

WASHINGTON COUNTY PUBLIC FACILITY PLAN

PREFACE

Elements of this Public Facilities Plan were previously adopted by Ordinance No. 382 in October, 1990. These elements are:

- (1) Lists of public facility project descriptions:
 - a. Columns (2) and (3) of Table III.A
 - b. Column (2) of Tables III.B, III.C and III.D

 - c. Columns (1) and (3) of Table III.Ed. Columns (1), (3) and (9) of Table III.F
 - e. Columns (1) and (11) of Table III.G
 - f. Columns (1) and (2) of Table III.H
- (2) Maps and written descriptions of project locations:
 - a. Column (1) of Tables III.E, III.F and III.G
 - b. Figures II.I and II.2
- (3) All of Chapter V Public Facilities Coordination Strategies

The remainder of this Public Facility Plan is adopted by Resolution and Order No. 91-026 on 2/19/91.

1-29-91

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The need to prepare a Public Facilities Plan (PFP) is founded in ORS 197.712, adopted by the Oregon legislature in 1983. This statute requires cities and counties to develop and adopt public facility plans for areas within urban growth boundaries containing populations exceeding 2,500 persons. To guide local jurisdictions in implementing this statute and to further clarify the purpose of public facility planning, the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission, in October, 1984, adopted OAR Chapter 660 Division 11, "Public Facilities," which states, in part:

"The purpose of the Public Facilities Plan is to help assure that urban development is guided and supported by types and levels of urban facilities and services appropriate for the needs and requirements of the urban areas to be serviced, and that those facilities and services are provided in a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement, as required by Goal 11."

As this rule indicates, the primary intent of the PFP is to support implementation of Planning Goal 11, Public Facilities Planning, particularly Guidelines B(1) and (6), which reads"

- B. Implementation:
 - (1) Capital improvement programming and budgeting should be utilized to achieve desired types and levels of public facilities and services in urban, urbanizable, and rural areas.
 - (6) Plans should provide for a detailed management program to assign respective implementation roles and responsibilities to those governmental bodies operating in the planning area and having interests in carrying out the goal.

The PFP also supports implementation of Planning Goal 2, <u>Land Use Planning</u>, particularly Guideline F(1), which reads as follows:

- F(1) Management Implementation Measures:
 - (b) Plans for public facilities that are more specific than those included in the comprehensive plan. They show the size, location and capacity serving each property but are not as detailed as construction drawings.
 - (c) Capital improvement budget which sets out the projects to be constructed during the budget period.

RESPONSIBILITY FOR PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN PREPARATION

Responsibility for preparing PFP's is spelled out under the provisions of OAR 660-11-015(1), which states:

Responsibility for the preparation, adoption and amendment of the public facility plan shall be specified within the urban growth management agreement. If the urban growth management agreement does not make provision for this responsibility, the agreement shall be amended to do so prior to the preparation of the public facility plan. In the case where an unincorporated area exists within the Portland Metropolitan Urban Growth Boundary which is not contained within the boundary of an approved urban planning area agreement with the County, the County shall be the responsible agency for preparation of the facility plan for that unincorporated area. The urban growth management agreement shall be submitted with the public facility plan as specified in OAR 660-11-040. (emphasis added)

In 1988, as part of the comprehensive planning process, Washington County amended the Urban Planning Area Agreements (UPAAs) it maintains with the County's cities to delegate, in specific and unequivcal terms, public facility planning responsibilities (Appendix A). The amended UPAAs maintained between the County and the cities of Forest Grove, Cornelius, Hillsboro, Tigard, Tualatin, and Sherwood, make these cities completely responsible for developing PFPs for those territories formally incorporated within their own respective municipal areas adjacent to the boundaries of each city. As amended, the UPAAs maintained between the County and the cities of Beaverton, Durham, and King City make each if these cities responsible for public facility planning only for those territories formally incorporated within the respective municipal boundaries of each.

In sum, the County maintains responsibility for public facility planning throughout those areas of urban, unincorporated Washington County that are not either formally incorporated within the city limits of a municipality or covered in the UPAAs maintained with Forest Grove, Cornelius, Hillsboro, Tigard, Tualatin, and Sherwood. The areas for which the County retains public facility planning responsibility are depicted in Figure I.1. For the purposes of this document, this area will be referred to as the County Public Facility Planning Area (PFPA).

ORGANIZATION OF THE PUBLIC FACILITY PLAN

According to the provisions of OAR 660-11-005, the PFP must describe the water, sewer, storm drainage, and transportation facilities needed to support land use designations in the Washington County Comprehensive Plan. Pursuant to OAR 660-11-010, the PFP must contain the following items in describing these facilities:

- 1. An inventory and general assessment of the condition of existing water, sewer, storm drainage and transportation facilities in the urban area;
- 2. A list of significant public facility projects that will be needed to support the land uses designated in the comprehensive plan;
- 3. Rough cost estimates for each project;
- 4. A map or written description of each proposed project;
- 5. Policies or agreements identifying the provider of each public facility of service;
- 6. An estimate of when each project will be needed;
- 7. A discussion of possible funding sources for each project.

Based on these provisions, the balance of the PFP is organized into four chapters. Chapter II identifies the agencies and special districts that provide water, sewer, storm drainage, and transportation services in the Washington County PFPA and provides an inventory of the resources these agencies and districts employ in providing these services. Chapter III inventories the significant improvements and additions to local public facilities that will be necessary to accommodate projected future population growth in the County PFPA, and provides information on the location, size, timing, estimated cost, territories to be served by new or improved public facilities. Chapter IV examines methods of financing these public facility improvements and additions. Last, Chapter V provides strategies for coordinating public facilities planning among the various jurisdictions located within urban unincorporated Washington County.



CHAPTER II INVENTORY OF EXISTING FACILITIES

The material presented in Chapter II provides an inventory and general assessment of the condition of existing water, sewer, storm drainage and transportation facilities in urban unincorporated Washington County.

WATER SERVICE

Five different water districts provide public water services within the Washington County PFPA. These are the Wolf Creek Highway Water District, Tigard Water District, Metzger Water District, West Slope Water District, and Raleigh Water District. Figure II.1 depicts the primary storage and transmission facilities each District operates.

As Figure II.1 illustrates, there are some small area of the PFPA that remain outside the current district boundaries. These areas do not receive any water service at the present time. This is not a problem, since these unserved areas are currently undeveloped. However, as these areas do develop, they will need to be provided with water service. Service can be provided either by annexing these areas to one of the water districts that currently serves the County PFPA or by annexing these areas to one of the County's municipalities.

Wolf Creek Highway Water District

With a 1980 population estimated at 70,000, the Wolf Creek Highway Water District is the largest of the five water districts serving the County PFPA. Included in the District's service territory is that portion of the COUNTY PFPA located between the cities of Hillsboro and Beaverton. The District draws its water supply from Portland's Bull Run system and currently maintains storage capacity totaling 27 million gallons (mg.) in eleven major reservoirs. Total storage capacity is almost three times the current 10.6 mg. average daily demand served by the District. The District's storage and transmission facilities are reported to be in good operational order with few significant problems or constraints.

Tigard Water District

The Tigard Water District is the second largest water district serving the County PFPA, with a population of approximately 30,000 living within its service area. The District draws most of its water supply from the Clackamas River, although during peak periods, water is also drawn from Portland's Bull Run system. The Tigard District currently maintains 20 mg. of storage capacity spread out among nine reservoirs. Average daily demand currently stands at 4.5 mg. Existing capacity is thus in excess of the three times average daily demand. The District's storage facilities are reported to be in good operating order. In recent years, the District has reconstructed deficient sections of its transmission system and all exisiting transmission pipelines are reported to be in good working order.

Metzger Water District

The Metzger Water District serves an area of northeastern Washington County containing a population of approximately 12,000 people. The District obtains its water supply through Portland'' Bull Run system, and currently maintains storage capacity of 8.7 mg. spread out in its transmission pipelines are reported to be in good working order with few significant constraints.

West Slope Water District

The West Slope Water District serves a portion of northeastern Washington County estimated to contain a population of 12,000. The District draws its water supply from Portland's Bull Run system and maintains a current storage capacity of 5.3 mg. in three reservoirs. The District's storage facilities and transmission pipelines are reported to be in good operating order.

Raleigh Water District

With a population estimated at only 5,000 living within its service boundaries in eastern Washington County, the Raleigh District is the smallest of the water districts providing service in the County PFPA. The District draws its water supply form the Bull Run system and currently maintains storage capacity of one mg. in two reservoirs. All of the District's storage and transmission pipelines are reported to be in good condition.

SANITARY SEWER

The "Unified Sewerage Agency Master Plan Update" prepared in June 1985 contains detailed information about wastewater collection systems tributary to USA's two treatment facilities in the PFPA (see Appendix B). A detailed description of USA's treatment facilities is contained in the "Wastewater Facilities Plan, Volume I" prepared in 1990 (see Appendix C).

The Unified Sewerage Agency (USA) is sole provider of sanitary sewer treatment within Washington County. USA divides its service area into four major sewerage management basins. Two of these basins serve the County PFPA. These are Rock Creek and the Durham basins. The boundaries of these basins are depicted in Figure II.2.

The Rock Creek drainage basin, approximately 34,400 acres. serves portions of the cities of Beaverton and Hillsboro and the heavily developed unincorporated areas between them. Small portions of Portland are also served by USA in this basin. The Rock Creek basin is divided into nine subbasins. Seven of these subbasins cover the PFPA. These are the Rock Creek, Bronson Creek, Willow Creek/Sunset, Cedar Mill, Cooper Mountain, Interceptor, and Reedville/Butternut basins. Major gravity interceptors in the Beaverton, Rock Creek, Bronson, Dawson, and Cedar Mill subbasins serve most of the area (See Figure II.2). Nine pump stations serve other, smaller basins in the service area.

The original Rock Creek Advanced Wastewater Treayment Plant was completed in 1977 and the facilitity became operational at that time. Susequently, several expansions took place: the solids building in 1985; the preliminary and primary treatment facilities in 1986; and the secondry treatment system in 1989. The plant currently provides secondary treatment for the populatoin and local industries in the Beaverton/Hillsboro area. Rock Creek has the capacity to treat 17 million gallons of nitrified effluent per day (MGD) durning the dry season, secondary treatment to 82 mgd, and primary treatment to 100 mgd.

The Durham drainage basin covers approximately 33,500 acres. It serves the unincorporated Bull Mountain, Metzger-Progress, and Raleigh Hills/Garden Home areas as well as the Cities of Durham, King City, Tualatin, Tigard, Sherwood, and portions of Beaverton. The Durham basin is divided into fifteen subbasins, seven of which serve the County PFPA. These are the Tektronix, Cedar Hills, Canyon Road, Fanno Creek, Metzger-Progress, Bull Mountain, and Wier subbasins. Major interceptors include the Fanno Creek Interceptor (24 to 66 inch diameter), Upper Tualatin Interceptor (24 to 66 inch diameter), and the Lower Tualatin Interceptor (15 to 30 inch diameter) (Figure II.2).

The Durham Advanced Wastewater Treatment Plant, located in Tigard, began operation in 1976. The Durham facility has a nominal treatment capacity of 20 mgd and a hydraulic limitation of slightly over 40 mgd. The hydraulic capacity is currently being increased. This project represents the first major expansion of the original plant and includes new preliminary and primary treatment facilities, treatment facilities for peak wet weather flows, odor control facilities, and operational improvements within the existing plant. In addition to this expansion, several other improvements have been implemented over the past five years: aeration system retrofit; dewatered sludge storage; ventilation and odor control improvements; filter media replacement; installation of a backup centrifuge, incinerator operational improvements; supplemental dissolved oxygen augmentation facilities, and energy reduction measures.

Existing facilities at both the Rock Creek and Durham plants are in good condition. However, both plants are now approaching capacity in meeting dry season sewerage loads. During wet season, when sewerage flows are increased by groundwater infiltration and inflow, plant capacities often prove insufficient. As a result, the plants often release either untreaeted or partially untreated sewerage into the Tualatin River, which now exceeds water quality standards established by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.

To bring water quality in the Tualatin River up to the DEQ standards, as well as to comply with a settlement reached in litigation with the Northwest Environmental Defense Council, USA developed the "Wastewater Facilites Plan" (Appendix C). The plan establishes a strategy for achieving both current and future wastewater management requirements and provides a schedule of improvements to be implemented in the interim (1990-93), short term (1993-97), and long term (1997-2010) periods. Implementation of the Plan should allow USA to reduce releases into thr Tualatin River and thus improve the River's quality, as well asa to handle increased loads projected to result from future development within its service.area.

STORM DRAINAGE

Historically, the County's responsibility for storm drainage has been limited to constructing and maintaining the ditches and culerts used to drain County road rights-of-way. Serveral of the County's cities also provide and maintain limited storm drainage facilities. The result of this arrangement is a highly fragmented and largely incomplete system of strom drainage facilities.

A portion of the contaminants in the Tualatin River is directly attrbutable to strom water runoff. In order to control and reduce these contaminants, and to coordinate storm water drainage management in the County, USA assumed responsibility for developing and implementing a countywide drainage master plan. Pursuant to this responsibility, USA adopted the "Surface Water Management Plan" in February 1990 (See Appendix D). The plan addresses the physical and institutional characteristics of the USA service area.

More than 90 percent of Washington County drains through the forks of the Tualatin River, which meanders eastward through the centerl portion of the County to the point at which it enters the Willamette River, south of West Linn. Three tributaries of the Tualatin River and their subbasins drain the County PFPA. These are the Fanno Creek, Butternut Creek, and Rock Creek subbasins. In addition, a portion of the County PFPA, know as the Middle Tualatin subbasin, drains directly into the Tualatin River.

Drawing form USA's Plan as well as fromn the "Water Resources Study," a 1981 flood plain study conducted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the discussion below inventories characteristics associated with each basin. Included is a general description of the boundaries of each basin, an identification of those portions of the County PFPA that are covered by each basin is developed, an identification of any management plans exisitng for each basin, and a summary of problems currently knownto affect the drainage capacity of each basin.

Fanno Creek Subbasin

The Fanno Creek drainage basin is defined in terms of the area drained by the Fanno Creek mainstream, which is in turn fed by two primary tributaries, Summer Creek and Ash Creek. THe basin drains approximately 37 square miles of land. The cities of Portland, Tigard, Durham and portions of Beaverton and Lake Oswego are within the Fanno Creek basin. The Metzger-Progress CommunityPlanning Area, the southern, soustheastern, and northeastern portions of the Raleigh Hills-Garden Home Community Planning Area, and the extreme eastern part of Cedar Hills-Cedar Mill Community Planning Area are the parts of the County PFPA that are drained by the Fanno Creek basin.

The Fanno Creek subbasin drains commercial, industrial, and high density residential land uses and is the most completely urbanized watershed in Washington County.

Recently, high fecal coliform counts have been measured in the upper reaches of Fanno Creek. Within Beaverton's city limits, Fanno Creek winds through residential and commeercail areas; two superfund sites adjacent to the Creek. Tigard has experianced erosion and flooding along Fanno Creek. Most of the 100 year flood plain of Fanno Creek through Tigard has been preserved as a dedicated greenway. Durham has also preserved a buffer zone of 100 feet on either side of the Creek as well as reduced lot densities adjacent to the Creek (minimum lot size is 10,000 square feet).

Butternut Creek Subbasin

Butternut Creek drains 5 square miles of land including portions of Beaverton and Tigard, as well as portions of the County's Bull Mountain and Aloha-Reedville-Cooper Mountain Community Planning Areas. LAnd Use is split between urban residential and agricultural with the dividing line being the UGB along SW 209th Avenue.

In response to flooding along Butternut Creek during the winter of 1973-74, the County adopted its first ever Flood Plain Ordinance (1974), which restricted development within areas subject to drainage basins studied by the 1979 Corps of Engineers study. Problems cited in the report included flooding, riparian vegetation removal and debris disposal into the Creek channel. The Corps' study recommended:

- No Creek modifications downstream of the UGB.
- New development on-site controls for up to the 100-year storm.
- Stream corridor preservation.
- One major and three smaller regional storage facilities upstream of SW 209th Avenue.
- Improved maintenance access.
- Localized channel enlargements.
- Check dams bewteen Farmington Road and 170th Avenue.
- Immediate revegitation of exposed soils.

Water quality problems may include sanitary pump station ans sewer line overflows during winter months.

Rock Creek Subbasin

The Rock Creek subbasin covers approximately 76 sqare miles, draining portions of western Multnomah County as well as Washington County's Sunset West and Bethany Community Planning Areas, the northwest portion of the Cedar Hills-Cedar Mill Community Planning Area, and the eastern part of the West Union Planning Area. The area drained by this subbasin represents one of the most rapidly growing areas for both residential and nonresidential uses in the greater Portland area. Numerous commercail and industrial developments are either under construction or planned for the immediate future. These developments range from warehousing/distribution to high technology businesses.

Rock Creek has eight major tributaries: Dawson, Rock, Bronson, Willow, Cedar Mill, Johnson, Hall, and Beaverton Creeks. Most originate in the steep slopes and foothills of the Tualatin Mountains. ONly the headwaters of Rock Creek extend upstream of the USA and Urban Growth boundaries. All of the streams within this subbasin are experiancing the effects of development and construction-related sedimentation as well as urban runoff impacts.

Middle Tualatin River Subbasin

The Middle Tualatin River Valley basin drains the area surrounding the Tualatin River between its confluence with Rock Creek and the southeastern slopes of Cooper Mountain. The East Hillsboro Community Planning Area and the south-southwestern half of the Aloha-Reedville-Cooper Mountain Community Planning Area are the areas of the County PFPA that are drained by the Middle Tualatin River subbasin. Most of the area drained by this subbasin is urbanized.

TRANSPORTATION

Washington County has jurisdiction over a totla of 1,200 miles of roadway. The territory within the UGB contains approxiimately 590 miles of County roads, or 49 percent of the total. In addition, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) maintains 178 miles of highway within the County.

In October 1988, the most recent update of the "Washington County Transportation Plan" was published. A broad spectrum of County residents, businesses, and public agencies and officals contributed to the Plan update, which took two years to complete. As an element of Washington County's Comprehensive Plan, the Transportation Plan establishes policies and strategies designed to meet existing and future travel needs in Washington County based upon projected employment and population growth through the year 2005. The Plan was based upon information contained in the "Transportation Plan Background Document" dated July 1988 (See Appendix E).

Chapter II of the Background Document categorizes the County road system according to the functions that individual streets and roads are expected to perform. These categories include regional arterials, major arterials, minor arterials, major collectors and minor collectors, which together comprise about 30 percent of County road mileage within the UGB. Local streets comprise the remaining 70 percent. Information provided in Chapter II also defines the functional classifications interms of the level of service each is designated to provide and analyzes existing and future travel characteristics on County roadways. Also provided in Chapter II of the Background Document is a needs, roadway safety needs, bridge needs, roadway standards/reconstruction needs, and maintenance needs that are necessary to meet the demand for transportation services in urban Washington County through the year 2005.

Chapter III of the Background Document summarizes existing mass transit service in urban Washington County, identifies existing transit routes, and analyzes transit service delivery. The majority of transit ridership in Washington County is for trips to and from downtown Portland. At the same time, the fastest growing segment of travel demand in the County is the suburban travel market, meaning trips both beginning and ending within the County's urban area. This is a difficult market to serve efficiently, since it is characterized by widely dispersed origins and destinations. However, in the future, the transit system will have to carry a greater percentage of travelers in the County if the County's road system is function as planned.

Tri-Met has primary responsibility for transit planning and service provision in urban Washington County. The County participates, along with other local jurisdictions, in Tri-Met decision affecting transit development and planning. The County and Tri-Met will need to jointly work towards the goal of increasing transit reidership.

CHAPTER III

INVENTORY OF PLANNED PROJECTS

WATER SERVICE

Most of the major water facilities that will be needed through the year 2010 in the public facility planning area are already in place. The primary water supply sourcee, Bull Run, and the major supply conduits have previously been determined to be adequate. The primary facilities that will be needed in the future include additional storage and transmission facilities that will be constructed as development occurs. The specific projects are described below for each water service provider.

Tigard Water District

The Bull Mountain Community Planning Area is the only portion of the Tigard Water District for which the County has public facility planning responsibility. Planned development for this area is primarily low density residnetial. At the present time, the najority of this area is sparsely developed or undeveloped.

The District has estimated its district-wide service needs based ona 2010 population of 45,607. Most of the major public facilities that the District will need over the next 20 years are already inplace.

Tigard Water District has access to four potential sources of water: Clackamas River, Beaverton, Portland, and four District owned wells. During peak demand periods, the Tigard Water District has to supplement its Clackamas River supply with water from Bull Run. Under current arrangements this is not expected to be a problem. The existing transmission system is capable of delivering sufficient water to the District's storage facilities, and no major improvements to the transmission system are deemed to be necessary.

On a district-wide basis, there appears to be sufficient storage to serve the District thround the year 2010 and beyond. However, given the District's service areas and pressure zones, there are several Bull Mountain service areas that will likely need additional storage facilities by the year 2010. As a result, the District has planned additional storage facilities on Bull Mountain.

The exact timing of these improvements will depend on the rate of development. It is generally anticipated that the facilities will be needed betwwen 1995 and 2010. Any new distribution facilities are expected to be primarily the responsibility of private developers. There are a few mains that will be installed by the District as public improvements. Project scheduling and cost data is shown in Table III.A and the location of each project is shown on Figure II.1.

Wolf Creek Highway Water District

Wolf Creek HIghway Water District is the water service provider to that portion of the County public facility planning area which is estimated to have the greatest amount of growth through the year 2010. Future development within the District's boundary is expected to include a full range of residential and commercail/industrial land uses. By the year 2000, the District estimates that its population will be 154,000. The District has complete a distribution system analysis/plan and a 5-year Capaital Improvement Program which is updated annually (See Appendix F). The distribution system analysis/plan was done in 1981 and it is their most recent planning document.

The District;s source of water is the Bull Run Reservior which is adequate to serve the District beyond the year 2000. The addition of a 60-inch transmission line to eastern Washington County along with other transmission lines tied to the Portland system are capable of serving the District through the year 2010. The District has proposed sixteen transmission main projects to improve

general water service delivery and transmission to water storage sites. These improvements will upgrade service to areas that are anticipated for development by 2010.

The need for additional storage facilities is based on the District's 1981 planning analysis of future population growth and per capita water consumption. Using a current average coonsumption rate of 124 gallons per person per day and the Distroct's 2000 population estimate of 154,000, the District will need approximately 57 mg of storage by the year 2000. The district presently has a storage capacity of 27 mg in eleven major reservoirs. As a result, the District will need to add at least 30 mg of storage to meet the estimated year 2000 requirements. The District plans to add six new storage facilities that will provide an additional 34 mg of storage. The apparent excess storage is necessitated by the efficiencies of delivering water to the various service areas and the system's pressure zones. Project scheduling and cost data is shown in Table III.A. Project locations are shown on Figure II.1 (attached).

Metzger Water District

The Matzger Water District serves primarily the Metzger-Progress Planning Area and parts of the City of Tigard. This area is predominately residential, but also includes such developments as Washington Sqaure and commercial uses along SW Pacific Highway. Future development within the District will be largely infill residnetial with additional office-commercial use in the vicinity of Washington Square.

The District has estimated its water service requirements for the year 2000 based on a forecast population of approximately 25,000. This population forecast was prepared in 1979 by the District's consultant. More recent population forecasts (Metro 1983) would place the District's 2005 population at approximately 18,000. The more recent forecast implies a slower rate of development that that envisioned in 1979. Residential development in the area has been less thatn envisioned, but office commercial development had been brisk over the last few years. The Distsrict;s 1979 Water System Study identified a number of projects that would be needed through the year 2000. Systematically the District has been constructing these improvements as the need arises.

As previously noted, the District receives its water from Bull Run. Water is transmitted to Washington County via a shared 60-inch conduit. The District's supply and transmission systems are regarded as being sufficient to meet the District's needs through the year 2010. In addition, the existing 8.7 mg of storage will be capable of supporting a population of 29,000 assuming three times an average daily demand of 100 gallons per capita per day. Therefore, the existing storage capability is estimated to serve the District through the year 2010.

The primary area for future water system improvements will be distribution lines.. Two 16-inch mains are presently scheduled to be constructed. One will be installed on SW 90th Avenue within the next five years. Another 16-inch line is scheduled to be constructed on Locust Street and 78th Avenue between five and seven years for now. The project sheduling and cost data is shown in Table III.A and the location of each project is shown in Figure II.1.

Other Water Districts

The Raleigh and West Slope Water Districts also serve the urban area of eastern Washington County. Both of these districts are almost completely urbanized. It is anticipated that most of the future development in these districts will be infill. As a result, there are no major public facility improvements scheduled for these districts except of ran added reservior in the Raleigh District (See Table III.A). This reservior is planned to have a capacity of .75 mg and will be constructed within the next year and one-half. It will be located near the existing reseriors as shown on Figure II.1.

Future service connections and line extensions will be the responsility of the private sector and benefitting properties. The districts will do some line replacement work over the planning period as the need arises, but these are not expected to be major expenditures.

Project No.	Project Description (2)	Jurisidction	Project Tim	ing and Cost
-		(3)	•	C C
			Short Term	Long Term
			(1995)	(1996-2010)
10001	750,000 g. Reservoir	Raleigh Hills	\$160,000	
10002	High Tor 1 mg Reservoir	Tigard WD	\$605,000	
10003	150 th Ave. 2.5 mg Reservoir	Tigard WD		\$1,150,000
10004*	S. Scholls Ferry Rd. 2.5 mg Reservoir	Tigard WD		\$1,200,000
10005	S. Scholls Ferry Rd. 1 mg Reservoir	Tigard WD		\$700,000
10006*	2.5 mg Reservoir & Mt. Gate 12" line	Tigard WD	\$1,200,000	
10007	Grabhorn 10 mg Reservoir	Wolf Creek	\$3,660,000	
10008	Springville Rd. 10 mg Reservoir	Wolf Creek	\$2,250,000	
10009	Bonnie Slope 3 mg Reservoir	Wolf Creek	\$1,000,000	
10010	Somerset 10 mg Reservoir #2	Wolf Creek	\$250,000	
10011	SW West Rd. Reservoir	Wolf Creek	\$1,050,000	
10012	Springville Rd. 10 mg Reservoir #2	Wolf Creek		\$2,500,000
11001	12" line, 132 nd St. to High Tor Reservoir	Tigard	\$126,000	
11002	12" line, Bull Mt. Rd.	Tigard	· ,	\$65,000
11003	16" line from 146 th and Beef Bend to	Tigard		\$210,000
	150 th Reservoir	U		. ,
11004	12" 3 Mt Subdivision	Tigard	\$94,000	
11005	SW Hawk Ridge 12" line	Tigard		\$103,000
11006	16" line from 121 st and Gaarde to 132 nd	Tigard	\$192,000	
	and Walnut	°,		
11007	16" line 90 th Ave.	Metzger	\$350,000	
11008	16" line Oak St. to 78 th Ave.	Metzger		\$300,000
11009	16" line Sunset Reservoir to Barnes Rd.	Wolf Creek	\$75,000	
11010	Transmission line Peterkort property	Wolf Creek	\$90,000	
11011	Line relocation on Cornell Rd.	Wolf Creek	\$200,000	
11012	24" main to PCC	Wolf Creek	\$540,000	
11013	16" line from Goyak to 189 th Reservoir	Wolf Creek	\$35,000	
11014	16" line on Sunset Hwy to Cornell Rd.	Wolf Creek	\$372,000	
11015	Improve Pump Station-Cooper Mt.	Wolf Creek	\$100,000	
11016	12" line Cornell to Thompson	Wolf Creek	\$50,000	
11017	Line relocation on 185 th	Wolf Creek	\$250,000	
11018	Line relocation on Baseline Rd.	Wolf Creek	\$200,000	
11019	24" line bypass at Center St. due to Light	Wolf Creek	\$250,000	
	Rail construction		. ,	
11020	Cedar Hills 12" line	Wolf Creek	\$75,000	
11021	Line relocation on 160 th	Wolf Creek	\$520,000	
11022	12" line on Kinnaman Rd.	Wolf Creek	\$525,000	
11023	198 th Ave. Transmission line	Wolf Creek	\$400,000	

TABLE III.A Planned Water Storage and Transmission Facilities

* Reservoir and line extension

SANITARY SEWER

Yhe Unified Sewerage Agency (USA) is the sole provider of wastewater treatment in Washington County. The Agency has developed a plan to guide constructionanad installation of wastewater collection and treatment facilities within the Public Facility Planning area. The USA plan is based upon population projections derived from land use plans and includes an estimate of the facilities needed for water quality improvement and projected growth through the year 2010. Facility needs are described by treatment basin or service area. There are two major service areas and corresponding sewerage treatment plants that provide wastewater collection and treatment within the area covered by this plan. These are the Rock Creek and Durham basins. The primary improvements that will be required within these basins are collection system system improvements which include new interceptors and trunk lines.

Yhe overall sewer system needs for the Rock Creek and Durham service basins are based on sub-basin projected populations for the year 2010.

The "Master Plan Update" (1985) identifies system improvements as short-term, long-term, or ultimate needs. Ultimate improvements are assumed to be needed some time after 2010, and are not included inthis plan. Programming for short-term needs is also addressed in the "1990-1994 Capital Improvement Program" (See Appendix G).

Treatment Facilities

Rock Creek Treatment Facility

The Rock Creek Treatment Plant is the second largest wastewater treatment facility in the USA system. This plant provides treatment for the Rock Creek Basin collection system which serves the Aloha-Reedville-Cooper Mountain, Sunset West, West Union, Bethany and Cedar Mill Community Planning Areas. This is a service area of apaproximately 34,000 acres. The Rock Creek Treatment Plant Plan currently has the capacity to provide 17 mgd of nitrified effluent during the dry season while able to provide secondary treatment to 77 mgd and primary treatment to 100 mgd. Expansion of the liquids and soolids treatment processes is needed to meet future plant effluent limitations and to accommodate long-term future loadings. Effluent filtration and chlorination need additional capacity in order to handle wet weaether flows. The plant is now approachig its capacity to meet long-term future loadings. The estimated costs and timing of future improvements are summarized in Table III.B.

Durham Treatment Facility

The Durham Treatment Facility is currently the largest in Washington County. This plant provides treatment for the eastern portion of the County's urban area which encompasses approximately 33,500 acreas and 24 sub-basins. With the completion of the plant expansion presently in progress, up to 90 mgd of flow will be able to pass through the plant and receive preliminary treatment, primary clarification and disinfection. The hydraulic capacity of the secondary/tertiary treatment system and effluent filters will remain at 40 mgd and 20 mgd respectively.

The Durham Plant performs well when operated within its original design criteria for flow and loadings. The plant is now approaching its capacity and experiences peak flows which exceed the hydraulic capacity of its secondary and tertiary treaetment facilities. To reliably achieve current and future effluent limits, major improvements will be needed at the facility. The estimated costs and timing of future improvements are summarized in Table III.C.

Collection System

The wastewater collection system serving the Public Facility Planning Area includes the Rock Creek and Durham service areas. The system includes approximately 140 miles of interceptor and trunk lines ranging in size from 72 inches to approximately 12 inches in diameter.

The "Master Plan Update" includes a detailed analysis of the collection system in each basin to determine future deficiencies. Existing short-term deficiencies have been identified in the USA 1990-1994 CIP. Areas where problems are anticipated beyond 1994 are long-term, recommendations have been made on pipe sizes designed to handle buildout or ultimate flows. The following is a discussion of the major collection system improvements for the Rock Creek and Durham basins.

Rock Creek Basin

Within Rock Creek Basin, most of the projects listed in Table III.B will up-size the collection system lines to provide additional capacity. It is anticipated that nearly 38 miles of new sewer line will be installed by the year 2010. These projects will include parallel lines, line replacements, and trunk line extensions. The major project in this basin will be the installation of a 36-60 inch parallel line along the Beaverton Creek interceptor.

In the Rock Creek basin, in the short-term, there is a need to up-grade the Aloha No. 3 pump station on Butternut Creek. Peak flows currently exceed the capabilites of the existing pump station. To correct this problem, an 8.8 mgd pump station and new 27" parallel force main are required to handle projected flows. In the longer term, the Aloha No. 3 pump station will need to be upgraded to 12.2 mgd.

There are three main extensions planned in this basin to serve future development. All of these projects are scheduled for the long-term. These projects are:

<u>Rock Creek Trunk Extension</u>: The Rock Creek trunk extension will run from a point on the existing Rock Creek trunk north of West Union Road along a small drainage channel to the east where it will branch into two lines. One line will follow the north channel while the other will follow a channel to the south. Both lines will terminate near N.W. Kaiser Road. The lines will range from 12" to 18" in size and will carry ultimate flows of up to 4.6 mgd.

<u>Willow Creek Trunk Extensions</u>: The extension will run along the Willow Creek drainage between Circl 'A' Lane and N.W. Saltzman Road. These lines will be 12" in size and will carry ultimate flows of approximately 1.5 and 1.8 mgd respectively.

<u>Reedville/Butternut Extension</u>: The Reedville/Butternut extension will serve new development in the northwest Cooper Mountain area. The line will run from the southern end of S.W. 203rd Avenue to Farmington Road and then run along Farmington Road to S.W. 209th Avenue. This line will be 15" in size and will carry ultimate flows of approximately 3.1 mgd.

A detailed listingof all of the collection systems projects in the Rock Creek basin are shown I Table III.B. The general locations of these projects are shown on Figure II.2 (attached).

Durham Basin

Within the Durham Basin there are a number of sub-basin areas that will require collection systems improvements. The major share of the improvements are scheduled for the long-term and are located in the southern part of the Durham Basin. Approximately twenty miles of line will be added to the collection system by the year 2010. Of these twenty miles, less than 5 miles of collection system improvements will occur in the County's Public Facility Planning Area. The

projects include replacement lines, parallel lines and main extensions. A listing of the collection system projects in the Durham Basin are shown in Table III.C. The general locations of these projects are shown on Figure II.2.

Tualatin River Water Quality Program

Although the USA plants are designed for advanced wastewater treatment, a higher degree of treatment is needed both for existing loads and for the support of future development. The Oregon Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) and the State Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) have established total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for the Tualatin River. In addition, as a part of the settlement of the Northwest Environmental Defense Council (NEDC) litigation, USA has agreed to bring the existing wastewater treatment plans into full compliance with permits by 1997.

Protecting the environment is an integral part of the USA mission as stated below:

The Unified Sewerage Agency's mission is to manage storm, sanitary and surface water systems for the protection of water quality for the users in the Tualatin River basin.

To fulfil USA's mission and to respond to these issues, the USA Board of Directors commissioned the development of the "Wastewater Facilities Plan" (1990). A comprehensive plan was prepared which includes the evaluation of technical solution, incorporates public values and identifies programs for USA that are needed for long-term success.

The recommended plan calls for a comprehensive approach to protecting water quality in the Tualatin River basin. The plan's key elements include:

- 1. Controls to reduce the amount of pollution that users discharge into the wastewater system at the source.
- 2. Planned growth, with USA working to ensure that water quality considerations are incorporated into planning decisions made by responsible state and local agencies.
- 3. REduce reainwater infiltration and inflow into the wastewater system to lessen demand on treatment plan capacity.
- 4. Maximum reuse of effluent (highly treated wastewater) to irrigate farmland and recycling of sludge.
- 5. Creation of wetlands to "polish" effluent while providing wildlife habitat.
- 6. Protection of sensitive rive banks for wildlife habitat and other uses as well as improved river access.
- 7. Advanced levels of wastewater treatment.
- 8. Expansion of the existing Barney Reservior to ensure adequate river flows in summer months and further methods to maintain adequate flows in the river.
- 9. Construction of a new reservior or export of effluent to other rivers would be considered only if recommended methods prove unworkable in the future.

The recommended plan establishes an ambitious implementation schedule for two time periods: Short-term (1990-97); and Long-term (1997-2010). Detailed projects have not yet been developed. However, they will be added to the Public Facility Plan as they become available.

A key feature of the recommended plan is its emphasis on flexibility. The project advisory groups and the public concluded it is best for the Unified Sewerage Agency to remain flexible – able to respond to changing conditions, taking advantage of new technology as it is proven effective, and meeting any new regulations which may be imposed in the future. The plan will be reviewed at two-year intervals and adjustments made as necessary.

The recommended plan also relies on USA establishing a partnership with DEQ and other agencies responsible for managing and monitoring implementation and outlines actions by these agencies that are essential for implementation. Key elements of the USA Water Quality Plan are outlined below. Thw costs involved in implementing this plan in the Durham and Rock Creek basins are summarized in Table III.D.

The Recommended Plan

1. Source Controls

Reduce the amount of pollutants that users discharge into Wastewater system by:

- a. Phosphorus detergent ban
- b. Industrial pretreatment/user fees
- c. Public education

2. Planned Growth

Ensure incorporation of water quality considerations and impacts in land use planning by the responsible state and local agencies. Strengthen USA's input into this planning process.

3. Wastewater Flow Management

Reduce the amount of rain water infiltration and inflow into the wastewater system:

- a. Sewer rehabilitation
- b. Sewer construction and inspection requirements

4. River Management

Maintain adequate flows in the river:

- a. Add storage at existing reservoirs
- b. Manage releases from upstream reservoirs
- c. Eliminate illegal withdrawls of water by enforcing water rights

Advocate protection of riverside habitat and river access for public use.

5. Treatment and Reuse

Ultimately reuse 70 percent of highly treated wastwater (effluent).

Increase treatment at smaller plants (Banks, Forest Grove and Hillsboro West) to produce high quality effluent (level 3) for reuse on forage crops and golf courses. Reuse all summertime effluent; discharge wintertime effluent to the Tualatin or its tributaries.

Upgrade treatment facilities at Durham and Rock Creek to produce Level 4 (highest) water quality for agriculture irrigation. Implement a major reuse program at both plants; but initially discharge year-round to the Tualatin River.

6. Wetlands

By 1997, determine if wetlands treatment is feasible. If feasible, provide additional treatment of approximately eight percent of USA's effluent in wetlands by the year 2010.

7. Sludge

Expand existing program of sludge treatment and application to agricultural land.

TABLE III.B Rock Creek Basin Planned Treatment and Collection System Improvements

Project No.	Project Description (2)	Project Timing	and Cost (1,000s)
		Short Term	Long Term
		(1997)	(1998-2010)
20001	Rock Creek Treatment Plant	\$55,000	\$20,000
	expansion & improvements.		
	Reference 5-2-90 overview of		
	recommended Facility Plan.		
29002	Rock Creek Sludge Treatment	\$11,020	\$3,000
	and Hauling		
21003	15" Replacement Line	\$93	
21004	21" Replacement Line		\$226
21006	36" Replacement Line	\$53	
21007	27" Parallel Line	\$139	
21014	15"-21" Replacement Line		\$425
21017	24"-36" Parallel Line		\$191
21018	21"-30" Parallel Line		\$297
21019	21"-27" Parallel Line		\$408
21021	15" Sewerline Parallel		\$222
21022	15"-18" Sewerline Replacement		\$240
2123	12"-15" Sewerline Replacement		\$50
21024	21"-48" Parallel Line		\$699
21025	27"-36" Parallel Line		\$459
21026	27"-36" Parallel Line		\$272
21027	15"-18" Parallel Line		\$160
21028	12" Parallel Line		\$19
21031	18"-36" Parallel Line		\$296
21032	15"-21" Parallel Line		\$128
21034	15" Replacement Line		\$90
21035	12"15" Replacement Line		\$219
21036	21" Replacement Line		\$144
21037	15" Replacement Line		\$108
21038	15" Replacement Line		\$544
21046	54"-78" Parallel Line		\$1,227
21047	18"-66" Parallel Line		\$2,186
21048	42" Parallel Line		\$616
21049	54" Parallel Line		\$1,400
21050	48" Parallel Line		\$144
21051	54" Parallel Line		\$549
21055	12" Replacement		\$143
21056	21" Line Extension	\$400	
21060	15" Line Extension	\$333	
21061	12"-18" Line Extension	\$1,064	
23000	15" Replacement Line	\$40	
23001	15" Replacement Line	\$62	
23005	12" Parallel Line	\$45	
23006	12" PArallel Line	\$45	
23007	12" Parallel Line	\$109	
28000	Aloha No. 3 Pump Station &	\$1,729	
	Force Main		
28001	Butternut Creek Pump Station	\$100	
28002	Aloha No. 3 Pump Station		\$394
	Upgrade		

TABLE III.C Durham Basin Planned Treatment and Collection System Improvements

Project No.	Project Description (2)	Project Timing a	nd Cost (1,000s)
		Short Term (1997)	Long Term (1998-2010)
20002	Durham Treatment Plant Plant expansion and improvements	\$55,500	\$10,700
29001	Durham Plant Sludge Treatment and Hauling	\$13,330	
23008	18" Replacement Line	\$209	
23060	54" Line Extension		\$862
23061	48" Parallel Line		\$90
23062	15"-24" Parallel Line		\$89
23065	18" Replacement Line		\$80

TABLE III.D

Tualatin River Water Quality Program Project Outline

Project No.	Project Description (2)	Project Timing a	nd Cost (1,000s)
		Short Term	Long Term
		(1997)	(1998-2010)
24000	Upgrade Water Quality		
	Through Dilution –	\$19,200	
	Expand Barney Reservior by		
	16,000 acre feet		
25000	Sewerline Rehabilitation		
	Program –	\$13,000	
	Begin reduction of sewerline		
	inflow and infiltration problem		
26000	Reuse of Treated Effluent		
	During Summer –		
	Construct effluent reuse		
	reservoirs & pipelines to		
	distribute treated effluent to		
	agriculture property for		
	irrigation:		
	Durham Plant	\$27,790	\$14,720
	Rock Creek Plants	\$3,043	\$12,520
27000	Wetlands Demonstration		
	Project – Durham		\$1,750
	Construct wetlands for effluent		
	polishing		
	Wetlands Demonstration		
	Project – Rock Creek		\$1,490
	Construct wetlands for effluent		
	polishing		
	Wetlands Demonstration		
	Project –	\$300	\$180
	Buffer strips for runoff control		

SURFACE WATER (STORM) DRAINAGE

Many drainage plans and water basin studies have been done for areas of Washington County. Reports on surface water problems have been done by various federal, state and local agencies. As valuable as these studies have been in providing engineering plans and funding strategies to address storm water problems, they have not been successful in developing strategies to combine a technical, institutional and financial system necessary to establish a regional surface water management program.

In the last two years the Unified Sewerage Agency has taken a regional approach toward managing surface water quantity and quality in urbanized areas of Washington County. On July 27, 1989, the Portland Metropolitan Area Local Government Boundary Commission approved the Unified Sewerage Agency (USA) as the jurisdixtion responsible for surface water drainage management for Washington County. The USA service boundary includes all of the incorporated and unincorporated urban area of Washington County.

To guide its new authority to regulate surface water, USA has prepared the "Surface Water Management Plan" (February, 1990). The Plan addresses the need for urban areas within Washington County to begin thinking of surface water management as a component of the infrastructure and as a public utility. In order to begin this process, the I itial program will establish a preventative level of maintenance for the existing storm water system. The new USA program will also implement a non=point water pollution source management plan, promote regulatroy and design criteria consistency, and actively involve the public with surface water management issues.

The storm water management maintenance program provides a preventative level of service for open channels, ditches, closed systems, structures and street sweeping. Cost information was prepsred by evaluating twenty-two specific maintenance activities in terms of crew configuration, equipment performance and service level requirements to achieve water quantity and quality objectives. The estimated annual cost for maintenance is \$2,950,900.

The USA watershed program element focuses on developing strategies includidng structural and non-structural control options. Regional or watershed-oriented hydraulic and hydrologic analysis will be done in order to identify major drainage problem locations and develop corrective action plans. This process will include the necessary monitoring to measure the impacts of various non-point source mitigation measures in the field. This program element will also play a key role in monitoring the effect of water quality regulations and non-point source technologies. The estimated annual budget for the watershed program is \$635,071.

The engineering program will provide technical support for all surface water program ares and be a direct service provider in plan review, design, field inspection and enforcement. While project management will be an increasingly important function, the initial nonstructureal focus for the program will reduce the level of activity in this area. Initial program priorities will include preparing uniform design criteria and standards, developing and accurate storm water system inventory and implementing a hazard mitigation program. While several jurisdictions have prepared reasonably accurate drainage system inventories, an overall physical feature (structures, flood plains, wetlands, problem ares, hazard locations) and conditions assessment of the drainage system within the service area has not been done. This inventory will be done with a geographic information system (GIS computer program). A hazard mitigation program designed to reduce exposure of property and elmininate threats to physical safety which can result from storm events will be developed. Activities that relate to this will be flood plain management, land acquisition, detour plans, signing and community awaremenss of flood prone locations. The estimated annual budget for the engineering program is \$467,111.

Providing information to the public isimportant to a surface water management program to get voluntary compliance by the public. Public information mechanisms such as a "watershed management practices" booklet, displays, training seminars, catch bsin stenciling and an oil recycling program are important parts of the storm water program. In addition, the media will be informed of the storm water program to sustain visibility and public support. The estimated annual budget for public information is \$57,433.

Administration costs include the allocation of USA general management personnel time to the storm water program and the cost of insuring USA against liability from surface water related issues/complaints. The estimated budget for this program element is \$277,500.

The financial management program element involves functions related to budgeting, cost accounting, revenue, fee administration and preparation of audits and reports. This program element contains the bulk of the start up costs attributable to a surface water utility. The estimated annual budget for this function is \$371,498. In addition to the above program elements, legal support will be provided, which is estimated to be \$198,875.

The total annual cost of the storm water management program will be \$4,958,388. This cost estimate for the program is based on the assumption that no capital-intensive construction projects for storm water management will be undertaken. After the water shed plans are developed in two years, the annual operation cost for the program may change based on the need for structural solutions to storm water quantity or quality problems. Presently, the \$4,958,388 figure is the short range estimated annual budget. Based on the fact that the storm water management program has just begun and a storm water facility inventory and watershed plans have just been started, long range capital improvement costs beyond 1995 cannot be determined.

TRANSPORTATION

The "Washington County Transportation Plan," adopted by Ordinance No. 332 in 1988, outlines the transportation improvements that will be needed in the future in Washington County. Based on a projected growth of 145,000 people and 106,000 jobs in Washington County between 1985 and 2005, the following conclusions were made in the Transportation Plan element:

1. Roads:

Road system improvements will include 39 miles of new streets and highways; 475 miles of new lanes on existing roadways; 208 intersection improvements; and reconstruction of 511 miles of roadway. The \$1.022 billion capital cost of these improvements is \$659 million more than the County expects to receive by 2005 based on current know revenue sources. Roadway safety and capacity improvements were given the highest priority for capital expensitives in the Transportation Plan.

2. Transit:

Daily transit system usage will have to increase from approximately 3 percent of all trips to 6 percent of all trips by the year 2005 if road improvements are to work as described in the Plan. System improvements outlined in the Plan include

construction of Westside Light Rail and the expanded bus service envisioned in Metro's Regional Transportation Plan.

3. Bicycle and Pedestrians:

The Plan calls for construction of 143 miles of on-street bicycle lanes, preservation of corridors for off-street bicycle system development and construction of sidewalks along all roadways as they are improved.

To implement the above emntioned construction goal, the County has initiated a Transportation Capital Improvement Program (CIP) which is to be revised annually. The Transportation Capital Improvement Program is a working document that lists projects planned for construction, their estimed cost, funding source, and the time frame for construction. The CIP document includes transportation improvement projects in Washington County scheduled for construction between 1990 and 1998 by ODOT, Tri-Met, Washington County and city-sponsored projects. As a result, theCIP covers a much larger area than just the PFP planing area. In addition, the document includes projects that are needed in the long-term which are currently unscheduled.

As inidcated in the County's Transportation Plan, bicycle facilities will be constructed in conjunction with planned road improvements. Therefore, the cost for the bicycle facility has been included in the cost of the road improvement and is not listed separately or scheduled as a separate item. The mapped ;ocation of the Bicycle Route System is shown on Figure 12 of the Transportation Plan.

Road system improvements are divided into two general categories – those under County jurisdiction and those under ODOT jurisdiction. PRojects have been further segregated into three groups: those that have funding committed for construction, those that are long-term and unfunded. Tables III.E, III.F and III.G show the Washington County projects that correspond respectively to the groups listed above. Tables III.I, III.J and III.K list the ODOT projects that correspond to the three groups listed above. The long-term projects that are unfunded are shown on the Recommeded Roadway IMprovement Projects map, Figure 4 of the Washington County Transportation Plan includes the mapped location of proposed transit improvements.

As indicated by the County's Transporation Plan, Tri-Met has primary responsibility for transit planning and service provision in Wahsington County. Tri-Met prepares a Five-Year Transit Development Plan which sets forth the agency's broad capital and operating proposals as required by the Urban Mass Transporation Administration. The major future transit improvement for Washington County is the Westside Corridor Project which is planned to be in operation by 1998. The provision of individual new bus route service as shown on the County's Transporation Plan is handled by Tri-Met on an annual basis. Service adjustments are made by Tri-Met based on the availability of funds and operational performance of existing routes. It is through this annual service adjustment process that additional service will be added. Tri-Met does not forecast route additions on a longer term basis, however the agency has embarked on a strategy to enhance its financial capabilities. Planned transit projeccts are listed on Table III.H.

The location and condition of County bridges has been identified in the County's Tranpsotation Plan (Figure 6). THe only remaining County bridge in the urban area that needs to be replaced is in the process of being constructed. As a result, no additional bridge replacements are needed.

0.6 / 6.0 / 6.0				-	TAB	ILE III.E								
		-	RANSPORTA	FION PROJ	ECTS WITH	COMMITTED	CONSTRUC	TION FUND	SNI					
(1)	(3)			1)	N THOUSAND	S OF DOLL	ARS)							
PROJECT	INDEX PROJECT TYPE NUMBER	CONST. F. YEAR	PRIOR YEARS	16-06	FY 91-92	FY 92-93	FY 93-94	FY 94-95	FΥ 95-96	FY 96-97	TOTAL MAJOR FUNDING COST SOURCE	COUNTY FUNDS 11	TOTAL	PAGE
			4				-	-			计计算法 化化学学 化化学学学 化化学学 化化学学 化化学学			-
170TH/AUGUSTA - INTERSECTION	50 SAFETY/CAPACITY	16/06	e	70	¢	•	4			,				
185TH - SUNSET TO MALKER	56 CAPACITY	16/05		2710				• •	0 0	0 0	85 ROAD FUND	85	0	11
185TH - ROCK CREEK TO TAMARACK	52 RECONSTRUCTION	85/33	30	0	150	850	2217	000		0 6	2710 MSTEP 1, FAU	2610	0	8
1851H/KINNEMAN - INTERSECTION 1847H/DOGA - INTERSECTION	66 SAFETY	16/05	0	690	0	0	0	0	• •	ð 6	SAAT MSLIP 2 SGD TIE	3447	0	83
BARNES EXTENSION	68 SAFETY	16/06	0	435	0	0	0	0	1	0	435 TIF	150		61
BASELINE/170TH	145 CAPACITY	16/06		DEEL	•	0 (0	0	0	0	1330 TIF	1330	0	51
BASELINE/231ST - INTERSECTION	150 SAFETY	16/06	25	600				0 0	0 0	0 0	BO ROAD FUND	80	0	22
DASELINE/JENKINS EXTENSION - 158TH TO 185TH	152 CAPACITY	94/95	0	16	0	0	620	2150	0 0	0 0	DES MSILYZ, HES	0	•	53
CCCF DCMU - MMT 99M [U]3151 CORNELLIS PLAS - CORNELL TO SUMPER UNV	160 RECONSTRUCTION	31/95	0	130	0	0	0	860	1510	0	2500 MSTIP 2	2500	0 0	66
CORNELIUS PASS - WAGON WAY TO WEST INTOW	217 CAPACITY	16/05	0	1175	0	0	0	0	0	0	1175 FAU.EDD. ROAD FUND	25	~ <	36
CORNELL/167TH - INTERSECTION	24C CAPACITY	16/06		800		0	0	0	0	0	800 LID	, •		22
CORNELL/MURRAY - INTERSECTION	242 SAFETY/CAPACITY	10/05	ə c	0		•	0 0	0	0	0	115 TIF	115	0	38
DENNEY - HIGHMAY 217 TO SCHOLLS FY	253 RECONSTRUCTION	UNSCI D	315	0	- <	-		0	0	0	80 TIF	80	80	29
EVERGREEN - 25TH TO SHUTE	270 CAPACITY	16/06	750	1000	5 6	5 0	0 0	0 0		0 0	315	0	0	133
GARDEN HOME/OLESON - INTERSECTION	297 SAFETY	16/05	0	204	960	- e	> <	D e	0 0	•	1750 IOF, EDD, ROMD FUND	315	(**)	31
SKEENBURG/LOCUST - INTERSECTION	315 CAPACITY	16/06	0	562	0						1464 MSTIP 2	1464	0	32
MUMMAT - ALLEN 10 0LD SCHOLLS FERRY -	467 CAPACITY	26/16	400	2150	2481	8000	5256		0	• •	19287 MSTEP 2 FAIL	170071	0 0	37
DLESON/VERMONT - INTERSECTION	VILDEGETV/VLDEGETV/	c0/c1										1021	>	0
SALTZMAN - BURTON TO COLEMAN	530 SAFETY	16/06	0,9	181			0 0	0 0	0 0	0	240 CO. SDC	240	01	05 16
SCHOLLS FERRY/HAMILTON - INTERSECTION	549 SAFETY	16/05	0	220	. 0		0 0	2 0		•	850 MSTIP 2	850	0	75
UMLATIN-SHRWD/EDY - BOONES FERRY TO HWY	529 CAPACITY	16/05	3500	5180	5020	0	00	0 0	0		22U MUAD FUND 13700 MSTID 1 WSTID 2	220	0 4	28
** Subtotel **												10101	5	10
			5050	3678	8611	8850	8063	3210	1510	0	4108	71157	69	
AM FY 91/92 - SIMSET TO BARWES - 3 LANES	229 CAPACITY	10/07												
** Subrotal **		ac loc	2	5-	5.5	8	690	2005	0	0	3264 MSTIP 2	3264	0.	68
			0	0	143	0	1065	2006	0	0	3264	3264	Ø	
** FY 93/94 845ELINE - 8800HHNCOD TO 23:5T ** Subtratel **	140 CAPACITY	31/95	0		c	0	50	420	828	0	¢ dilism esec	9366		2
			c		¢			1				0007	Ð.	7.
			-	5	2	0	20	450	828	0	2358	5358	0	
CEDER HILLS/PARAMINY . INTERACTION	-105 CIECTV													
an Support of an		¢.	12	e.	e,	(3	17	12	13	17	624 MSTIP 2	624		50
tes Toldal the			c	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	624	624	0	
			1 1505	16	8154	850 9	20.8	536	338	9 12	PSE(55360	65	

(9) PROJECT DESCRIPTION TABLE IILF WASHNGTON COUNTY TRANSFORTATION POLICIS WITH COMMITTED FPERIONE ESTIMATED EXPENDINGES & FISCAL TEAR (IN TROUSANGS OF DOLLARS) ********** L COUNTY TOTAL TOTAL T FUNDS COMMITTED UNFUNDED FUNDS 0 0 TOTAL 8400 ESTIMATED CONSTR. FISCAL YR 1111 95-05 1 INDEX PROJECT TYPE NUMBER (B) 93 CAPACITY ***** 216TH/219TH - TV HWY TO CORNELIUS PASS Ē ---Poge No. 10/09/90 PROJECT

PAGE

111

0 0 8400 MIDEN TO FILE LANES. PART OF PE/FILS PROJECT ON TUALATIN TO HILLSBORD HHY (PROJECT 2257) 0 8400

8400

sas Totel sas

133

	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROJECT INSTALL SIGNALL AND LEFT TURN LANES. PROJECT, ADD TURN LANES.	RALECT. ADD TURN LANES. ROJECT. ADD TURN LANES. P. 2167/2019 RALAD PADJECT. ROJECT. ADD TURN LANES.	KEZARVID UNA LARES. 2415 PROJECT. PART OF KEZARVID VAN LARES. 2415 PROJECT. PART OF 80.1ECT. OMSTRUCT LEFT TUNA LAMES. 515MALIZE. 60.1ECT. OMSTRUCT LEFT TUNA LAMES. 60.1ECT. INTERSECTION UPPROVEMENTS. 60.1ECT. INTERSECTION UPPROVEMENTS. 60.1ECT. INTERSECTION UPPROVEMENTS. 60.1ECT. INTERSECTION UPPROVEMENTS.	ADD TURN LANES. ADD TURN LANES. DIJECT. ADD TURN LANES. DIJECT. INTERSECTION IMPORTMENTS. DIJECT. INTERSECTION IMPORTMENTS.	5-LANE ULTIMATE SECTION WITH BINE LANES. 5 FLVE LANES WITH BINE PATH. AND CONSTRUCT TO 2-1 LANES WITH BINE PATH. FERALSS TO FOUR LANES WITH BINE PATHS FIVE LANES.
	ENT	ACITY SPIS	SPIS P SPIS P PART 0	SPIS PLASSING SP	SPIS PR SPIS PR SPIS PR SPIS PR SPIS PR SPIS PR SPIS PR SPIS PR SPIS PR	BUILD TO MIDEN TO MERLIGN MIDEN DO MIDEN TO
	ING IMPROVEM	SAFETY/CAP	SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY	SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY	SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY	CAPACITY CAPACITY CAPACITY CAPACITY CAPACITY CAPACITY
 crs 1081TY	90 COST TPU FUNC NFLATED SCENARI	134 L 67 L	201 67 L 67 L 67 L	34 L 201 L 2	1308 34 L 34 L 34 L 34 L 34 L 34 L 34 L 34 L	478 3417 H 1715 L 7107 L 3722 M
III.G V COUNTY TION PROJE	PU COST 19 STIMATE 1	100	50 50 50	250 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	915 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	355 2550 1280 2830 2830
TABLE SHINGTOR TRANSPORTA ANSPORTATI	T. PLAN T PRIORITY E	<i>بي</i> به		ର ପ ସ ସ ସ ସ ସ	*****	000 000
WA UNFUNDED SORTED BY TR	LOCATION	UMINCORP UMINCORP	UMINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP	UN INCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP BEAVCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP	M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1MC36P M1TG400 M1MC36P M1TG400 M1MC36P M1MC36	UN INCORP UN INCORP NI LI SOBRO SEAVCRICN IN INCORP
	JURISDICTION	COUNTY	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY	COMMEY COMPERCONNEY COMPERCONNEY COMPERCONNEY
	INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	145 MINOR ARTERIAL 234 MINOR ARTERIAL	52 MINOR ARTERIAL 90 MINOR ARTERIAL 91 MINOR ARTERIAL 147 MINOR ARTERIAL 149 MINOR ARTERIAL	203 MINOR ARTERIAL 204 MINOR ARTERIAL 231 MINOR ARTERIAL 241 MINOR ARTERIAL 245 77 246 77 27 241 MINOR ARTERIAL	12 MAJOR COLLECTOR 27 MAJOR COLLECTOR 28 MAJOR COLLECTOR 90 MAJOR COLLECTOR 104 MAJOR COLLECTOR 104 MAJOR COLLECTOR 273 MAJOR COLLECTOR 273 MAJOR COLLECTOR 283 MAJOR COLLECTOR 253 MAJOR	219 MAJOR ARTERIAL 255 MAJOR ARTERIAL 266 MAJOR ARTERIAL 286 MAJOR ARTERIAL 454 MAJOR ARTERIAL
7, 10/05/40	PR0JECT	** PRIGRITY 3 BASELINE/N971N - INTERSECTION COMPELL/1538D - INTERSECTION ** Subtoral **	** PEIORITY 6 1701A/SHAM - INTERSETTON 2091A/SHAM - INTERSETTON 21614/2191H - INTERSETTON 845ELINE/2161H - INTERSETTON 645ELINE/2161H - INTERSETTON	CEDAR MILLS/HUMRINGTON - INTERSECTION COMMELL/1114 - INTERSECTION MALKE/VIADO - INTERSECTION MALKE/VIADO - INTERSECTION MALKE/VIADO - INTERSECTION MALKE/VIADOM - INTERSECTION MALKE/VIADOM - INTERSECTION	<pre>** #21081TY 8 801V/CGUAROES5 - INTERSECTOR 197TH/ALCEANOD - INTERSECTOR 198TH/ALCEANOD - INTERSECTOR 198TH/ALCEANOD - INTERSECTOR 198TH/ALCEANOD - INTERSECTOR 80000/1111Y - INTERSECTOR 800000/111Y - INTERSECTOR 60000/1131 - INTERSECTOR 0000/1131 - INTERSECTOR</pre>	** PATRATY 10 COMPELLUS \$425 - HAN* 26 TO HEST UNION COMPELL - 145TH TO 154TH COMPELL - COMPELLUS \$455 TO 165TH MUBAY - MILLIAAN TO JENNINS OLD SCHOLS FEMAN + MUBAY TO MESTERN BF0455

			(11) PROJECT DESCRIPTION				CONSTRUCT NEW THREE LANE ROAD BETWEEN CORNELL RD. AND CEDAR MILLS BLVD./SUNSET HWY. INTERCHANGE.	LUT SUCHAMIU BUILD TO THREE LANES. SEE ALSO PROJECT #133 FAR TESM/MEDIUM SCEWARID TO BUILD TO S LANES/HESTERN BYPASS.	RECONSTRUCT TO FIVE LANES AND ALIGN WITH PROPOSED BARNES EXTENSION.	WIDEN TO FIVE LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	WIDEN TO FIVE LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	WUSINGET 10 5 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION WITH BIKE LANES.	RECONSTRUCT TO 5 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION WITH BIKELANES.	LANES.	ACCONSTRUCT TO 5 LANES WITH BIRE LANES, RECONSTRUCT TO 5 LANES WITH BIRE LANES, WIDEN TO FIVE LANES.			RECONSTRUCT TO THREE LANES WITH BIKE PATH.			ADD TURN LANES. ADD TURN LANES.	ADD TURN LANES.			SIGNALIZE INTERSECTION.	STORALIZE, AUD IURN LANES.	UPGRADE SIGNAL/ADD TURN LANES.			ADD TURN LANES. ADD TURN LANES.	icnalize. Ωn turn lanes. Nurthfast quarant de intersection ⊃nenetee.
			FUNDING IMPROVEMENT ENARIO TYPE	计目录 化用水油 建建物 建建物 化化化化化化			CAPACITY		CAPACITY	CAPACITY	CAPACITY	CAPACITY	CAPACITY	CAPACITY	CAPACITY CAPACITY		114 1 4 4114 A 8114 A 8124	NECONSTRUCTION			SAFETY/CAPACITY SAFETY/CAPACITY	SAFETY/CAPACITY			SAFETY SAFFTY	SAFETY	041011			SAFETY	SAFETY
	~	JECTS PRIORITY	1990 COST TPU INFLATED SC	***	26478		2278 M		E 502	M 2101	1 0	10	N O	4958 M	1 868 1 0 1	24877	10062 H	E 6000	10063		34 H	134 H	302		134 K	134 H		570		н 19 81 н	08 H 61 H
	N COUNT	ATION PRO ION PLAN	ESTIMATE		19760	004.1	6300		0.2 80	1430	0	0	6	3700	0 670	18565	7510		1510	36	100	100	225	201	100	100		425	;	20	5
	TABLE	TRANSPORT RANSPORTAT	T. PLAN			-	: :	-	: :	= =	=	Ξ	1	1	= =		14			01	5	an T		16	5	5 5				283	25
	VVA	UNFUNDED SORTED BY T	N LOCATION			UN INCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	11N1 NC/DD	UNINDORP	HILLSBORD	UNINCORP	ON INCORP.	TIGARD	BEAVERION UNINCORP		UNINCORP			UNINCORP	UN INCORP	10110101		ON INCORP.	UN INCORP.	FOREST GROVE BEAV/UNING			0010000	NTWORP NO.	drug h
			JURI SDICTIO			COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	110000	COUNTY	COUNTY		COUNTY			COUNTY	COUNTY COUNTY			COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY			10000	TURKY E	. Alwer
			INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER			21 MINOR ARTERIAL	92 MINOR ARTERIAL	130 MINOR ARTERIAL	132 MINOR ARTERIAL	133 MINOR ARTERIAL	151 MINOR ARTERIAL	230 MINOR ARTERIAL		314 MINOR ARTERIAL 398 MINOR ARTERIAL	469 MINOR ARTERIAL		457 MINOR ARTERIAL			239 MINOR ARTERIAL	240 MINOR ARTERIAL 498 MINOR ARTERIAL			216 MAJOR ARTERIAL	237 M4JOR ARTERIAL 292 MAIND ADTERIAL	475 MAJOR ARTERIAL			51 MINDR ARTERIAL	59 MINOR ARTERIAL C 70 MINOR ARTERIAL C	38 MINOR ARTERIAL
Prov No. 2 10/09/40	and the sec	(1)	7NUJ501	** Subtote) **	## ppinpity is	112TH EXTENSION - CORNELL TO BARNES	216TH/219TH - T.V. HWY TO CORNELL - 3 LANE	BARNES - CORNELL TO BARNES EXT	BARNES - MILLER TO LEAHY BARNES - MILLER TO LEAHY	BASELINE - BROOKHDOD TO 231ST	BASELINE/JENKINS EXTENSION - 158TH TO 185TH	CORNELL - SUNSET TO BARNES - 5 LAMES	GREFNRIPG - TIEDEWAN TO UNIT	JENKINS - MURRAY TO 158TH	WURRAY - SUNSET HWY TO CORNELL ** Subtotal **		OLESON - HALL TO 8-H HWY 21 Subtotal ##		** PRIORITY 19	CORNELL/PLARNES - INTERSECTION CORNELL/PRONKOW - INTERSECTION	DLESON/BOTH INTERSECTION	** IV)01000	** PRIORITY 21	CORN. PASS/WEST UNION - INTERSECTION CORNELL/SOLTH - LAREOSCENTION	GALES CREEK/THATCHER - INTERSECTION	MURRAY/WILLEKAN: - INTERSECTION ** Sebtotel **		## PRIORITY 22	TOTH/PALANTON - INTERSECTION	12514/2010 - INTERSECTION 12514/14151 INTON - INTERSECTION	

			-		z	
	(11) PROJECT DESCRIPTION	AD TURN LANES. AD TURN LANES. Intesection upboneratis. Add left turn laboreratis. Add left turn labourgents. Signal.ite intersection.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LAVE ULTIMATE SECTION AND TURN LANES, AND TURN LANES, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, SIGNALIZE INTERSECTION.	TSM IMPROVEMENTS.	CONSTRUCT NEW 3 LANE ROLD. MICEN TO 5 LANES MIT RIFERTH. CONSTRUCT NEW 3 LANE ROLD. BULLO NEW ROLD TO 5 LANE VUCTIMATE SECTI INVERSIGNTION UNDORBHILTS RECONSTRUCT TO 5 LANES MITH FLAE LANES. RECONSTRUCT TO 5 LANES MITH FLAE RECONSTRUCT TO 5 LANES. MICEN. MILEN. 2 LANE CONNECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 2 LARE ULTIMATE SECTION. RECONSTRUCT TO 2 LARE ULTIMATE SECTION. RECONSTRUCT TO 5 LARE ULTIMATE SECTION.
	IMPROVEMENT TYPE	SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY	SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY	CAPACITY	V1104610 V100400 V10000 V100000 V1000000 V10000000 V100000000	RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION
ects RIORITY	990 COST TPU FUNDING INFLATED SCENARIO	34 H 57 H 147 H 168 H 168 H 169 H	1367 H 34 H 34 H 34 H 34 H 34 H 34 H 36 H 36 H 36 H	1340 H 1340	1340 H 13551 H 13172 H 13172 H 36572 H 36572 H 1389 H 2580 H 2580 H 2580 H	2.16.70 4.275 H 3.558 H 3.558 H 0.558 H
III.G N COUNTY ATTON PROJ	EPU COST 1 ESTIMATE	25 50 50 110 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	1020 25 25 25 25 25 25 100 1370	1000	1000 1580 830 2740 3870 5810 5810 5810 2710 2710	24650 3190 2730 7140
TABLE SHINGTOI TRANSPORT RANSPORTAT	T. PLAN PRIORITY	. 333333	28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 2	56	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	53 53
W/ UNFUNDED SORTED BY T	LOCATION	UNINCORP DORTLAND UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP	UN INCORP UN INCORP UN INCORP UN INCORP UN INCORP UN INCORP UN INCORP TIG/UN INC	BEAVERTON	TUALATIN UNINCORP BEAVERTON BEAVERTON UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP UNINCORP HILEATIN SHERMON	UNINCURP FOREST GROVE BEAV/TIG
	JURISOICTION	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY	COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY
	INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	139 MINOR ARTERIAL 295 MINOR ARTERIAL 296 MINOR ARTERIAL 208 MINOR ARTERIAL 51 MINOR ARTERIAL 55 MINOR ARTERIAL	43 MAJOR COLLECTOR 82 MAJOR COLLECTOR 89 MAJOR COLLECTOR 45 MAJOR COLLECTOR 45 MAJOR COLLECTOR 45 MAJOR COLLECTOR 45 MAJOR COLLECTOR 62 MAJOR COLLECTOR	465 MAJOR ARTERIAL	7 MINOR 4875814L 35 MINOR 4875814L 35 MINOR 4875814L 37 MINOR 4875814L 315 MINOR 4875814L 335 MINOR 4875814L 443 MINOR 4875814L 443 MINOR 4875814L 443 MINOR 4875814L 443 MINOR 4875814L 453 MINOR 4875814L	151 MAJOR ARTERIAL 161578A OLINA 152 161578A MAJOR ARTERIAL 253 MAJOR ARTERIAL
Page No. 3 10/05/50	PROJECT	BARRES/MONTEREY PL INTERSECTION BARRES/MONTEREY PL INTERSECTION GARDEN HOME/2810 - INTERSECTION GARDEN HOME/2810 - INTERSECTION GLEORGH HOME/2810 - INTERSECTION MEST UNION/1957H - INTERSECTION MEST UNION/1957H - INTERSECTION	** PRIGATTY 24 17014 - AT ROGET 17014 - AT ROGET JONSON/19200 - INTERSECTION LEANY/10714 - INTERSECTION GRAY/90714 - INTERSECTION GAA/90714 - INTERSECTION MALKEA/72300 - INTERSECTION WALKEA/72300 - INTERSECTION *** Subrotal **	** \$PRIOATTY 26 MURRAY - JLLEN BLVD TO T.V. HKY ** Subtotal **	<pre>** PSIGBTY 27 55% - YMEEA TO BORLAND 155% - LAIOLAN TO MAISE 155% - LAIOLAN TO MAISE 155% - LAIOLAN TO MAISE 154% - MERE TO LAIOLAN 154% - MERE TO LAIOLAN AABNES AFTEND FOR TO LAIOLAN AABNES AFTEND FOR FORMELL - SAITZMAN TO CONFT INE WHLEE - 155% TO CONFT INE WHLEE - 155% TO CONFT INE WHLEE - 155% TO CONFT WHLEE - 155% TO C</pre>	** 2410/17/72 75 1744041105 4455 - 2455 UKION TO FERMANTAN GALES GREEK - THATTONER TO FOREST GAUNE C.1 100 SSHOULIS FERRY - SCHOULS FRY (E) TO MURBANY ** Schlodial **

	(11) PROJECT DESCRIPTION		ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	UN RECUNSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION WITH BIKE	LANES. ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	ON RECONSTRUCT TO 5 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	ON RECONSTRUCT TO 3 OR 5 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	DN RECONSTRUCT TO 3 OR 5 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	XN RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. 3N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 OR 5 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. SEE	ALSO PROJECT #211	IN RECONSIMUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	M RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. N RECONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN/3 LANE ULTIMATE	SECTION. N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION WITH BIKE	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	N RECONSTRUCT TO S LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LARE ULTIMATE SECTION.	W RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	N RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	M RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION M RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION				CONSTRUCT NEW 3 LANE ROAD.	CONSTRUCT NEW 3 LANE ROAD HITH BIKE LANE.	CONSIMULT NEW 2 LANE ROAD CONNECTION. HUDER STUDY BY			
	UNDING IMPROVENE ARIO TYPE		RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCTI	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCT I RECONSTRUCT I	1 A-11440 INC-00	ACCURSTANCE I	RECONSTRUCT	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTIO	RECONSTRUCTIO	RECONSTRUCTIO	RECONSTRUCTIO	RECONSTRUCTIO	RECONSTRUCTIO	DECONSTRUCT IC	RECONSTRUCTIO	RECONSTRUCTIO	RECONSTRUCTIO	SECONSTRUCTO	RECONSTRUCTIO				CAPACITY	CAPACITY -	11 Transfer
4TY AQLECTS 1 PRIORITY	I 1990 COST TPU F		395 H	2908 H	2412 H	2475 H 4650 H	1205 H	4194 H	2693 H	H 6695	1494 H	1548 H	1541 H	2653 H	H 7222	D 865	1 6066	1233 H	2358 H	2600 L	1782 H	1005 H	3330 H	4100 H	H SQL	5874 H	9380 H	623 H	4 904 H	H SCOL	H 957		106557		REG H	N 1017 N 2018	10.16
LE III.G ON COUN RTATION PI	Y ESTIMATE		235	2170	1800	3470	900	3130	2010	4420	1115	1230	1150	1980	5040	Can	1740	920	1760	1940	1330	750	2485	3060	CED.	1000	1000	265	3660	2020	340		79520		U73	0.02	Audio 12
TAB VASHING1 ED TRANSPO TRANSPORT	T. PLA			Ε. Έ	ë i	30	30	30	90	30	30	30	30	00	30	U.C.	00	00	30	90 0	30	30	0E	0E	0.5	30	30	30	00	90	30				5	F 12	1.0
V UNFUND	LOCATION		UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	HILLSBORD	HILLSBORD	HILLS/UNING	DORT! AND	DORT /INING	UNINCORP	HILLS/UNINC	BEAV/UNINC	DURHAM	PORTLAND	UN INCORP	UNINCORP UNINCORP	INTRUDED	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	SHERAUNTNO	SHER/INTRC				09124060	RELES/ENTRC	
	JURISOICTION	o i liny Atminu	COUNTY COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY				AT MARK	COUNTY	
	INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	10120101	17 MINOR ARTERIAL	34 WINOR ARTERIAL	44 MINOR ARTERIAL	48 MINOR ARTERIAL	59 MINOR ARTERIAL	50 MINOR ARTERIAL	64 MINOR ARTERIAL	87 MINOR ARTERIAL	88 MINOR ARTERIAL	131 MINOR ARTERIAL	266 MINOR ARTERIAL	268 MINDR ARTERIAL	269 MINOR ARTERIAL	293 MINOR ARTERIAL	294 MINOR ARTERIAL	304 MINOR ARTERIAL	341 MINOR ARTERIAL	399 MINOR ARTERIAL	443 MINOR ARTERIAL	452 MINOR ARTERIAL	527 MINOR ARTERIAL	529 MINDR ARTERIAL	535 MINOR ARTERIAL	615 MINOR ARTERIAL	640 MINOR ARTERIAL	555 MINOR ARTERIAL	658 MINUN ARIERIAL 658 MINUN ARIERIAL	555 MINOR ARTERIAL	666 MINOR ARTERIAL				42 MAJOR COLLECTOR	43 MAJUR UNLESTION	
A	PROJECT	** PRIORITY 3D IST - GRANT TO EVERGAGEN	92ND - GARDEN HOME TO ALLEN	158TH - BRONSON TO HEST UNION	1701H - FARMINGTON TO TV HWY	170TH - T.V. HHY TO BASELINE	1857H - BANY TO FARMINGTON	1957H - TIMARACK TO MECT HURDAN	185TH - HEST UNION TO SPRINGVILLE	209TH - FARMINGTON TO KINNAMAN	209TH - KINNAMAN TO T.V. HIGHWAY	HARNES - LEAHY TO ROTH EVEDODEEN - ANDUELIND DIAR TO DIAR	EVERGATEN - CURRELIUS PASS IO SHUTE	EVERGREEN - JACKSON SCHOOL TO GLENCOE	EVERGREEN - SHUTE IN JACKSON SCHOOL	GARDEW HOME - MULTNONAH TO OLESON	GARDEN HOME - DLESON TO 92ND	GLENCOE - HILLSBORD C.L. TO EVERGREEN	HELVETIA - SUNGET HHY TO MEST UNION	COMPANY IN CONTRACT IN CONTRACT	LOWER BOOMES FERRY - N CITY LIMITS TO S CITY LIM	MULTNOMAH - MULTNOMAH COLT TO GARDEN HOME	SALTZMAN - CORVELL TO REPTON	SALTZMAN - THOMPSON TO LAIDLAN	SCHOLLS FERRY - B-H HWY TO MULTNOWAH CO. L.	THOMPSON - MULT CO L TO 143RD	MALKER - MURRAY TO CORNELL	MEST UNION - 1438D TO KAISER	WEST UNION - KAISER TO CORNELIUS PASS	WILSOWVILLE - SHERWOOD TO DLD 99N	HILSÖNVILLE (SUNSET) – SHERMOND BLVD TO BAKFR	Subtot a		** pg[08117 51	istin - MEGT INIGN TO LAIDEAN Toim faithagin - Magritan In Markey	SROOMHOOD - CORNELL TO RASELINE	

Page Nu. 5 10/09/90			STM	TABLE	II.G	_			
147			UNFUNDED SORTED BY TRU	TRANSPORT	AT ICN PROJ	ECTS REORLTY			
PROJECT	INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	JURISOICTION	LOCATION	T. PLAN T	PU COST 1 STIMATE	390 COST TPU FU INFLATED SCENA	NDING IMPROVEMENT RIO TYPE	(11) PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
								utti rendov	
JACOBSON - CROENI TO MEST UNION	397 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	HILLS80R0	31	750	1005 H	CAPACITY	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
MATCED - NECT HAIMAN 10 14 18	402 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	31	0.01	938 H	CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT 3 LANE ROAD.	
LAIDIAN - 158TH TO 169TH 10 158TH	414 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNTNORP	18	2000	2680 H	CAPACITY	REALIGN ROADHAY	
LAIDLAM - 169TH TO SPRINGVILLE	127 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	6	1550	2077 H	CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT NEW 3 LANE ROAD.	
LAIDLAH - KAISER TO 158TH	428 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UN LINCORD	5.0	1040	H 8512	CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT NEW 3 LANE ROAD.	
NORA - KEMMER TO EXISTING	481 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	5 6	520	697 H	CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT NEW 3 LANE ROAD. CONSTRUCT 3 LANE DOLD	
SATTERBERG - 165TH TO 155TH	532 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	SEAV/UNINC	31	1230	1648 H	CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT 3 LANE ROAD.	
SATTERBERG - 170TH TO 165TH	533 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	31	1230	1548 H	CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT 3 LANE ROAD.	
SCHOLLS-SHRMD EXT SCHOLLS-SHERMOOD TO	550 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	SHERMOOD	31	1100	1474 H 858 H	CAPACITY CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT 2 LANE EXTENSION. CONSTRUCT 2 LANE EXTENSION	
EUT TAVLORS FERRY EXTENSION - 80TH TO OLESON	611 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	31	0	H O	CAPACITY	BUILD NEW 3-LANE ROAD WITH BIKELANES	
HEIR EXTENSION - REUSSER TO WEIR ** Subtotal **	654 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	31	410	549 H	CAPACITY	CONSTRUCT NEW CONNECTION.	
					17810	23866			
* PRIGRITY 32									
25TH - HILLSBORD JURIS, TO EVERGREEN	3 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	860	1152 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION WITH BIKE	
65TH - 1-205 TO BORLAND	5 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	TUALATIN	32	470	630 H	RECONSTRUCTION	LANES. BUILD TO UNTIMATE SECTION	
541H - 35M 10 PINE	8 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	TIGARD .	32	310	415 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
2131 - UMA 10 FINE 2014 - DAK TO TAYI DES FERRY	9 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	TIGARD	32	155	208 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
BOTH - TAYLORS FERRY TO DLESON	11 MAJON CULLECION	COUNTY	UN INCORP	32	545	864 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
84TH - BARNES TO LEAHY	13 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UN INCORP	35	335	1501 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
87TH - BIRCHWOOD TO CANYON RD	14 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UN INCORP	32	645	864 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANG ULITIMATE SECTION.	
901M - LEAMY IQ LEAMY BIST - BU MUY TO AMYON ON	15 MAJOR COLIECTOR	COUNTY	UN INCORP	32	535	717 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
105TH - BLAKE TO AVERY	TO MAJUN CULLECTOR	COUNTY PARTY	UN INCORP	32	1050	1407 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
107TH - CORNELL TO LEAHY	19 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COURTY INAL	UN INCORP	35	200	576 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
JOATH - HELEWIUS TO BLAKE	20 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	1230	1648 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
1918 - CURRELL 10 MCDANJEL 1915I - Galdne to valnut	22 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	1280	1715 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
1115T - FIGHED TO REE DEVO	24 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	ŝ	1280	H 2171	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
1910	20 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	d SOC MEND	35	780	H 5701	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. PROJECT HALF	L4
143RD - BURTON TO THOMPSON	28 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	65	380	H 8211	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANF ULTIMATE SECTION	
143RD - CORWELL TO BURTON	29 MAJOR COLIECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	22	610	H 718	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
13944 - GEFF SEMU HI MHIL MIN 16674 - Meid To Reado	31 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNTNORP	Œ	0571	H 1991	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
10010 - Riantan II y But	32 MAJUN COLLECION 35 MAJUN COLLECION	COURTY	BEAVERTON	6	585	784 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
SOTH - DAVIS TO DIVISION	39 MAJOR COLLECTOR	A Linter of	IN NUMER	10	561	208 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO S LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
160TH - DIVISION TO FARMINGTON	40 MAJOR COLLECTOR	200NLY	OR INCORP.	22	000	H 175	KECONSTRUCTION DEFENSETDUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANES.	
ISOTH - FARMINGTON TO BLANTON	41 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY COUNTY	IN INDORP	25	300	402 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANES.	
FILTH - UAK IN FARMINGION TIDIM - DIAGGT IN BINGTON	15 MAJINE COLLECTOR	COMPLY .	dB0CN1Ni	21	1105	1481 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
LEVEN - REPORT OF ANY	47 MEJOR COLLECTOR 54 MEJOR COLLECTOR	(TWP)	0800816	6	0.52	1193 8	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE UN TIMATE SECTION	
SETH - SAVY TO DASSWER	54 RAIDE COLFECTOR	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	NURSERSE COMPANY	12	Pd£:	2573 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
	AND IN THE REAL PROPERTY AND INCOMENT		2013 W. J. 2007	100	22	N N N	- RECOMSTRUCTION	ADD THOU I AND	

			*****												UNDER STUDY CORNELL ROAD													PARTLY IN							PARTLY										
		(11) PROJECT DESCRIPTION		RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO, 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO '3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	DECONCTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULITARIE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULITRATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE IN TIMATE SECTION.	RECONTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. BY CITY OF HILLSBORD. PARTIALLY DONE BY	PROJECT. DECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANS 11 TIMITE SECTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 9 LANS ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	METTP APPROVED US LARE ULITRATE SECTION. METTP APPROVED	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	THE TIGARD PLANNING AREA.	RELUNSINGLI HI 3 LANE ULTINATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE DESIGN.	RECONSIGICT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LAWE ULTIMATE SECTION.	CULT/FARLET IN FLANNING AREA. RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE HI TIMATE SERVICE	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE HI TIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO HITTWITE DECIDAL	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE DESIGN	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE DESIGN.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANF 11 TIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LAWE ULIMATE SECTION.	RECONSTRUCT IN 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.						
		ING IMPROVEMENT TYPE		RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	DECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	DTONUTONOTON	RECONSTRUCTION BECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION	KPCGNSTRUCTION	PECONSTRUCTION
	ORITY	0 COST TPU FUNDI FLATED SCENARIO		1306 H	556 H	476 H	1273 H	925 H	H CIE	H 170	1206 H	1836 H	H 201	456 H	2278 H	134 H	1260 H	2385 H	383 H	3300 H	570 H	4288 H	H 012	281 H	951 H	3605 M	4194 H	1146 H	U 1270 U	1474 H	H 878	657 H	1662 H	1688 H	3323 H	H 74 H	265 H	523 H	576 H	005 H	487 H	H 686	E 195		
E III.G ON COUNTY	TION PLAN PROJECT	TPU COST 199 ESTIMATE IN	***	915	415	355	950	069	0021	180	900	1370	300	340	00/1	001	940	1780	290	730	500	3200	530	210	710	2690	3130	855	0702	1100	655	490	1240	1260	5480	1100	1690 2	390	430	150	1110		355	17 60 17 60 14	460
TABL WASHINGT	IT TRANSPORTA	T. PLAN PRIORITY		32	26	32	32	55	20	32	32	32	32	35	35	32	32	32	32	39	32	32	32	32	32	35	32	32	32	32	N 32	32	32	32	26	32	32	35	32	32	35	- 26	32	20	30
NI S NI	SORTED 8	N LOCATION		UNINCORP	UN INCORD	UNINCOSP IN THE OWNER	UN INCOME	TIN LINCODD	INTNERP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UN INCORP	UNINCORP	U1111 200KU	SILL/UNINC	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	HILLSBORD	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	UNINCORP	HILLSRORD	UNINCORP	UNKNAM IN THE T	HILLS/UNINC	TI6/UNINC	UNINCORP	UW INCORP	TUALATIN	TUAL/SHER/UI	HILLSBORD	ON INCORP.	SUCR / UNINC	UNING MUTH	KC/UNLINC NO.	HILLS/UNINC	UN INCORP.	UNINCORP	UNENCORP.	TUAL/UNINC	TIAL /INTRO	TUAL /UNTWO	UNINCORP	
		JURISDICTI	-Value V	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	11000	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY/HILLS	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	CONTRACT	COUNTY	1.000	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	COMPLE	COUNTY	D00MTV	COUNTY	COUNTY	
		INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	71 MALION COLLECTOR	73 MAJOR COLLECTOR	74 MAJOR COLLECTOR	75 MAJOR COLLECTOR	T6 MAJOR COLLECTOR	77 MAJOR COLLECTOR	78 MAJOR COLLECTOR	79 MAJOR COLLECTOR	84 MAJOR COLLECTOR	B5 MAJOR COLLECTOR	DO MAJON CULLECION	95 MALIOR COLLECTOR		97 MAJOR COLLECTOR	TOT MAJOR CULLECTOR	102 MAIND COLLECIUN	103 MAJOR COLLECTOR	127 MAJOR COLLECTOR	128 MAJOR COLLECTOR	158 MAJOR COLLECTOR	160 COLLECTOR	178 MALIOR COLLECTOR	179 MAJOR COLLECTOR	. 181 - 22	187 MAJOR COLLECTOR	189 MAJON COLLECTOR	191 MAJOR COLLECTOR	207 MAJOR COLLECTOR	208 MAJOR COLLECTOR	250 MAJON COLLECTOR	251 MAJOR COLLECTOR	262 MAJOR COLLECTOR		234 NAJOR COLLECTOR	COM MANUK UNLIEUTOK	258 MAJOR COLLECTOR	200 MAIND COLLECTOR	312 MAJOR COLLECTOR	340 MAJOR COLLECTOR	339 MAJOR COLLECTOR	393 NAJOR COLFCIDE	395 MAJOR COLLECTOR	
Page No. 5 10/09/90	(1)	PROJECT	1977H - ROCK TO BASELINE	198TH - ALEXANDER TO JOHNSON	198TH - BLANTON TO TV HWY	198TH - FARMINGTON TO ROSA	198TH - JOHNSON TO ROCK	198TH - KINNAMAN TO BLANTON	1981H - ROSA TO KINNAMAN	1301H - IV HMY TO ALEXANDER	206TH - DULTAWI TO CODUCT	209TH - ALEXANDER TO JOHNSON	209TH - TV HHY TO ALEXANDER	229TH/231ST - BASELINE TO ALDER		2631H - FKANUES IO GOLDEN 2681H - AIRPORT TO FUERDEEN	AIRPORT - GRAVEL TO 268TH	AIRPORT - SHUTE TO GRAVEL	ALEXANDER - 170TH TO 209TH	BANEY - 1701H TO 1791H	BARCT - 1/91A 10 1851A BEEF REND - 131ST TO 150TU	BENTLEY - 32ND TO REDOKWOOD	BLAKE - 105TH TO 108TH	BRIDGEPORT - BOONES FERRY TO 72ND	BRONSON - CORNELL TO 185TH	BROOMMOOD - BASELINE TO BRIDGE BUIL MERNIIN - IEATH IN NUY AND	SULL MOUNTAIN - REFERENT OF INTERNAL		BUTNER - CEDAR HILLS TO MURRAY	CIDDE - THEFT - COMMAN TO HAY 998	CROENI - JACORSON TO MARON MAY	DAVID HILL - THATCHER TO UGS	DAVES - 155TH TO 160TH	EDY - HHY 99M TO EINERT	ALLON DA DOG - GENERAL	FRANCES - 219TH TO 239TH	GASSNER - 145fH TU KEWWEL	GASSNER - KENMER TO MILLER HILL	GASSNER - WILLER HILL TO GRARHORN	GRAHAMS FERRY - HELENIUS TO IBACH	HELENIUS - GRAHAMS FERRY TO IDATH	1920H - IDATH TO BOAMES FERRY	BACH - BAANFS FERRY ID MARTIN171	UMURICIAN SUMMING - MAREMODD TO EVERDAREN	

P49e N. 7 10/09/90					TABLE II	9				
(1)				WAS UNFUNDED 1 SORTED BY TRA	SHINGTON TRANSPORTAT ANSPORTATION	COUNTY ION PROJEC	215 0811Y			
PROJECT		INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	JURISOICTION	LOCATION	T. PLAN TP	U COST 195 TIMATE IN	10 COST TPU FL	JNDENG IMPROVEMENT ARIO TYPE	(11) PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
									计不可处理 化化合物 化化化物 化化化物 化化化物 化化化物 化化化物 化化化物 化化化	
J 0HNSON - 170TH TO	172ND	401 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	68	000	n 000			
JOHNSON - 174TH TO	185 TH	403 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	535	H 212	RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
DI HIGH - NOSNHOT	0N261	4 04 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	595	H 161	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULITARIE SECTION.	
ULUNSON - 1981HOL	1201U	4 05 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	440	H 065	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE HI TIMATE SECTION	
JOHNSON - 2097H TO 2	H161	ADD MAJOK CULLECION	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	785	1052 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
KAISER - LAIDLAH TO	SPRINGVILLE	411 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP.	32	780	1045 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
KAISER - MEST UNION	TO LATDLAN	4 13 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	30	1170	1540 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
NEMMER - REUSSER 10	KEMMER VIEW	415 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	1900	2546 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSIMULT FU & LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. SEE PROJECT #147 RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE	
KINNAMAN - 1851H TO	H1861	4 19 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	1260	1689 10	DEPONDETENDATION	SECTION.	
VINNAMAN - 1581M TO	209TH	420 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UN INCORP	32	785	H 6201	DECONCTOUCTION	ACCUMPTINUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
LAIDIAM - MULT COL	N TO SALTTANU PA	421 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UN INCORP	32	1035	1387 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULLIMATE SECTION.	
LAIDLAN - SALT7MAN TI	TU SALIZMAN KU	429 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	220	295 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANG ULTIMATE SECTION	
LAURELHOOD (82ND) - 5	9-H HKY TO RIDDHUDD	430 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UN INCORP	32	1560	2090 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
LAURELHOOD (82ND) - 3	SCHOLLS FERRY TO B-H	433 MAIDE COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	630	844 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
HwY			L HINNON	ANO WING	32	630	844 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
LEAHY - 107TH TO 90TH		434 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	3530	H UELF	DECONCTOUCTION		
I DOUST - 901M 10 841M		435 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	UNINCORP	32	310	415 H	RECONSTRUCTION	REVENDENDED TO STARE ULIMATE SECTION.	
I DOUST - HALL TO GUT	udi igni	439 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	ININCOSP	32	530	710 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 2 LARE ULIMATE SECTION.	
	00000	440 MAJON COLLECTOR	COUNTY	FIG/UNINC	32	915	1226 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. PARTY IN	
MCDANIEL - MULT CD L	H1614 -	451 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	IN TWO DD		0000			CITY / PARTLY IN PLANNING AREA.	
MCENAN - COUNTY LINE	TO COUNTY LINE	453 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	AKE OSHEGO	35	890	H CE01	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
MULLED - DADAGE AN TO	.L. TO HWY 99M	454 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY ()	INTNCORP	32	300	4 00 H	RECOMPTING TOUCTION	RECUNSIMUCT TO STUTY SECTION.	
MILLER HILL - GASSNER	TO FARMINGTON	456 MAJDR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	NUNCORP	32	420	563 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION. RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
WURDOCK - BAKER TO SHI	ERWOOD C.L.	453 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COMPLE 1	NT MOORP	32	1240	1662 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE SECTION.	
MURDOCK - TUALATIN-SH	RHD TO WILSONVILLE	454 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	HR/UN INC	20	570 670	131 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE SECTION.	
NUKA - UE 10 155TH		480 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY 8	EAVERTON	25	525	H 702	RECONSTRUCTION	RELANSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
OAK - 170TH TO 150TH		482 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY N	P/UNINCURP	35	1520	2037 H	RECONSTRUCT TON	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE SECTION.	
0AK - 7151 TO 80TH		ARE MAJOK COLLECTOR	CONTY U	NINCORP	32	785	1052 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
04K - 80TH TO HALL		185 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY OF	NINCORP	35	595	H 151	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
OLD 99H - WILSONVILLE	RD TO 99W(N)	490 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY	NINCORP	20	100	600 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
PARK MAY - NWY 217 TO	CEDAR HILLS	502 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY UN	VINCORP	32	1320	1760 1	NE CONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
REUSSER - 20514 70 218	10	506 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY UN	VINCORP	32	810	1085 H	RECOMSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
RIGENT - 130TH TO STIC	191	508 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY UN	VINCORP	32	190	1595 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULITARIE SECTION.	
ROCK - 107TH TO 100TH	2004	510 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY 19	4 INCORP	32	340	156 6	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULITARIE SECTION	
ROCK - 198TH TO 205TH		SI4 MAJON CULLECTOR	COUNTY UN	VINCORP	32	95	127 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
ROCK - 206TH TO 219TH		STS MALINE CULLECTOR STE MALINE COLLECTOR	CONTY IN	4 INCURD	12	610	R17 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
R054 - 185TH TO 152ND		STO MAIND PALLECIUK	The second second	INCORP	32	570	H 1300 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
R05A - 192W0 T0 198TH		520 MAJOR COLLECTOR	MUNITY 13	41MC04P	32	565	H 161	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
RDSA - 198TH TO 209TH		521 MAJOR COLLECTOR	COUNTY UN	TNCORP	10	100	570 H	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.	
Rush - 18578 TO PARATRIC	(W	522 MAJON COLLECTOR	DOUNTY (20	INCORP	20		H 2028	RECONSTRUCTION PERMITTING	RECONSTRUCT TO 3 LANE ULTIMATE SECTION	
TATOORN FFRAT - NULTWO THATTOCD - AN CO ACCOUNT	WAH CO L TO 80TH	510 MAJOR COLLECTOR	SOUNTY 11	G/1861540	1.67	153	H 1951	RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE 3-1 ANE SECTION DEFENSETORIET TO BLIADE ULTIMATE SECTION	
ATTRACTOR - DWILES PAREN	I'L DWAID WIFT	513 MAJOR COLLECTOR	SAUNTY FG	/INTRC	c E	071	H BCSI	RECONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO ULTIMATE SECTION.	

io. 8 90

Page No. 10/09/90

Ξ

PROJECT

TUALATIN-SHERMDOD - EDY TO OREGOM MALKER - HWY 217 TO CANYON HALKER - HMY 217 TO MUREAY MALNUT - 1215F TO 135FH MALNUT - 12165MAM TO 1215F METR - BEUVERTON C.L. TO REUSSER ** Subtotal **

31

RECONSTRUCT TO JLANE ULTIMATE SECTION. RECONSTRUCT TO JLANE ULTIMATE SECTION. RECONSTRUCT TO JLANE ULTIMATE SECTION. ATM. RECONSTRUCT TO JLANE ULTIMATE SECTION. RECONSTRUCT TO JLANE ULTIMATE SECTION.

RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION

1702 H 1407 H 3216 H

1270 1050 2400

32 32

SHER/UNINC UNINCORP BEAV/UNINC

COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY

528 MAJOR COLLECTOR 538 MAJOR COLLECTOR 539 77 RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION RECONSTRUCTION

1688 H 174 H 1045 H

1260 130 780

32 32

TIG/UNINC TIG/UNINC UNINCORP

COUNTY COUNTY COUNTY

648 MAJOR COLLECTOR 650 MAJOR COLLECTOR 653 MAJOR COLLECTOR 154.082 390117

114985 291125

(11) . PROJECT DESCRIPTION

> PLAN TPU COST 1990 COST TPU FUNDING IMPROVEMENT PRIORITY ESTIMATE INFLATED SCEMARIO TYPE

4444

INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS JURISDICTION LOCATION NUMBER

UNFUNDED TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS SORTED BY TRANSPORTATION PLAN PRIORITY

TABLE III.G WASHINGTON COUNTY

TABLE III.H

TRANSIT PROJECTS

(1)	(2)		
PROJECT	PROJECT TYPE	YEAR	COST
SHORT-TERM			
Transit Service Expansion	#57 Forest Grove - new express trips	FY 90-91	N/A
	#89 Rock Creek - new peak capacity	FY 90-91	N/A
LONG-TERM			
Westside Corridor Project	Light Rail Transit	FY 97-98 \$450 - Millio (Operati	500 n onal)
Transit Service Expansion		Subject to Annual Review	

	PAGE		25	22	33	80		4	43	102	1 0	57	85	46	1.0	88	67	123	000	2 40	11	26	0	28	60	19	19	2 4	19	59	88	n.		11	22	73
	TOTAL		0.	0 00	19	0 0	5 G		0	0		0	0	0.		0	0	45000		2 0	0	0	3 0	0	0	450		0	0	0	0 0	1000	3700+	0	0	0 0
	COUNTY FUNDS		0	2675	140	0 0	ð	0	0	• •			0	500	ð c		0	0 0		1770	0	0	621		0	2900	> <	0	0	0	0 0	0358	0000	0	0	8 6
																				TIP 1						_										
	0N													-						TE, MS				TΕ		X, CIT										
	E FUNDI		FAU-C	STIP NSTIP	WSTIP	UNUG U				STATE				2, AO	0 0000	STATE	STATE	07170	SIAIC	00, STA		TATE .	1	10, STA	TATE	TATC	TATE			TATE						1 VIE
	SOURC		HES,	TIF	L.	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	L' IN	N HON	AOH	STATE	MST IP	ar-I	1-18	1-48.	07-1	1 1	STA-WO	STATE	FAS, S	STATE	STA-MO	FAP. S	MSIIP C		STATE	STATE	FAP, S	STATE			STATE	STATE	ES. SI
	TOTAL		3006	270	159	130	249	73	100	2910	5165	1000	0262	5400	100	6920	3400	45000	7550	7500	740	140	6000	17400	2035	2070	2580	25	25	800	20	070171		80	8	0.0
	۶6-97		00	0 0	. 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	• •		0	• •	. 0		0	0 0	0	0	0 0	ə c	0	0	0	0				0	0.0	0 0
. ON	55-96		0 0	- 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 .		0	0	0	• •	0	0	0	0 e		0	0	0 9	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	,	0	0 0	
N FUNDI	FY -95		0 0	0	0	0 0		0	•	0 0		0	0				0	0 0		0				0	- «		. 0	0	0	0		0		0	0.0	
YEAR	84								1	2																						29	2			
ED CONS FISCAL LLARS)	93-94			20	0	0,0		0	0 0	26		0	0	00	100	0	0	0 0	7550	0	0		0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0		8250		0	0 0	0 0
LE IILI OOT COMMITT CURES BY SOF DO	92-93		0	0	0		0	0	0 0	> 0	5200	•	3930		0	0	0		0	0	0 0	0		0		3970	0	0	0	0	2 6	14 984		0	0 0	0
TABI OC CTS WITH EXPENDI THOUSANN	5Y 91-92		0 870	0	0		0	0	0 0		0	0	0	4 200	• •	0	0 1			0	0 0	9 0	6000	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	00	1570		80	80	2
N PROJEC TIMATED (IN	FY 0-91		259	229	130	021	136	2	00	350	475	000	0	850	0	920	00	0 080	0	310	070	20	0	00	0.0	0	80	25	52	8	20	48		0		
RTAT IO ES	6		-		_							-				9	(¹⁷)			9				126		-	2			~		456				
TRANSPO	PR101		4	-	23		113	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0			0	0 (0	. 0	1190	0 0	88	0	5400	0000	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	12287		0.		0
	CONST. F. YEAR		91/92	16/06	15/06	16/06	90/91	30/91	16/06	16/08	82/93	16/08	5/23	15/0	3/94	16/0	16/0	16/0	3/94	0/91	1/92	16/0	1/92	16/0	16/0	5/63	18/0	16/0	16/0	18/0	16/0			/65	26/	/92
	YPE		CTION	PACITY	PACITY				TION	PACITY	PACITY .	NOIL	NOI13	ALLIN S	on	0	05 0		Gh	ACITY 9	ACITY 9	6 09	ACITY 9	09.0	ACITY 9	ACITY 9	DWSTR 9	ACITY 9	5	SULTY O	CITY 9			6	5 6	5
	DUECT		CONSTRU	ETY/CA	ETY/CA	ETY .	ETY	ETY	DWSTBH	ETY/CA	ETY/CAI	ONSTRUC	ONSTRUC	ETY/CAP	SCP	ACITY	ALL'S	ICITY	ICITY	TY/CAP	TV/CAP	: ≿	TY/CAP	CITY	TY/CAP	TY/CAP	TY/REO	TY/CAP	۲.	TV//LID	TY/CAP			TY	TY	. ≿
	DEX PR		176 SAF	TA SAF	PTS SAF	829 SAF	31 SAF	ANS EE	50 DAL	64 SAF	67 SAF	68 REC	77 CAEL	BD SAF	B3 LND	B4 CAP	B5 CAP	BT CAPI	88 CAPA	39 SAFE	II SAFE	1 SAFE	2 SAFE	4 CAPA	2 SAFE	A SAFE	5 SAFE	8 SAFE	1 SAFE	A SAFE	2 SAFE			2 SAFE	B SAFE	9 SAFE
	NUK						~	ers e			e	(m) (1 9 (11	5 m	ĩ	m	n r		36	10	ñ 7	20	51	19	89	58	58	28	55	60	99			19	61	56
										œ			1993	1000							212				-	=										
			ERRY	10	N.					IN RIVE			X CORN		PING		0			0 CREE	RSFCTT	CTION		w	- PHAS	- PHAS			000	33				N	5	
		- ALA	ONES F	RSECTI	RISECT I	ION		TION SECTION	BANKS	TUALAT	ERCIAL	AND	ON (S)	CTION	ANDSCH	AGERT	1-10	,	ßE	O FANN	INTE	NTERSE	CHANGE	ERCHAN PCHANG	PARK	2157		NOILC	NOTION	RSECT	81			DN	ION	- GDF
			PER 80	INTE	TFRSFC	ERSECT	ECTION	TATED	/E TO	01 94	CONN	U GAR	RSECT	NTERSE	-205	V TO	RCHANG		ERCHAN	RRAY T	END -	,	INTER	INTE	SHUTE	URK TO	151	TERSE	TAT	INT	MP 40			RSECT	ERSEC	197 0
-		C C D D D	TO UP	HE	- H -	INI -	NTERS	s ,	ST GRO	EENBUR	FLE TO	000M	INTE		1 10	ONES F	INTE	RCHANG	INI -	Dia -	B 1336	DENNEY	-		NIN TO	UTE PI	H TO		- 10	000	2			INTE	INI -	15TH 1
. 5		/91	- HALL	TUN/17	NHAM	HAM .		HINGTO	FORES	- 66	- PFAF	- SHEN	- JOJ	BAARDE	HMY 21	NER BU	717 -	S INTE	FORD	FERRY	ERRY/8	ERRY/	rr/185	Y /MURE	× -	- 25	- 160	HIDLE	- 121	BROOKH	VER HA		2	- HTT	LKER	-
Page N	ROUECT	FY 30	URHAM -	ARMING	ARMING ALL/BUR	ALL/DUS	ALL/OAD	NIL /NES	11 11	N 99W	N 99M	IN COL	1/M66 A	/M56 /J		2 - 100	S/HWY	5/1-20	5/STAF	HOLLS	HOLLS F	HOLLS &	WSET H	NSET HM	V. HMY	HNY .	HEL .	LINN .	INN I	HWY	SON RI	wbtota	5/16 J	IYON/ 8	YON/MA	ISET HW
	a. 1		0 0	64. E	LI	×	× i		Ť	호	ž i	1 3	i ž	ž	<u>.</u>	4.2		4		50	55	SC	is i	SUIS	L.	-	- 1	2,	1	T	III .			CAN	CAN	SUI

	PAGE			91		90 94 03		105		101			
	TOTAL		6	00	0	0060	ė	0	0	0	0	45522	
	FUNDS		0		0	0000	0	0	0	2000	2000	10360	
	r Funding Se	Ţ				STATE STATE				~		-	
	SOURC			TATE		4 d d		ATE		dII			
	1110		250	700 5	850	800 F	50	20 ST	20	SM 00	0	6	
	20				~	300 3	332	23	53	200	200	18061	
	56-97		0	1	0	8880	0	0	0	2000	2000	2000	
DING	ЕҮ 95-96		0	00	0	6660	0	2320	2320	0	0	2320	
CTION FUN	54-95		0	00	0	0 2500	2500	0	0	٥	0	5410	
D CONSTRUU FISCAL YE LARS)	53-94		0	675 0	675	450 30000 8	30750	8	0	0	0	9675	
LE III.1 DOT COMNITTE TURES BY I DS OF DOLL	52-93 92-93		0	25	175	0000	0	0	0	0	0	5159 3	
TAB O ECTS MITH D EXPENDI N THOUSAN	FY 91-92		250	00	0	0000	0	0	0	0	0	1820 1	
ESTIMATEC (I)	FY 90-91		0	00	0	0000	0	0	0	0	0	5648 1	
ANSPORTAL	PRIOR		0	00	0	0000	0	0	0	0	0	17 11	
11	NIST.			/94		'94 94 95		96		4		1	
	84			TY 93, 92,		66 (16 (16 (16 (16))(16))		95/3		36/3			
	TYPE			CAPACI		APACI		JCTION					
	ROVECT			FETY/		ACITY ETY/C ACITY		ONSTR		CITY			
	BER P			81 SA 50 SA		SI CAR		A REC		CAP)			
	NIN			en vo		8 IA IA IA		35		353			
		-		ATIN - INTERSECTION Y/JAMIESON - INTERSECTION		UNSET HANY TO SCHOLLS FERRY - M.P. 47 TO M.P. 48.5 KATHERINE TO SYLVLM LVETIA - INTERCHANDE		ARMINGTON TO SCHOLLS		NURG - INTERCHANGE			
16/10/10	PROJECT	** Subtotal *	## FY 92/93	HMY 99W/TUAL SCHOLLS FERR ** Subtotal *:	** FY 93/94	HMY 217 - 3 SUNSET HMY - SUNSET HMY - SUNSET HMY/HE SUNSET HMY/HE	** FY 95/96	HMY 219 - F	** FY 96/97	HWY 217/GREENE ** Subtotel **	222 [ot a] 222		

Page No. 01/07/91

34

	PAG	=	2	116	120	121	132	134
D GEVELOPMENT FUNDING 15341, YEAR ARS)	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1 THIS INTERSECTION WITH FIVE APPONACHES AND MULTIPLE SIGNALS NEEDS TO BE IMPONYED TO ELIMINATE CONSISTOM AND TO RETOLE THE AMBRE OF INTERSECTION APPONATES. FITHER OF CIESSIN OR SCHOLLS ENTRY OF ALLIGHED TO CAESING AN SCHOLLS INTERSECTION, THOUGH A FINL GOUTTON MILL DEPEND INTERSECTION, THOUGH A FINL GOUTTON MILL DEPEND	WE VERNE FUR-FIT ON DESIGNS AND FILL PLUS SHUGS FRAM WATER 1. (1943) 4000 SHORFALL. PLUS 131-56 PROBAUN 91-56 PROBAUN 1424NINGTON WILL EF WIDTHE FULL LARS FROM WIDDART ON BISTIM AND TO THEE LARS FROM STERALING AND TO THEE LARS TO 2001H. STERALING AND TO THEE LARS TO 2001H. MADUET LACUTORS IMPORTMENT TO THE FOLLORING THOR FOLLORING AND MATURATION THE FOLLORING TOTH TICKIN STORY WAT VIEW COMPONENTS TO THE FOLLORING TOTH TICKING THE MATURATION THE FOLLORING AND	209TH. COUNTY FUNDS FROM MSTIP 1. GEVELOPHENT PROJECT SOMEDULED FROM MSTIP 1. GEVELOPHENT 91-56 PROJEM (#5.550,000 SHORTALL) 010 ONLL LEFT TURK LANKS ON MURBARY. ORIGINALLY PART OF FARMINGTON - MURBARY TO 2051H PROLET. 0001 DOESN'T MAN'T TO CONSTRUCT AS PART OF	FARMINGTON PROJECT, AND INSTEAD MAY MANT TO TREAT AS A SEPARATE PROJECT. MIGPAT TO SIX LARSS (OR AUXILIARY LANES). FEIS	HIGH TO SIX LANCES FRONTE CONSTRUCTION PARTY IN CONTINUENT MESSING LAT. IN 1991-95 0007 SIX-TEAP PROBANG FOR ROM 1994. IN CONTINUENT PROJECT THARDOPPENTS PARTY IN CONTINUENT PROJECT THARDOPPENTS PARTY IN CONTINUENT PROJECT PARTY FOR SOUTH PARTY P	1395. 1396. CONSTRUCT FULL INTERCHANGE. LISTED IN 0001 391-1986 SIX-FER PROGRAM FOR FINAL PLANS 55/96.	UNALIDIA RALIDIA: ALELIMIANE TELIMIANE DIAGNEERIA/FUNIKANETAL IDAAL TAFA FOR WESTSIDE RYDAS" FROM TULATIK (1-5) TO HILLSORO (US 50). FEI SCHEDUED FOR 1991. CUST FOR MUSTBUCITAR SETIMIED AT THIS TIME TO BE \$165,000,000.
E III.J DOT COMMITTE JRES BY F JRES BY F	TOTAL	55	9550	365	12800	40000	4800	263435
TABL OC ECTS WITH EXPENDITI	TOTAL COMMITTED FUNDS	100	3450	0	0	0 0	107	5257
TION PROJ ESTIMATED	COUNTY FUNDS	100	3450	0	0	0 0	0 0	3250
RANSPORTA	T0TAL COST	1020	13000	0	12800	£0000 30000	1907	268327
F	ESTIMATED CONSTR. FISCAL YR	UNSCO	61/16	56/76	UNSCO	95/94	96/97	200
	INDEX PROJECT TYPE NUMBER	123 SAFETY/CAPACITY	212 SAFETY/CAPACITY	278 SAFETY	349 CAPACITY	352 CAPACITY 570 CAPACITY	576 SAFETY/CAPACITY 626 CAPACITY	
Page No. 1 01/07/51	PROJECT	8-H HMY/0LESON/SCHOLLS - INTERSECTION	FLANTINGTON - MURCANY TO 205TH	FARMINGTOM/MURRAY - INTERSECTION	HWY 217 - TV HWY TO 12MD	HHY 217/SUNSET HHY - MATHERINE TO TV HHY SUMSET HKY - HHY 217 TO CORMELLUS PASS	SUNSET HMY/JACKSON - INTERCHANGE TUALATIM-HILLSBORG COBRIDGR - EIS/PE	1014) ass

	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	(PSATS ANG-MIV ANNALES UTTU ANNALASA ANAL	WILL AND A CONTRET WITH COMMECTOR ROADS. OTHER ALTERNATIVES ARE ALSO BEING STUDIED BY BEAVERTON. WIDEN TO SEVEN LANES WITH BIRE LANES.		RAMP/FRINITARE ROAD INFROVENENTS. INTERSECTION IMPROVENENTS.		INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS, SIGNAL INTERTIES FOR SEVERAL INTERSECTIONS	POLICIAN INTERSCULUMS: SEE AAN INDIVIDUAL PROJECT FOR COSTS. TOTAL COST IS #25,000.	TO FARMINGTON FOR THE ACTION OF B-H HMY - SCHOLLS TO FARMINGTON PACEDICT.	TO FARMINGTON PROJECT. PART OF 8-H HWY - SCHOLLS	INTERSCIEW INPROVEMENTS. PART OF 8-H HWY - SCHOLLS TO FARMINGTON PROJECT.	INTERSECTION THEROUGHENTS. PART OF 8-H HHY SCHOLES TO FARMINGTON PROJECT.	TO FARMING IMPROVEMENTS. PART OF B-H HMY - SCHOLLS TO FARMINGTON PROJECT.	TO FARMINGTON PROVINCENTS. PART OF B-H HMY - SCHOLLS TO FARMINGTON PROJECT.	INTERACTION INPROVEMENTS. PART OF 8-H HMY - SCHOLLS ID FLANMOTON PROJECT LITEGEFERTION UNDODUCTION	INTERACTION IMPROVEMENTS, FART OF 8-H HMY - SCHOLLS TO FARMABTON FRANCEST. INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	TO FARMING PROJECT. MARI OF 8-M HMY - SCHOLLS TO FARMING N PROJECT.	unicosculum improvements. Part of 8-H HWY - SCHOLLS TO FAMMETON PROJECT. INTEGECTION IMPROVEMENTS	INTERPRETATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS. INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.		WIDEN TO SEVEN 1-3465 MITH RIKE LAMES.
	U FUNDING INPROVEMENT CEMARIO TYPE	SAFETY/CAPACIT	SAFETY/CAPACIT		SAFETY/CAPACITY SAFETY/CAPACITY		SAFETY	SAFETY	SAFFTY	SAFETY	AT JOIN	SAFFTY	SAFETY	SAFFTY	A1985	SAFETY	SAFFIY	SUFETY	SAFETY	SAFETY SAFETY		CAPACITY
PECTS PRIORITY	ISSO COST TPI INFLATED SC	8710 L	4690 L	13400	1742 L 134 L	1876	10	34 F	34 [67.1	268.1	67 L	1 19	67 1	1 19	234 [67 L	134 (1 13	67 L 295 L	1535	1 1 2 6 5 1
III.K JT TATION PRO TON PLAN	ESTIMATE	6500	3500	10000	1300	1400	0	25	25	20	200	50	50	05	20	175	50	001	5.0	50 220	1145	14.90
TABLE ODC TRANSPOR	PRIORITY	-	-		0 0		\$	\$	10	40	ŝ	V0	32	w	ur;	ŝ	ся;	\$	\$	\$ 15		С.
UNFUNDED SORTED BY T	L/VAN11/M	BEAVERTON	TIGARD		BEAVERTON TIGARD		BEAV/UNINC	BEAVERTON	BEAVERTON	BEAVERTON	BEAVERTON	BEAVERTON	BEAVERION	BEAVERTON	BEAVERTON	BEAVERTON	SEAVERTON	JEAVERTON	TGARD	16ARD 16ARD		VTN/TGRD
JURESOLCTICM		STATE	STATE		STATE STATE		STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STARE 8	TATE	TATE	TATE		TATE 8
INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS	NUMBER	197 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	365 REGIONAL ARTERIAL		119 NAJOR ARTERIAL 382 NAJOR ARTERIAL		111 MAJOR ARTERIAL	112 MAJOR ARTERIAL	113 MAJOR ARTERIAL	114 MAJOR ARTERIAL	TIS MAJOR ARFERIAL	116 MAJOR ARTERIAL	117 MAJOR ARTERIAL	118 HAJOR ARTERIAL	120 MAJOR ARTERIAL	121 MAJOR ARTERIAL	122 MAJOR ARTERIAL	124 MUJOR ARTERIAL	370 MAJOR ARTERIAL 371 MAIOR ARTERIAL	376 MAJOR ARTERIAL		538 MAJOR ARTERIAL S
Page No. 1 01/18/94 PRIJECT	* *******************************	4≅ β⊋IORITY 1 CANYOW/FASMINGTON - HMY 217 TO MURRAY	HHY 99M - I-5 TO GREENBURG ** Subtotel **	** PRIGRITY 2	8-HIMHYANY ZITRAMPS - IMTERSECTION HMY S9M/MALNUT - INTERSECTION ## Subtotel ##	am parontry 5	B-H HWY - SCHOLLS TO FARMINGTON	8-H HWY/ 78TH - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/ 95TH - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/103RD - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/101TH - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/109TH - INTERSECTION	8-H HHY/110TH - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/GRIFFITH - INTERSECTION	8-H HHY/JAMIESON - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/LAURELWOOD - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/LOMBARD (EAST LEG) - INTERSECTION	8-H HWY/MESTERN - INTERSECTION	NWY 99M/50TM - INTERSECTION NWY 99M/713T/VILLA RIDGE - INTERSECTION	MAY SSH/PUBRAM - INTERSECTION	The contraction of the	SCHOLIS FERRY - HWY 217 TO 12157

Page No. 01/18/91

~

			UNFUNDED	TABLE III ODOT TRANSPORTAT	IN PROJE	CTS				
PROJECT	INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	JURISOICTION	SORTED BY TRU LOCATION	ANSPORTATION T. PLAN TPU	I PLAN PR	10RITY 30 COST TPU	I FUNDING	IMPROVEMENT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
1、 有效的 医静脉 计指导 化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化							CUAKIU	17/2		2
** Subtota] ##										
** PRIORITY 11 ALLEW/HWY 217 58 - INTERSECTION ** S.A.A.A.A.	108 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	BEAVERTON	F	1490 20	1997 27 L	5	PACI TY	INTERSECTION / SAME MODULANIN'	
- 19101070					20	27		,		
■** PRIORITY 14 BOONES FERRY - AVERY TO GRANAMS FERRY BOONES FERRY - GRANAMS FERRY - WESTERN AVEAES	170 MINOR ARTERIAL 172 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE STATE	TUALAT IN TUALAT IN	7	730	978 L 616 L	92 A2	CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN. RECONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN.	
BODWESS FERRY - TUALATIN-SHERMOOD TO AVERY SCHOLIS FERRY - HALL TO B-H MIGHMAY ** Subtotal **	175 MINOR ARTERIAL 537 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE STATE	TUALATIN UNINCORP	14	780 6790	1045 L 9099 L	REI	CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN. RECONSTRUCT TO THREE LAMES.	
					8760	11738				
** PRIORITY 17 HMY 99W/ELWERT - INTERSECTION T.V. MMY/1951H - INTERSECTION	378 REGIONAL ARTERIAL 590 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE STATE	SHER/UNINC UNINCORP	21	150	201 H 35 H	SUC	FETY/CAPACI TY FETY/CAPACI TY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS. Addo qual left turk lanss - blat of tu kan' - missoly	1
T.V. HWY/VEBAR HILLS - INTERSECTION T.V. HWY/ALLL - INTERSECTION T.V. HWY/ALLUT - INTERSECTION T.V. HWY/ANTSON - INTERSECTION Scherch in Scherch in the second	599 REGIONAL ARTERIAL 600 REGIONAL ARTERIAL 607 REGIONAL ARTERIAL 508 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE	BEAVERTON BEAVERTON HILLSBORD BEAVERTON	1111	100 50 250 100	134 H 67 H 335 H	SAF	FETY/CAPACITY FETY/CAPACITY FETY/CAPACITY FETY/CAPACITY	NITCH HAZEL INTERSECTION IMPOVEMENTS. INTERSECTION IMPOVEMENTS. INTERSECTION IMPOVEMENTS. INTERSECTION IMPOVEMENTS.	-
					685	906			*	
* PRIORITY 18 HHY 59M - MAIN TO MALNUT SCHOLLS FFRRY - AT OLO SCHOLLS * Subtotal **	366 MAJOR ARTERIAL 534 MAJOR ARTERIAL	STATE	TIGARD BEAV/TIG	8 6	1690	2265 H 1072 H	SAF	ETY/CAPACITY ETY/CAPACITY	INTERSECTION IMPROVENERIS.	
■ paringity is					2490	3337				
SCHOLLS FERRY/ALLEN - INTERSECTION	543 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE B	SEAVERTON	19	290	389 H	SAF	ETY/CAPACITY	ADD TURN LANES.	
* Subtatal **										
* PRIGRITY 20					290	696				
DAIR/14TH - INTERSECTION DAIR/4TH - INTERSECTION	39 REGIONAL ARTERIAL 100 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE C STATE C	ORNEL TUS	02	100	134 H	SAFE	λ.	SIGNALIZE.	
PAGELIME/ AUX - INIGHORECTION	142 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE C	ORNELIUS	50	100	134 H	SAFE	ETY	STOWALTCE. AUDEU BT COMMELIUS. STOWALIZE INTERSECTION, ADD TURN LANES.	
SASELIVE/ 14TH - INTERSECTION SASELINE/ 20TH - INTERSECTION	143 REGIONAL ARTERIAL 144 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE	DRNEL TUS	20	100	134 H	SAFE	ETY	ADDED BY CORNELIUS. SIGNALIZE INTERSECTION.	
CANYOW/118TH - INTERSECTION	194 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE II	OKNEL 105 N INCORP	50	100	134 H 67 H	SAFE	10 T	SIGNALIZE INTERSECTION. INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.	
	TELEVINE TEMPINIST CEL	STATE	EAVERTON	50	50	67 K	SAFE	ETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.	

Page No. 3 01/18/91				TABLE II	×			
			1 NOUNI LINE	1000				
1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 - 1944 -			SORTED BY TRA	NSPORTATIO	N PLAN PR	ORITY		
7.10/ 5.11 4.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS NUMBER	JURISDICTION	LOCATION	T. PLAN TP RIORITY ES	U COST 19	0 COST TPU FUNDIN FLATED SCENARID	IN TYPE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION
HWY 17/VEDBANOT						*****		
HHY 99M/CIPOLE - INTERSECTION	363 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE	UNINCORP	20	110	147 H	SAFETY	ADD LFFT TIIDN LANES ON 2 ADDOLOUSE
PACIFIC/OAK - INTERSECTION	501 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE/FOREST	FOREST GROVE	20	150	201 H	SAFETY	SIGNALIZE
T.V. HMY/144TH - INTERCENTION	The new surveys	GROVE		2	2	H +21	SAFETY	SIGNAL IZE.
T.V. HWY/182ND - INTERSECTION	589 REGIONAL ARTERIAL 589 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE	BEAVERTON	20	100	134 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
T.V. HWT/26TH - INTERSECTION T.V. HWY/ADAIR - INTERSECTION	595 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE	HILLSBORD	20	25	34 H 134 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
	595 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE/FOREST	FOREST GROVE	20	100	134 M	SAFETY	SJUMALIKE. INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS
T.V. HWY/BROOKMOOD - INTERSECTION	597 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE	HTLL SROOM	Ue	250			
T.V. HWY/MAIN FYT /WOY - INTERSECTION	601 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE	BEAVERTON	20	150	H 102	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
T.V. HMY/OUINCE - INTERSECTION	502 REGIONAL ARTERIAL	STATE	HILLSBORD	20	100	134 H	SAFFTY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
T.V. HWY/SHORT - INTERSECTION	AND REVIONAL ANIERIAL	STATE	FOREST GROVE	20	250	335 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION INFORMATIO.
T.V. HWY/YEW - INTERSECTION	609 REGIONAL ARTEDIAL	STATE	BEAVERTON	20	50	67 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
## Subtote] ##		01410	FUKEST GROVE	20	200	268 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
					2285	3052		
** PRIORITY 21 Cauvoursing								
SCHOLLS FERRY/CASCADE - INTERSECTION	153 MAJOR ARTERIAL	STATE	BEAV/UNINC	21	150	201 H	SAFETY	INTERSETTION THORNWORK
SCHOLLS FY/HWY 217 SB RPS - INTERSECTION	557 MAJOR ARTERIAL	STATE	BEAVERTON	21	200	268 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
** Subtotal **			DEAVERIUN	12	200	268 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
					550	737		
FADMINGTON/CLIDY UTIL SUFFICIENT					ļ.			
FARMINGTON/RIVER - INTERSECTION	277 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	UN INCORP	22	100	134 H	SAFETY	STNGAL LTF
HALL/BONITA - INTERSECTION	327 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	UN INCORP	22	150	201 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS/STGNAL 17F
HALL/OLESON/GREENBURG - INTERSECTION	332 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	TG/JIN LAC	22	150	H 102	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS/SIGNALIZE
HMY 215/EALD PEAK - INTERSECTION	357 MIMOR ARTERIAL		IN INCORP	22	0 ¥	H WE	SAFETY	UPGRADE SIGNAL/ADD TURN LANES.
HWY 219/TONGIE - INTERSECTION	358 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	ININCORP	22	125	168 H	CALCIT	AUD LEFT TURN LANE ON 1 APPROACH.
SCHOLLS FERRY/CLARK HII - INTEDGENTION	359 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	INTNCORP	22	15	20 H	SAFFTY	DIGNALIZE.
SCHOLLS FERRY/LAURELMOOD - INTERSECTION	540 MINUK AKIEKIAL	STATE	IN INCORP	22	100	134 H	SAFETY	SIGNALIZE.
SCHOLLS FERRY/RIVER - INTERSECTION	552 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	W INCORP	22	100	134 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
SCHOLLS FERRY/SCHOLLS-SHERMOOD -	553 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE	NINCORP	22	125	168 H	SAFETY	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS/SIGNALIZE.
INTERSECTION			ANO DE LE	22	011	14T H	SAFETY	DD LEFT TURN LANES ON 2 APPROACHES.
SCHOLLS FY/HWY 217 N8 RPS - INTERSECTION	555 MINOR ARTERIAL 556 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE U	NINCORP	22	100	134 H	SAFETY	IGNALIZE.
T.V. HWY BYPASS/EIM - INTERSECTION	586 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE D	PAVERION	22	200	268 H	SAFETY I	NTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS.
** Subtota] **			UNED! UNUVE	22	100	131 H	AFETY S	JGMALIZE.
				-	061	597		
** PRIORITY 27 DEWNEYJHWY 217 NR 2 58 - INTEDEEATION								
UPPER BOONES FERRY - 1-5 TO DURHAN	254 MINOR ARTERIAL 631 MINOR ARTERIAL	STATE 8	EAVERTON	27	004	268 H C	APACITY S	16NALIZE
	What is not a state of the state	21410	0.4%0	53	00	804 M C	APACITY B	JILD TO S LANE ULTIMATE SECTION.

	PROJECT DESCRIPTION				CONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN.		OMSTRUCT TO THREE LANES WITH BIVE LANES. CONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN. CONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN. CONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN. CONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN.		ONSTRUCT TO EXISTING DESIGN/ T INTO OLD SCHOLLS.		
	IMPROVEMENT TYPE		RECONSTRUCTION		RECONSTRUCTION RI		RECONSTRUCTION RE RECONSTRUCTION RE RECONSTRUCTION RE RECONSTRUCTION RE RECONSTRUCTION RE RECONSTRUCTION RE RECONSTRUCTION RE		RECONSTRUCTION RE		
S	COST TPU FUNDING LATED SCENARIO	1072	4703 H	4703	415 H	415	5360 L 1102 L 1353 L 295 L 804 L	0680	415 H	415	8286
C PROJECT	COST 1990 MATE INFI	008	3510	3510	310	310	4000 1270 220 600 870	1970 10	310	310	505 56
TABLE III.N ODOT ANSPORTATION	PLAN TPU		28		29		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		32		13
UNFUMDED TR	LOCATION T		FOREST GROVE		UN INCORP		TUALATIN TIGARD TIGARD TIGARD TIGARD TIG/UNINC TIG/UNINC		16/UNINC		
	JURISOICTION		STATE		STATE		STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE		STATE		
	INDEX FUNCTIONAL CLASS WINGER		361 REGIONAL ARTERIAL		536 MAJOR ARTERIAL		174 MINOR ARTERIAL 319 MINOR ARTERIAL 321 MINOR ARTERIAL 322 MINOR ARTERIAL 328 MINOR ARTERIAL 325 MINOR ARTERIAL		540 MAJOR COLLECTOR		
Page No. 4 01/18/51	PROJECT	## Subtota] ##	** PRIORITY 28 HMY 47 - HHY 47 TO T.V. HMY BYPASS ** Subtore] **		** PRIORITY 29 SCHOLLS FERRY - BEEF BEND TO REUSSER ** Subtotal **		** PRIORITY 30 BOOMES FERRY - TUALITIM TO DURAMM HALL - BOUTA TO DURAMU HALL - MCDOMALD HALL - MCDOMALD TO BONTA HALL - MCDOMALD TO BONTA HALL - MCDOMALD TO BONTA HALL - UCEON TO OAX ** SUBTOTA		** PRIORITY 32 SCHOLLS FERRY - 0. SCHOLLS FY TO 0. SCHOLLS ** Subtotal **	za Total asz	

CHAPTER IV

PUBLIC FACILITY FINANCING

WATER SERVICE

Water services in the urbanizing area of Washington County is provided by three major districts and two smaller districts. The larger districts. THe larger districts are Wolf Creek; Tigard and Metzger. The smaller districts are West Slope and Raleigh Hills. The primary sources of revenue for all the districts have been and are expected to remain monthly service charges and connection fees. Major system capial improvements are usually financed through some sort of debt financing. None of the districts are close to exceeding their stsutory limit of indebtedness.

SANITARY SEWER SERVICE

Sanitary sewer service is provided by the Unified Sewerage Agency (USA). User charges and connection fees are the agency's primary source of income. The cost for the recommended new facilities and improvements itemized in Chapter III will be significant. Sewer use rates are projected to increase from the current \$13.50 per month for an average single family dwelling to \$37 per month over the next twenty years. Since USA will have to construct nearly half of the planned facilities by 1993, the rate increase will be particularly steep in the next few years. Systems development charges will double by 1993 rising to \$2500 from the current \$1250. In relying on the above sources of funding, its estimated that USA will be capable of continuing to meet its capital improvement needs.

A detailed discussion of financing options is contained in the "Wastewater Facilities Plan" (Appendix C).

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The Unified Sewerage Agency has recently become the responsible agency for stormwater management in the urban area of Washington County. The flat fee funding mechanism is a constant or uniform fee for each property within pre-existing classes and can be applied on a community-wide basis. This type of service charge reflects the rationale that the kind of uses that contribute runoff to the stormwater system should pay based on the amount of runoff that they generate. This approach is consistent with USA's current system of charging for sanitary sewer service according to sewage volume generated by different types of land uses.

As in the sanitary sewer rate structures, stormwater service charges are based on an equivalent service/residential unit. THe equivalent service unit (ESU) represents the average amount of impervious surface on a single family residential lot. The average or equivalent service unit is the basis for not only single family dwelling rates but also for non-signlw family dwelling properties based on area. USA has assigned a \$3.00 fee for each ESU which is 2640 square feet. A convenience store, with 5280 square feet of impervious surface will be required to pay \$6.00 as their monthly service charge. This method of funding will generate some \$4.96 million which is presently adequate to administer the newly created storm water program.

A detailed discussion of financing options is contained in the "Stormwater Management Plan" (Appendix D).

TRANSPORTATION

Unlike the provision of water and sewer services which operate as enterprises with service charges and fees, there is not a steady income stream for transportation/road improvements. There are three basic sources of funding for Washington County roads:

OPERATION	FUNDING SOURCE
Maintain Existing System	County Fuel Tax and State Motor Vehicle Fund
Relieve Existing Congestion/Remove Safety	Property Tax, Serial Levies and State and
Problems	Federal Aid
Future Needs/Expansion	Impact Fees and Developer Supported
	Improvements, State and Federal Aid

As a result, the primary sources of funding for capital improvements are serial levies (Major Street Transportation Improvement Program/MSTIP), developer supported improvements, and State and Federal aid.

Total project costs for Washington County's committed construction projects identified in Table III.E is \$60,354,000. Of this amount 86.7 percent is from MSTIP1 and MSTIP2, 4.8 percent from County Traffic Fees (TIF), 3.7 percent from private sources and the remaining 4.8 percent from federal, state and County road funds.

Total project costs for ODOT committed construction projects identified in Table III.I is \$180,619,000. Of this amount 47.2 percent comes from state funds, 45.8 percent from the federal government, 5.7 percent from Washington County MSTIP funds, and just under 1 percent from city and private sources. Approximately \$692,000 in expenses remain unfunded at this time.

A detailed discussion of financing options is contained in the Washington County Transportation Plan (Appendix E).

CHAPTER V

PUBLIC FACILITIES COORDINATION STRATEGIES

- 1. Washington County will prepare and maintain public facilities plan in accordance with OAR Chapter 660, Division II, Public Facility Planning.
- In accordance with OAR 660-11-015(1), responsibility for the preparation, adoption and amendment of public facilities plans in urban Washington County shall be specified in the Urban Planning Area Agreements, Volume XIV of the Comprehensive Plan.

Washington County's public facility planning area is outlined in Figure V.2. This area consists of all unincorporated ares within the Regional Urban Growth Boundary that are not allocated to cities by the Urban Planning Area Agreements. Notwithstanding the area outlined in Figure V.1, Washington County shall retain planning responsibility for the Countywide Road System shown in Figure 9 of the Transportation Plan (Volume XV).

 Inaccordance with OAR 660-11-045(1)(c), the responsibility for provision of water, sanitary sewer, storm drainage and transportation facilities and services within the Washington County Public Facility Planning Area (Figure V.2) is designated as follows:

1)	Area A	
	Water Sanitary Sewer Storm Drainage Transportation	Tigard Water District Unified Sewerage Agency Unified Sewerage Agency Washington County
2)	Area B	
	Water Sanitary Sewer Storm Drainage Transportation	City of Beaverton City of Beaverton Unified Sewerage Agency City of Beaverton
3)	Area C	
	Water Sanitary Sewer Storm Drainage Transportation	Wolf Creek Highway Water District Unified Sewerage Agency Unified Sewerage Agency Washington County
4)	Area D	
	Water Sanitary Sewer Storm Drainage Transportation	West Slope Waster District Unified Sewerage Agency Unified Sewerage Agency Washington County

5) Area E

	Water Sanitary Sewer Storm Drainage Transportation	Raleigh Water District Unified Sewerage Agency Unified Sewerage Agency Washington County
6)	Area F	
	Water Sanitary Sewer Storm Drainage Transportation	Metzger Water District Unified Sewerage Agency Unified Sewerage Agency Washington County

Nothing in this section is intended to either preclude annexation to cities or to preclude the provision of facilities by other service providers subject to the terms of any intergovernmental agreement a service district or city may have or negotiate with other service districts or cities.

- 4. If a discrepancy should exist between the public facility projects listed I nthe Public Facilities Plan and the capital improvement program or master plan of a specific service provider, the capital improvement program/master plan shall take precedence.
- 5. Amendments to the Public Facility Plan
 - 5.1 Washington County relies on the capital improvement programs/master plans of five water districts and the Unified Sewerage Agency to identify the water, sanitary sewer and storm drainage facilities and services needed to support the land uses provided for by the Comprehensive Plan. Washington County shall review the capital improvement programs/master plans of these service districts annually and amend the Public Facilities Plan through the legislative process as necessary to reflect any changes.
 - 5.2 Two documents determine which transportation projects will be included in the Public Facilities PLan. These are the Washington County Transportation Plan and the Countywide Transporation Capital Improvements Program. Washington County shall review these two documents annually and amend the Public Facility Plan through the legislative process as necessary to reflect any changes.
 - 5.3 The following changes to the Public Facilities PLan may be made without going through the legislative or quasi-judicial plan amendment process:
 - (a) Administrative changes to a public facility project which are minor in nature and do not significantly impact the project's general description, location, sizing capacity, or other general characteristics of the project.
 - (b) Technical and environmental changes to a public facility projet which are made pursuant to "final engineering" on a project or those that result from the findings of an Environemtnal Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement conducted under regulations implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508) or any federal or State of Oregon agency project

development regulations consistent with that Act and its regulations.

(c) The determination as to whether a proposed change is administrative, technical or enivornmental shall be made by the Director of the Department of Land Use and Transportation.

5.4 All changes to the Public FAcilities Plan shall be consistent with the capital improvements programs, master plans and/or comprehensive plans of the affected jurisdications/service districts.



