



May 25, 2005

MEMORANDUM

To: Campus Planning Committee (CPC)

From: Christine Taylor Thompson, Planning Associate
University Planning

Subject: **Record of the May 24, 2005 CPC meeting**

Attending: Carole Daly (Chair), Dietrich Belitz (late), Michael Fifield,
Gregg Lobisser, Colin McArthur, Randall McGowan, Steve Pickett,
Chris Ramey, Rob Ribe, Michael Stamm

Guests: Meghann Cuniff (ODE),

Staff: Christine Thompson (University Planning)

Agenda: Campus Plan Update – Final Review

1. Campus Plan - Final Review

Background: Staff briefed the committee on the Campus Plan update project to date as described in Appendix H of the *Campus Plan*. She reviewed the project goal of updating the campus plan while maintaining its fundamental concepts, so that it will effectively serve as a guide for the next ten years of campus development.

Staff also reviewed the three main objectives of the project scope:

1. To make the document easier to use and understand.
2. To strengthen the most critical plan components:
 - preservation and expansion of the open-space framework, and
 - a clear description of an effective planning and review process.
3. To increase development capacity to meet known needs.

UNIVERSITY PLANNING OFFICE

1276 University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403-1276 T (541) 346-5562 F (541) 346-6197 www.uoregon.edu/~uplan

Staff said the May 24, 2005 draft *Campus Plan* is considered complete with the exception of a few minor editorial changes, some additional photos, final pattern drawings, and the inclusion of the City's determination of consistency with the local comprehensive plan.

Staff said she will forward the *Campus Plan* to the City of Eugene Planning director for a determination of consistency once the committee recommends approval of the plan and the president approves the committee's recommendation. City determination of consistency is likely to be straight forward since the changes to the updated *Campus Plan* are primarily internal to the university's planning processes and do not affect city zoning or other land-use regulations.

Discussion: A member noted the importance of the pattern graphics and suggested that they be available for review and comment by interested committee members once they are completed. Staff welcomed additional member input. She explained that the committee could proceed with a recommendation to approve the plan with the understanding that staff would distribute the draft drawings to the committee via email for informal review and comment by interested committee members.

In response to a member's question, staff confirmed that although potential changes were discussed, the Science Green had not been modified as part of the update process. If a future proposal includes a building at the northern terminus of the green within the boundaries of the designated open space, it would have to pursue an amendment to the Plan. A member noted that the committee may encounter future difficulties when asked to respond to project requests that violate the campus plan yet are identified as essential programmatic needs by the project sponsor.

Members pointed out some minor editorial corrections. Staff confirmed that the Plan would be reviewed one final time for editorial corrections and that the *Campus Plan* would not be distributed until the graphics are completed and remaining minor editorial corrections are made.

Members said the updated *Campus Plan* is a significant improvement over the 1991 plan.

Action: The committee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that the 1991 *Long Range Campus Development Plan* be updated by replacing it with the final version of the May 24, 2005 *Campus Plan* subject to the following conditions:

1. The pattern graphics will be completed and provided for informal review and comment to committee members prior to Plan distribution.
2. All final minor editorial corrections will be made prior to Plan distribution.

Staff said the last meeting of the term will be Tuesday, May 31 from 1:00-2:45 at the School of Music. It will be a split meeting, beginning with a full CPC preliminary review

of the School of Music Alterations and Additions Project followed by a Design Review Subcommittee review of two smaller projects.

Please contact this office if you have questions.

cc. Adjacent Neighborhood chairs
Meghann Cuniff, ODE
Lorraine Davis, Academic Affairs
Frances Dyke, Administration
Mike Eyster, Housing
David Kelly, Eugene City Councilor
Tim King, Facilities Services
Anne Leavitt, Student Affairs
LRCDP Update Advisory Group members
Andrew Marcus, Geography (University Senate President)
Susan Muir, Director, Eugene Planning Division
Steve Nystrom, Eugene Planning
Jan Oliver, Institutional Affairs
Dan Williams, Administration