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Section 1

Introduction
Introduction

Located in the northwestern portion of the City of Ontario, OR 201 (Olds Ferry–Ontario Highway #455) crosses I-84 at the North Ontario freeway interchange. Inspections of the existing two-lane bridge structure that carries OR 201 over I-84 have revealed a functionally obsolete and structurally deficient bridge structure. As part of its January 16, 2002 proceedings, the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) approved Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding to design and construct a new freeway interchange and bridge structure. As a condition of funding, the OTC required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be prepared in association with the design of the new interchange/bridge structure before funds for construction were to be released.

Based on this condition, an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) has been developed specifically for the North Ontario interchange. Encompassing a wide variety of components, the North Ontario IAMP documents the land use planning, transportation planning, access management, public involvement and preliminary design work that went into the recommendations for a new interchange and bridge structure.

INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN (IAMP) STUDY AREA

The initial study area for the North Ontario IAMP was selected based on a review of the surrounding roadway network and land use patterns, existing and near-term future travel patterns, and input from the technical review and advisory committees. At a minimum, the IAMP study area to the north and south includes all land uses and roadways located within approximately 1,320 feet of the existing I-84 / OR 201 interchange. This distance corresponds to the spacing standard outlined in the OAR 734-051 Division 51 rules for interchange ramps. In general, the study area is bounded to the north by the Malheur River, to the west by N. Verde Drive, to the south by Malheur Drive, and to the east by the Snake River/Ontario State Park. From these general parameters, Figure 1-1 illustrates the North Ontario IAMP study area.

IAMP GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

As stated in Policy 3C of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan, “it is the policy of the State of Oregon to plan for and manage grade-separated interchange areas to ensure safe and efficient operation between connecting roadways.” From this definition, the generalized objectives if the North Ontario IAMP are to:

- Develop a new North Ontario interchange form and alignment through a collaborative effort involving design professionals, jurisdictional representatives, and local citizens and business owners.
- Ensure that the interchange form meets projected near-term and long-term travel demands between the intersecting facilities of I-84, OR 201, and the Yturri Beltline.
- Protect the long-term function of the interchange through access management techniques and the development of a planned supporting local roadway infrastructure.
DEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTH ONTARIO IAMP

The North Ontario IAMP was guided by the Project Planning Management Team (PPMT), a technical review committee made up of representatives from the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), the City of Ontario, and Malheur County. In addition to the PPMT, a group of local citizens, property owners, and business owners made up the Stakeholder Advisory Committee, a special advisory committee to the PPMT. The PPMT and SAC roster list is provided in the Preface of this document. The PPMT and SAC convened throughout the course of the project to review and guide the technical analysis prepared by the consultant team. Appendix “A” of North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix provides a summary of the individual PPMT/SAC meetings.

Public Involvement

In addition to the technical review work provided by the PPMT and SAC, the project consultant team also met with interested citizens and adjacent property/business owners on a regular basis providing them with opportunities to comment on the design of the future interchange structure and the supporting local circulation network. Public notices for the community open houses were provided via the local newspaper, local radio stations, and mailed meeting notices to property owners located within the study area. Summaries of the public meetings are also provided in Appendix “A” of North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix.

NORTH ONTARIO IAMP OUTLINE

The development of the North Ontario IAMP began in July 2003 when the project development team first met with the PPMT and SAC committees. Since July 2003, these groups have undergone an extensive process that has involved a review of existing and future transportation conditions, future land use analyses, interchange alignment and design, and supporting local access and circulation planning. Technical memorandums documenting this extensive work effort have been prepared throughout the course of the project and are provided in the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix. In an effort to summarize this process, the remainder of this document provides an overview of the following sections of the IAMP:

- **Section 2** outlines the existing land use patterns and transportation facilities within the IAMP study area;
- **Section 3** documents the future land use conditions and how they were addressed by the study effort;
- **Section 4** provides a description of the transportation planning efforts involving the selection of a preferred interchange form and alignment as well as the supporting local access and circulation network;
- **Section 5** documents the North Ontario IAMP and the associated transportation improvement projects that are necessary to ensure the continued long-term safety and function of the North Ontario interchange; and
- **Section 6** documents how the North Ontario IAMP complies with the OTC’s original conditions of approval as well as the Oregon Administrative Rules for the development of an interchange area management plan.
Section 2
Existing Land Use/Transportation Conditions
Existing Land Use / Transportation Conditions

The existing conditions section provides a brief overview of the land use and transportation facilities located within the North Ontario IAMP. A more detailed assessment of existing land use/transportation conditions can be found in Appendices “B” and “C” of the *North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix*.

**EXISTING LAND USE INVENTORY**

Existing land uses in the study area include light industrial and general commercial to the south, southwest, and northeast of the interchange and agricultural uses (Exclusive Farm Use, EFU) to the northwest. There are also some residential uses in the City’s Urban Growth Area (UGA) located west of the interchange, as well as existing residences located within city limits, south of the interchange.

Given that the IAMP study area consists of a number of different land uses and that these uses are located within the jurisdictions of both the City of Ontario and Malheur County, sub-area classifications have been created for ease in describing the land use inventory. These different sub-areas are described below and are illustrated in Figure 2-1.

- Sub-Area “A” includes the individual land parcels located north of I-84 on the east side of OR 201;
- Sub-Area “B” includes the individual land parcels located north of I-84 on the west side of OR 201;
- Sub-Area “C” includes the individual land parcels located north of Falcon Drive and southwest of I-84;
- Sub-Area “D” includes the area consisting of the Ontario State Park;
- Sub-Area “E” includes the individual land parcels located along the southwest side of OR 201 and southeast of the Dork Canal; and
- Sub-Area “F” includes the individual land parcels located within the area east of N. Verde Drive, south and west of Falcon Drive, and north of the Malheur Drive corridor.

**Malheur County**

Malheur County has land use planning jurisdiction for the area north and northwest of the existing interchange. County land directly to the north of the interchange and I-84 is zoned C-1 and hosts a mixture of uses, including industrial, commercial and residential development. This land is outside the City of Ontario urban growth boundary (UGB). Figure 2-2 illustrates the Malheur County planning jurisdiction and the respective land use and zoning classifications within the North Ontario IAMP.
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Sub-Area “A”
Sub-Area “A” is zoned C-1 by Malheur County and consists of parcels east of OR 201, north of the interchange, and adjacent to the Snake River. The County’s C-1 Zone is intended to provide for a broad range of commercial operations and services associated with commercial centers or shopping districts. Existing development in sub-area “A” includes a 27-unit RV Park, single-family homes, vacant/undeveloped parcels, and an auto repair facility. Access to each individual land parcel occurs via a driveway connection to OR 201.

Sub-Area “B”
Sub-Area “B” is also zoned C-1 by Malheur County. This area directly north of the interchange is also zoned for commercial uses. Located north of I-84, west of OR 201, and east of the Malheur River, access to each individual land parcel occurs via a driveway connection to OR 201. The majority of this sub-area is occupied by an Idaho Power electric substation with the remainder of the land parcels consisting of several single-family homes, a truck and diesel repair shop, and a mini-storage facility. The land to the immediate north, south and west of the electric sub-station is currently owned by Idaho Power, however the land is predominately undeveloped with the exception of a network of power transmission poles and power lines.

Sub-Area “C”
Sub-Area “C” is located just north of the Ontario urban growth boundary. It is zoned EFU, a zone reserved for farm-related activities and uses. The soils in this sub-area are classified “high value” per the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. The land is irrigated and considered prime, Class II farmland.

City of Ontario
Most of the land in the immediate vicinity of the interchange is within the City of Ontario’s urban growth boundary but outside the current city limits. This area west of the interchange is governed by an Urban Growth Area Joint Management Agreement between Malheur County and the City. This zone is intended to provide land use and development standards to unincorporated areas of the Ontario Urban Growth Area (UGA) designed for light industrial and residential use.

In 1999 the City Council adopted an ordinance that revised the Urban Growth Boundary and rezoned land in the UGA in order to accommodate a projected deficit in land available for residential, commercial and public facilities. The buildable lands analysis and subsequent changes to the City’s Comprehensive Plan were prescribed by the City’s Periodic Review work program with the State. As part of this action, 103 acres south of the North Ontario Interchange previously designated residential were reclassified as commercial as illustrated in Figure 2-2. While the City of Ontario’s Comprehensive Plan was amended per the 1999 ordinance to reflect this change, commercial zoning was to take place “as soon as feasible (p. 8, Exhibit A Findings of Fact, Ordinance No. 119-01-26-99).” At the start of the North Ontario IAMP development process, the zoning of the 103 acres had not yet been changed to commercial, leaving the underlying zoning as UGA Residential.

Sub-Area “D”
Sub-area “D” consists solely of the Ontario State Park. This area is located inside the Ontario city limits and within the urban growth boundary. The Public Facility designation allows government or public facilities, including those developed by public and utility agencies. The state-owned recreation facility is
a day-use park with restrooms, fishing, boat ramp, and picnic areas. According to data provided by the Oregon Parks & Recreation Farewell Bend Management Unit, the average yearly visitor attendance from 2000 to 2002 was estimated to be 123,000 visitors. The peak visitation month is during August, when an estimated 15,900 people visit the park. The average daily attendance is approximately 300 visitors per day (150 vehicles). It is not expected to redevelop with urban uses as allowed by the PF zone.

**Sub-Area “E”**
The northwest portion of Sub-Area “E” is located inside Ontario City limits and consists of parcels zoned C2-General Commercial and RM10-High Density Residential. Parcels within sub-area E have access to Oregon Street. Several parcels zoned RM10-High Density Residential are located behind the commercially zoned properties, on Hollars Street. Current uses in the commercial area include a U-Haul rental business, two motels, a radio station, a machine and repair shop, a trailer sales lot, and several single-family homes. For the most part, the residential areas within the City limits include existing single-family homes and parcels that are largely vacant or are being employed for farm-related uses.

**Sub-Area “F”**
All of Sub-Area “F” is located within Ontario’s Urban Growth Area, but outside current city limits. Title 10, Section 10-14-6, of the City of Ontario Development Code regulates land uses in this area of the County prior to annexation to the City. Existing land uses include single-family homes, vacant land, two gas stations, small commercial businesses, and an assortment of light-industrial uses.

City of Ontario Ordinance No. 119-01-26-99 amended the Comprehensive Plan to accommodate more commercial, residential and public facilities land in the UGB. As part of this action, 103 acres of UGA Residential were reclassified as UGA Commercial. Part of the area subject to this change falls within Sub-Area “F.” The Comprehensive Plan designation has changed for this area, but it has not been rezoned to commercial. No commercial development can take place until a zone change has been approved. However, the City’s intention that this area to the southwest of the interchange be available for future commercial development is clearly detailed in the 1999 ordinance’s supporting findings.

Discussions with City of Ontario staff and residents indicate that the City is interested in encouraging travel oriented commercial uses in the OR 201/1-84 area. Since the Yturri Beltline is a main truck route, commercial services that would accommodate this activity include hotel/motel establishments and gasoline service stations. These uses are also allowed in the City of Ontario’s C-2, General Commercial Zone. The most flexible of the City’s commercial designations, C-2-H, Heavy General Commercial Zone allows outright all of the principle uses in the C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) and C-2 zones, as well as “truck stop with transient motel.” When annexed to the City, the areas designated UGA Commercial will likely be rezoned to General Commercial or Heavy General Commercial in order to accommodate the types of travel and automotive-related uses envisioned for this area.

Additional discussion on the 103 acres located in Sub-Area F and how potential future commercial oriented uses will impact the North Ontario IAMP are provided in Section 3 of this plan.
EXISTING TRANSPORTATION INVENTORY

Roadway Facilities

Interstate 84 (I-84) and OR 201 are the primary roadways serving the North Ontario IAMP study area. NW 20th Avenue, Falcon Drive, NW 11th Street, and N. Verde Drive serve as secondary roadways and make up a larger system of collector and local street routes serving area residents and business establishments.

Interstate 84

I-84 is a four-lane interstate highway that runs along the northern boundary of the City of Ontario. I-84 is the main east-west travel route within the State of Oregon providing connections between the City of Portland, Oregon and the City of Boise, Idaho. I-84 is designated by the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan as an Interstate Highway, a Freight Route, and is considered a part of the National Highway System.

Within the North Ontario IAMP study area, I-84 contains two travel lanes in each direction separated by a grass median. According to the 2002 Transportation Volume Tables maintained by ODOT, the average daily traffic along I-84 within the vicinity of the OR 201 interchange is approximately 10,400 vehicles. Of this total, approximately 27 percent is made up of truck traffic as defined by the FHWA vehicle classification types.

OR 201 (Olds Ferry-Ontario Highway #455)

The other major roadway within the North Ontario IAMP study area is OR 201. OR 201 enters the study area from the north as a two-lane highway and intersects I-84 at the North Ontario interchange. This portion of OR 201 is classified by the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan as a District Highway.

South of I-84, the state highway classification of OR 201 used to follow Oregon Street southeast into the urban center of Ontario and then west along SW 4th Avenue to the area known as Airport Corner. However, in the summer of 2003, ODOT completed a three-lane access controlled beltline around the northwest portion of the City of Ontario known as the Yturri Beltline. Although not yet formally adopted by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC), it is the intention that the Yturri Beltline will be designated as the new OR 201. In anticipation of this future designation, ODOT and the City of Ontario recently executed a jurisdictional transfer agreement giving the City of Ontario ownership and maintenance control over the roadways (Oregon Street, SW 4th Avenue, SW 2nd Street) that used to make up the OR 201 route through the main part of the City.

Yturri Beltline

As previously stated, the Yturri Beltline is a new limited access three-lane facility located within the North Ontario study area. This facility was recently constructed from the OR 201/SW 4th Avenue intersection, around the northwest portion of the City, where it presently terminates just south of the North Ontario interchange at Washington Avenue.

Other Secondary Roadways

In addition to I-84 and OR 201, the North Ontario IAMP study area contains a number of local and collector street facilities that serve area residents and business establishments. These Malheur County owned and maintained roadways include NW 20th Avenue, Falcon Drive, NW 11th Street, and N. Verde
Drive. NW 20th Avenue and N. Verde Drive are both classified by Malheur County as collector roadways, while NW 11th Street and Falcon Drive are considered local streets.

Tables 2-1 and 2-2 summarize the characteristics of I-84, OR 201, and the secondary transportation facilities in the North Ontario IAMP study area, while Figure 2-3 illustrates the existing lane configurations and traffic control devices at the respective key study intersections.

### Table 2-1
Existing Transportation Facilities and Roadway Designations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roadway</th>
<th>Existing Ownership and Functional Classification</th>
<th>Posted Speed</th>
<th>Sidewalks?</th>
<th>Bicycle Lanes?</th>
<th>On-Street Parking?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 84</td>
<td>ODOT - Interstate Highway</td>
<td>65 mph</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 201</td>
<td>ODOT - District Highway</td>
<td>45 mph()</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Street</td>
<td>City of Ontario - Minor Arterial</td>
<td>45 mph</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW 20th Avenue</td>
<td>Malheur County - Major Collector</td>
<td>35 mph</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon Drive</td>
<td>Malheur County - Local Street</td>
<td>35 mph</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW 11th Street</td>
<td>Malheur County - Local Street</td>
<td>25 mph</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Verde Drive</td>
<td>Malheur County - Major Collector</td>
<td>35 mph</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yturri Beltline</td>
<td>ODOT - (not presently classified)</td>
<td>45 mph</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Avenue</td>
<td>ODOT - (not presently classified)</td>
<td>35 mph</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(1\) The posted speed along OR 201 increases to 55 mph north of the Malheur River bridge.

### Table 2-2
Existing Transportation Facilities and Roadway Designations (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roadway</th>
<th>Cross Section</th>
<th>Travel Way Width</th>
<th>Surface Type</th>
<th>Surface Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate 84</td>
<td>4 lanes (2 lanes each direction)</td>
<td>24 feet per direction</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 201</td>
<td>2 lanes</td>
<td>24 feet</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Street</td>
<td>4 lanes</td>
<td>44 feet</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW 20th Avenue</td>
<td>2 lanes</td>
<td>24 feet</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon Drive</td>
<td>2 lanes</td>
<td>24 feet</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW 11th Street</td>
<td>2 lanes</td>
<td>~24 feet</td>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Verde Drive</td>
<td>2 lanes</td>
<td>24 feet</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yturri Beltline</td>
<td>3 lanes</td>
<td>~60 feet(^2)</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Ave</td>
<td>3 lanes</td>
<td>~60 feet(^2)</td>
<td>Paved</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXISTING ROADWAY ACCESS CONDITIONS

ODOT currently has the authority to regulate roadway and public/private driveway access along state highways such as OR 201 through the rules and regulations stipulated in OAR 734-051. To gain an understanding of the existing access conditions along OR 201 within the North Ontario IAMP study area, an access inventory was prepared. Figure 2-4 shows the existing public and private roadway approaches to the existing OR 201 alignment within the North Ontario IAMP study area. In addition, Figure 2-4 shows the access control lines that ODOT has established along the newly constructed sections of the Yturri Beltline and Washington Avenue. As illustrated in Figure 2-4, OR 201 maintains 10 public approaches and 17 private approaches within the study area. Table 2-3 provides detailed information regarding each public or private access along the Highway.

The 1999 Oregon Highway Plan stipulates that the desired distance between an interchange ramp terminal and the first major highway approach (public or private) is 1,320 feet, or \( \frac{1}{4} \) mile. Figure 2-4 illustrates that the approaches north of I-84 along OR 201 (#7 through #16) fall within this desired minimum freeway interchange access spacing distance. South of I-84 approaches #19 through #22 fall within the same minimum freeway interchange spacing distance.
### Table 2-3
**OR 201 Public/Private Approach Inventory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach Type</th>
<th>Figure 2-6 Access Number</th>
<th>Intersection Name</th>
<th>Property Owner/ Business Name</th>
<th>Serves (Tax Map) &amp; Tax Lot Number</th>
<th>OR 201 Mile Post</th>
<th>Side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Longtin, Walter W</td>
<td>(17 47 33) #794</td>
<td>M.P. 24.70</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Hess, Rita Marie ETAL</td>
<td>(17 47 33) #700</td>
<td>M.P. 24.74</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Idaho Power Co</td>
<td>(17 47 33) #900</td>
<td>M.P. 24.72</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Judy, Carl C &amp; Katherine A</td>
<td>(17 47 33) #701</td>
<td>M.P. 24.76</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Judy, Carl C &amp; Katherine A</td>
<td>(17 47 33) #792</td>
<td>M.P. 24.80</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>County of Malheur</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #700, #800, #600, #1000</td>
<td>M.P. 24.87</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Belisle, Albert C</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #1200</td>
<td>M.P. 24.91</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Plummer, Buckley</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #400</td>
<td>M.P. 24.91</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>County of Malheur</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #1400, #1300, #1500</td>
<td>M.P. 24.98</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Clark, Gary C ETAL</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #300</td>
<td>M.P. 24.98</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Baker, Minnie Trust</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #1600</td>
<td>M.P. 25.00</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Clark, Gary C ETAL</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #300</td>
<td>M.P. 25.00</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Oregon, Dept of Transportation</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #200</td>
<td>M.P. 25.02</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>OR 201 / Ontario State Park access</td>
<td>Oregon, Dept of Transportation</td>
<td>(17 47 33D) #200</td>
<td>M.P. 25.05</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Baker, Minnie Trust</td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #1601</td>
<td>M.P. 25.02</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>OR 201 / Thayer Road</td>
<td></td>
<td>(17 47 33B) #100</td>
<td>M.P. 25.09</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>OR 201 / I-84 WB On-Off Ramp</td>
<td>Oregon, Dept of Transportation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M.P. 25.13</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>OR 201 / I-84 EB On-Off Ramp</td>
<td>Oregon, Dept of Transportation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M.P. 25.25</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>OR 201 / Washington Avenue</td>
<td>Oregon, Dept of Transportation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M.P. 25.41</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Easyly, John E</td>
<td>(17 47 33D) #2000</td>
<td>M.P. 25.44</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Wright, Barry K &amp; Vivra V</td>
<td>(17 47 33D) #1900</td>
<td>M.P. 25.47</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>OR 201 / &quot;D&quot; Place</td>
<td>City of Ontario</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M.P. 25.49</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach Type</td>
<td>Figure 2-6 Access Number</td>
<td>Intersection Name</td>
<td>Property Owner/ Business Name</td>
<td>Serves (Tax Map) &amp; Tax Lot Number</td>
<td>OR 201 Mile Post</td>
<td>Side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>OR 201 / &quot;C&quot; Place</td>
<td>City of Ontario</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M.P. 25.55</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Patel, Bharatkumar ETUX</td>
<td>(17 47 33D) #1500</td>
<td>M.P. 25.57</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OR 201 / &quot;B&quot; Place</td>
<td>City of Ontario</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M.P. 25.60</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>OR 201 / Private Driveway</td>
<td>Horizon Broadcasting Group LLC</td>
<td>(17 47 33D) #1400</td>
<td>M.P. 25.62</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>OR 201 / &quot;A&quot; Place</td>
<td>City of Ontario</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M.P. 25.65</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXISTING ROADWAY DEFICIENCIES
The main roadway deficiency within the North Ontario IAMP study area is the OR 201 interchange with I-84. The Oregon Department of Transportation has declared this interchange “functionally obsolete and structurally deficient” for the projected future travel demands on OR 201 and I-84. According to the latest ODOT Structure and Inventory Appraisal/Bridge Inspection Report, identified deficiencies include the following:

Deck Width
The existing OR 201 bridge overpass has two lanes that do not meet current design guidelines, nor is the bridge deck wide enough to allow for future widening. Additionally, there are no sidewalks or bike lanes on the bridge.

Vertical Clearance
The existing clearance over I-84 is only 15.92 feet. This insufficient clearance has resulted in collisions by several high loads in recent years.

Deck Condition
There is delamination of the concrete deck throughout about 35% of the deck area. This delamination has caused much of the reinforcing steel to be exposed.

Girder Condition
One of the girders has a hole cut in the web area to arrest a crack in the girder

Column Condition
The columns have severe cracking, spalling and exposed reinforcing steel. As a result some of the reinforcing steel has actually experienced section loss

Guardrail Condition
The bridge rails, rail transitions and rail ends do not meet current design standards
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Background Information
As previously discussed in Section 2’s land use summary, the City of Ontario adopted an ordinance in 1999 that revised the Urban Growth Boundary and designated land uses in the UGA in order to accommodate a projected deficit in land available for residential, commercial, and public facilities. The buildable lands analysis and subsequent changes to the City’s Comprehensive Plan were prescribed by the City’s Periodic Review work program with the State. As part of this action, 103 acres of land within the North Ontario IAMP study area previously designated residential were reclassified as commercial. While the City of Ontario’s Comprehensive Plan was amended per the 1999 ordinance to reflect this change, commercial zoning was to take place “as soon as feasible.” When the development of the North Ontario IAMP began, the zoning of the 103 acres had not yet been changed to commercial, leaving the underlying zoning as UGA Residential.

Given the discrepancy between the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Map, it was noted that an official rezoning action sometime in the future could potentially allow land uses and densities that are inconsistent with the land use assumptions based off of the official zoning map. In an effort to help develop official land use zoning requirements for the 103 acres within the IAMP study area and to help clarify future transportation forecast volumes for the purposes of the North Ontario IAMP, the project team was assigned to assist the City of Ontario with the official rezoning of the 103-acres.

On April 12, 2004, the City of Ontario and the project team began the process of developing an official zoning designation and draft code language for the 103 acres. At the time this document was being prepared, the City was still refining the specific land use parameters of the zone; however, the overall vision and intent of the zone had essentially been agreed upon. Appendix “D” of the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix contains two summary memorandums prepared by Angelo Eaton & Associates documenting the process and the resulting draft land use regulation language for a new zone known as the “Employment Zone.”

Future Land Use Assumptions
Based in part on the draft land use regulation language for the envisioned “Employment Zone”, specific future year buildout assumptions were developed and used throughout the development of the North Ontario IAMP that reflect potential land uses that would be allowed under the “Employment Zone”. In the event that an official zoning designation does not take place in a timely manner following the adoption of the North Ontario IAMP, these assumptions were also evaluated against future buildout assumptions under the existing 103-acre UGA Residential designation. Table 3-1 provides a summary overview of the future buildout assumptions that were used in the development of the North Ontario IAMP. The table illustrates the specific changes that occur as a result of the 103-acre UGA Residential (Scenario #1) versus 103-acre commercial “Employment Zone” (Scenario #2). As shown, Sub-Area “F” under Scenario #1 will result in a development potential of approximately 500 single-family homes, whereas Sub-Area “F” under Scenario #2 will result in a development scenario of approximately 640,000 square feet of commercial uses.
### Table 3-1
2025 Future Vacant/Buildable Lands Assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Area</th>
<th>Zoning Designation</th>
<th>Future Land Use Assumption of Vacant/Underdeveloped Land Parcels</th>
<th>Assumed Redevelopment Coverage</th>
<th>Future Buildout Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>C-1 Commercial</td>
<td>Auto Machinery / Sales / Service</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-1 Commercial</td>
<td>Shopping Center</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>110,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>C-1 Commercial</td>
<td>No Future Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>EFU - Exclusive Farm Use</td>
<td>Will remain as farm use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>PF - Public Facility</td>
<td>Will remain as Ontario State Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>C-2 General Commercial</td>
<td>Motel / Shopping Center</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>47,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RM-10 High Density Res.</td>
<td>Multi-Family Apartments</td>
<td>10 units/acre</td>
<td>109 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - Residential</td>
<td>Single-Family Homes</td>
<td>5,000 s.f. lot size</td>
<td>52 homes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scenario #1** -
103 acres of Sub Area "F" would remain residentially zoned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Area</th>
<th>Zoning Designation</th>
<th>Future Land Use Assumption of Vacant/Underdeveloped Land Parcels</th>
<th>Assumed Redevelopment Coverage</th>
<th>Future Buildout Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>I-1 Light Industrial</td>
<td>Warehouse / Distribution Centers</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>530,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Light Industrial</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>60,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - Residential</td>
<td>Single-Family Homes</td>
<td>5,000 s.f. min. lot</td>
<td>500 homes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scenario #2** -
103 acres of Sub Area "F" will be rezoned to the future "Employment Zone"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Area</th>
<th>Zoning Designation</th>
<th>Future Land Use Assumption of Vacant/Underdeveloped Land Parcels</th>
<th>Assumed Redevelopment Coverage</th>
<th>Future Buildout Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>I-1 Light Industrial</td>
<td>Warehouse and Distribution Centers</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>530,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Light Industrial</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assumed new &quot;E - Employment Zone&quot;</td>
<td>Shopping Center / Motels / Restaurants / Gas Stations / etc.</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>640,000 s.f.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the buildout volumes from Table 3-1, forecast 2025 traffic volumes were developed and used in the evaluation of the various interchange design types. A detailed description of this process and the forecast 2025 traffic operations analysis are provided in Appendix "E" and "G" of the of the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix.
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The development of the North Ontario IAMP has been an extensive process that began in June of 2003. From this point, the project team, the PPMT, and SAC have undertaken an iterative process to uncover many of the transportation planning, land use, and design issues that are important in the reconstruction of major highway interchange projects. The technical memorandums that document this process are provided in the compendium document, North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix. In an effort to summarize this extensive process, this section provides a brief synopsis of the transportation planning, design, and public involvement efforts that went into the development of the selected North Ontario IAMP interchange form, alignment, and supporting transportation network. Included is a discussion on the following:

- Development of the new North Ontario interchange form and alignment; and
- Development of a supporting local access and circulation network

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The foundation of the North Ontario interchange planning process was laid back in 1998 with the completion of the Ontario Transportation Solution Package. This study was undertaken to assist ODOT and the City of Ontario with the evaluation of a series of proposed transportation alternatives to help solve congestion, connectivity, and safety issues within the City. As a result of this planning effort, the Citizens Advisory Committee and other officials involved in the study made the following recommendations as they pertain to the development of the North Ontario IAMP:

- Construct a new limited access, higher speed roadway around the northwest portion of the City of Ontario that would eliminate the need for trucks and other through traffic from having to traverse the City of Ontario grid network.
- Connect this new limited access facility to I-84 via the reconstruction of the existing North Ontario interchange. It should be noted that other locations for the new interchange were evaluated, however the final recommendation was to reconstruct the North Ontario interchange within the same general location of the existing North Ontario interchange.

These final committee recommendations were then subsequently included in the City of Ontario and Malheur County Transportation System Plans. The recently completed Yturri Beltline project is a result of the recommendation to construct a new limited access roadway around the urban core of the City of Ontario. The North Ontario IAMP builds upon this original work in order to plan for the connection of the Yturri Beltline to a reconstruction of the North Ontario interchange.

The remainder of this section outlines the development of the future North Ontario interchange and supporting local access and circulation network.
INTERCHANGE DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

Initial Twelve Interchange Design Concepts
The development of the initial interchange design concepts for the North Ontario IAMP began with a series of design workshops with the PPMT/SAC and with interested citizens, business owners, and landowners in a public open house setting. Following the completion of the design workshops, the consultant team developed a series of individual design concepts based on the ideas generated during the workshop exercises. Appendix “F” of the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix contains detailed descriptions and graphical representations of these initial twelve interchange concepts.

Four Screened Interchange Design Concepts
Following a qualitative review of the initial twelve interchange design concepts, the PPMT and SAC committees deemed that Concepts #8, #9, #10, and #12 merited further technical evaluation. A description of these selected concepts are provided below.

Concept #8
Figure 4-1 shows the interchange and local circulation design Concept #8. Concept #8 proposes a diamond interchange with a Single Loop PARCLO-B ramp serving westbound off-ramp movements to OR 201. This off-ramp would connect to OR 201 approximately 300 feet north of the existing ramp terminal location. At this new ramp terminal location, an access drive would be constructed to serve the Ontario State Park. Based on current ODOT design standards, the WB I-84 on-ramp would require a widening of the existing westbound I-84 Malheur River Bridge to provide adequate acceleration and merge distances. OR 201 north of I-84 would move slightly to the west before connecting back to the existing alignment ¼-mile prior to the Malheur River Bridge. South of I-84, OR 201 would be extended northeasterly of its present terminus at Washington Avenue to the new I-84 interchange.

Properties north of I-84 located within the 1,320-foot spacing distance of the interchange would be served by a series of backage/frontage roads. Properties south of I-84 and north of Washington Avenue would take access to a series of local and collector roadways with NW 22nd Avenue crossing under the Yturri Beltline extension via an underpass structure.

Concept #9
Figure 4-2 shows the interchange and local circulation design Concept #9. Concept #9 proposes a traditional diamond interchange design form. The location of the interchange would be moved approximately 300 feet west of its current location so that OR 201 could be aligned more perpendicularly to I-84. To achieve this perpendicular alignment, OR 201 would be extended north of Washington Avenue partially along the NW 11th Street corridor before connecting to the I-84 interchange. North of I-84, OR 201 would be offset to the west before ultimately connecting back to its existing alignment approximately 800 feet prior to the Malheur River Bridge. Based on current ODOT design standards, the WB I-84 on-ramp would require a widening of the existing westbound I-84 Malheur River Bridge to provide adequate acceleration and merge distances.

Properties north of I-84 located within the 1,320-foot spacing distance of the interchange would be served by a series of backage and frontage roads. Properties south of I-84 and north of Washington Avenue would take access to a series of local and collector roadways with NW 22nd Avenue crossing under the Yturri Beltline extension via an underpass structure.
North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan

Concept #8
Functional Layout
North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan

Concept #9
Functional Layout
Concept #10

Figure 4-3 shows the interchange and local circulation design Concept #10. Concept #10 proposes a traditional diamond interchange form with minimal alignment changes to OR 201. South of I-84, OR 201 would be extended northeasterly of its present terminus at Washington Avenue and connect into the proposed interchange. North of I-84, a short section of OR 201 would be offset slightly to the west to connect into the new interchange bridge structure. Based on current ODOT design standards, the WB I-84 on-ramp would require a widening of the existing westbound I-84 Malheur River Bridge to provide adequate acceleration and merge distances.

Properties north of I-84 located within the 1,320-foot spacing distance of the interchange would be served by a series of backage and frontage roads. Properties south of I-84 and north of Washington Avenue would take access to a series of local and collector roadways while Oregon Street would be extended to Falcon Drive and would cross under the Yturri Beltline extension via an underpass structure at the NW 24th Avenue alignment.

Concept #12

Figure 4-4 shows the interchange and local circulation design Concept #12. Concept #12 is similar to Concept #10 in that it proposes a Traditional Diamond interchange design moved further west of the existing interchange. In an attempt to eliminate the need for new frontage or backage roads to serve properties north of I-84, the new alignment of OR 201 would shift further to the west along the eastern Idaho Power electric substation border. As a result of this alignment shift, most properties north of I-84 would continue to use the abandoned OR 201 alignment for access. Properties south of I-84 and north of Washington Avenue would take access to a series of local and collector roadways with NW 22nd Avenue crossing under the Yturri Beltline extension via an underpass structure and connect to Oregon Street.

Based on current ODOT design standards, the WB I-84 on-ramp would require a widening of the existing westbound I-84 Malheur River Bridge to provide adequate acceleration and merge distances.
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Figure 4-4
Detailed Quantitative Evaluation – Concepts #8, #9, #10, & #12

Following the initial interchange concept screening, a more detailed technical evaluation was undertaken on the four screened interchange concepts. This detailed evaluation centered on the formally adopted set of evaluation criteria developed during the initial stages of the North Ontario IAMP process. These evaluation criteria were assembled to ensure that each concept would be evaluated for consistency with the overall intent of the community and the project. Five broad evaluation criteria were formally adopted as outlined below.

- **Transportation Operations**: This category consists of those criteria that assess the ability for motorized and non-motorized vehicles to travel through and within the study area. Special considerations within this category include multimodal options, safety, connectivity, mobility, truck accommodation, and local circulation.

- **Land Use**: This category consists of those criteria that assess right-of-way impacts, the consistency with adopted land use plans, impacts to utilities, and economic development impacts.

- **Cost**: This category consists of those criteria that assess the practicality of a design concept from a construction cost and feasibility perspective.

- **Environmental/Social**: This category consists of those criteria that assess the degree to which an alternative is compatible with the natural and built environment.

- **Accessibility**: This category consists of those criteria that assess the ability to access properties and businesses within the study area to/from the regional infrastructure network.

Based on the detailed quantitative assessment of each Concept as more thoroughly documented in Appendix “F” of the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix, a summary overview of the key findings are provided below:

**Transportation Operations**

From a transportation operations perspective, the detailed assessment of each Concept revealed the following:

- All of the Concepts equally enhance the multimodal transportation options within the study area.

- All of the Concepts improve upon the noted existing safety concerns with Concepts #8, #10, & #12 providing the most improved level of roadway geometrics.

- All of the Concepts decrease the level of local street connectivity because of the extension of the Yturri beltline to the new I-84 interchange structure.

- The traffic operations analysis reveals a relatively consistent operational performance of the key study intersections through the year 2025. This is directly related to the small degree of fluctuation in traffic volumes between the various Concepts. As a result, the operations analysis has determined that there is a consistent level of infrastructure improvement necessary for each Concept to accommodate future year 2025 design hour traffic volumes.
• All of the Concepts accommodate through truck movements, however it was noted that Concept #8 enhances westbound to southbound truck movements by eliminating the westbound to southbound left-turn movement due to the single loop ramp interchange design.

Land Use

From a land use perspective, the detailed assessment of each Concept revealed the following:

• Concept #9 requires the highest degree of right-of-way and structural displacements.
• All of the Concepts support the objectives of the locally adopted land use plans.
• Utility impacts are anticipated to be more significant under Concepts #8 and #12 than under Concepts #9 and #10.
• All of the Concepts are anticipated to enhance and support economic development within the study area.
• All of the Concepts provide interchange improvements that provide opportunities to enhance the image of the interchange as a western gateway to the City of Ontario.

Cost

From a cost and constructability perspective, the detailed assessment of each Concept revealed the following:

• Concept #8 has the highest estimated construction cost while Concept #10 has the lowest estimated construction cost.

All of the Concepts possess certain construction staging challenges; however there are no design features that completely inhibit the ability to maintain existing traffic flows.

Environmental / Social

From an environmental / social perspective, the detailed assessment of each Concept revealed the following:

• All of the Concepts will have some level of negative environmental impacts.
• All of the Concepts will have some level of negative social impacts, however Concept #9 is anticipated to have a significant social impact due to its alignment south of I-84.

All of the Concepts are anticipated to have no significant change to the existing Stormwater drainage issues currently being experienced in the study area. The new construction associated with the interchange creates a potential opportunity to incorporate drainage design features that would benefit floodprone areas in the project area.

Accessibility

From an accessibility perspective, the detailed assessment of each Concept revealed the following:

• All of the Concepts balance local property access with the function of OR 201.
• All of the Concepts are consistent with the adopted access management policies. Concept #8 may require an FHWA exception for the Ontario State Park access.
A preliminary FHWA review of Alternative #8 indicated that any viable alternative for future Ontario State Park access should be considered over a direct connection to the State Park opposite the westbound I-84 exiting loop ramp. A review of potential alternatives indicated that access to the State Park could be achieved through the use of a frontage road as noted in the previous bullet.

From the engineering based alignment investigation, it was determined that the impact to the Malheur River Bridge could not be avoided without significant design exceptions under either Alternative.

As a result of these findings, a preferred interchange alignment plan was developed for Alternative #8 and Alternative #10. These preferred alignment and interchange forms are illustrated in Figures 4-5 and 4-6 respectively. These alignment plans illustrates a westerly shift of the OR 201 alignment north of I-84 in both Alternatives, leaving a portion of the existing OR 201 alignment for use as a frontage road for the Ontario State Park and other properties located along the Snake River. South of I-84, OR 201 (Yturri Beltline) would be projected from its present terminus at Washington Avenue up to the new interchange structure.
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On June 30, 2004, the PPMT and SAC committee members met to review the detailed alignment and operations assessment of the two recommended build alternatives. In the development and selection of the preferred OR 201 alignment, it was noted that OR 201 under both alternatives would have relatively similar alignments to one another. However, in terms of selecting a preferred interchange form, it was found that Alternative #8 had the following distinct advantages over Alternative #10:

- The westbound exiting loop ramp would eliminate delay associated with the critical left-turn movement of diamond interchanges by converting it to a right-turn movement. Forecast turning movement volumes are anticipated to exceed 300 design hour vehicles by the year 2025.
- Depending upon the ultimate design of the interchange (5-lane bridge structure), the right-turn movement of an exiting loop ramp could be designed such that trucks and vehicles could make a continuous free-flowing right-turn movement onto southbound OR 201 through the use of an add-lane.
- The exiting loop ramp creates a three-legged intersection compared to a four-legged intersection under a traditional diamond interchange design. As such, there is no vehicular conflict for pedestrian and bicycle movements along the east side of the interchange structure. With the Ontario State Park located just to the north, bicycle and pedestrian movements are likely to be a significant travel mode in the region through the year 2025. With vehicular conflicts minimized, this interchange design type would provide more flexibility in the accommodation of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
- The distinct form of Alternative #8 allows for a greater distance (or spread) between the westbound and eastbound interchange ramp terminals. This distance, approximately 1,000 feet, would meet the minimum long-term queuing and design standard requirements of the ODOT Highway Design Manual. The distance between the two ramp terminals under Alternative #10 would not meet the minimum long-term queuing or design standard requirements.
- In comparison to a diamond interchange under Alternative #10, the exiting loop ramp feature of Alternative #8 has the potential to minimize traffic disruption during the construction staging process.

Based on these main advantages, both the PPMT and SAC committees recommended that the general alignment and interchange form of Alternative #8 be included as part of the North Ontario IAMP.
FINALIZATION OF LOCAL ACCESS & CIRCULATION

The second component of the interchange design process is the supporting local access and circulation network within the vicinity of the interchange. Like the development of the interchange design form, workshops were held for the PPMT/SAC committees as well as interested citizens, business owners, and landowners in a public open house setting.

Following the completion of the access and circulation workshops, the consultant team developed a series of individual access and circulation alternatives for the interchange study area based on the ideas generated during the workshop exercises. Appendix “I” of the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix contains detailed descriptions and graphical representations of these initial five alternatives.

Preferred Local Access & Circulation

Following a qualitative review of the five local access and circulation concepts, the PPMT and SAC committees determined that the components of Alternative #5 should be included in the North Ontario IAMP. A description of these major components are provided below while Figure 4-7 provides a graphical illustration.

- The elimination of the Washington Avenue/Oregon Street intersection and a subsequent realignment of Washington Avenue to Oregon Street.
- An extension of Park Boulevard to Falcon Drive and an extension of Malheur Drive from Park Boulevard to Oregon Street.
- A new roadway (linking to the existing OR 201 roadway) that would provide access to the Ontario State Park and other adjacent properties located along the east side of OR 201. This roadway would connect to OR 201 approximately 1,125 feet north of the westbound I-84 ramp terminal.
- A realignment of Malheur Drive near Verde Drive to eliminate the series of sharp roadway curves.
- Minor collector roadways located a minimum of 500 feet south of Washington Avenue and 500 feet east of Verde Drive to serve potential future development within the “Employment Zone” east of the Yturri Beltline.
North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan

Short-Term Transportation Improvement Plan

Figure 5-1
### Table 5-2
Medium/Long-Term Transportation Improvement Project Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Segment / Intersection</th>
<th>Description of Improvement</th>
<th>Estimated Cost¹ (Year 2004 $)</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 9 New North Ontario Interchange | - When required to meet ODOT's mobility standards:  
  - Widen the North Ontario Interchange to a five-lane roadway section from Washington Avenue to the westbound I-84 ramp terminal. This would include an interchange bridge widening as well as the ramp terminal improvements listed under projects #10, #11 & #12. The bicycle and pedestrian bridge element would be eliminated and shifted to a separated facility as described in Project #21. | - $6,200,000 | - STIP |
| 10 I-84 Westbound Ramp Terminal | - When required to meet ODOT's mobility standards:  
  - Reconfigure the westbound exiting loop ramp to provide a continuous free-flowing right-turn movement onto OR 201 through the use of an add lane. The add lane would be done in conjunction with the widening of the North Ontario interchange.  
  - Develop a second northbound through lane in association with the widening of the interchange. This second through lane should taper back into one through lane a minimum of 500 feet north of the westbound ramp terminal. | - Cost included as part of Project #9 cost. | - STIP |
| 11 I-84 Eastbound Ramp Terminal | - When required to meet ODOT’s mobility standards:  
  - Widen the eastbound exit ramp to provide dual right-turn lanes onto OR 201 southbound.  
  - Widen the northbound approach to provide an additional through travel lane.  
  - Widen the southbound approach to provide an additional through travel lane. | - $250,000 | - STIP  
- PDF  
- LID  
- SDC |
| 12 Yturri Beltline / Washington Ave Intersection | - When required to meet ODOT’s mobility standards:  
  - Widen the northbound and southbound Yturri Beltline approaches to include an additional through travel lane.  
  - Widen the eastbound Washington Avenue approach to include a right-turn lane onto the Yturri Beltline. | - $250,000 | - STIP  
- PDF  
- LID  
- SDC |
| 13 OR 201 Access Road | - Widen the northbound and southbound OR 201 approaches to include a left-turn lane at the OR 201 access road.  
- Develop a local street connector roadway that would serve properties along the east side of OR 201 as they redevelop in order to reduce reliance on OR 201 for direct access. | - $150,000 | - STIP  
- PDF  
- LID  
- GSF |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Segment / Intersection</th>
<th>Description of Improvement</th>
<th>Estimated Cost&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; (Year 2004 $)</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 NW 24&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Avenue</td>
<td>- Develop a minor collector roadway along the NW 24&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Avenue corridor from NW 11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Street to N. Verde Drive. &lt;br&gt; - Extend NW 24&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Avenue to Falcon Drive and eliminate the Falcon Drive/NW 11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Street Intersection.</td>
<td>$1,125,000</td>
<td>LID, PDF, SDC, GSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 NW 13&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Street</td>
<td>- Develop a minor collector roadway along the NW 13&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Street corridor from NW 22&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Avenue to Falcon Drive. &lt;br&gt; - Realign NW 13&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Street south of Washington Avenue to eliminate offsetting intersections. Establish access control south of Washington Avenue to NW 18&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Street.</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>LID, PDF, SDC, GSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 NW 22&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Avenue</td>
<td>- Develop a minor collector roadway along the NW 22&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; Avenue corridor from NW 13&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Street to N. Verde Drive.</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>LID, PDF, SDC, GSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Malheur Drive</td>
<td>- As documented in the existing Ontario Transportation System Plan, extend Malheur Drive from Park Boulevard to Oregon Street. &lt;br&gt; - Realign Malheur Drive near Verde Drive to eliminate a series of sharp curves in the roadway. Establish access control along the realigned Malheur Drive for a distance of 500 feet.</td>
<td>$1,475,000</td>
<td>LID, PDF, SDC, GSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Park Boulevard</td>
<td>- As documented in the existing Ontario Transportation System Plan, extend Park Boulevard from Malheur Drive to the realigned portion of Washington Avenue. Establish access control along Park Boulevard 500 feet south of Washington Avenue and 330 feet north of Washington Avenue. &lt;br&gt; - Extend Park Boulevard north of the realigned Washington Avenue to connect into a modified local street network.</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>PDF, SDC, GSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Employment Zone Access</td>
<td>- Establish access off of the future extension of Park Boulevard at least 500 feet south of the realigned portion of Washington Avenue. This access point will serve a future network of local and collector roadways to be developed as part of the future &quot;Employment Zone&quot; district. &lt;br&gt; - Establish access off of Malheur Drive at least 500 feet east of Verde Drive. This access point will serve a future network of local and collector roadways to be developed as part of the future &quot;Employment Zone&quot; district.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>LID, PDF, SDC, GSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Yturri Beltline/Verde Drive Intersection</td>
<td>- Provide dual westbound left-turn lanes and a right-turn lane. &lt;br&gt; - In association with the dual westbound left-turn lanes, widen Verde Drive south of the Yturri Beltline for a distance of approximately 500 feet. &lt;br&gt; - Provide a northbound and southbound right-turn lane.</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>STIP, LID, PDF, SDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 I-84 Bike/Pedestrian Bridge</td>
<td>- Construct a separated bicycle/pedestrian bridge over I-84 to connect the Ontario State Park to the south side of the North Ontario IAMP study area.</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>STIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Segment / Intersection</td>
<td>Description of Improvement</td>
<td>Estimated Cost(^\d) (Year 2004 $)</td>
<td>Potential Funding Sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Road Reconstruction</td>
<td>Upon adjacent property redevelopment, reconstruct/pave portions of Verde Drive, Falcon Drive, NW 13(^{\text{th}}) Street, NW 11(^{\text{th}}) Street, NW 16(^{\text{th}}) Avenue, NW 18(^{\text{th}}) Avenue, and NW 22(^{\text{nd}}) Avenue to the full minor collector standards.</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>LID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Potential Funding Sources include the following:

STIP - Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (ODOT)
LID - Local Improvement District (Malheur County or City of Ontario)
GSF - General Street Fund (Malheur County or City of Ontario)
PDF - Private Development Funds (Malheur County or City of Ontario)
SDC - System Development Charge (Malheur County or City of Ontario)

\(^1\) The reported project costs are conceptual level planning estimates that are reflective of 2004 dollars.
Medium- / Long-Term Transportation Improvement Plan
ACCESS MANAGEMENT PLAN

As part of the North Ontario IAMP, future access locations and public street connections were evaluated for properties located along OR 201/Yturri Beltline. Access locations were evaluated based on ODOT’s Division 51 Access Management standards and an assessment of traffic operations and safety as described in Action 3C.3 of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan. Access locations were developed to minimize impacts to primary facilities (Yturri Beltline/OR 201) serving the interchange area. The intent of the Access Management Plan is to identify the location of site-access driveways and internal circulation routes for properties that will be impacted by the new freeway interchange/extension of the Yturri Beltline or for properties located within the interchange area that are likely to redevelop at some point in the future. The plan, as illustrated in Figure 5-4 and described in the following paragraphs, shall be applied by ODOT, the City of Ontario, and Malheur County in future land use decisions involving the properties located within the IAMP study area.

OR 201 (North of I-84)

Short-Term Access Modifications

Currently, all properties north of I-84 have access to OR 201 via individual highway approaches as previously documented in Figure 2-6. Under ODOT’s current access management policy, the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan stipulates that the desired distance between an interchange ramp terminal and the first major highway approach (public or private) should be 1,320 feet (¼ mile). With the development of the new OR 201 freeway interchange, a number of these existing properties will become subject to this policy.

Through the guidance of the North Ontario IAMP planning process, properties located off of OR 201 will take future access via a consolidated access location to be established approximately 1,125 feet north of the new westbound I-84 ramp terminal. This access point will be developed at the time the short-term interchange and bridge structure is constructed. For properties located along the east side of OR 201 including the Ontario State Park, the existing access rights to OR 201 will be bought from the property owners located south of the 1,125 foot consolidated access point to the Ontario State Park. With the new alignment of OR 201 shifting to the west, the old alignment of OR 201 will become a frontage road providing access to these properties. This frontage road will then link to the consolidated access location via a short connecting roadway.

For properties located along the west side of OR 201 including the Idaho Power Substation, the existing access rights to OR 201 will be bought from the property owners and the driveways closed. For any properties remaining after the new OR 201 alignment is shifted to the west, a cross-access easement will be developed and an access road constructed to the consolidated OR 201 access location at 1,125 feet north of the westbound I-84 ramp terminal.

Medium/Long-Term Access Management

As a result of the new North Ontario interchange and bridge structure, the majority of OR 201 highway approaches will be closed with new access provided via parallel frontage and backage roads to a new consolidated access location. For the remaining existing highway approaches located between the consolidated access location and the Malheur River Bridge, the long-term strategy is to work towards the District Highway access management standards/policies through the implementation of the following strategies:
• Existing legally permitted approach driveways shall continue to be allowed individual access to OR 201. As redevelopment of property occurs, the access spacing provisions of OAR 734-051 will be implemented.

• Identify illegal approaches and close (those driveways constructed since 1949 without a permit from ODOT) or if appropriate, place under permit. For legal approach permits, condition the permit to state that private access will be eliminated when other alternate, reasonable access becomes available to the property.

• Where properties have alternate, reasonable access by some means other than directly to OR 201, purchase any remaining rights of access to the highway.

• Establish crossover easements on all compatible parcels (considering topography, access, and land use) to consolidate future access between adjoining parcels. Figure 5-5 illustrates how this process could, in the long run, facilitate compliance with access management objectives. As suggested in Figure 5-5 and the supporting text of Table 5-3, driveways along the highway will eventually move in the overall direction of the access spacing standards as development and redevelopment occurs along the study corridor.

Table 5-3
Example of Crossover Easement / Indenture / Consolidation - Conditional Access Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EXISTING – Currently Lots A, B, C, and D have site-access driveways that neither meet the access spacing criteria nor align with driveways or access points on the opposite side of the highway. Under these conditions motorists are put into situations of potential conflict (conflicting left turns) with opposing traffic. Additionally, the number of side-street (or site-access driveway) intersections decreases the operation and safety of the highway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>REDEVELOPMENT OF LOT B - At the time that Lot B redevelops, the local jurisdiction would review the proposed site plan and make recommendations to ensure that the site could promote future crossover or consolidated access. Next, the local jurisdiction would issue conditional permits for the development to provide crossover easements with Lots A and C, and ODOT would grant a conditional access permit to the lot. After evaluating the land use action, ODOT would determine that LOT B does not have either alternative access, nor can an access point be aligned with an opposing access point, nor can the available lot frontage provide an access point that meets the access spacing criteria for this segment of highway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>REDEVELOPMENT OF LOT A – At the time Lot A redevelops, the local jurisdiction and ODOT would undertake the same review process as with the redevelopment of Lot B (see Step 2); however, under this scenario ODOT and the local jurisdiction would use the previously obtained cross-over easement at Lot B to consolidate the access points of Lots A and B. ODOT would then relocate the conditional access of Lot B to align with the opposing access point and provide safe and efficient access to both Lots A and B. The consolidation of site-access driveways for Lots A and B will not only reduce the number of driveways accessing the highway, but will also eliminate the conflicting left-turn movements on the highway by the alignment with the opposing access point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>REDEVELOPMENT OF LOT D – The redevelopment of Lot D will be handled in the same manner as the redevelopment of Lot B (see Step 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>REDEVELOPMENT OF LOT C – The redevelopment of Lot C will be reviewed once again to ensure that the site will accommodate crossover and/or consolidated access. Using the crossover agreements with Lots B and D, Lot C would share a consolidated access point with Lot D and will also have alternative frontage access via the shared site-access driveway of Lots A and B. By using the crossover agreement and conditional access permit process, the local jurisdiction and ODOT will be able to eliminate another access point and provide the alignment with the opposing access points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>COMPLETE – After Lots A, B, C, and D redevelop over time, the number of access points will be reduced and aligned, and the remaining access points will either meet or move in the direction of the access spacing plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Access Management Strategy
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EXAMPLE OF CROSS-OVER EASEMENT / INDENTURE / CONSOLIDATION / CONDITIONAL ACCESS PROCESS

FIGURE 5-5
Yturri Beltline & Other Supporting Roadways (South of I-84)

Short-Term Access Modifications

ODOT has already established access control along the existing portions of the Yturri Beltline, limiting access along this facility within the North Ontario IAMP study area to N. Verde Drive and Washington Avenue. With the short-term extension of the Yturri Beltline to the new interchange and bridge structure, access control will be established along the extension resulting in a continuous limited access highway south of I-84.

As a result of this roadway extension, NW 20th Avenue, NW 22nd Avenue, and Falcon Drive will be bisected by the highway embankment. As described in Table 5-1, turn-around treatments will be constructed at the bisected roadways. No other short-term access or local circulation modifications are required as a result of the short-term interchange reconfiguration or Yturri Beltline extension.

Long-Term Access Management

With access restricted to the Yturri Beltline, access to future property development/redevelopment will occur from existing and future public road connections located within the North Ontario IAMP study area such as the future extension of Park Boulevard, Malheur Drive, and other collector/local circulation roadways. Specific long-term access management objectives include the following:

* For the Washington Avenue and N. Verde Drive access portals to the Yturri Beltline, the City of Ontario will want to ensure through the development review process that access be restricted along these portals for the purposes of maintaining their long-term safety and operational performance. West of the Yturri Beltline, adjacent property access should be restricted to Washington Avenue between NW 13th Street and the established access control line. East of the Yturri Beltline, adjacent property access should be restricted to Washington Avenue between the future extension of Park Boulevard and the established access control line. This access control should continue along Washington Avenue to Falcon Drive and a portion of Oregon Street.
* Along the future extension of Park Boulevard, access should be restricted south of Washington Avenue for a distance of approximately 500 feet.
* Along the realigned section of Malheur Drive, access should be restricted west of Verde Drive for a distance of approximately 500 feet.
* For the remaining existing and future collector/local circulation roadways, access to individual properties shall be evaluated based on the City of Ontario’s existing access management guidelines. Generalized access concepts are illustrated in Figure 5-4 for individual properties based on these current access management guidelines.

Access Management Deviation Process

It should be noted that these strategies mostly apply to new development or redevelopment; existing accesses are allowed to remain as long as the land use does not change. As a result, access management is a long-term process in which the desired access spacing to a street slowly evolves over time as redevelopment occurs. It should also be kept in mind that parcels cannot be land-locked, and must have some way of accessing the public street system. This may mean allowing shorter access spacing than would otherwise be allowed.
Access deviations may be provided to parcels whose highway frontage, topography, or location would otherwise preclude issuance of a conforming permit and would either have no reasonable access or cannot obtain reasonable alternate access to the public road system. In such a situation, a conditional access permit may be issued by ODOT for a single connection to a property that cannot be accessed in a manner that is consistent with the adopted spacing standards. The permit may carry a condition that the access may be closed at such time that reasonable access becomes available to a local public street. Approval conditions might also require a given land owner to work in cooperation with adjacent land owners to provide either joint access points, front and rear crossover easements, or a rear-access upon future development.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NORTH ONTARIO IAMP

Implementation of the North Ontario IAMP will occur at several levels of government. As required in the OTC Conditions of Approval for OTIA Funding for the North Ontario Interchange, both the City of Ontario and Malheur County will be required to amend their Transportation System Plans to incorporate the elements of the North Ontario IAMP. This amendment process will include Planning Commission/City Council hearings at the City level and Planning Commission/County Commission hearings at the County level. Following successful adoption at the City and County levels, the North Ontario IAMP will be presented to the OTC for review and approval.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

The following outline discusses the major Transportation System Plan amendments that will need to occur at both the City and County levels to support adoption of the North Ontario IAMP.

City of Ontario

- The Roadway Functional Classification Plan as illustrated in Figure 5-6 shall be amended by reference into the City’s Transportation System Plan. This includes modifying the current designation of NW 20th Avenue from a Major Collector to a Minor Collector.
- The future short-term and medium/long-term transportation improvement projects listed in Tables 5-1 and 5-2 shall be included in the Street and Highway project list of the Transportation System Plan.
- Amend the official city zoning map to include the 103-acre “Employment Zone”.
- Adopt modifications to the City development review standards.

Malheur County

- The Roadway Functional Classification Plan as illustrated in Figure 5-6 shall be amended by reference into the County’s Transportation System Plan.
- The future short-term and medium/long-term transportation improvement projects listed in Tables 5-1 and 5-2 shall be included in the Roadway Plan improvement project list of the Transportation System Plan.
- Adopt modifications to the County development review standards.

OTC

- The North Ontario IAMP shall be adopted by the OTC as part of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan.
Section 6

OTC and OAR Compliance


**OTC and OAR Compliance**

The following paragraphs discuss the various conditions and policy based compliance issues that pertain to the development of the North Ontario IAMP.

**OTC Compliance**

As part of its January 16, 2002 proceedings, the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) approved Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding to design and construct the North Ontario interchange. As a condition of funding, the OTC required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be prepared in association with the design of the new interchange/bridge structure before funds for construction were to be released. Included with the requirement for preparing an IAMP, the OTC also listed several conditions that needed to be addressed as part of the IAMP itself. Table 6-1 identifies these conditions and documents how the North Ontario IAMP is in compliance.

**OAR Compliance**

The North Ontario IAMP was developed in collaboration with ODOT, the City of Ontario, and Malheur County and was developed in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the State of Oregon's Oregon Administrative Rules for Interchange Access Management Planning and Interchange Area Management Planning. Table 6-2 identifies the required planning elements from OAR 734-051 and documents how the North Ontario IAMP satisfies the requirements.
### Table 6-1
**OTC Conditions for the North Ontario IAMP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTC Conditions for Preparing the North Ontario IAMP</th>
<th>HOW THE ISSUE IS ADDRESSED</th>
<th>REPORT REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| "Protection of resource lands will be addressed in the interchange management plan."

Through the IAMP process, a mixed-use "employment zone" has been developed for 103-acres of UGB property located immediately southwest of the North Ontario interchange. This IAMP anticipates that the "employment zone" will be adopted by the City of Ontario and included in their development ordinances. This zone calls for the conversion of residential property to commercial employment uses in an area that was recently brought into the City's Urban Growth Boundary. It is intended to provide sufficient land for employment growth as deemed necessary through the City's Periodic Review work program with the State. Based on this upcoming action and the fact that the City amended its UGB as recently as 1999, it is unlikely that resource lands within the vicinity of the North Ontario Interchange will be impacted. In fact, the only EFU land within the North Ontario IAMP study area is considered to have the lowest priority for potential inclusion in a UGB expansion as it is classified as "high-value farmland". This designation as well as the need to address established statewide planning goals (Goal 2: Land Use Planning, Goal 3: Agricultural Lands, Goal 11: Public Facilities Planning, Goal 12: Transportation, and Goal 14: Urbanization) will continue the protection of the few resource lands within the North Ontario IAMP Study Area. | Section 2 and Appendix "B" |

| "The City of Ontario and Malheur County shall adopt the interchange area management plan as part of a legally binding, enforceable intergovernmental agreement between the City of Ontario, Malheur County and ODOT as provided in Oregon Law."

"If the agreement is to be terminated, the City of Ontario and Malheur County give notice to ODOT in advance of a public hearing on the matter and that the public hearing be held prior to the expiration of the agreement."

"Changes or termination of the agreement in advance of expiration shall require formal affirmative action by the Oregon Transportation Commission and the City of Ontario and Malheur County."

"The agreement can expire if the City of Ontario and Malheur County includes the interchange area management plan in its respective Transportation System Plan."

It is intended that the North Ontario IAMP will be included in the Ontario and Malheur County Transportation System Plans. The local hearings and adoption process is scheduled for early 2005. | - |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTC Conditions for Preparing the North Ontario IAMP</th>
<th>HOW THE ISSUE IS ADDRESSED</th>
<th>REPORT REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The interchange management plan will also include measures to prevent growth-induced development on exception lands or urban growth boundary expansion in the vicinity of the interchange.&quot;</td>
<td>As recently as 1999, the City of Ontario adopted amendments to the UGB to maintain a 20-year supply of buildable land as required by state law. This recent expansion as well as future employment zone modifications will provide sufficient long-term land supplies thereby limiting the need for future UGB expansions within the North Ontario IAMP study area. North of the North Ontario interchange, there are exception lands that are currently zoned for commercial development under the jurisdiction of Malheur County. However, the vast majority of this land is owned and occupied by the Idaho Power Company for the purposes of housing a major electric substation. The presence of this facility, future right-of-way takings for the purposes of reconstructing the North Ontario Interchange, and the presence of the Malheur and Snake Rivers limit future growth potential within the remaining affected exception lands. The North Ontario IAMP has identified access management and safety related improvements that maintain the function, safety, and integrity of the North Ontario interchange for any future development that may occur as a result of the allowed zoning.</td>
<td>Section 2 and Appendix &quot;B&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The interchange area management plan will provide for the protection of safe and efficient operation of the interchange between connecting roadways and will minimize the need for major improvements to existing interchanges.&quot;</td>
<td>As part of the project, the North Ontario interchange will be completely reconstructed by the year 2007. Based on future through traffic growth along OR 201/Yturi Beltline and additional growth potential within the IAMP study area, specific transportation improvement projects have been identified to ensure that the interchange will continue to operate in a safe and efficient manner through the 2025 planning horizon year.</td>
<td>Section 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Designation of OR-201 from Airport Way to Cairo Junction as an expressway as per the definition in the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan.&quot;</td>
<td>The OR 201 Corridor Refinement Plan has been prepared for the OR 201 corridor between Airport Way and Cairo Junction that addresses the future Expressway designation. This plan presents long-term circulation and access management improvements that can be implemented over time that allow the corridor to be designated as an Expressway. This plan will move into the local adoption process beginning in November 2004 and ultimately be presented to the OTC for approval and adoption.</td>
<td>See the OR 201 Corridor Refinement Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6-2
**OAR 734-051 Issues Addressed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAR 734-051 Reference</th>
<th>OAR 734-051 Requirement</th>
<th>HOW THE ISSUE IS ADDRESSED</th>
<th>REPORT REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0155(4)(a)</td>
<td>&quot;Prepared for a logical segment of the state highway and include sufficient area to address highway operation and safety issues and development of adjoining properties including local access and circulation.&quot;</td>
<td>All intersections located within the 1,320 foot spacing standard of the North Ontario interchange are included in the access management plan. In addition, the IAMP study area extends beyond the 1,320 foot spacing standard and includes that are subject to future development as a result of the interchange reconstruction and Yutri Beltline project.</td>
<td>Section 1 and Section 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(b)</td>
<td>&quot;Describe the roadway network, right-of-way, access control, and land parcels in the analysis area.&quot;</td>
<td>The existing transportation network, right-of-way, access control, and land use patterns are described in Section 2 of the North Ontario IAMP.</td>
<td>Section 2 and Appendix &quot;B&quot; &amp; &quot;C&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(c)</td>
<td>&quot;Developed in coordination with local governments and property owners in the affected area.&quot;</td>
<td>To ensure that adequate project coordination and public participation occurred throughout the development of the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan, a series of Project Planning Management Team (PPMT), Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC), and Public Workshop meetings were held over the course of the project. The City of Ontario, Malheur County, and ODCT have representatives on each of these committees and have actively participated throughout the development of the IAMP.</td>
<td>Appendix &quot;A&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(d)</td>
<td>&quot;Are consistent with any adopted Transportation System Plan, Corridor Plan, Local Comprehensive Plan, or Special Transportation Area or Urban Business Area designation, or amendments to the Transportation System Plan...&quot;</td>
<td>The North Ontario IAMP was developed consistent with the current Transportation System Plans of the City of Ontario and Malheur County. Where modifications to these plans are necessary, specific changes are highlighted under the &quot;Proposed Amendments&quot; heading of Section 5.</td>
<td>Section 5 and Appendix &quot;B&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(e)</td>
<td>&quot;Consistent with the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan&quot;</td>
<td>The North Ontario IAMP is consistent with the definition and Actions under Policy 3C of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan.</td>
<td>Sections 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(f)</td>
<td>&quot;Contain short, medium, and long-range actions to improve operations and safety and preserve the functional integrity of the highway system.&quot;</td>
<td>Section 5 of the North Ontario IAMP contains a listing of short and medium/long-term transportation improvement projects that will ensure the functional integrity of the North Ontario Interchange through the 2025 planning horizon year.</td>
<td>Section 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(g)</td>
<td>&quot;Consider whether improvements to local street networks are feasible.&quot;</td>
<td>Local street improvement recommendations have been evaluated and recommended to meet future development needs within the interchange study area.</td>
<td>Section 5 and Appendix &quot;J&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(h)</td>
<td>&quot;Promote safe and efficient operation of the state highway consistent with the highway classification and...&quot;</td>
<td>Transportation improvement projects are identified to ensure that the transportation infrastructure continues to meet minimum</td>
<td>Section 5 and Appendix &quot;I&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAR 734-051 REFERENCE</td>
<td>OAR 734-051 Requirement</td>
<td>HOW THE ISSUE IS ADDRESS</td>
<td>REPORT REFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(f)</td>
<td>&quot;Consider the use of the adjoining property consistent with the comprehensive plan designation and zoning of the area.&quot;</td>
<td>operational standards through the 2025 horizon year.</td>
<td>Section 3, 6 and Appendix &quot;I&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (4)(k)</td>
<td>&quot;Approved by the Department through an intergovernmental agreement and adopted by the local government, and adopted into a Transportation System Plan unless the jurisdiction is exempt from transportation system planning requirements under OAR 660-012-0055.&quot;</td>
<td>The development of the North Ontario IAMP accounted for regional growth in highway traffic as well as reasonable future year buildout of the study area. Based on these growth assumptions, access management, transportation safety and capacity improvement recommendations were made for the existing and future year transportation facilities located within the IAMP study area.</td>
<td>Section 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(a)</td>
<td>IAMPs...&quot;Should be developed no later than the time an interchange is designed or is being redesigned&quot;</td>
<td>The planning process that went into the development of the North Ontario IAMP began in advance of the preliminary interchange design process. This order of events ensured that the recommendations presented in the North Ontario IAMP were reflected in the preliminary design work of the interchange.</td>
<td>Appendix &quot;A&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(b)</td>
<td>IAMPs should...&quot;Identify opportunities to improve operations and safety in conjunction with roadway projects and property development or redevelopment and adopt strategies and development standards to capture those opportunities.&quot;</td>
<td>The North Ontario IAMP assumed future year buildout of the vacant/undeveloped properties within the IAMP study area. To accommodate the development of these properties, future year access and operational improvements are identified to ensure sufficient traffic operations and safety of the adjacent transportation network.</td>
<td>Section 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(c)</td>
<td>IAMPs should...&quot;Contain short, medium, and long-range actions to improve operations and safety and preserve the functional integrity of the highway system.&quot;</td>
<td>See response under 0155 (4)(f).</td>
<td>Section 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(d)</td>
<td>IAMPs should...&quot;Consider current and future traffic volumes and flows, roadway geometry, traffic control devices, current and planned land uses and zoning, and the location of all current and planned approaches.&quot;</td>
<td>The development of the North Ontario IAMP accounted for regional growth in highway traffic as well as reasonable future year buildout of the study area. Based on these growth assumptions, access management, transportation safety and capacity improvement recommendations were made for the existing and future year transportation facilities located within the IAMP study area.</td>
<td>Section 5 and Appendices &quot;G&quot; and &quot;I&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAR 734-051 REFERENCE</td>
<td>OAR 734-051 Requirement</td>
<td>HOW THE ISSUE IS ADDRESSED</td>
<td>REPORT REFERENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(e)</td>
<td>IAMPs should...“Provide adequate assurance of the safe operation of the facility through the design traffic forecast period, typically 20 years.”</td>
<td>Transportation improvement projects are identified to ensure that the transportation infrastructure continues to meet minimum operational standards through the 2025 horizon year.</td>
<td>Section 5 and Appendix “G” and “I”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(f)</td>
<td>IAMPs should...“Consider existing and proposed uses of all the property in the interchange area consistent with its comprehensive plan designations and zoning.”</td>
<td>A detailed explanation of how existing and proposed land uses were accounted for in the development of the North Ontario IAMP is provided in Section 3 and in Appendix “G”.</td>
<td>Section 3 and Appendix “I”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(g)</td>
<td>IAMPs...“Are consistent with any adopted Transportation System Plan, Corridor Plan, Local Comprehensive Plan, or Special Transportation Area or Urban Business Area designation, or amendments to the Transportation System Plan...”</td>
<td>See response under 0155 (4)(d).</td>
<td>Section 5 and Appendix “B”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(h)</td>
<td>IAMPs are...“Consistent with the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan”</td>
<td>See response under 0155 (4)(e).</td>
<td>Sections 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0155 (6)(i)</td>
<td>IAMPs are...“Approved by the Department through an intergovernmental agreement and adopted by the local government, and adopted into a Transportation System Plan...”</td>
<td>See response under 0155 (4)(k).</td>
<td>Section 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE:        April 11, 2005

TO:          Oregon Transportation Commission

FROM:        Bruce A. Warner
             Director

SUBJECT: Agenda E ~ Adoption of the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)

Requested Action:
Region 5 requests Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) adoption of the North Ontario IAMP for the replacement of a deficient bridge and new highway alignment of OR 201 at the interchange with I-84. The proposed plan is required as a condition of approval for Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding. Adoption of the plan constitutes an amendment to the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP).

Region 5 requests OTC amendment of the OHP to classify the new North Ontario Interchange Bridge and Yturri Beltline as a Statewide Highway.

Background:
As a part of the January 16, 2002, proceedings, the OTC approved OTIA funding to design and construct a new freeway interchange and bridge structure. As a condition of funding, the OTC required that an IAMP be prepared in association with the design of the replacement interchange/bridge structure before funds for construction were to be released.

The same conditions of approval were applied to the Rickreal and Jackson School Road projects. The approved conditions required the IAMP to include restrictions on urban growth boundary expansions that could be induced by the project. The City of Ontario objected to the more stringent land use restrictions adopted by the OTC and argued that the existing interchange is surrounded by land that could be urbanized, either within the city’s urban growth area or zoned for commercial development under Malheur County’s jurisdiction. Region 5 agreed that the primary reason for the North Ontario Interchange project is to replace a structurally and functionally deficient bridge and complete the Yturri Beltline by connecting it directly to I-84. The project itself would provide some additional capacity, but not a significant amount.

After consultation with OTC members, Region 5 entered into an Intergovernmental Agreement with the city and county to proceed with the development of an IAMP that includes strict access control and is designed only to serve the uses in the approved comprehensive plans.
The development of the North Ontario IAMP began in July 2003 and has undergone an extensive process involving representatives from the City of Ontario, Malheur County, interested citizens, adjacent property and business owners and affected state agencies. The selected alternative would provide additional capacity to accommodate planned land use for the medium term (10-15) years, and allows for additional expansion when the need arises (long term).

The IAMP identifies that as recently as 1999, the City of Ontario adopted amendments to the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) to maintain a 20-year supply of buildable land as required by state law. The recent expansion will provide sufficient long-term land supplies thereby limiting the need for future UGB expansions within the North Ontario IAMP study area. In addition, the vast majority of county land is owned and occupied by the Idaho Power Company for purposes of housing a major electric substation. The presence of this facility, right-of-way takings for the purposes of reconstructing the North Ontario Interchange, and the proximity to the Malheur and Snake Rivers limit future growth potential within the remaining county exception lands.

The City of Ontario and Malheur County are currently in the local adoption process to amend their comprehensive plans (transportation system plans), by ordinance, to include by reference the IAMP. Final hearings are scheduled for March 21 and March 23, respectively.

A project vicinity map is included as Exhibit A. A study area map is included as Figure 1-1 in the North Ontario IAMP. City of Ontario and Malheur County Land Use Designations are included as Figure 2-2. The preferred alignment and interchange form is included as Figure 4-5. ODOT findings of fact for OTC and Oregon Administrative Rule Compliance are attached as Exhibit B. Findings of fact for the City of Ontario and Malheur County that demonstrate compliance with Statewide Planning Goals, Transportation Planning Rule and local comprehensive plans are attached as Exhibit C. The North Ontario IAMP is provided as Exhibit D.

Additional copies of the North Ontario IAMP can be requested from Teresa Penninger, ODOT Region 5 Planning (541) 963-1344.

Notification of this OTC action has been provided to the City of Ontario, Malheur County, Representatives on the Stakeholder Advisory Committee and the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD).

Exhibits:
A) Vicinity Map
B) ODOT Findings
C) City of Ontario and Malheur County Findings
D) North Ontario Interchange Management Plan

Copies (w/exhibits) to:
Doug Tindall    Lori Sundstrom    Teresa Penninger    Judy Sherrard
Mike Marsh      John Jackley     Craig Greenleaf     Jerri Bohard
Patrick Cooney  Monte Grove     Alan Arceneaux     Bob Cortright, DLCD
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Exhibit A
Vicinity Map
Exhibit B
ODOT Findings
North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan

ODOT’s State Agency Coordination Agreement requires that the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) adopt findings of fact when adopting facility plans (OAR 731-015-065). Pursuant to this requirement ODOT Region 5 provides the following findings in support of the OTC amending the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) by adopting the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) as the facility plan and interchange area management plan for Oregon Highway 201 (also known as the Olds Ferry – Ontario Highway No. 455) in the vicinity of the I-84/OR 201 interchange. Replacement of the I-84/OR 201 interchange bridge is currently scheduled for construction beginning in the summer of 2006.

FINDING: As part of the January 16, 2002 proceedings, the OTC approved Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding to design and construct a new freeway interchange and bridge structure. As a condition of the funding, the OTC required that an IAMP be prepared in association with the design of the replacement interchange/bridge structure before funds for construction were to be released. Included with the requirement for preparing an IAMP, the OTC also listed several conditions that needed to be addressed as part of the IAMP itself. Table 6-1 of the document identifies these conditions and documents how the North Ontario IAMP is in compliance.

FINDING: The North Ontario IAMP was developed in collaboration with ODOT, the City of Ontario, and Malheur County and was developed in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the State’s Oregon Administrative Rules for Interchange Access Management Planning and Interchange Area Management Planning. Table 6-2 of the document identifies the required planning elements from OAR 734-051 and documents how the North Ontario IAMP satisfies the requirement.

FINDING: On March 21, 2005 the City of Ontario is scheduled to adopt amendments to their Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan to incorporate by reference the North Ontario IAMP. On March 23, 2005 Malheur County is scheduled to adopt amendments to their Comprehensive Plan and Transportation System Plan to incorporate by reference the North Ontario IAMP. Their adoption will be supported by findings of fact that demonstrated compliance with the OHP, Transportation Planning Rule (OAR 660-012), and their own Comprehensive and Transportation System Plan. A copy of the draft City of Ontario and Malheur County findings of fact are included in Exhibit C.

The OTC hereby adopts the findings of fact used by the City of Ontario and Malheur County as their own in support of their adoption of the North Ontario IAMP as the facility plan and interchange area management plan for Oregon Highway 201 (also known as the Olds Ferry – Ontario Highway No. 455) in the vicinity of the I-84/OR201 interchange.

These findings do not address OHP Policy 3C or MV 51.155(5-c) criteria. Do you think they should?
Exhibit C
City and County Findings
ORDINANCE NO. 2554-2005

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CITY OF ONTARIO TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN TO INCLUDE THE NORTH ONTARIO INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN ENABLING THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW INTERCHANGE AND BRIDGE STRUCTURE AND ASSOCIATED LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS.

WHEREAS, The existing two-lane bridge structure that carries OR 201 over I-84 is functionally obsolete and structurally deficient;

WHEREAS, The Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) approved Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding to design and construct a new freeway interchange and bridge structure in January 2002;

WHEREAS, As a condition of funding construction for the project, the OTC required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be prepared in association with the design of the new interchange/bridge structure and adopted by the City of Ontario and Malheur County;

WHEREAS, In the Summer of 2003 ODOT contracted with the firm CH2M Hill to manage a project consultant team to develop the North Ontario IAMP;

WHEREAS, The City Staff, elected, and appointed officials worked closely with the Oregon Department of Transportation and project consultant team in planning for future improvements to the interchange, through participation on the Project Planning Management Team (PPMT) for the North Ontario Interchange Bridge project and the development the IAMP;

WHEREAS, A Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) group, comprised of local citizens, property owners, and business owners, convened throughout the course of the project and actively participated in the development of the IAMP;

WHEREAS, In addition to the technical review work provided by the PPMT and SAC, the project consultant team met with interested citizens and adjacent property/business owners on a regular basis to provide additional opportunities for the public to comment on the design of the future interchange structure and the supporting local circulation network;

WHEREAS, The City of Ontario hosted two public workshops and two public meetings during the course of the IAMP planning process so that the public could participate in the design of the interchange and local circulation patterns and had opportunities to review the project’s process and to provide feedback;

WHEREAS, The North Ontario IAMP documents the land use planning, transportation planning, access management, public involvement, and preliminary design work that resulted in the Preferred Alternative and Interchange Form and the Preferred Local Access and Circulation Plan,
WHEREAS, The City has held public hearings on the North Ontario IAMP on February 14, 2005 and March 7, 2005;
WHEREAS, Malheur County is scheduled to hold public hearing on the North Ontario IAMP in order to adopt the document in parallel with the city;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF ONTARIO ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

2. Based upon the Findings of Fact, the Ontario Transportation System Plan is amended to include the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (Volume 1).
3. Transportation improvements detailed and listed in Section 5 of the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (Volume 1) are hereby amended by reference into the Street and Highway Project List in the City of Ontario Transportation System Plan and the Roadway Plan section of the Malheur County Transportation System Plan respectively.
4. The Technical Appendix of the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (Volume 2) is adopted as a supporting document to the City’s Transportation System Plan.
5. CORRECTIONS:
   A. Page 9, paragraph 5 is to be replaced in its entirety with the following:
   In 1999 the City Council adopted an ordinance that revised the Urban Growth Boundary and rezoned land in the UGA in order to accommodate a projected deficit in land available for residential, commercial and public facilities. The buildable lands analysis and subsequent changes to the City’s Comprehensive Plan were prescribed by the City’s Periodic Review work program with the State. As part of this action, 103 acres south of the North Ontario Interchange previously designated residential were reclassified as commercial as illustrated in Figure 2-2. While the City of Ontario’s Comprehensive Plan was amended per the 1999 ordinance to reflect this change, commercial zoning was to take place “as soon as feasible (p. 8, Exhibit A Findings of Fact, Ordinance No.2417).” However, after DLCD accepted ordinance 2417, they asked the city to leave the 103 acres in UGA residential zoning, as infrastructure to support high-density commercial development was not available in the area. The 5-acre minimum lot size requirement of UGA residential would prevent dense development until either the infrastructure was in place, or policies and procedures were adopted to prevent disorderly development.

   B. Page 10, paragraph 4 is to be replaced in its entirety with the following:
   City of Ontario Ordinance No. 2417 amended the Comprehensive Plan to accommodate more commercial, residential and public facilities land in the UGB. As part of this action, 103 acres of UGA Residential were reclassified as UGA Commercial. Part of the area subject to this change falls within Sub-Area “F.” The Comprehensive Plan designation has changed for this area, but at the suggestion of DLCD, it has not been rezoned to commercial. No commercial development can take place until a zone change has been approved. However, the City’s intention that this area to the southwest of the interchange be available for future commercial development is clearly detailed in the 1999 ordinance’s supporting findings.

   C. Page 10, paragraph 5 is to be replaced in its entirety with the following:
   Discussions with City of Ontario staff and residents indicate that the City is interested in encouraging travel oriented commercial uses in the OR 201/I-84 area.
Since the Yturri Beltline is a main truck route, commercial services that would accommodate this activity include hotel/motel establishments and gasoline service stations. These uses are also allowed in the City of Ontario’s C-2, General Commercial Zone. The most flexible of the City’s commercial designations, C-2-H, Heavy General Commercial Zone, allows outright all of the principle uses in the C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) and C-2 zones, as well as “truck stop with transient motel.” When annexed to the City, the areas designated UGA Commercial will likely be rezoned to General Commercial or Heavy General Commercial in order to accommodate the types of travel and automotive-related uses envisioned for this area.

D. Page 20, paragraph 1 is to be replaced in its entirety with the following:

As previously discussed in Section 2’s land use summary, the City of Ontario adopted an ordinance in 1999 that revised the Urban Growth Boundary and designated land uses in the UGA in order to accommodate a projected deficit in land available for residential, commercial, and public facilities. The buildable lands analysis and subsequent changes to the City’s Comprehensive Plan were prescribed by the City’s Periodic Review work program with the State. As part of this action, 103 acres of land within the North Ontario IAMP study area previously designated residential were reclassified as commercial. While the City of Ontario’s Comprehensive Plan was amended per the 1999 ordinance to reflect this change, commercial zoning was to take place “as soon as feasible.” However, at the behest of DLCD the zoning map change was delayed to prevent disorderly development of the property.

E. Page 54, last sentence of first partial paragraph is to be replaced in its entirety with the following:

This may mean allowing shorter access spacing than would otherwise be allowed.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Ontario this 21st of March, 2005, by the following vote:

AYES: Cummings, Allen, Gaskill, Cammack, Cheatham, Mosier, Jacobs

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

APPROVED by the Mayor this 21st day of March, 2005.

ATTEST:

LeRoy Cammack, Mayor

Tori Ankrum, City Recorder
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE MALHEUR COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLAN TO INCLUDE THE NORTH ONTARIO INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN ENABLING THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW INTERCHANGE AND BRIDGE STRUCTURE AND ASSOCIATED LOCAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, The existing two-lane bridge structure that carries OR 201 over I-84 is functionally obsolete and structurally deficient;

WHEREAS, The Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) approved Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding to design and construct a new freeway interchange and bridge structure in January 2002;

WHEREAS, As a condition of funding construction for the project, the OTC required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be prepared in association with the design of the new interchange/bridge structure and adopted by Malheur County;

WHEREAS, In the Summer of 2003 ODOT contracted with the firm CH2M HILL to manage a project consultant team to develop the North Ontario IAMP;

WHEREAS, The County and City Staff, elected, and appointed officials worked closely with the Oregon Department of Transportation and project consultant team in planning for future improvements to the interchange, through participation on the Project Planning Management Team (PPMT) for the North Ontario Interchange Bridge project and the development of the IAMP;

WHEREAS, A Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) group, comprised of local citizens, property owners, and business owners, convened throughout the course of the project and actively participated in the development of the IAMP;

WHEREAS, In addition to the technical review work provided by the PPMT and SAC, the project consultant team met with interested citizens and adjacent property/business owners on a regular basis to provide additional opportunities for the public to comment on the design of the future interchange structure and the supporting local circulation network;

WHEREAS, Malheur County and the City of Ontario hosted two public workshops and two public meetings during the course of the IAMP planning process so that the public could participate in the design of the interchange and local circulation patterns and had opportunities to review the project’s process and to provide feedback;

WHEREAS, The North Ontario IAMP documents the land use planning, transportation planning, access management, public involvement, and preliminary design work that resulted in the Preferred Alternative and Interchange Form and the Preferred Local Access and Circulation Plan;
WHEREAS, Malheur County held public hearing on the North Ontario IAMP on March 9, 2005, and March 23, 2005, in accordance with Malheur County Code, Chapter 10, Legislative Amendments.

WHEREAS, The Malheur County Court has reviewed all evidence and testimony submitted at the Malheur County hearings.

WHEREAS, it is the County Court’s expectation that ODOT will work with the adjacent property owners to address any adverse effects of water run off from any ODOT facility, in particular the adjacent property owned by the Poole family. ODOT agreed during the public hearing that it would specifically work with the property owners to maintain historic water and storm drainage capabilities, subject to environmental regulations.

WHEREAS, it is the County’s expectation that ODOT will work on local road circulation and improvements as the adjacent property to the facility develops. Immediate funding as part of the interchange project is not available to pave or otherwise improve NW 11th, 20th or Verde Drive. To the extent local road circulation needs to be addressed, ODOT will explore partnering with Rural Road District #3, Malheur County or the City of Ontario to effect needed improvements.

WHEREAS, ODOT will address landscaping surrounding the facility during final design. It is the County Court’s expectation that the area will be maintained and have a pleasing appearance as an entrance into the community. The landscaping does not have to be park-like, mowed or planted with trees. It is expected that the area will be weed free and consist of native vegetation or rock.

NOW, THEREFORE, MALHEUR COUNTY COURT ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

The Findings of Fact, attached hereto as Exhibit A, are hereby adopted and herein incorporated by reference.

Based upon the Findings of Fact, the Malheur County Transportation System Plan is amended to include the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (Volume 1), attached hereto as Exhibit B. with amendments attached hereto as Exhibit C.

Transportation improvements detailed and listed in Section 5 of the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (Volume 1) are hereby amended by reference into the Malheur County Transportation System Plan.

The Technical Appendix of the North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan (Volume 2), attached hereto as Exhibit D. with amendments attached hereto as Exhibit E. is adopted as a supporting document to the County’s Transportation System Plan.

EMERGENCY AND EFFECTIVE DATE: This ordinance is effective upon the date it is passed and adopted by the Malheur County Court. An emergency exists for an immediate effective date for the general health, safety and welfare of the public.
Passed and adopted this 23rd day of March 2005.

Dan P. Joyce
County Judge

Jim Nakano
County Commissioner

Stephanie A. Williams
County Counsel

Louis M. Wettstein
County Commissioner

Kim Mason
Recording Secretary
NORTH ONTARIO INTERCHANGE ACCESS MANAGEMENT PLAN

FINDINGS OF FACT
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BACKGROUND

Located just outside the northwest portion of the current Ontario city limits, OR 201 crosses I-84 at the North Ontario interchange. Inspections of the existing two-lane bridge that spans I-84 have revealed that this structure is functionally obsolete and structurally deficient. As part of its January 16, 2002 proceedings, the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) approved Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding to design and construct a new freeway interchange and bridge structure. As a condition of funding, the OTC required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be prepared in association with the design of the new interchange/bridge structure. Based on the OTC directive, ODOT contracted with private sector firms to prepare the IAMP as well as develop the initial planning and engineering for a new interstate overpass structure and associated improvements at the North Ontario Interchange.

The subject area is described in the Introduction section of the North Ontario IAMP and is generally an area bounded to the north by the Malheur River, to the west by N. Verde Drive, to the south by Malheur Drive, and to the east by the Snake River/Ontario State Park. Figure 1-1 in the North Ontario IAMP illustrates the study area.

This proposal is to amend the City of Ontario and Malheur County Transportation System Plans to include the respective planning elements of the North Ontario IAMP. Approval of this proposal is considered a legislative action, as transportation system plans are considered elements of comprehensive plans. The North Ontario IAMP adoption is subject to the procedures in the Oregon City Code Chapter 10B-15, Legislative Amendment Procedures, and Malheur County Code Chapter 10 Legislative Amendments.

III. PROPOSAL INTRODUCTION

The Oregon Transportation Commission approved Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) funding for modifications to the North Ontario Interchange Bridge at its January 16, 2002 meeting. The Commission required that an Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP) be developed and submitted for their review and approval before funds for construction are released. Findings that support the local adoption of the North Ontario IAMP are included in this document.

CONFORMANCE WITH STATEWIDE PLANNING GOALS

The following provides findings that demonstrate that the adoption of the North Ontario IAMP is consistent with LCDC’s Goals.

Goal 1: Citizen Involvement

Response: Public notice for the hearing on this application will be provided through the City of Ontario’s and Malheur County notification procedures. The public will have an opportunity to review the application and staff report in advance of the public hearings scheduled at the City and County and provide testimony at the hearing.

In addition to the upcoming public comment opportunities, the development of the North Ontario IAMP was guided by a Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC), a special advisory group comprised of local citizens, property owners, and business owners. Supplemented input from the SAC, members of the general public have had opportunities to consider all aspects of the IAMP through a series of four public open house meetings. These public meetings gave interested citizens an opportunity to review the background and technical work
as it was being compiled and developed over the course of the IAMP production process. These meetings were also instrumental as forums that gave the public an opportunity to provide information to the project consultant team.

The North Ontario IAMP process also has been advised by a Project Planning Management Team ("PPMT"), consisting of technical advisors from the jurisdictions and agencies involved with the project. Earlier explorations of transportation and land use issues, including assumptions about future growth in the City of Ontario's Urban Growth Area (UGA), have been considered in the PPMT and SAC meetings, and was part of background material for two public open house meetings held in Ontario. Each of the SAC, PPMT, and public meeting proceedings are summarized in Appendix A of the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix.

Goal 2: Land Use Planning

Response: The IAMP adoption application has prepared a thorough factual base that demonstrates that this proposed action is consistent with the applicable adopted plans and has been coordinated with the affected governmental units.

Goal 3: Agricultural Lands

Response: This Goal is not applicable. The majority of the IAMP study area is within the City of Ontario's Urban Growth Boundary and is designated Urban Growth Area with the intent that the area will serve the City's future commercial and industrial needs. The remaining portion of the IAMP study area located outside of the City's Urban Growth Boundary (north of the interchange) is zoned commercial by Malheur County and is committed with both commercial and residential uses.

Goal 4: Forest Lands

Response: This Goal is not applicable as there is no designated forest lands within the Ontario's Urban Growth Area or the subject area within Malheur County.

Goal 5: Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Open Spaces

Response: There are twelve Goal 5 resources: riparian corridors (including water and riparian areas and fish habitat), wetlands, wildlife habitat, Federal wild and scenic rivers, State scenic waterways, groundwater resources, approved Oregon recreation trails, natural areas, wilderness areas, mineral and aggregate resources, energy sources, and cultural areas.

Of these resources, riparian corridors associated with the Malheur River and Dork Canal and wetlands associated with the Malheur River are known to occur in the IAMP area. No wetlands are associated with Dork Canal within the project limits. The canal's source water is from the Malheur River and groundwater, and it discharges directly into the Snake River. The canal, which passes through a concrete culvert under I-84, is considered "Waters of the U.S." based on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) interpretation of the recent court case Headwaters Inc. v. Talent Irrigation District 243 F3d 526 (9th Circuit Court 2001). The Oregon Division of State Lands (ODSL) would also have jurisdiction under ORS Section 141-085-0015, Section 2eB, since it is a free-flowing, open canal that discharges into the Snake River.

The City of Ontario's 1992 Comprehensive Plan (City of Ontario, 1992) identified Goal 5 historic resources, none of which are located in the IAMP area. The Historic Baseline Report prepared for the project indicated that historic sites that are listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places do not exist in the project area (CH2M HILL, 2004). The Dork Canal was determined not eligible for listing on the NRHP (ODOT, 2005).
Goal 8: Recreational Needs

Response: This Goal is not applicable, as the proposal does not directly pertain to recreational needs. The proposed interchange improvements will modify access to the one recreational facility in the area, Ontario State Park. A representative from the Ontario State Park was part of the Stakeholder Advisory Committee and worked with the project consultant team in the development of the final interchange and access design recommendation.

Goal 9: Economic Development

Response: The adoption of the North Ontario IAMP will amend the local transportation system plans to include transportation improvements necessary for the replacement of the bridge structure over I-84 and a new, safer interchange that provides more direct access to the Yturri Beltline. The new interchange and the associated improvements will facilitate freight movement in this area, a chief concern for the economic viability of the City, County and State. In addition, the planned transportation system, as outlined in the IAMP, will facilitate business growth in the area southwest of the interchange and industrial growth in the area directly to the west. Approximately 103 acres in an area bisected by the Yturri Beltline was the subject to a 1999 UGB plan amendment re-designating it from Urban Growth Area Residential to Urban Growth Area Commercial. In a separate action, the City and County are currently considering a rezoning of this 103 acres to EMP, Employment Zone, a combination of light industrial and heavy Commercial. No land in the IAMP area is designated as an Enterprise Zone.

Goal 10: Housing

Response: Among other criteria, the alternatives analysis that was conducted to determine the preferred alternative for the interchange design weighed the impacts each possible design had on the built environment. Within the City’s Urban Growth Boundary, many of the direct impacts to existing residences from proposed transportation improvements were avoided through modification of the interchange design. Due to the natural constraint of the Snake River and the large power substation, this was not the case north of the interchange and any of the interchange design options considered would affect several homes and businesses in the County. These residents and property owners have participated in the IAMP planning process and, where necessary, are in the process of being compensated by the State for the loss of the use of their property.

Goal 11: Public Facilities and Services

Response: A principle concern identified early in the interchange planning process was limiting the impact of the future interchange on the adjacent Idaho Power substation. The quantitative analysis of the four screened concepts (Appendix G in the North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix) included utility impacts as one of the land-use scoring criteria. While the existing electric substation was the primary consideration, interchange design alternatives were also evaluated for their ability to accommodate future utility infrastructure including water, sewer, power lines, etc.

Sanitary sewer, storm drainage, and water service were determined to be adequate to serve the City’s UGA; the City’s Stormwater Master Plan (2004) and Sanitary Sewer Master Plan (2002) have recently been updated to address service in this area. Regarding water supply, the City of Ontario installed two new water mains for future business and residential development in anticipation of the work associated with the Yturri Beltline and the connection with the proposed North Ontario Interchange. These water mains were placed south of the existing interchange. The City does not anticipate installing any new water supply, sewer lines, or other infrastructure improvements in the UGA until new development.
requires such improvements.

**Goal 12: Transportation**

**Response:** The adoption of the North Ontario IAMP will ensure that the interchange operates safely and efficiently. As demonstrated by the transportation analysis conducted as part of the North Ontario IAMP, the planned transportation system plan will be adequate to serve trips generated by the future land uses. The proposed plan amendment will "significantly affect" the transportation system as defined in the Transportation Planning Rule because it includes modifying the roadway functional classification for several roadways located within the North Ontario IAMP study area. (see Section V. Conformance with the Transportation Planning Rule).

**Goal 13: Energy Conservation**

**Response:** This goal is met through the adoption of the North Ontario IAMP, which contains a preferred roadway network and necessary transportation improvements to implement a multimodal, safe, and efficient transportation system in the vicinity of the North Ontario interchange. The evaluation criteria that were used to determine the preferred interchange design alternative included transportation operations elements. These elements include those that address energy efficiency by providing a transportation system that is designed for different types of trips, not just those made by automobile, and that efficiently interconnects land uses. Specific evaluation criteria included providing a transportation system that is efficient and can safely accommodate all modes of transportation, that a local circulation network is maintained, and that the roadway network is interconnected in order to provide alternate travel routes, reduce trip lengths and encourage walking and bicycling.

**Goal 14: Urbanization**

The North Ontario IAMP has identified a preferred alternative for the reconstruction of the North Ontario interchange. This facility will be planned to accommodate future expected growth in the vicinity and to effectively and efficiently move traffic on and off I-84 from the newly constructed OR-201 (Yurri Beltline). The areas affected to the south of the interchange are within the City of Ontario’s Urban Growth Boundary. Some land in the subject area is within the current city limits, but most lies within the City’s Urban Growth Area and has been identified by the City as an area suitable for future urbanization to accommodate projected residential, commercial and public facilities growth needs.

Land to the north of the interchange is in Malheur County and is outside of the Urban Growth Boundary. This area is zoned for commercial uses, but future development in the area is limited by the existing Idaho Power substation, the Snake River, and the Ontario State Park.

An improved interchange will improve access to this area of Ontario and Malheur County, a fact that could make the area more attractive to growth. However, the North Ontario Interchange is subject to the provisions of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan, which stipulates that the distance between an interchange ramp terminal and the first major highway approach (public or private) should be 1,320 feet (1/4 mile). This distance corresponds to the spacing standard outlined in the OAR 734-051 Division 51 rules for interchange ramps. In addition, the North Ontario IAMP includes an access management plan to minimize the impacts to primary facilities (Yurri Beltline/OR 201).

North of the interchange, in Malheur County, the proposed realignment of OR 201 will displace several existing homes and businesses along the west side of the highway. With the development of the new OR 201 freeway interchange, a number of the existing properties in Malheur County on the east side, along the Snake River, will become subject to the 1999
Oregon Highway Plan and will not have direct access onto the highway. Due to limited access, the realignment of OR 201, and existing development, future development between the interchange and the Malheur River is limited.

V. CONFORMANCE WITH THE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING RULE

660-012-0025 Complying with the Goals in Preparing Transportation System Plans; Refinement Plans

(2) Findings of compliance with applicable statewide planning goals and acknowledged comprehensive plan policies and land use regulations shall be developed in conjunction with the adoption of the TSP.

An IAMP is considered a Refinement Plan in that it amends the transportation system plan in a way that determines, at a systems level, the function, mode or general location of transportation elements, the planning for which was deferred during transportation system planning because more detailed information was needed. Findings included in Section IV, Conformance with Statewide Planning Goals, satisfies this Transportation Planning Rule requirement.

OAR 660-12-060 Plan and Land Use Regulations Amendments

(1) Amendments to functional plans, acknowledged comprehensive plans, and land use regulations which significantly affect a transportation facility shall assure that allowed land uses are consistent with the identified function, capacity, and performance standards (e.g. level of service, volume to capacity ratio, etc.) of the facility. This shall be accomplished by either:

(a) Limiting allowed land uses to be consistent with the planned function, capacity, and performance standards of the transportation facility;
(b) Amending the TSP to provide transportation facilities adequate to support the proposed land uses consistent with the requirements of this division;
(c) Altering land use designation, densities, or design requirement to reduce demand for automobile travel needs through other modes; or
(d) Amending the TSP to modify the planned function, capacity and performance standards, as needed, to accept greater motor vehicle congestion to promote mixed use, pedestrian friendly development where multimodal travel choices are provided.

(2) A plan or land use regulation amendment significantly affects a transportation facility if it:

(a) Changes the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility;
(b) Changes standards implementing a functional classification system;
(c) Allows types of levels of land uses which would result in levels of travel or access which are inconsistent with the functional classification of a transportation facility; or
(d) Would reduce the performance standards of the facility below the minimum acceptable level identified in the TSP.

Response: The development of a preferred North Ontario interchange form and alignment
entailed an examination of the existing surrounding roadway network, land use patterns, and existing and future travel patterns. The resulting North Ontario IAMP includes a list of projects associated with the construction of a new I-84 freeway interchange and OR 201 bridge/ramp structure, as well as improvements to the existing local roadway network consistent with this major improvement and the future land uses in the vicinity. The North Ontario IAMP includes proposed amendments to the City of Ontario and Malheur County's respective Transportation System Plans to ensure that the acknowledged plans are consistent with the identified function, capacity, and performance standards of the proposed interchange and associated transportation system improvements. The proposed amendments include adopting a Roadway Functional Classification Plan (Figure 5.6 in the North Ontario IAMP). The proposed amendment significantly affects a transportation facility because it includes modifying the roadway functional classification for several roadways located within the North Ontario IAMP study area. To satisfy the Transportation Planning Rule, the City and County Transportation System Plans must be amended to include projects that support the reconfiguration and reconstruction of the North Ontario interchange.

CONFORMANCE WITH TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PLANS

City of Ontario

In January 2000, the City of Ontario adopted the November 1999 Final Draft Report of the City of Ontario Transportation System Plan (TSP). The City implemented the new TSP by amending the Ontario Municipal Code in December 2000 (Ordinance No. 2447). Ordinance 2447 also amended the City's Comprehensive Plan. Relevant goals, policies and requirements from this document, as they pertain to this application, are addressed below.

**Overall Transportation Goal**

*Develop a transportation system that enhances the livability of Ontario and accommodates growth and development through careful planning and management of existing and future facilities.*

**Goal 1: Improve and enhance safety and traffic circulation on the local street system.**

Objectives:

- Improve and maintain existing roadways.
- Develop an efficient grid system for the community by improving the local street system.
- Identify and develop truck routes to reduce truck traffic downtown.
- Examine the need for speed zone investigations and potential speed reductions.
- Evaluate the need for improved signalization in specific areas.
- Identify local problem spots and recommend solutions.
- Identify ways to minimize safety concerns and disturbances caused by

---

3 In December 2004, the City of Ontario initiated a rezoning process for approximately 103 acres in the City's Urban Growth Area. As noted in the transportation analysis prepared for inclusion in the North Ontario IAMP (Appendix D, North Ontario IAMP Technical Appendix), the proposed zone change to a commercial/industrial zone (EMP Employment) will result in greater daily trip generation than would occur with development under the original plan designation (residential and industrial). However, the new I-84/OR-201 bridge structure will need to be a five-lane structure under either a UGA residential or EMP Zone scenario in order to meet ODOT's highway performance standards. Implementing a commercial zone in the subject area will not reduce the performance standards of the bridge crossing. Commercial zoning in this area is consistent with the planned function, capacity, and performance standards of the transportation facility, with the inclusion of the transportation projects listed in the IAMP.
Response: The adoption of the North Ontario IAMP satisfies this goal through the inclusion of an Access Management & Circulation Plan (Figure 5-4) that identifies the proposed location of future roadways in the vicinity of the North Ontario interchange. To the extent possible, given the major improvements planned for the North Ontario Interchange, the proposed circulation plan maintains a grid system, consistent with the objectives of this goal. The proposed access management plan included in the IAMP is designed to protect the function of the planned improvements. Tables 5-1 and 5-2 identify the projects necessary to construct a safe and efficient interchange and OR 201 bridge/ramp structure, as well as the associated local roadway improvements, including signalization, to ensure the long-term functionality of the local transportation system.

Goal 2: Identify transportation system needs to accommodate developing or undeveloped areas.

Objectives:

- Provide policies and standards that address street connectivity, spacing, and access management.
- Integrate new streets into the city grid systems with an emphasis on taking the pressure off of traditionally heavy traffic collectors.
- Improve access into and out of Ontario for goods and services.

Response: A large section of the North Ontario IAMP study area is within the City of Ontario’s UGA. The North Ontario IAMP includes a series of short- and medium/long-term transportation improvement projects, an Access Management & Circulation Plan (Figure 5-4), and a supplemental Roadway Functional Classification Plan (Figure 5-6) that are designed to accommodate regional and local growth within the study area. Adoption of these plan elements satisfies this goal by providing a plan for future infrastructure projects to serve developing areas, providing access to properties via a safe and efficient roadway network, and ensuring that the surrounding transportation network is in conformance with the recently constructed Yturri Beltline and future North Ontario interchange.

Goal 3: Increase the use of alternative modes of transportation (walking, bicycling, and transit) through improved access, safety, and service.

Response: Tables 5-1 and 5-2, the Transportation Improvement Project Summary table, identify pedestrian and bicycle elements of the transportation projects associated with the new interchange. In the short term, there will be a separated bicycle/pedestrian travel way. When the interchange is widened to a five-lane roadway section, a separate bicycle/pedestrian bridge over I-84 will need to be constructed to connect the Ontario State Park to the south side of the North Ontario IAMP study area (Project #21).

Goal 4: Improve intraregional and interregional transportation connections.

Objectives:

- Improve facilities for freight movement by truck, rail, and other applicable modes.
- Work with the state and other agencies to maintain and enhance Ontario’s role as a participant in regional transportation solutions.

Response: Planning for the replacement of the structurally deficient North Ontario Interchange and the future extension of the Yturri Beltline to the new interchange structure was driven by the state’s interest in maintaining freight movement. I-84 is classified an
Interstate Highway and is part of the National Highway System. The primary function of the Interstate is to provide connections to major cities, regions of the State, and other states. I-84 is a major freight route and the primary objective of this facility is to provide mobility. A secondary function in urban areas is to provide connections for regional trips within the metropolitan area. Upon completion of the Yturri Beltline project, the portion of OR 201 located south of I-84 is likely to be re-classified as a Statewide Highway and Freight Route by the Oregon Transportation Commission along with the formal statewide adoption of the North Ontario IAMP. It provides vital connections and links between small urbanized areas, rural centers, and urban hubs in eastern Oregon and western Idaho, and also serves local access and traffic in and around Ontario.

The City of Ontario has been an active partner in the North Ontario IAMP planning process, participating both on the technical end, with city staff members on the Project Planning Management Team ("PPMT") and in policy decisions, made with the assistance of local official and representatives on the Stakeholder Advisory Committee ("SAC").

10-12-4 POLICIES: GENERAL TRANSPORTATION

6. The city shall maintain a Transportation System Plan (TSP) for the purpose of classifying streets and other rights of way and assisting in prioritizing projects for the capital improvement program. The Ontario TSP is an element of the City of Ontario Comprehensive Plan. As such, it identifies the general location of transportation improvements.

Response: This proposal includes amending the City's TSP to include transportation projects necessary to support the replacement of the North Ontario freeway interchange. Section 5 of the North Ontario IAMP includes a list of short-term improvements that are necessary to implement the preferred interchange design alternative and medium- to long-term projects that will be necessary to accommodate future development within the surrounding study area. By adopting the North Ontario IAMP, the City will also be amending the Transportation System Plan to include a supplemental Roadway Functional Classification Plan (Figure 5-6). The Roadway Functional Classification Plan shows the location of the future roadway system in the vicinity of the interchange, a large portion of which is outside the city limits in the Urban Growth Area, and indicates the roadway design appropriate for the expected future traffic.

RECOMMENDED ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR ZONING REGULATIONS (TITLE 10B) AMENDMENTS

10B-20-17 AMENDMENTS AFFECTING TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES

(1) A plan or land use regulation amendment significantly affects a transportation facility if:

(A) Changes the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility;

(B) Changes standards implementing a functional classification system;

(C) Allows types of levels of land uses which would result in levels of travel or access which are inconsistent with the functional classification of a transportation facility; or

(D) Would reduce the performance standards of the facility below the minimum acceptable level identified in the Transportation System Plan.

(2) Amendments to the comprehensive plan and land use regulations which significantly affect a transportation facility shall assure that allowed land uses are consistent with the function, capacity, and level of service of the
facility identified in the Transportation System Plan. This shall be accomplished by one of the following:
(A) Limiting allowed land uses to be consistent with the planned function of the transportation facility;
(B) Amending the Transportation System Plan to ensure that existing, improved, or new transportation facilities are adequate to support the proposed land uses consistent with the requirement of the Transportation Planning Rule; or,
(c) Altering land use designations, densities, or design requirements to reduce demand for automobile travel and meet travel needs through other modes.

Response: The proposed amendments to the City of Ontario's TSP include adopting a supplemental Roadway Functional Classification Plan for the area in the vicinity of the North Ontario interchange. This includes the provision of new minor collector roadway classification provisions for existing local streets. Because of this change in functional classification, the adoption of the North Ontario IAMP will "significantly affect" the transportation system as defined in the Transportation Planning Rule under OAR 600-12-060 (2) (a) through (d). In order to support the implementation of the preferred interchange design alternative, the City will need to amend the TSP to include both transportation system improvement projects associated with the reconfigured interchange as well as the local roadway system that is consistent with these improvements.

Malheur County

Malheur County's Transportation System Plan was adopted in 1998. Relevant goals, policies and requirements from this document, as they pertain to this application, are addressed below.

4. Recommended Policies for Protection of Transportation Facilities

The County shall protect the function of existing or planned roadways or roadway corridors through the application of appropriate land use regulations.

Response: The North Ontario IAMP planning process evaluated existing and future land use patterns when developing the interchange design alternatives and the local roadway network in the vicinity of the interchange. The resulting IAMP that is being proposed for adoption includes a list of projects associated with the construction of a new North Ontario interchange and supporting bridge/ramp structures, as well as improvements to the existing local roadway network that supports the surrounding land uses. In addition, the North Ontario IAMP includes an access management plan to protect the function of the proposed interchange and the Yturri Beltline/OR 201.

Other Policies protecting Transportation Facilities

The County shall coordinate with the Department of Transportation to implement highway improvements listed in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that are consistent with the Transportation System Plan and comprehensive plan.

Response: The North Ontario Interchange Project includes the design and construction of a new interstate overpass structure to replace the existing North Ontario Interchange Bridge and is referenced by a key number (#08635) in the 2004-2007 STIP.

Adoption of the North Ontario IAMP is consistent with this coordination policy as both ODOT and Malheur County have been extensively involved in collaborative land use and
transportation planning throughout the North Ontario Interchange Bridge project. ODOT has funded the planning and public participation process to prepare documentation for, and the design of, an interchange replacement on I-84 that is summarized in the North Ontario IAMP. The project was advised by a Project Planning Management Team ("PPMT"), consisting of technical advisors from the Malheur County, the City of Ontario, and ODOT. In addition, the North Ontario IAMP was guided by a Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) that consisted of a special advisory group comprised of local citizens, property owners, and business owners.

Recommended Regulations to Assure that Amendments are Consistent with the Transportation System Plan

All development Proposals, plan amendments, or zone changes shall conform with the adopted Transportation System Plan.

Response: The Malheur County Transportation Plan (Chapter 7) includes a “Roadway Plan” section that recommends a detailed program of collector and arterial road and bridge improvements. Projects are listed in Table 7-4, Malheur County Prioritized Capital Improvement Program. Included in the CIP is “Replace Structurally Deficient Bridges.” The North Ontario IAMP revises this list to include specific transportation improvements associated with the North Ontario Interchange, the anticipated timeline for these projects, and the identified or potential funding sources.

A plan or land use regulation amendment significantly affects a transportation facility if it:

\[a\] Changes the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility;

\[b\] Changes standards implementing a functional classification system;

\[c\] Allows types of levels of land uses which would result in levels of travel or access which are inconsistent with the functional classification of a transportation facility; or

\[d\] Could reduce the performance standards of the facility below the minimum acceptable level identified in the Transportation System Plan.

Amendments to the comprehensive plan and land use regulations which significantly affect a transportation facility shall assure that allowed land uses are consistent with the function, capacity, and level of service of the facility identified in the Transportation System Plan. This shall be accomplished by one of the following:

\[a\] Limiting allowed land uses to be consistent with the planned function of the transportation facility;

\[b\] Amending the Transportation System Plan to ensure that existing, improved, or new transportation facilities are adequate to support the proposed land uses consistent with the requirement of the Transportation Planning Rule; or,

\[c\] Altering land use designations, densities, or design requirements to reduce demand for automobile travel and meet travel needs through other modes.

Response: The policy language in Malheur County’s TSP mirrors the Transportation Planning Rule, which is addressed in Section V. of this narrative.
NORTH INTERCHANGE AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN

Page 9 - Paragraph 5

In 1999 the City Council adopted an ordinance that revised the Urban Growth Boundary and rezoned land in the UGA in order to accommodate a projected deficit in land available for residential, commercial and public facilities. The buildable lands analysis and subsequent changes to the City's Comprehensive Plan were prescribed by the City's Periodic Review work program with the State. As part of this action, 103 acres south of the North Ontario Interchange previously designated residential were reclassified as commercial as illustrated in Figure 2-2. While the City of Ontario's Comprehensive Plan was amended per the 1999 ordinance to reflect this change, commercial zoning was to take place "as soon as feasible" (p. 8, Exhibit A Findings of Fact, Ordinance No. 119-01-26-992417). At the start of the North Ontario IAMP development process, the zoning of the 103 acres had not yet been changed to commercial, leaving the underlying zoning as UGA Residential. However, after DLC日本 accepted ordinance 2417, they asked the city to leave the 103 acres in UGA residential zoning, as infrastructure to support high-density commercial development was not available in the area. The 5-acre minimum lot size requirement of UGA residential would prevent dense development until either the infrastructure was in place, or policies and procedures were adopted to prevent disorderly development.

Page 10, Paragraphs 4 & 5

City of Ontario Ordinance No. 119-01-26-992417 amended the Comprehensive Plan to accommodate more commercial, residential and public facilities land in the UGB. As part of this action, 103 acres of UGA Residential were reclassified as UGA Commercial. Part of the area subject to this change falls within Sub-Area "F." The Comprehensive Plan designation has changed for this area, but at the suggestion of DLC日本, it has not been rezoned to commercial. No commercial development can take place until a zone change has been approved. However, the City's intention that this area to the southwest of the interchange be available for future commercial development is clearly detailed in the 1999 ordinance's supporting findings. Page 10, Paragraph 5

Page 20, Paragraph 1

As previously discussed in Section 2's land use summary, the City of Ontario adopted an ordinance in 1999 that revised the Urban Growth Boundary and designated land uses in the UGA in order to accommodate a projected deficit in land available for residential, commercial, and public facilities. The buildable lands analysis and subsequent changes to the City's Comprehensive Plan were prescribed by the City's Periodic Review work program with the State. As part of this action, 103 acres of land within the North Ontario IAMP study area previously designated residential were reclassified as commercial. While the City of Ontario's Comprehensive Plan was amended per the 1999 ordinance to reflect this change, commercial zoning was to take place "as soon as feasible." When the development of the North Ontario IAMP began, the zoning of the 103 acres had not yet been changed to commercial, leaving the underlying zoning as UGA Residential. However, at the behest of DLC日本 the zoning map change was delayed to prevent disorderly development of the property.

Page 54, Line 1

This may mean allowing shorter access spacing than would otherwise be allowed.
In 1999 the City Council adopted an ordinance that revised the Urban Growth Boundary and rezoned land in the UGA in order to accommodate a projected deficit in land available for residential, commercial and public facilities. The buildable lands analysis and subsequent changes to the City’s Comprehensive Plan were prescribed by the City’s Periodic Review work program with the State. As part of this action, 103 acres south of the North Ontario Interchange previously designated residential were reclassified as commercial as illustrated in Figure 2-2. While the City of Ontario’s Comprehensive Plan was amended per the 1999 ordinance to reflect this change, commercial zoning was to take place “as soon as feasible” (p. 8, Exhibit A Findings of Fact, Ordinance No. 119-01-26-992417). At the start of the North Ontario IAMP development process, the zoning of the 103 acres had not yet been changed to commercial, leaving the underlying zoning as UGA Residential. However, after DLCD accepted ordinance 2417, they asked the city to leave the 103 acres in UGA residential zoning, as infrastructure to support high-density commercial development was not available in the area. The 5-acre minimum lot size requirement of UGA Residential would prevent dense development until either the infrastructure was in place, or policies and procedures were adopted to prevent disorderly development.

City of Ontario Ordinance No. 119-01-26-992417 amended the Comprehensive Plan to accommodate more commercial, residential and public facilities land in the UGB. As part of this action, 103 acres of UGA Residential were reclassified as UGA Commercial. Part of the area subject to this change falls within Sub-Area “F.” The Comprehensive Plan designation has changed for this area, but at the suggestion of DLCD, it has not been rezoned to commercial. No commercial development can take place until a zone change has been approved. However, the City’s intention that this area to the southwest of the interchange be available for future commercial development is clearly detailed in the 1999 ordinance’s supporting findings.
Exhibit D
North Ontario Interchange Area Management Plan