

## 2004-2005 Campus Planning Committee Annual Report

### Members:

Carole Daly, Chair	Development
Janna Alley	Facilities Services
Dietrich Belitz	Arts and Sciences
G. Z. Brown	Architecture
Sebastian Collet	Student
Michael Fifield	Architecture
Philip Sol Hart	Student
Dave Heeke	Athletics
Richard Linton	V. P. Research and Graduate Studies
Gregg Lobisser	Student Affairs
Colin Greer McArthur	Student
Randall McGowen	History
Gordon Melby	UO Housing
Steve Pickett	Disability Services
Andrzej Proskurowski	Computer & Info Sciences
Chris Ramey	University Planning
Robert Ribe	Landscape Architecture
Michael Stamm	English
Greg Stripp	Advancement
Christine Theodoropoulos	AAA

### Staff

Christine Thompson      University Planning (cthomps@uoregon.edu x5572)

### Meetings:

Since fall 2004, the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) and its two subcommittees have held nineteen meetings and forwarded fifteen recommendations to Administration. Campus Planning Committee meetings were well attended during this past year. CPC members also served on numerous project user groups. Staff educated the committee about its role in the campus development process and provided relevant background materials to enable well-informed decisions about complex issues. The committee was particularly active reviewing the update of the 1991 Long Range Campus Development Plan, now known as the 2005 Campus Plan.

## Summary of Action Taken:

10/21/04 CPC    Agenda:    **Orientation**  
                  Action:    No formal action.  
                  Approved: NA  
                  Status:    NA

11/02/04 CPC    Agenda:    **Tennis Courts Replacement and Athletic Fields Improvements Project - Schematic Design**

                  Action:    The committee unanimously agreed that the schematic design for the Tennis Courts Replacement and Athletic Fields Improvements Project is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Provide a clear, accessible path connection from the existing path along the existing PARS fields to the new tennis courts.
2. Install windscreens on only three sides of the tennis courts, similar to the previous courts, if possible to do so without disrupting the players' needs. The intent is to promote an open and inviting environment and, in particular, to provide a way for casual spectators to watch tennis without entering the gated area.
3. Ensure that no advertising is incorporated into the windscreens or mounted on the fences. Any proposed signage must comply with the Campus outdoor Sign Plan.
4. Research options to incorporate informal seating into the west retaining wall adjacent to the tennis courts to accommodate casual spectators.
5. Make every effort to use panel rail fencing in the project area to match existing 4-foot and 6-foot fencing in the adjacent areas. This excludes the 10-foot fencing surrounding the tennis courts.
6. Prepare designs for the two proposed buildings and bring them before the Design Review Subcommittee for review.
7. Ensure that the tennis-court facility safety concerns are addressed.

Approved:    DAW

                  Status:    The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.

Agenda:    **Hayward Plaza - Redesign**

                  Action:    The committee unanimously agreed that the revised schematic design of the plaza portion the Hayward Plaza Project is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:

                                  The originally proposed pavers will be retained if at all possible.

Furthermore, the committee unanimously agreed that *the revised design option to install campus standard light fixtures at the 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue entry* as part of the Hayward Plaza Project is consistent

with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved.

Finally, the committee unanimously recommended that if project costs are partially funded by parking fund monies, funds should be directed towards improvements that most benefit the general campus community, for example the originally proposed 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue columns.

Approved: DAW  
Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.

11/17/04 CPC    Agenda: **East Campus Framework Study - Presentation**  
Action: No formal action required.  
Approved: NA  
Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration when preparing the final version of the study.

Agenda: **Long Range Campus Development Plan Update - Introduction and Initial Discussion**  
Action: No formal action.  
Approved: NA  
Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration when preparing the draft version of the plan.

01/14/05 DR    Agenda: **LTD Kincaid Street Bus Stop Shelter – Schematic Design**  
Action: The subcommittee agreed, with four in favor and three against, to recommend to the president that LTD be directed to explore tree removal options with the city of Eugene and relocate the proposed bus shelter to the public parking strip before returning, if necessary, to the subcommittee to reconsider the original proposal to locate the bus shelter on university lands.  
Approved: DAW  
Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration when moving forward with the project. Refer to the 7/06/05 meeting record.

Agenda: **University Health & Counseling Center – Schematic Design Follow-up**  
Action: The subcommittee agreed, with six in favor and one abstention, that the revised schematic design for the UHCC is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:  
1. More clearly demonstrate the significance of the south building entrance by enhancing the design through the use of additional planting, benches, paving, and/or lighting.  
2. Minimize the view of the east exit-only entrance by installing plantings to buffer the view of the east ramp and railings from Agate Street.  
Approved: DAW  
Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.

- 02/15/05 CPC    Agenda:    **Long Range Campus Development Plan Update - Introduction to the Plan Review Process and Proposed Revisions**  
                          Action:    No formal action required.  
                          Approved:    NA  
                          Status:    The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration when preparing the final draft version of the plan.
- 02/15/05 DR    Agenda:    **Earl Hall Exterior Accessibility– Schematic Design**  
                          Action:    The subcommittee agreed unanimously to recommend to the president that schematic design for the Earl Hall Exterior Accessibility Project is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:
- The project architect will work with Facilities Services staff to ensure that the existing trees are protected and the proposed design is revised as necessary. It is acceptable to make the proposed stairs smaller without follow-up subcommittee review. If, however, a more significant design change is required, it will be subject to Design Review Subcommittee review.
- Approved:    DAW  
                          Status:    The project will proceed taking the condition into consideration.
- 02/22/05 CPC    Agenda:    **Long Range Campus Development Plan Update - Introduction to Proposed Revisions**  
                          Action:    No formal action required.  
                          Approved:    NA  
                          Status:    The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration when preparing the final draft version of the plan.
- 04/05/05 CPC    Agenda:    **Campus Planning Committee Enabling Legislation - Proposed Revisions**  
                          Action:    The Campus Planning Committee voted unanimously to accept the three motions to revise the CPC Enabling Legislation proposed by the University Senate. The CPC suggests that the third motion be revised to read as follows: “(3) The Senate will receive announcements regarding Campus Planning Committee meetings and public forums where major projects are being reviewed.” This CPC vote of support is given with the understanding that it will have the opportunity to review any subsequent changes to the proposed motions.
- Approved:    NA  
                          Status:    The committee’s action will be forwarded to the University Senate.
- Agenda:    **Long Range Campus Development Plan Update - Introduction to Proposed Revisions**  
                          Action:    No formal action required.  
                          Approved:    NA

Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration when preparing the draft version of the plan.

04/12/05 CPC    Agenda: **Long Range Campus Development Plan Update – Public Hearing**

Action: No formal action required.

Approved: NA

Status: Comments will be taken into consideration when preparing the final draft version of the plan.

04/14/05 DR    Agenda: **Tennis/PARS Support Building – Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the schematic design for the Tennis/PARS Support Building is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Consider implementing environmental design measures, in particular day lighting. Also look at insulating pipes and taking advantage of the concrete wall's thermal mass (to minimize the need to heat the building), reducing the amount of exterior lighting, using passive ventilation instead of electric fans, and possibly installing photovoltaics to avoid the need for power supply hook-ups.
2. Use UO dark green for the trim, doors, and roof to match other buildings in the area.

Approved: DAW

Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.

Agenda: **Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art Banners – Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that a revised schematic design for the Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art Banners is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved as described below:

A single banner matching the size, quality, and location of the existing event banner on the Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art shall be allowed for a trial period ending September 2007. The banner shall be changed three to four times a year and graphically illustrate exhibits and special events occurring at the Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art. At the completion of the trial period, the Jordan Schnitzer Museum of Art may opt to reapply and request subcommittee review.

Approved: DAW

Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.

Agenda: **Old Campus Quad Bench Plan – Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed unanimously that the Old Campus Quad Bench Plan is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved with the following condition:

Give last priority to installing bench #3.

Approved: DAW



05/31/05 DR

Agenda: **Recycling Center Shed - Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed, with five in favor and one opposed, to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the Recycling Shed is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Demonstrate that the project meets the Sustainability Development Plan requirements and make design changes as necessary.
2. Consider design alternatives that would make the building easier to move in the future and implement them to the greatest degree possible.
3. Consider covering the outer side of the wash station aluminum wall with Hardiboard so that it is more compatible with adjacent housing if at all possible.
4. Use plastic-coated chain-link material for the wash station gates to match the fencing used on the graduate housing bike shelter.

If implementation of any of the above conditions results in noticeable design changes, the project will be resubmitted to the Design Review Subcommittee for review.

Approved: DAW

Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration. Refer to the 7/06/05 meeting.

Agenda: **Lawrence 166 Alterations Project - Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee unanimously agreed to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the Lawrence Hall Classroom 166 Alterations Project is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved.

Approved: DAW

Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.

Agenda: **Lawrence 177 Alterations Project - Schematic Design**

Action: The subcommittee agreed, with four in favor and one against, to recommend to the president that the schematic design for the Lawrence Hall Classroom 177 Alterations project is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following condition:

This elevator option will be implemented only if the Disabilities Issues Administrative Council (or the equivalent appropriate committee) determines that it is necessary because it best meets accessibility requirements after reviewing all interior and exterior options.

Approved: DAW

Status: The committee's comments will be taken into consideration when moving forward with the project design. The DIAC took subsequent action (refer to 7/6/05 meeting record).

- 06/22/05 DPIT Agenda: **Music Additions and Alterations Project – Consultant & Selection: Interviews**  
 06/23/05 Action: The subcommittee was invited to join the Music Additions and Alterations Project User Group to interview and select an architecture consultant.  
 Approved: NA  
 Status: Selected consultant will be hired.
- 07/06/05 DR Agenda: **Other Items**  
 Action: The subcommittee was provided with follow-up information about the proposed Room 177 Lawrence Hall Elevator Addition and LTD Kincaid Bus Stop projects.  
 Approved: NA  
 Status: The projects will proceed.
- Agenda: **Recycling Center Shed – Revised Site**  
 Action: The subcommittee agreed, with five in favor and one opposed, to recommend to the president that the revised siting for the Recycling Shed is consistent with the Long Range Campus Development Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:  
 1. Install fencing along the east and south edges of the site to ensure a secure division between residential and non-residential uses.  
 2. Locate the shed as far north and east as possible while maintaining its intended functions.  
 Approved: Frances Dyke 8/9/05  
 Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.
- 07/12/05 CPC Agenda: **ONAMI at the University of Oregon Project – Meeting One**  
 Action: No formal action was taken. The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration as the ONAMI at the University of Oregon project design process moves forward.  
 Approved: NA  
 Status: The committee’s comments will be taken into consideration when moving forward with the project design.
- 08/09/05 DPIT Agenda: **ONAMI at the University of Oregon Project – Consultant Selection: Interviews**  
 Action: The subcommittee was invited to join the ONAMI at the University of Oregon Project User Group to interview and select an architecture consultant.  
 Approved: NA  
 Status: Selected consultant will be hired.
- 07/06/05 DR Agenda: **Living-Learning Center – Sunshade Modification**  
 Action: The subcommittee agreed, with four in favor and two opposed, to recommend to the president that the proposal to install vertical zinc panel sunshades on the second and third floors of the Living-Learning Center’s west side is consistent with the



Campus Plan and recommended to the president that it be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Make the panels adjustable (from the interior). University Housing will establish an operations protocol consisting of, at a minimum, seasonal adjustments by maintenance staff. University Housing staff will also determine if it is appropriate to allow room residents to adjust the fins.
2. Use a consistent shade solution on the second, third, and fourth floors (e.g., install the panel system on the fourth floor).
3. Ensure that the proposed fins are properly designed to provide the intended shade. Modify the shape and size as necessary.

Approved: Frances Dyke 11/3/05  
Status: The project will proceed taking the conditions into consideration.